



# Twisted jets, motivic measures and orbifold cohomology

Takehiko Yasuda

## ABSTRACT

We introduce the notion of twisted jets. For a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ , a twisted  $\infty$ -jet on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a representable morphism  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack with the coarse moduli space  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ . We study a motivic measure on the space of the twisted  $\infty$ -jets on a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack. As an application, we prove that two birational minimal models with Gorenstein quotient singularities have the same orbifold cohomology as a Hodge structure.

## 1. Introduction

In 1995, Kontsevich introduced the theory of *motivic integration* [Kon95]. Since then, this remarkable idea has become a powerful method for examining both the local and global structures of varieties.

Let  $X$  be a variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , an  $n$ -jet on  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$ -point of  $X$ , where we have followed the convention  $(t^\infty) = (0)$ . The  $n$ -jets of  $X$  naturally constitute a variety (or pro-variety if  $n = \infty$ ), denoted  $L_n X$ . For  $m \geq n$ , the natural surjection  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{m+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$  induces the truncation morphism  $L_m X \rightarrow L_n X$ .

Consider the case where  $X$  is smooth and of dimension  $d$ . Then  $L_n X$  is a locally trivial affine space bundle over  $X$ . (Whenever  $X$  is singular, it fails. For example, for  $n = 1$ ,  $L_1 X$  is the tangent space of  $X$  and hence not a locally trivial bundle over  $X$ .) The idea of Kontsevich is to give  $L_\infty X$  a measure which takes values in the Grothendieck ring  $\mathbb{M}$  of  $k$ -varieties which is localized by the class  $\mathbb{L}$  of the affine line. For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the family of constructible subsets of  $L_n X$  is stable under finite union or finite intersection. In other words, this family is a Boolean algebra. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{constructible subsets of } L_n X\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{M} \\ A &\mapsto \{A\} \mathbb{L}^{-nd} \end{aligned}$$

is a finite additive measure. For each  $m > n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , because the truncation morphism  $\pi_n^m : L_m X \rightarrow L_n X$  is a locally trivial affine space bundle of relative dimension  $(m - n)d$ , the pull-back

$$(\pi_n^m)^{-1} : \{\text{constructible subsets of } L_n X\} \rightarrow \{\text{constructible subsets of } L_m X\},$$

is considered to be an extension of the measure into a bigger Boolean algebra. The *motivic measure* on  $L_\infty X$  is defined to be the limit of these extensions. Denef and Loeser generalized the motivic measure to the case where  $X$  is singular [DL99].

The integral of a function with respect to the motivic measure produces a new invariant. In particular, when  $X$  is smooth and the function is equal to one, then the integral, which is the

Received 30 January 2002, accepted in final form 13 May 2003.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification* 14F43 (primary), 14B05, 14B10, 14E15 (secondary).

*Keywords:* twisted jets, Deligne–Mumford stacks, motivic measure, orbifold cohomology, Gorenstein quotient singularities.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2004.

full volume of  $L_\infty X$ , is the class of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{M}$ . It reduces to the Hodge structure of the cohomology of  $X$  if  $X$  is complete. By the transformation rule of Kontsevich, for any resolution  $Z \rightarrow X$ , it equals the integral of a function on  $L_\infty Z$  determined by the relative canonical divisor  $K_{Z/X}$ . This implies the following theorem of Kontsevich, which we will generalize.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be smooth complete varieties. Suppose that there are proper birational morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X'$  such that  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$ . Then the rational cohomologies of  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same Hodge structures.*

The key point is that by the valuative criterion for properness, almost every  $\infty$ -jet on  $X$  lifts to a unique  $\infty$ -jet on  $Z$ , and hence the map  $L_\infty Z \rightarrow L_\infty X$  is bijective outside of measure-zero subsets. Note that Batyrev first proved the equality of Betti numbers in the case where  $X$  and  $X'$  are Calabi–Yau varieties, with  $p$ -adic integration and the Weil conjecture [Bat99a].

Let  $X$  be a variety with Gorenstein canonical singularities. Denef and Loeser gave  $L_\infty X$  another measure, called the *motivic Gorenstein measure*, denoted by  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}$  [DL02]. As in the case when  $X$  is smooth,  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X)$  is calculated by the relative canonical divisor  $K_{Z/X}$  for a resolution  $Z \rightarrow X$ . This implies the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities. Suppose that there are proper birational morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X'$  such that  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$ . Then  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X) = \mu_{X'}^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X')$*

Quotient singularities form one of the mildest classes of singularities.<sup>1</sup> If  $X$  is a variety with quotient singularities, then we can give  $X$  an orbifold structure. In the algebro-geometric context, there is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the automorphism group of general points of  $\mathcal{X}$  is trivial. Although the natural morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$  is proper and birational, *not* quite every  $\infty$ -jet on  $X$  lifts to a  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}$  from lack of the *strict* valuative criterion for properness. However, by twisting the source  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ , we can lift almost every  $\infty$ -jet on  $X$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . More precisely, a *twisted  $\infty$ -jet* on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a representable morphism  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack with the coarse moduli space  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  contains  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}((t))$  as an open substack. (The paper by Abramovich and Vistoli [AV02] was the inspiration for this notion – they introduced the notion of a twisted stable map.) For almost every  $\infty$ -jet  $\gamma : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow X$ , there is a unique twisted  $\infty$ -jet  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that the induced morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow X$  of the coarse moduli spaces is  $\gamma$ . If  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  is the coarse moduli space of the twisted  $\infty$ -jets on  $\mathcal{X}$ , then we define the *motivic measure*  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  in a similar fashion as on  $L_\infty X$ , though it takes values in the Grothendieck ring of Hodge structures. We show the following close relationship between  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *The following equality holds:*

$$\mu_X^{\text{Gor}} = \sum_{\mathcal{Y} \subset I(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{L}^{s(\mathcal{Y})} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

For the precise meaning, see Theorem 3.15.

Chen and Ruan defined the *orbifold cohomology* for arbitrary orbifold [CR00]. It originates from string theory on orbifolds [DHVW86]. Let  $X$  be a variety with Gorenstein quotient singularities and  $\mathcal{X}$  as defined earlier. The *inertia stack* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted  $I(\mathcal{X})$ , is an object in the algebro-geometric realm that corresponds to the twisted sector. We define the  $i$ th orbifold cohomology group of  $X$  by

$$H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_{\mathcal{Y} \subset I(\mathcal{X})} H^{i-2s(\mathcal{Y})}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-s(\mathcal{Y})),$$

<sup>1</sup>Here the words ‘quotient singularities’ mean ‘quotient singularities with respect to the étale topology’; see Definition 4.27.

where  $\mathcal{Y}$  runs over the connected components of  $I(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $s(\mathcal{Y})$  is an integer which is representation-theoretically determined.

*Remark 1.4.*

- i) We guess but are not sure that our orbifold cohomology is equal to that of Chen and Ruan in [CR00]. The point we wonder about is whether the cohomology groups of  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  are isomorphic to those of the analytic orbifold (V-manifold) associated to  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
- ii) The orbifold Hodge numbers (i.e. the Hodge numbers associated with  $H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ) are equal to Batyrev's stringy Hodge numbers [Bat99b]. This follows from Lemma 2.16 and Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.3 implies that when  $X$  is complete, the invariant  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X)$  reduces to the alternating sum of the orbifold cohomology groups of  $X$ . Hence we obtain the following theorem as conjectured by Ruan [Rua00].

**THEOREM 1.5** (Corollary 3.16). *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be complete varieties with Gorenstein quotient singularities. Suppose that there are proper birational morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X'$  such that  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$ . Then the orbifold cohomologies of  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same Hodge structures.*

If  $X$  and  $X'$  are birational minimal models, that is,  $K_X$  and  $K'_{X'}$  are nef, then for a common resolution  $Z$  of  $X$  and  $X'$ , we have the equality  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$  (see [KM98, Proposition 3.51]). Hence  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same orbifold cohomology with a Hodge structure. Note that in the case where  $X$  and  $X'$  are global quotients, Theorem 1.5 is due to Batyrev [Bat99b] and Denef and Loeser [DL02]. After writing out the initial version of this paper, we learnt via e-mail from Ernesto Lupercio that he and Mainak Poddar had independently proved Theorem 1.5.

## 1.1 Contents

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we review motivic measures. Section 3 is the central part of the paper. Here we introduce the notion of a twisted jet and examine their space. Then we prove the main result. In § 4, we review Deligne–Mumford stacks and prove some general results on Deligne–Mumford stacks, which we need in § 3.

## 1.2 Conventions and notations

- In §§ 2 and 3, we work over  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- For a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$ , we denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- We denote by  $(\text{Sch}/S)$  (respectively  $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})$ ) the category of schemes over a scheme  $S$  (respectively over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).
- For a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme  $X$  (or more generally a stack over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ , we denote by  $X \otimes R$  the product  $X \times_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Spec } R$ . Then we denote by  $X[[t]]$  (respectively  $X[[t^{1/l}]]$ ) the scheme  $X \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  (respectively  $X \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t^{1/l}]]$ ).

## 2. Motivic measures – a review

In this section, we would like to review the theory of motivic measures, developed by Kontsevich [Kon95], Batyrev [Bat99b], Denef and Loeser [DL99, DL02]. It is also worth mentioning [Cra99] which has a nice introduction and [Loo02, DL01] for surveys.

### 2.1 Completing Grothendieck rings

Let us first construct the ring in which motivic measures take values. Note that a variety means a reduced scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. We define the *Grothendieck ring of varieties*, denoted by  $K_0(\text{Var})$ , to be the abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes  $\{X\}$  of varieties with the relations  $\{X\} = \{X \setminus Y\} + \{Y\}$  if  $Y$  is a closed subvariety of  $X$ . The ring structure is defined by  $\{X\}\{Y\} = \{X \times Y\}$ .

In the same fashion, we can define the Grothendieck ring of separated algebraic spaces of finite types. In reality, it is the same as  $K_0(\text{Var})$ , since every noetherian algebraic space decomposes into the disjoint union of schemes [Knu71, Proposition 6.6].

Suppose that  $A$  is a constructible subset of a variety  $X$ , that is,  $A$  is a disjoint union of the locally closed subvarieties  $A_i \subset X$ . Then we put  $\{A\} := \sum_i \{A_i\} \in K_0(\text{Var})$ , which is independent of the stratification choice. We denote the class of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  by  $\mathbb{L}$  and the localization  $K_0(\text{Var})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$  by  $\mathbb{M}$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $F_m\mathbb{M}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{M}$  generated by the elements  $\{X\}\mathbb{L}^{-i}$  with  $\dim X - i \leq -m$ . The collection  $(F_m\mathbb{M})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a descending filtration of  $\mathbb{M}$  with

$$F_m\mathbb{M} \cdot F_n\mathbb{M} \subset F_{m+n}\mathbb{M}. \tag{1}$$

DEFINITION 2.2. We define the *complete Grothendieck ring of varieties* by

$$\hat{\mathbb{M}} := \varprojlim \mathbb{M}/F_m\mathbb{M}.$$

By condition (1), it has a natural ring structure.

Note that it is not known whether the natural map  $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{M}}$  is injective.

Recall that a *Hodge structure* is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $H$  with a bigrading  $H \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{p,q}$  such that  $H^{p,q}$  is the complex conjugate of  $H^{q,p}$  and each weight summand  $\bigoplus_{p+q=m} H^{p,q}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The category HS of Hodge structures is an abelian category with a tensor product.

DEFINITION 2.3. We define the *Grothendieck ring of Hodge structures*, denoted  $K_0(\text{HS})$ , to be the abelian group that consists of the formal differences  $\{H\} - \{H'\}$ , where  $\{H\}$  and  $\{H'\}$  are isomorphism classes of Hodge structures. The addition and the multiplication come from  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$ , respectively.

A *mixed Hodge structure* is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $H$  with increasing filtration  $W_\bullet H$ , called the *weight filtration*, such that the associated graded  $\text{Gr}_\bullet^W H$  underlies a Hodge structure having  $\text{Gr}_m^W H$  as a weight  $m$  summand. For a mixed Hodge structure  $H$ , we denote by  $\{H\}$  the element  $\{\text{Gr}_\bullet^W H\}$  of  $K_0(\text{HS})$ .

The cohomology groups  $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  with compact supports of a variety  $X$  has a natural mixed Hodge structure.

DEFINITION 2.4. We define the *Hodge characteristic*  $\chi_h(X)$  of  $X$  by

$$\chi_h(X) := \sum_i (-1)^i \{H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q})\} \in K_0(\text{HS}).$$

Consider the following map:

$$(\text{Varieties}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{HS}), X \mapsto \chi_h(X).$$

It factors through the map

$$(\text{Varieties}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}, X \mapsto \{X\},$$

because the following hold:

- $\chi_h(X \times Y) = \chi_h(X)\chi_h(Y)$ ;
- $\chi_h(X) = \chi_h(X \setminus Y) + \chi_h(Y)$  if  $Y \subset X$  is closed;
- the Hodge characteristic of the affine line,  $\chi_h(\mathbb{A}^1) = \{H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Q})\}$ , is invertible.

We also denote by  $\chi_h$  the induced homomorphism  $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow K_0(\text{HS})$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $F_m K_0(\text{HS})$  be the subgroup generated by the elements  $\{H\}$  such that the maximum weight of  $H$  is less than or equal to  $-m$ .

DEFINITION 2.5. We define the *complete Grothendieck ring of Hodge structures*, denoted by  $\hat{K}_0(\text{HS})$ , as follows:

$$\hat{K}_0(\text{HS}) := \varprojlim K_0(\text{HS})/F_m K_0(\text{HS}).$$

We can see that the natural map  $K_0(\text{HS}) \rightarrow \hat{K}_0(\text{HS})$  is injective. As the maximal weight of  $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  does not exceed  $2 \dim X$ ,  $\chi_h$  extends to  $\chi_h : \hat{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \hat{K}_0(\text{HS})$ .

### 2.2 Jets on schemes

For the sake of convenience, we denote by  $(t^\infty)$  the ideal  $(0)$  of the power series ring  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we denote by  $D_n$  the affine scheme  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$ .

DEFINITION 2.6. Let  $X$  be a scheme. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we define the *scheme of  $n$ -jets*<sup>2</sup> of  $X$ , denoted  $L_n X$ , to be the scheme representing the functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow (\text{Sets}) \\ U &\mapsto \text{Hom}_{(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})}(U \times D_n, X). \end{aligned}$$

Greenberg [Gre61] proved the representability of the functor for  $n < \infty$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $m < n$ , a canonical closed immersion  $D_m \rightarrow D_n$  induces a canonical projection  $L_n X \rightarrow L_m X$ . Since all these projections are affine morphisms, the projective limit  $L_\infty X = \varprojlim L_n X$  exists in the category of schemes.

If  $X$  is of finite type, then, for  $n < \infty$ , so is  $L_n X$ . If  $X$  is smooth and of pure dimension  $d$ , then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the natural projection  $L_{n+1} X \rightarrow L_n X$  is a Zariski locally trivial  $\mathbb{A}^d$ -bundle. If  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of schemes, then for each  $n$ , there is a canonical morphism  $f_n : L_n Y \rightarrow L_n X$ .

### 2.3 Motivic measure

Let  $X$  be a scheme of pure dimension  $d$ . By abuse of notation, we also denote the set of points of  $L_\infty X$  by  $L_\infty X$ . Let  $\pi_n : L_\infty X \rightarrow L_n X$  be the canonical projection.

DEFINITION 2.7. A subset  $A$  of  $L_\infty X$  is *stable at level  $n$*  if we have:

- i)  $\pi_n(A)$  is a constructible subset in  $L_n X$ ;
- ii)  $A = \pi_n^{-1} \pi_n(A)$ ;
- iii) for any  $m \geq n$ , the projection  $\pi_{m+1}(A) \rightarrow \pi_m(A)$  is a piecewise trivial  $\mathbb{A}^d$ -bundle.

(A morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of schemes is called a *piecewise trivial  $\mathbb{A}^d$ -bundle* if there is a stratification  $X = \coprod X_i$  such that  $f|_{f^{-1}(X_i)} : f^{-1}(X_i) \rightarrow X_i$  is isomorphic to  $X_i \times \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $i$ .) A subset  $A$  of  $L_\infty X$  is *stable* if it is stable at level  $n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

The stable subsets of  $L_\infty X$  constitute a Boolean algebra. If  $A \subset L_\infty X$  is a stable subset, then  $\{\pi_m(A)\} \mathbb{L}^{-md} \in \hat{\mathbb{M}}$  is constant for  $m \gg 0$ . We denote it<sup>3</sup> by  $\mu_X(A)$ . The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X : \{\text{stable subsets of } L_\infty X\} &\rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{M}} \\ A &\mapsto \mu_X(A) \end{aligned}$$

is a finite additive measure. Let us extend this measure to a bigger family of subsets of  $L_\infty X$ .

<sup>2</sup>In [DL99], an  $\infty$ -jet is called an *arc*. As it is more convenient, we prefer our terminology.

<sup>3</sup>This differs from the definition in [Cra99], [Bat99b] and [DL99] by a factor  $\mathbb{L}^d$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. A subset  $A \subset L_\infty X$  is called *measurable* if, for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are stable subsets  $A_m \subset L_\infty X$  and  $C_i \subset L_\infty X$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that the symmetric difference  $(A \cup A_m) \setminus (A \cap A_m)$  is contained in  $\cup_i C_i$  and we have  $\mu_X(C_i) \in F_m \hat{\mathbb{M}}$  for all  $i$ , and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_X(C_i) = 0$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{M}}$ .

The measurable subsets of  $L_\infty X$  also constitute a Boolean algebra. Suppose that  $A \subset L_\infty X$  is measurable and  $A_m \subset L_\infty X$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  are stable subsets as in Definition 2.8. We put  $\mu_X(A) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu_X(A_m)$ . It is independent of the choice of  $A_m$ , see [Loo02, Proposition 2.2], [DL02, Theorem A.6]. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X : \{ \text{measurable subsets of } L_\infty X \} &\rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{M}} \\ A &\mapsto \mu_X(A) \end{aligned}$$

is a finite additive measure.

DEFINITION 2.9. We call this the *motivic measure* on  $L_\infty X$ .

DEFINITION 2.10. Let  $A \subset L_\infty X$  be a measurable subset and  $\nu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  a function. We say that  $\nu$  is a *measurable function* if the fibers are measurable and  $\mu_X(\nu^{-1}(\infty)) = 0$ . For a measurable function  $\nu$ , we formally define the *motivic integration* of  $\mathbb{L}^\nu$  by

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^\nu d\mu_X := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_X(\nu^{-1}(n)) \mathbb{L}^n.$$

We say that  $\mathbb{L}^\nu$  is *integrable* if this series converges in  $\hat{\mathbb{M}}$ .

Example 2.11. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an ideal sheaf on  $X$ . A point  $\gamma \in L_\infty X$  corresponds to a morphism  $\text{Spec } \kappa \rightarrow L_\infty X$  for the residue field  $\kappa$  of  $\gamma$  and hence to a morphism  $\gamma' : \text{Spec } \kappa[[t]] \rightarrow X$ . The function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord } \mathcal{J} : L_\infty X &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\} \\ \gamma &\mapsto n \quad \text{if } (\gamma')^{-1} \mathcal{J} = (t^n) \end{aligned}$$

is a measurable function by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.12 [Loo02, Proposition 3.1], [DL99, Lemma 4.4]. *For a subvariety  $Y \subset X$  of positive codimension, the subset  $L_\infty Y \subset L_\infty X$  is of measure zero.*

Example 2.13 [Bat99b, Theorem 3.6], [Cra99, Theorem 2.15]. Let  $X$  be a smooth variety of dimension  $d$  and  $E = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i E_i$  an effective divisor on  $X$  with simple normal crossing support. For a subset  $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$  we define

$$E_J^\circ := \bigcap_{i \in J} E_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus J} E_i.$$

If  $\mathcal{J}_E$  is the ideal sheaf associated to  $E$ , then we have the following formula:

$$\int_{L_\infty X} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_E} d\mu_X = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} \{E_J^\circ\} \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^{d_i+1} - 1}.$$

### 2.4 The transformation rule

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be varieties of pure dimension  $d$  and  $f : Y[[t]] \rightarrow X[[t]]$  a morphism over  $D_\infty$ . We define the morphisms  $f_n : L_n Y \rightarrow L_n X$  as follows. For a scheme  $U$ , a  $U$ -point  $\gamma$  of  $L_n Y$  is a morphism  $\gamma' : D_n \times U \rightarrow Y$ . If  $\psi$  is the composition of natural morphisms  $D_n \times U \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} D_n \rightarrow D_\infty$ , then we define  $f_n(\gamma)$  to be the  $U$ -point of  $L_n X$  corresponding to the composition

$$D_n \times U \xrightarrow{(\gamma', \psi)} Y \times D_\infty = Y[[t]] \xrightarrow{f} X[[t]] \rightarrow X.$$

Assume that  $Y$  is smooth. We define the *jacobian ideal sheaf*  $\mathcal{J}_f$  of  $f$  to be the zeroth Fitting ideal sheaf of  $\Omega_{Y[[t]]/X[[t]]}$  (see [EH99, V.1.3] or [Eis95, 20.2]). This is the ideal sheaf such that  $\mathcal{J}_f \Omega_{Y[[t]]/D_\infty}^d = f^*(\Omega_{X[[t]]/D_\infty}^d / (\text{tors}))$  as subsheaves of  $\Omega_{Y[[t]]/D_\infty}^d$ , where (tors) is the torsion. The following, called the *transformation rule*, is the most basic theorem in the theory.

**THEOREM 2.14.** [DL02, THEOREM 1.16], [Loo02, THEOREM 3.2]. *Let  $A$  be a measurable set in  $L_\infty Y$  and  $\nu : f_\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  a measurable function. Suppose that  $f_\infty|_A$  is injective. Then we have the following equality:*

$$\int_{f_\infty(A)} \mathbb{L}^\nu d\mu_X = \int_A \mathbb{L}^{\nu \circ f_\infty - \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_f} d\mu_Y.$$

We will generalize this later (Theorem 3.18).

### 2.5 The motivic Gorenstein measure

Let  $X$  be a variety with 1-Gorenstein and canonical singularities, that is, the canonical sheaf  $\omega_X$  is invertible and all discrepancies are greater than or equal to zero (see [KMM87, §§ 0–2]). Then there exists a natural morphism  $\Omega_X^d \rightarrow \omega_X$ . The kernel of this morphism is the torsion. We define an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}_X$  on  $X$  by the equation

$$\mathcal{J}_X \omega_X = \text{Im}(\Omega_X^d \rightarrow \omega_X).$$

Then  $\mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X}$  is integrable by Example 2.13 and Lemma 2.16.

**DEFINITION 2.15.** We define the *motivic Gorenstein measure*  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}$  on  $L_\infty X$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X^{\text{Gor}} : \{\text{measurable subsets of } L_\infty X\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{M} \\ A &\mapsto \int_A \mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X} d\mu_X. \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 2.16.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be complete varieties with 1-Gorenstein canonical singularities.*

i) *Let  $A$  be a measurable subset of  $L_\infty X$  and  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a resolution. Then*

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X} d\mu_X = \int_{f_\infty^{-1}(A)} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord } \mathcal{K}} d\mu_Z,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the ideal sheaf associated with  $K_{Z/X}$ .

ii) *Suppose that there exist proper birational morphisms  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow X'$  with  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$ . Then we have  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X) = \mu_{X'}^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X')$ .*

*Proof.* i) By Theorem 2.14, we have

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X} d\mu_X = \int_{f_\infty^{-1}(A)} \mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X \circ f_\infty - \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_f} \mu_Z.$$

We have to show

$$\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X \circ f_\infty - \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_f = -\text{ord } \mathcal{K}. \tag{2}$$

Pulling back  $\mathcal{J}_X \omega_X \cong \Omega_X^d / (\text{tors})$ , we have  $(f^{-1} \mathcal{J}_X)(f^* \omega_X) \cong \mathcal{J}_f \Omega_Z^d$ . On the other hand, we have  $f^* \omega_X \cong \mathcal{K} \omega_Z \cong \mathcal{K} \Omega_Z^d$ . Hence  $(f^{-1} \mathcal{J}_X) \cdot \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J}_f$ . This shows equation (2).

ii) is a direct consequence of item i. □

*Remark 2.17.* The invariant  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X)$  has been already introduced in [Kon95] using a resolution of singularities. Denef and Loeser constructed the invariant more directly with the motivic Gorenstein measure [DL02].

Suppose  $X$  is complete. The question is whether  $\chi_h \circ \mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X)$  is the alternating sum of a kind of cohomology groups, as in the case where  $X$  is smooth. It is known that when  $X$  is a global quotient, the answer is yes [Bat99b, DL02]. Our result, Theorem 3.15, states that when  $X$  has only quotient singularities, the answer is also yes.

### 3. Twisted jets

In this section, we deal with the theory of Deligne–Mumford stacks. See § 4 for the generalities about Deligne–Mumford stacks.

#### 3.1 Non-twisted jets on stacks

The following is a direct generalization of the notion of jets on schemes.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we define the stack of non-twisted  $n$ -jets of  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $L_n \mathcal{X}$ , as follows. An object of  $L_n \mathcal{X}$  over  $U \in (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $U \times D_n$ . For a morphism  $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$  in  $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})$ , a morphism in  $L_n \mathcal{X}$  over  $\varphi$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\varphi \times \text{id}_{D_n}$ .

LEMMA 3.2. For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $L_n \mathcal{X}$  is a stack.

Proof. It is clear that they satisfy the axioms of a category fibered in groupoids. If  $(U_i \rightarrow U)_i$  is an étale covering in  $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})$ , then so is  $(U_i \times D_n \rightarrow U \times D_n)_i$ . As a result, they also satisfy the axioms of a stack.  $\square$

Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of schemes and  $Z \subset Y$  a closed subscheme with an ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We say that  $f$  is  $Z$ -étale if for any ring  $A$  and any nilpotent ideal  $J \subset A$ , and for any commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } A/J & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

such that  $\varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is nilpotent, there is a unique broken arrow which makes the whole diagram commutative.  $Z$ -étaleness is also defined for a representable morphism of stacks in the evident fashion.

LEMMA 3.3.

- i) Let  $M$  be a scheme and  $N \subset M$  a closed subscheme. We denote by  $(L_n M)_N$  the subscheme of  $L_n M$  parametrizing the jets with the base point in  $N$ . Let  $p : M \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be an  $N$ -étale morphism. Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we have a natural isomorphism as follows:

$$(L_n M)_N \cong L_n \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N.$$

- ii) For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $L_n \mathcal{X}$  is a Deligne–Mumford stack.

Proof. i) Let us first show that  $L_n \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N$  is an algebraic space. Let  $\pi : L_n \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be the canonical projection. An object of  $L_n \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N$  is a triple  $(\gamma, f, \alpha)$  where  $\gamma : U \times D_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f : U \rightarrow N$  and  $\alpha : \pi(\gamma) \rightarrow p(f)$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $U$ . By definition, an automorphism of  $(\gamma, f, \alpha)$  is an automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha \circ \pi(\theta) = p(\text{id}_f) \circ \alpha$ . Hence  $\theta$  must be the identity. We have thus proved that the automorphism of every object of  $L_n \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N$  is trivial and hence that  $L_n \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N$  is an algebraic space (see [LM00, Corollaire 8.1.1]).



The diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M \\
 \downarrow & & \searrow \tau & & \downarrow p \\
 U \times D_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & & & \mathcal{X}
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. We can see that there is a unique broken arrow  $\tau$  in the diagram. If  $n < \infty$ , since  $p$  is  $N$ -étale, this is trivial from the definition. If  $n = \infty$ , since  $D_\infty$  is the direct limit of  $D_n$ ,  $0 \leq n < \infty$ , this follows from the case  $n < \infty$ . Sending  $(\gamma, f, \alpha)$  to  $\tau$  defines a morphism  $\Phi : L_n\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} M \rightarrow L_nM$ .

The inverse of the morphism  $\Phi$  is given by  $(p_n, \pi_M) : (L_nM)_N \rightarrow L_n\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} N$  where  $p_n : L_nM \rightarrow L_n\mathcal{X}$  and  $\pi_M : (L_nM)_N \rightarrow N = (L_0M)_N$  are the natural morphisms. We have thus proved item i.

ii) Now suppose that  $p$  is étale and surjective. Consider the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_nM & \longrightarrow & L_n\mathcal{X} \\
 \pi_M \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \pi \\
 M & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{X}
 \end{array}$$

As  $\pi_M$  is representable and  $p$  is étale and surjective,  $\pi$  is representable (see [LM00, Lemme 4.3.3]). This completes the proof (see [LM00, Proposition 4.5]). □

### 3.2 Twisted jets

For a positive integer  $l$ , we put  $\zeta_l := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/l)$ . Let  $\mu_l = \langle \zeta_l \rangle$  be the group of the  $l$ th roots of 1.  $\mu_l$  acts on  $D_n$  by  $\zeta_l : t \mapsto \zeta_l t$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_n^l$  the quotient stack  $[D_m/\mu_l]$  with  $m = nl$ . The stack  $\mathcal{D}_n^l$  has the canonical atlas  $D_m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^l$  and the closed point  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^l$ . We fix a morphism  $\mathcal{D}_n^l \rightarrow D_n$  such that  $D_n$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{D}_n^l$  for this morphism and such that the composition

$$D_m \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^l \rightarrow D_n$$

is given by the ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{m+1})$ ,  $t \mapsto t^l$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack. A *twisted  $n$ -jet of order  $l$*  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a representable morphism  $\mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  for an algebraically closed field  $\Omega \supset \mathbb{C}$ .

For a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$ , the *inertia stack* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $I(\mathcal{X})$ , is the stack parametrizing the pairs  $(\xi, \alpha)$  such that  $\xi \in \text{ob } \mathcal{X}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\xi)$ . For details on inertia stacks, see § 4.3. There is a natural forgetting morphism  $I(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . For  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $I^l(\mathcal{X}) \subset I(\mathcal{X})$  denote the substack parametrizing the pairs  $(\xi, \alpha)$  with  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = l$ .

Let  $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a twisted  $n$ -jet of order  $l$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The canonical morphism

$$\tilde{\gamma} : D_m \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

is considered to be an  $\Omega$ -point of  $L_m\mathcal{X}$  and the canonical morphism

$$\bar{\gamma} : \text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

is considered to be an  $\Omega$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since the automorphism group of the closed point of  $\mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega$  is identified with  $\mu_l$ ,  $\gamma$  induces an injection  $\mu_l \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bar{\gamma})$ . If  $b \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\gamma})$  is the image of  $\zeta_l$ , then the pair  $(\bar{\gamma}, b)$  is regarded as an  $\Omega$ -point of  $I^l(\mathcal{X})$  and the triple  $(\tilde{\gamma}, (\bar{\gamma}, b), \text{id}_{\bar{\gamma}})$  is regarded as an  $\Omega$ -point of  $L_m\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})$ . We define a map  $\Psi$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi : \{ \text{twisted } n\text{-jets of order } l \text{ on } \mathcal{X} \} &\rightarrow |L_m\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})| \\
 \gamma &\mapsto (\tilde{\gamma}, (\bar{\gamma}, b), \text{id}_{\bar{\gamma}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.5. *The subset  $\text{Im}(\Psi) \subset |L_m\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})|$  is closed for Zariski topology.*

*Proof.* Fix an atlas  $p : M \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  with  $M$  separated.

We will first characterize the points in  $\text{Im}(\Psi)$ . On account of the arguments on groupoid spaces in § 4.1, we can see that the following points are equivalent.

i) To give a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_m \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{\eta} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

such that  $\gamma$  is a twisted  $n$ -jet of order  $l$ .

ii) To give a morphism of groupoid spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D_m \otimes \Omega) \times \mu_l & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M \\ \text{pr} \downarrow \downarrow \mu_l\text{-action} & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ D_m \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{\eta} & M \end{array}$$

such that the composition

$$\text{Spec } \Omega \hookrightarrow (D_m \otimes \Omega) \times \{\zeta_l\} \hookrightarrow (D_m \otimes \Omega) \times \mu_l \xrightarrow{\delta'} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$$

corresponds to an automorphism of order  $l$  of the following  $\Omega$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}$ :

$$\text{Spec } \Omega \hookrightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{\eta} M \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{X}.$$

iii) To give a morphism  $\delta : D_m \otimes \Omega \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  such that  $\text{pr}_1 \circ \delta \circ \zeta_l = \text{pr}_2 \circ \delta$  and the composition

$$\text{Spec } \Omega \hookrightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{\delta} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$$

corresponds to an automorphism of order  $l$  of the following  $\Omega$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}$ :

$$\text{Spec } \Omega \hookrightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{\delta} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} M \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{X}.$$

Any point of  $|L_m\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})|$  is represented by the triple  $(\psi, (\overline{\psi}, b), \text{id}_{\overline{\psi}})$  such that  $\psi$  is an  $\Omega$ -point of  $L_m\mathcal{X}$  with an algebraically closed field  $\Omega$ ,  $\overline{\psi}$  is an  $\Omega$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the composition

$$\text{Spec } \Omega \hookrightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{X}$$

and  $b$  is an automorphism of  $\overline{\psi}$ . Then the equivalence above implies that:

$(\psi, (\overline{\psi}, b), \text{id}_{\overline{\psi}})$  is in  $\text{Im}(\Psi)$  if and only if for a lift  $\eta : D_m \otimes \Omega \rightarrow M$  of  $\psi$ , there exists a morphism  $\delta : D_m \otimes \Omega \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  such that  $\text{pr}_1 \circ \delta = \eta$  and  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \delta = \eta \circ \zeta_l$  and the composition  $\text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow D_m \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{\delta} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  corresponds to  $b$ . ♣

Let  $\xi$  and  $\sigma$  be points of  $L_m\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  is in  $\text{Im}(\Psi)$  and  $\xi$  is a specialization of  $\sigma$ . It suffices to show that  $\xi$  is in  $\text{Im}(\Psi)$ . By [LM00, Proposition 7.2.1], there is a complete discrete valuation ring  $R$  with an algebraically closed residue field  $\kappa$  and a quotient field  $K$  such that there

is a commutative diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } K & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \sigma & \\
 \text{Spec } R & \xrightarrow{\theta} & L_m \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X}) \\
 \uparrow & \nearrow \xi & \\
 \text{Spec } \kappa & & 
 \end{array}$$

(Here by abusing the notation, the arrows  $\sigma$  and  $\xi$  in the diagram are representatives of the points  $\sigma$  and  $\xi$ , respectively.) If  $\theta$  corresponds to a triple  $(\lambda_R, (\overline{\lambda}_R, b_R), \text{id}_{\overline{\lambda}_R})$ , then, the pull-backs  $(\lambda_K, (\overline{\lambda}_K, b_K), \text{id}_{\overline{\lambda}_K})$  and  $(\lambda_\kappa, (\overline{\lambda}_\kappa, b_\kappa), \text{id}_{\overline{\lambda}_\kappa})$  correspond to  $\sigma$  and  $\xi$ , respectively. By extending  $R$ , we can assume that  $\overline{\lambda}_R : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  lifts to  $\nu_R : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow M$ . As  $p$  is étale,  $\lambda_R : D_m \otimes R \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  uniquely lifts to  $\tilde{\nu} : D_m \otimes R \rightarrow M$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } R & \xrightarrow{\nu} & M \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\nu} & \downarrow \\
 D_m \otimes R & \xrightarrow{\lambda_R} & \mathcal{X}
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Let  $\overline{K}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K$ , let  $\eta$  be the composition  $D_m \otimes \overline{K} \rightarrow D_m \otimes R \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} \mathcal{X}$  and let  $b'_R : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  be the lift of  $\nu_R$  which corresponds to  $b_R$ . From  $(\clubsuit)$  and the previous assumption, there is a morphism  $\delta : D_m \otimes \overline{K} \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  such that  $\text{pr}_1 \circ \delta = \eta$  and  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \delta = \eta \circ \zeta_l$ , and the composition

$$\text{Spec } \overline{K} \hookrightarrow D_m \otimes \overline{K} \xrightarrow{\delta} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$$

equals the composition

$$\text{Spec } \overline{K} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R \xrightarrow{b'_R} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M.$$

We can replace  $\overline{K}$  with a finite extension  $K'$  of  $K$ . Moreover, replacing  $R$  with its normalization in  $K'$ , we can assume that  $K' = K$  and that  $b'_R$  and  $\delta$  induce the same morphism  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } R & \xrightarrow{b'_R} & M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \delta & \downarrow \downarrow \\
 D_m \otimes K & \longrightarrow & D_m \otimes R & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} & M
 \end{array}$$

Consider the unique morphism  $\tau : D_m \otimes R \rightarrow M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  such that  $\text{pr}_1 \circ \tau = \tilde{\nu}$ . Then the two morphisms,  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \tau$  and  $\tau \circ \zeta_l$ , are the same morphism because of the separatedness of  $M$ . Then the composition  $D_m \otimes \kappa \rightarrow D_m \otimes R \xrightarrow{\tau} M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  satisfies the condition in  $(\clubsuit)$ . Hence  $\xi \in \text{Im}(\Psi)$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.6.** We define the *stack of twisted  $n$ -jets of order  $l$*  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_n^l \mathcal{X}$ , to be the reduced closed substack of  $L_m \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})$  with support  $\text{Im}(\Psi)$ . We define the *stack of twisted  $n$ -jets* on  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}$ , to be the disjoint sum  $\coprod_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_n^l \mathcal{X}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{X}$  is the inertia stack  $I(\mathcal{X})$ .

If we set

$$l_0 := \max\{l \mid l = \text{ord}(\alpha), \text{ for some } \xi \in \text{ob } \mathcal{X} \text{ and for some } \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\xi)\},$$

then for any  $l > l_0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n^l \mathcal{X} = \emptyset$ . So the disjoint sum is indeed a finite sum.

**3.3 The formal neighborhood of  $I(\mathcal{X})$  and its canonical automorphism**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack with  $x : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  its closed point. Then the tangent space  $T_x\mathcal{X}$  is defined to be  $T_vM$  for an atlas  $M \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and a lift  $v : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $x$ , uniquely determined up to unique isomorphism. Then  $\text{Aut}(x)$  naturally acts on  $T_x\mathcal{X}$ . We now globalize it.

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a connected component of the inertia stack  $I(\mathcal{X})$  with  $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  being the forgetting map. Let  $\mathcal{X}_0$  be the image of  $\mathcal{Y}$  by  $F$ . The completion  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$  along  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is considered to be an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ -algebra. Then we define a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  to be the pull-back of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  by  $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ .

DEFINITION 3.7. We define  $\mathcal{N} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$  and call it the *formal neighborhood* of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

If we set  $\hat{\mathcal{X}} := \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  where we consider  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  to be an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -algebra, there is a natural morphism  $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  which is  $\mathcal{X}_0$ -étale. Since  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$  is unramified and flat, it is étale. Hence the natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$  is also étale and the composition  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -étale.

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be varieties. Let  $\xi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be an étale morphism,  $\nu : V \rightarrow U$  a morphism and  $\alpha$  an automorphism of  $\xi \circ \nu$ . Suppose that  $(\xi \circ \nu, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is étale.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\nu} & U \\ (\xi \circ \nu, \alpha) \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Then we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\nu} & U \\ \nu \downarrow & \alpha \swarrow & \downarrow \xi \\ U & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

where ‘ $\Rightarrow$ ’ denotes a two-morphism. Let  $\tilde{\alpha} : V \rightarrow U \times_{\mathcal{X}} U$  be the corresponding morphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & U \times_{\mathcal{X}} U \\ & \tilde{\alpha} \nearrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \quad \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ V & \xrightarrow{\nu} & U \end{array}$$

If  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_U$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{O}_U$  along  $\nu(V)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_V = \nu^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}_U$ . We have a canonical automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}_V$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_V \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^*} \tilde{\alpha}^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{U \times_{\mathcal{X}} U} \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{A}_V$$

and hence a canonical automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . Now this automorphism of  $\mathcal{N}$  is considered to be a globalization of the action on  $T_x\mathcal{X}$  mentioned above.

**3.4 Shift number and orbifold cohomology**

Suppose that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is contained in  $I^l(\mathcal{X})$  for an integer  $l \geq 1$ . Let  $(x, \alpha)$  be a closed point of  $\mathcal{Y}$  where  $x$  is a closed point of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(x)$ . Then  $\alpha$  acts on the tangent space  $T_x\mathcal{X}$ . For a suitable basis, this automorphism is given by the diagonal matrix

$$\text{diag}(\zeta_l^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_l^{a_d})$$

with  $1 \leq a_j \leq l$  and  $d = \dim \mathcal{X}$ .

DEFINITION 3.8. We define the *shift number* of  $\mathcal{Y}$  by

$$s(\mathcal{Y}) := \dim \mathcal{X} - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^d a_j = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^d (l - a_j).$$

This is determined by the rank of the eigenbundles of  $\mathcal{N}$  for the canonical action. Hence it depends only on  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Suppose that the coarse moduli space  $X = \overline{\mathcal{X}}$  is a variety with Gorenstein quotient singularities and  $\mathcal{X}$  has no reflections. Then the matrix  $\text{diag}(\zeta_l^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_l^{a_d})$  is in  $\text{SL}_d(\mathbb{C})$  (see [Wat74]). Hence  $s(\mathcal{Y})$  is an integer.

Now, let us define the orbifold cohomology.

DEFINITION 3.9. Assume  $X$  is complete. Then we define the *ith orbifold cohomology group* with a Hodge structure as follows:

$$H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_{\mathcal{Y}} H^{i-2s(\mathcal{Y})}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-s(\mathcal{Y})),$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}$  runs over the connected components of  $I(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(-s(\mathcal{Y}))$  is a Tate twist  $\mathbb{Q}(-1)^{\otimes s(\mathcal{Y})}$ .

Since the natural morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow X$  is quasi-finite,  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  is a scheme (see [LM00, Théorème A.2]). Because of this and Corollary 4.23,  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  is a complete variety with quotient singularities. Therefore the rational cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{Y}$  have *pure* Hodge structures. For the projective case see [Dan78, Corollary 14.4]. For the general case, it follows from the following two facts: one is that the intersection cohomology of every complete variety has pure Hodge structures [Sai90], the other is that the rational cohomology of a variety with quotient singularities equals the intersection cohomology.

### 3.5 The motivic measure on twisted $\infty$ -jets

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack of pure dimension  $d$ . By abusing the notation, we also denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  the set of points  $|\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}| = |\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}|$ . We denote by  $\pi_n$  the natural morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}}$ .

DEFINITION 3.10. A subset  $A$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  is *stable at level  $n$*  if we have:

- i)  $\pi_n(A)$  is a constructible subset in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}}$ ;
- ii)  $A = \pi_n^{-1}\pi_n(A)$ .

A subset  $A \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  is *stable* if it is stable at level  $n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

We define the notion of the *measurable subset* similarly. Then we define *motivic measure*  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  by

$$\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(A) := \mathbb{L}^{-nd} \chi_h(\pi_n(A)) \in \hat{K}_0(\text{HS}), \quad n \gg 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{L} = \{\mathbb{Q}(-1)\} = \chi_h(\mathbb{A}^1)$ . It is well defined by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.11. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $B \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}}$  be a constructible subset and let  $C$  be the inverse image of  $B$  by the natural morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{n+1} \mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}}$ . Then we have the equality  $\chi_h(C) = \mathbb{L}^d \chi_h(B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a connected component of  $I^l(\mathcal{X})(= \mathcal{L}_0^l \mathcal{X})$  and put  $(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X} \times_{I(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{Y}$ . Let  $\gamma = (\tilde{\gamma}, (\tilde{\gamma}, b), \text{id}_{\tilde{\gamma}}) \in (\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \subset L_m \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$  be an  $\Omega$ -point. Then we have the following commutative diagram of solid arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_0 \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\gamma}, b)} & \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow \\ D_m \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -étale, there is a unique broken arrow  $\sigma$  that fits into the diagram. Sending  $\gamma$  to  $\sigma$  determines a closed immersion

$$\iota : (\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \hookrightarrow L_m \mathcal{N}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the canonical automorphism of  $\mathcal{N}$ . In view of the definition of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\clubsuit$  in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we see that for  $\sigma \in L_m\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Im}(\iota)$  if and only if  $\sigma \circ \zeta_l = \mathfrak{g} \circ \sigma$ . From Lemma 3.12, there exists an atlas  $h : V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_V := \mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{C}[[v_1, \dots, v_c]]$  ( $c = \dim \mathcal{X} - \dim \mathcal{Y}$ ), and the pull-back of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is given by  $\text{diag}(\zeta_l^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_l^{a_c})$ ,  $1 \leq a_i \leq l$ . So for an  $m$ -jet  $\delta$  on  $\mathcal{N}_V$ ,  $h \circ \delta$  is in  $\text{Im}(\iota)$  if and only if the image of  $\delta$  by  $L_m(\mathcal{N}_V) \rightarrow L_m V$  is  $\zeta_l$ -invariant and  $\delta^*(v_i)$  is of the following form:

$$r_0 t^{a_i} + r_1 t^{a_i+l} + r_2 t^{a_i+2l} + \dots .$$

Therefore, we have  $(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V \cong L_n V \times \mathbb{A}^{nc}$  and the projection  $(\mathcal{L}_{n+1} \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V$  is a Zariski locally trivial  $\mathbb{A}^d$ -bundle.

We may assume that a finite group, say  $G$ , acts on each connected component  $V'$  of  $V$ ,  $V' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  is  $G$ -invariant and the induced morphism  $V'/G \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  is étale (see Lemma 4.26). Then we have  $(\overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}})_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} (V'/G) \cong ((L_n V')/G) \times \mathbb{A}^{nc}$ . Therefore, from Lemma 3.14, to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that there is a stratification of  $(L_n V')/G$  such that the natural morphism  $(L_{n+1} V')/G \rightarrow (L_n V')/G$  is, over each stratum, an analytically locally trivial fibration of the quotient of an affine space by a linear finite group action.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $W \subset V'$  a connected component of the locus of the points with stabilizer  $H$ . Let  $w \in W$  be a close point. As is well known, there is a representation  $\rho : H \subset \text{GL}_{\dim \mathcal{Y}}(\mathbb{C})$  which describes the  $H$ -action on an analytic neighborhood of  $w$ . Let  $(L_n V)_w \subset L_n V$  be the subset of the jets which maps the only point of  $D_n$  to  $w$ . Then the induced  $H$ -action on  $(L_n V)_w \cong \mathbb{A}^{m \dim \mathcal{Y}}$  is given by  $\rho^{\oplus m}$ . Therefore  $(L_{n+1} V')_W/H \rightarrow (L_n V')_W/H$  is an analytically locally trivial fibration of  $\mathbb{A}^{\dim \mathcal{Y}}/H$ . Let  $G' \subset G$  be the subgroup of the elements keeping  $W$  stable. Then  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G'$ . It is easy to see that the image of  $(L_n V')_W/H$  in  $(L_n V')/G$  is naturally isomorphic to  $((L_n V')_W/H)/(G'/H)$ . Since  $G'/H$  freely acts on  $(L_n V')_W/H$ , the assertion follows. □

LEMMA 3.12. *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $V$  a smooth  $G$ -variety and  $W$  a smooth closed subvariety consisting of  $G$ -invariant points.*

- i) *Assume that  $V$  and  $W$  are affine, say  $V = \text{Spec } R$  and  $W = \text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by  $c = \text{codim}(W, V)$  elements. Then the completion of  $V$  along  $W$  is isomorphic as  $G$ -schemes to  $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p})[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$ ,  $G \subset \text{GL}_c(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .*
- ii) *Assume that  $G$  is a finite cyclic group. Then there is an affine open covering  $\cup V_i$  of  $V$  such that for every  $i$ , if we write  $V_i = \text{Spec } R$  and  $W \cap V_i = \text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$ , the completion of  $V_i$  along  $W \cap V_i$  is isomorphic as  $G$ -schemes to  $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p})[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$ ,  $G \subset \text{GL}_c(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* i) We denote by  $\hat{R}$  the completion of  $R$  with respect to an ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and by  $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the completion of the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with respect to the maximal ideal. Let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  and  $f_i$  generators of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . It is well known that there is an isomorphism  $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow K[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$  sending  $f_i$  to  $x_i$ . We have a natural injection  $\hat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong K[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$ . Clearly the image contains the subring  $(R/\mathfrak{p})[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$ . Consider the injection  $\iota : (R/\mathfrak{p})[[x_1, \dots, x_c]] \rightarrow \hat{R}$ . Since the induced map  $R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \hat{R}/\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the identity and the images  $f_i$  of  $x_i$  generate  $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\iota$  is a surjection and hence an isomorphism (see [Eis95, Theorem 7.16.]).

Consider the induced  $G$ -action on  $\hat{R} = (R/\mathfrak{p})[[x_1, \dots, x_c]]$ . For  $g \in G$ , write  $g(x_i) = \sum a_{ij} x_j +$  (higher terms),  $a_{ij} \in R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Denote by  $\bar{g}$  the endomorphism of  $\hat{R}$  associated to the invertible matrix  $(a_{ij})$ . Working in characteristic zero, we can isomorphically replace  $x_i$  with  $x'_i = \sum_{g \in G} \bar{g}^{-1} g(x_i)$ . We find that, with respect to the new coordinates, the  $G$ -action is linear.

ii) In the previous situation,  $\text{Spec } \hat{R}$  is naturally isomorphic to the completion of the normal bundle  $N_{W/V}$  along the zero section. Here let us assume  $G$  is a cyclic group with generator  $g$ . Then  $N_{W/V}$  decomposes to eigenbundles. On each eigenbundle, the  $g$ -action is uniquely represented by a

scalar matrix  $aI$  where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, by shrinking  $V$  to an open subset where the eigenbundles are free, we conclude that the  $G$ -actions on  $N_{W/V}$  and  $\text{Spec } \hat{R}$  are realizable in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.13.* The author guesses that even in the case of a general finite group, the action on  $N_{W/V}$  is étale locally realizable in  $\mathbb{C}$ . From facts on splitting fields of finite groups (see [CR88]), this is true at least over the generic point of  $W$ .

**LEMMA 3.14.** *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be varieties and  $f : T \rightarrow S$  an analytically locally trivial fibration of  $\mathbb{A}^d/G$  for a finite group  $G \subset \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\chi_h(T) = \chi_h(S)\mathbb{L}^d$ .*

*Proof.* Since the fiber is a quotient of an affine space, the higher direct images of  $\mathbb{Q}_T$  vanishes

$$R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_T \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_S & (i = 0), \\ 0 & (i > 0). \end{cases}$$

Hence the spectral sequence is degenerate and it follows that  $H^i(T, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^i(S, \mathbb{Q})$  for every  $i$ .

Taking a stratification of  $S$ , we may assume that  $S$  is smooth. Since  $S$  and  $T$  have at most quotient singularities (in the analytic sense), by Poincaré duality we conclude that  $H_c^{\dim T - i}(T, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_c^{\dim S - i}(S, \mathbb{Q})$ .

By regarding the sheaves as mixed Hodge modules, as studied by Saito [Sai90] (see also [Sai89]), we can regard these isomorphisms of cohomology groups as those of mixed Hodge structures. This implies the assertion.  $\square$

### 3.6 Main theorem

Let  $X$  be a variety with Gorenstein quotient singularities. Then  $X$  has canonical singularities. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack without reflections such that  $X$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We denote by  $\lambda$  the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ . If  $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a twisted  $n$ -jet on  $\mathcal{X}$  of order  $l$ , then it induces a morphism  $\gamma' : D_n \otimes \Omega \rightarrow X$  of the coarse moduli spaces. We define the map  $\lambda_{(n)} : \overline{\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X}} \rightarrow L_n X$  by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma'$ . The following theorem is our main result.

**THEOREM 3.15.** *Let  $B \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$  be a measurable subset and put  $A := \lambda_{(\infty)}(B)$ . Then we have the following equation in  $\hat{K}_0(\text{HS})$ :*

$$\chi_h \mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(A) = \sum_{\mathcal{Y} \subset I(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{L}^{s(\mathcal{Y})} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi_0^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}) \cap B),$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}$  runs over the connected components of  $I(\mathcal{X})$ .

The proof is postponed until the end of the section.

**COROLLARY 3.16.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be complete varieties with Gorenstein quotient singularities. Suppose that there are proper birational morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X'$  such that  $K_{Z/X} = K_{Z/X'}$ . Then the orbifold cohomology groups of  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same Hodge structure.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 3.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_h \mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(L_\infty X) &= \sum_{\mathcal{Y} \subset I(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{L}^{s(\mathcal{Y})} \chi_h(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \{H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.16, we have  $\sum_i (-1)^i \{H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})\} = \sum_i (-1)^i \{H_{\text{orb}}^i(X', \mathbb{Q})\}$ . Since  $H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_{\text{orb}}^i(X', \mathbb{Q})$  have a pure Hodge structure of weight  $i$ ,  $\{H_{\text{orb}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})\} = \{H_{\text{orb}}^i(X', \mathbb{Q})\}$  for every  $i$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.17. Let  $X_{\text{sing}}$  denote the singular locus of  $X$  with a reduced subscheme structure.

- i) The subset  $\lambda_{(\infty)}^{-1}(L_{\infty}(X_{\text{sing}}))$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}\mathcal{X}}$  is of measure zero.
- ii) The map  $\lambda_{(\infty)}$  is bijective over  $L_{\infty}X \setminus L_{\infty}(X_{\text{sing}})$ .

*Proof.* i) It suffices to show that for every  $n$ ,  $\pi_n(\overline{\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{X}} \setminus \lambda_{(\infty)}^{-1}(L_{\infty}(X_{\text{sing}}))) = \overline{\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{X}}$ . But this is clear by the local description of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{X}}$  in the proof of Proposition 3.11.

ii) *Surjectivity.* Let  $\eta : \text{Spec } \Omega[[t]] \rightarrow X$  be an  $\Omega$ -point of  $L_{\infty}X \setminus L_{\infty}(X_{\text{sing}})$  with an algebraically closed field  $\Omega$ . We define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the normalization of the fiber product  $\mathcal{X} \times_X \text{Spec } \Omega[[t]]$  (see Definition 4.13). Then the Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{D}$  contains the scheme  $\text{Spec } \Omega((t))$  as an open substack. Therefore, the coarse moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains  $\text{Spec } \Omega((t))$  as an open subscheme. The scheme  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$  must be the spectrum of a local ring  $S \subset \Omega((t))$ . From the universality of coarse moduli space, there is a natural morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \Omega[[t]]$ . So we have  $\Omega[[t]] \subset S \subset \Omega((t))$ . As  $\Omega[[t]]$  and  $\Omega((t))$  are the only intermediate rings between  $\Omega[[t]]$  and  $\Omega((t))$ , the ring  $S$  must be  $\Omega[[t]]$ . Suppose that  $E = \text{Spec } \tilde{S}$  is an atlas of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\tilde{S}$  is a regular local ring. Since  $S = \Omega[[t]]$  is henselian, the natural morphism  $E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  is finite [Gro, Theorem 18.5.11]. Hence  $\tilde{S}$  is complete (see [Eis95, Corollary 7.6]). So  $\tilde{S} \cong \Omega[[t]]$ . Consider the groupoid space  $E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E \rightrightarrows E$ . The scheme  $E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E$  must be the disjoint sum of spectra of complete regular local rings. Since the first projection  $\text{pr}_1 : E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E \rightarrow E$  is étale, there is an isomorphism

$$E \coprod \cdots \coprod E \cong E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E$$

such that the composition

$$E \coprod \cdots \coprod E \cong E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} E$$

is isomorphic on each component. If  $l$  denotes the number of the components in  $E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E$ , then the second projection  $\text{pr}_2 : E \times_{\mathcal{D}} E \rightarrow E$  determines the action of some group  $G$  on  $E$  with  $|G| = l$ . Since this action is effective, the group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mu_l$  for some  $l$ . For a suitable isomorphism  $\mu_l \cong G$ , the action is given by  $t \mapsto \zeta t$ . Hence the stack  $\mathcal{D}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega$  and the morphism  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega \cong \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a twisted  $\infty$ -jet on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The image of this twisted  $\infty$ -jet by  $\lambda_{(\infty)}$  is  $\eta$ .

*Injectivity.* Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be two twisted  $\infty$ -jets on  $\mathcal{X}$  of order  $l$ . We suppose that  $\eta := \lambda_{(\infty)}(\gamma_1) = \lambda_{(\infty)}(\gamma_2)$  and  $\eta \in L_{\infty}X \setminus L_{\infty}(X_{\text{sing}})$ . Construct  $\mathcal{D}$  from  $\eta$  as above. Then for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there is a unique morphism  $h_i : \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Spec } \Omega((t)) \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega & & & \\
 \parallel & \downarrow h_i & \searrow \gamma_i & & \\
 \text{Spec } \Omega((t)) \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \\
 \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda & \\
 \text{Spec } \Omega((t)) \hookrightarrow & D_{\infty} \otimes \Omega & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X & 
 \end{array}$$

Let  $E$  be an atlas of  $\mathcal{D}$  as above. Then the natural morphism  $E \times_{\mathcal{D}, h_i} (\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^l \otimes \Omega) \rightarrow E$  is a birational morphism of smooth one-dimensional schemes. Therefore it is an isomorphism and so is  $h_i$  (see [LM00, Proposition 3.8.1]). Then we can easily see that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  have the same image in  $|L_{\infty}\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I^l(\mathcal{X})|$ . □

To prove Theorem 3.15, we now need to generalize the transformation rule. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack over  $D_{\infty}$  of pure relative dimension  $d$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we define  $\mathcal{V}_n$  to be the moduli stack of the  $D_{\infty}$ -morphisms  $D_n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ . Then for  $m \geq n$ , there is a natural projection  $\mathcal{V}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n$ . So we can define the *motivic measure*  $\mu_{\mathcal{V}}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\infty}}$  which takes values in  $\hat{K}_0(\text{HS})$ , in a



similar fashion as before. (We should replace condition iii in Definition 2.7 with the condition that  $\chi_h(\pi_{m+1}A) = \mathbb{L}^d \chi_h(\pi_m A)$ . It makes sense because of Lemma 3.14.)

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be another Deligne–Mumford stack over  $D_\infty$  of pure relative dimension  $d$  and let  $h : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be a  $D_\infty$ -morphism. We put  $\Omega'_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V}} := \text{Im}(\Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty} \setminus (\text{tors}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V}})$ , where  $(\text{tors}) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty}$  is the torsion. Then we define the *Jacobian ideal sheaf*  $\mathcal{J}_h$  of  $h$  to be the zeroth Fitting ideal of  $\Omega'_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V}}$ .

**THEOREM 3.18.** *Let  $A \subset \overline{W_\infty}$  be a measurable set. Suppose that  $h_\infty|_A : A \rightarrow \overline{V_\infty}$  is injective. Let  $\nu$  be a measurable function on  $h_\infty(A)$ . Then*

$$\int_{h_\infty(A)} \mathbb{L}^\nu d\mu_{\mathcal{V}} = \int_A \mathbb{L}^{\nu \circ h_\infty - \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_h} d\mu_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

*Proof.* It is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.19. □

We denote by  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}/D_\infty)$  (respectively  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{W}/D_\infty)$ ) the  $d$ th Fitting ideal sheaf of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}/D_\infty}$  (respectively  $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty}$ ).

**LEMMA 3.19.** *Let  $A \subset \overline{W_\infty}$  be a stable subset of level  $l$ . Assume that  $h_\infty|_A$  is injective, that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_h$  is constant and equal to  $e < \infty$  and that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}/D_\infty)$  and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{W}/D_\infty)$  are bounded from above on  $h_\infty(A)$  and  $A$ , respectively. Then for  $n \gg 0$ ,  $h_n : \pi_n A \rightarrow h_n \pi_n A$  is a piecewise trivial  $\mathbb{A}^e$ -bundle.*

*Proof.* Looijenga’s proof [Loo02, Lemma 9.2] also works in this setting.

Take a non-twisted  $\infty$ -jet  $\gamma : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  in  $A$ , and put  $\mathfrak{m} := (t) \subset \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ . Let  $q$  be the image of the closed point by  $\gamma$ . Take another  $\theta \in A$  such that  $\pi_{n-e}(\gamma) = \pi_{n-e}(\theta)$ . Then the morphism

$$\theta^* - \gamma^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W},q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{2(n-e+1)}$$

is a  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -derivation. So it defines an  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -module homomorphism

$$\partial\theta : \gamma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{2(n-e+1)}.$$

The length of the torsion of  $\gamma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty}$  equals  $(\text{ord } \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{W}/D_\infty))(\gamma)$  and hence it is bounded. So, since  $n \gg 0$ , the composition map

$$\overline{\partial\theta} : \gamma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty} \xrightarrow{\partial\theta} \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{2(n-e+1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$$

annihilates the torsion. Conversely, every  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -module homomorphism  $\gamma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  that annihilates the torsion is  $\overline{\partial\theta}$  for some  $\theta$ .

After some work, we can see that if  $\theta \in A$  is such that  $h_n \pi_n(\gamma) = h_n \pi_n(\theta)$  then  $\pi_{n-e}(\gamma) = \pi_{n-e}(\theta)$  (see [Loo02, Lemma 9.2]). So  $\overline{\partial\theta}$  is defined. It is easy to see that  $\pi_n(\theta_1) = \pi_n(\theta_2)$  if and only if  $\overline{\partial\theta_1} = \overline{\partial\theta_2}$  and that  $h_n \pi_n(\theta_1) = h_n \pi_n(\theta_2)$  if and only if  $\overline{\partial\theta_1}$  and  $\overline{\partial\theta_2}$  have the same image in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}((h\gamma)^* \Omega_{\mathcal{V}/D_\infty}, \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$ . Hence  $h_n^{-1} h_n \pi_n(\gamma)$  is isomorphic to an affine space

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}(\gamma^* \Omega'_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V}}, \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) &\cong \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}(\gamma^* \Omega_{\mathcal{W}/D_\infty} \setminus (\text{tors}), \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}((h\gamma)^* \Omega_{\mathcal{V}/D_\infty}, \mathfrak{m}^{n-e+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

The length of  $\gamma^* \Omega'_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{V}}$  equals  $e = \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_h(\gamma)$ . So  $h_n^{-1} h_n \pi_n(\gamma)$  is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension  $e$ .

The rest is easy. □

*Proof of Theorem 3.15.* Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a connected component of  $I^l(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  its formal neighborhood. We may assume that  $B$  is contained in  $\pi_0^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}})$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  be the quotient of  $\mathcal{N}$  by the canonical automorphism  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is,  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}$  where  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is the subsheaf of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant sections. Then the natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow X$  factors as

$$\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \xrightarrow{f} X.$$

In the proof of Proposition 3.11, we saw that for each  $n, m$  with  $m = nl$ , there is a closed immersion  $\iota : (\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \hookrightarrow L_m \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $[l] : D_m \rightarrow D_n$  be the morphism associated to the ring homomorphism defined by  $t \mapsto t^l$ . If  $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_n^l \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a twisted  $n$ -jet in  $\pi_n(B)$ , then  $\iota(\gamma)$  fits into the diagram

$$\begin{CD} D_m \otimes \Omega @>{\iota(\gamma)}>> \mathcal{N} \\ @V[l]VV @VVV \\ D_n \otimes \Omega @>{\sigma}>> \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \end{CD} \tag{3}$$

Then  $\lambda_{(n)}(\gamma) = f_n(\sigma)$ . We define a subset  $\tilde{B} \subset \overline{L_\infty \tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$  to be the image of  $B$  by the map  $\gamma \mapsto \sigma$ . Then  $A = f_\infty(\tilde{B})$  and  $f_\infty|_{\tilde{B}}$  is bijective outside of measure zero subsets. Let  $\mathcal{J}_X$  (respectively  $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ ) be the ideal sheaf on  $X$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_X \omega_X &= \text{Im}(\Omega_X^d \rightarrow \omega_X) \\ \text{(respectively } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} &= \text{Im}(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^d \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})) \end{aligned}$$

and define  $\mu_X^{\text{Gor}}$  and  $\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{\text{Gor}}$  to be  $\mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_X} \mu_X$  and  $\mathbb{L}^{\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}} \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$  respectively. Since the morphism  $f$  has no ramification divisor, by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.16 we see that  $f^{-1} \mathcal{J}_X = \mathcal{J}_f \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is the jacobian ideal sheaf. So, by Theorem 3.18, we obtain

$$\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{\text{Gor}}(\tilde{B}) = \mu_X^{\text{Gor}}(A).$$

We have thus reduced the problem to the case of a cyclic quotient; it suffices to show the following lemma. □

LEMMA 3.20. *Let the notation be as above. We have  $\mathbb{L}^{s(\mathcal{Y})} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(B) = \chi_h \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{\text{Gor}}(\tilde{B})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially by a trick used in [DL02]. We first consider an easy case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quotient stack  $[\mathbb{A}_R^c/G]$  of an affine space over a ring  $R$  whose spectrum is a smooth variety of dimension  $d - c$ , and  $G \subset \text{SL}_c(\mathbb{C})$  a finite cyclic group of order  $l$  generated by  $g = \text{diag}(\zeta_l^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_l^{a_c})$ ,  $1 \leq a_i < l$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the component associated to  $g$ . Then  $\mathcal{N} = \hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c (= \text{Spec } R[[x_1, \dots, x_c]])$ , its canonical automorphism is  $g = \text{diag}(\zeta_l^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_l^{a_c})$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c/G$ . Since the natural morphisms  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_R^c$  and  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c/G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_R^c/G$  are  $(\text{Spec } R)$ -étale, and since we consider only jets which send the only closed point into  $\text{Spec } R$ , it is easy to replace  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c, \hat{\mathbb{A}}_R^c/G$  with  $\mathbb{A}_R^c, \mathbb{A}_R^c/G$ .

Consider three  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms:

- i)  $u^* : R[[t]][\underline{x}] \rightarrow R[[t]][\underline{x}], x_i \mapsto t^{a_i} x_i;$
- ii)  $\alpha^* : R[[t]][\underline{x}] \rightarrow R[[t]][\underline{x}], x_i \mapsto x_i, t \mapsto t^l;$
- iii)  $\beta^* : R[[t]][\underline{x}]^G \rightarrow R[[t]][\underline{x}]$ , the composition of  $\alpha^*$  and the inclusion  $R[[t]][\underline{x}]^G \hookrightarrow R[[t]][\underline{x}]$ .

Since  $R[\underline{x}]^G$  is generated by the monomials  $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_c^{m_c}$  with  $\sum a_i m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ , there is a  $R[[t]]$ -homomorphism  $v^* : R[[t]][\underline{x}]^G \rightarrow R[[t]][\underline{x}]$  with  $u^* \circ \beta^* = \alpha^* \circ v^*$ , i.e.

$$\begin{CD} R[[t]][\underline{x}] @>{u^*}>> R[[t]][\underline{x}] \\ @A{\beta^*}AA @AA{\alpha^*}A \\ R[[t]][\underline{x}]^G @>{v^*}>> R[[t]][\underline{x}] \end{CD}$$

Here the horizontal arrows are  $R[[t]]$ -algebra homomorphisms and the vertical ones send  $t \mapsto t^l$ .

Write the diagram of the associated schemes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}[[t]] & \xleftarrow{u} & E_2[[t]] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}[[t]] & \xleftarrow{v} & E_1[[t]] \end{array}$$

where  $E_i$  are copies of  $\mathbb{A}_R^c$ .

Let  $B_0$  be the image of  $B$  by  $\iota : (\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \hookrightarrow L_\infty \mathcal{N}$ . Then for  $\gamma' \in B_0$ ,  $(\gamma')^*(x_i)$  is of the form

$$(\gamma')^*(x_i) = r_0 t^{a_i} + r_1 t^{a_i+1} + r_2 t^{a_i+2l} + \dots \tag{4}$$

If we put  $\eta := u_\infty^{-1}(\gamma')$ , then we have

$$\eta^*(x_i) = r_0 + r_1 t^l + r_2 t^{2l} + \dots$$

Therefore if we define  $\delta \in L_\infty E_1$  by

$$\delta^*(x_i) = r_0 + r_1 t + r_2 t^2 + \dots, \tag{5}$$

then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2[[t]] & \xleftarrow{\eta} & D_\infty \otimes \Omega \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow [l] \\ E_1[[t]] & \xleftarrow{\delta} & D_\infty \otimes \Omega \end{array}$$

Here  $[l]$  is the morphism defined by  $t \mapsto t^l$ . Let  $B_1 \subset L_\infty(E_1)$  be the image of  $B_0$  by the map  $\gamma' \mapsto \delta$ . It is easy to see that if  $B$  is stable at level  $n$ , then so is  $B_1$ , and that  $\{\pi_n(B)\} = \{\pi_{nl}(B_0)\} = \{\pi_n(B_1)\} \mathbb{L}^{-c}$ , where  $\pi_i$  are truncation morphisms of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X}}$ ,  $L_\infty \mathcal{N}$  and  $L_\infty E_1$ , respectively. Therefore

$$\chi_h \mu_{E_1}(B_1) = \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(B) \mathbb{L}^c. \tag{6}$$

Put  $\sigma := v_\infty(\delta)$ . The chain of the correspondences,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma' \mapsto \delta \mapsto \sigma$ , defines a map  $(\mathcal{L}_\infty \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow L_\infty \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , which is the same as in the proof of the theorem (see diagram (3) and compare it with the last two diagrams).

Shrinking  $\text{Spec } R$  to an open subset, suppose that the canonical sheaf  $\omega_{\text{Spec } R}$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  is generated by a section  $e'$ . Consider a  $d$ -form  $e = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_c \wedge e'$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ . This is stable under the  $G$ -action. If  $r$  denotes the natural morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , the canonical sheaf  $\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is generated by a  $d$ -form  $\tilde{e}$  with  $r^* \tilde{e} = e$ . Direct computation gives  $v^* \tilde{e} = t^{\sum a_i/l} (dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_c \wedge e')$ . Hence we have the following equations for subsheaves of  $\Omega_{E_1[[t]]/D_\infty}^d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (t^{\sum a_i/l}) v^{-1} \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \cdot v^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}/D_\infty} &= (t^{\sum a_i/l}) (v^* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[[t]]/D_\infty}^d) / (\text{tors}) \\ &= (t^{\sum a_i/l}) \mathcal{J}_v \cdot \Omega_{E_1[[t]]/D_\infty}^d \\ &= \mathcal{J}_v \cdot v^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}/D_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \circ v_\infty - \text{ord } \mathcal{J}_v \equiv -\sum a_i/l$ . From the transformation rule, we obtain that  $\chi_h \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{\text{Gor}}(A) = \mathbb{L}^{-\sum a_i/l} \mu_{E_1}(B_1)$ , and using equation (6), that  $\chi_h \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{\text{Gor}}(A) = \mathbb{L}^{s(\mathcal{Y})} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(B)$ . We have proved the assertion in this case.

As for the general case, the proof follows along almost the same lines: we take the fiber product  $\mathcal{N} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V$  for an atlas  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  to linearize the canonical automorphism. Define  $B_V, B_{V,1}$  and  $\tilde{B}_V$  in the evident fashion. Using the argument for the preceding case and replacing  $B$ , we find that  $B_{V,1}$  and  $\tilde{B}_V$  are stable at level  $n$  and a morphism  $v_n : \pi_n B_{V,1} \rightarrow \pi_n \tilde{B}_V$  is a trivial affine space bundle of the expected relative dimension. Here we have used Lemma 3.19 instead of the transformation rule.

The natural morphism  $\pi_{n+1}B_V \rightarrow \pi_n B_{V,1}$  is an affine space bundle of relative dimension  $d - c$  which is Zariski locally trivial on  $V$ . (Recall equations (4) and (5). This bundle results from the truncation  $L_{n+1}(\text{Spec } R) \rightarrow L_n(\text{Spec } R)$  and the identity of  $(r_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ .) Hence  $\pi_{n+1}B_V \rightarrow \pi_n \tilde{B}_V$  is also a Zariski locally trivial affine space bundle of the expected relative dimension. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.11, we can conclude that  $\pi_{n+1}B \rightarrow \pi_n \tilde{B}$  is an analytically locally trivial fibration of a quotient of an affine space. The lemma follows from Lemma 3.14.  $\square$

#### 4. General results on Deligne–Mumford stacks

In this section, we give some general results on Deligne–Mumford stacks which we need in § 3. There are currently some good references for stacks (e.g. [DM69, Vis89, Góm01, LM00]).

We fix a base scheme  $S$ .

##### 4.1 Deligne–Mumford stacks

A *stack* is a category fibered in groupoids over  $(\text{Sch}/S)$  such that every Isom functor is a sheaf and every descent datum is effective. A morphism of stacks  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is *representable*<sup>4</sup> if for any  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  and any morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ , the fiber product  $U \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$  is represented by a scheme.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property of morphisms  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $S$ -schemes, stable under base change and local in the étale topology on  $X$  (e.g. surjective, proper, etc.). We say that a representable morphism  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of stacks has property  $\mathbf{P}$  if for every  $S$ -scheme  $U$  and every morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , the projection  $U \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow U$  has property  $\mathbf{P}$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. A (*separated*) *Deligne–Mumford stack* is a stack  $\mathcal{X}$  which satisfies the following:

- i) the diagonal  $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  is representable and finite;
- ii) there exists a scheme  $M$  and a morphism  $M \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  (necessarily representable after condition i), which is étale and surjective.

A scheme  $M$  in condition ii is called an *atlas* of  $\mathcal{X}$ . A Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  is *of finite type* if there is an atlas of finite type.

DEFINITION 4.3. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property of morphisms  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $S$ -schemes, stable under étale base change and local in the étale topology on  $X$  (e.g. birational, being an open immersion with dense image, etc.). We say that a representable morphism  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of stacks has property  $\mathbf{P}$  if for every scheme  $U$  and every étale morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , the projection  $U \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow U$  has property  $\mathbf{P}$ .

DEFINITION 4.4. Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be a property of schemes that is local in the étale topology (e.g. reduced, smooth, normal, locally integral, etc.). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack. We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  has property  $\mathbf{Q}$  if an atlas of  $\mathcal{X}$  has property  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

DEFINITION 4.5. A (not necessarily representable) morphism  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of finite-type Deligne–Mumford stacks is *proper* if there is a  $S$ -scheme  $Z$  and a proper surjective morphism  $g : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $f \circ g$  is (necessarily representable and) proper.

A Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  of finite type is *complete* if it is proper over  $S$ .

Although our condition appears weaker than that of [DM69, Definition 4.11], the two conditions are actually equivalent by Chow’s lemma (see [DM69, Definition 4.12], [LM00, Théorème 16.6] and [Vis89, Proposition 2.6]).

---

<sup>4</sup>In [LM00], this is called *schématique*.

*Example 4.6.* Let  $Z$  be a  $S$ -scheme and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $X$ . The *quotient stack*  $[Z/G]$  is defined as follows: an object over  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  is a  $G$ -torsor  $P \rightarrow U$  with a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $P \rightarrow Z$ , and a morphism over  $U' \rightarrow U$  is a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ U' & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

which is compatible with the  $G$ -equivariant morphisms  $P' \rightarrow Z$  and  $P \rightarrow Z$ . It is a Deligne–Mumford stack with a canonical atlas  $Z \rightarrow [Z/G]$ .

Here we define the points of a Deligne–Mumford stack. For details see [LM00, ch. 5].

**DEFINITION 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack. A *point* of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $S$ -morphism  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  for a field  $K$  with a morphism  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow S$ .

Let  $x_i : \text{Spec } K_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We say that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are *equivalent* if there is a field  $K_3$  such that  $K_3 \supset K_1, K_2$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } K_3 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } K_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } K_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

commutes.

**DEFINITION 4.8.** We define the *set of points* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $|\mathcal{X}|$ , to be the set of the equivalence classes of points of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The *Zariski topology* on  $|\mathcal{X}|$  is defined as follows: an open subset is  $|\mathcal{U}| \subset |\mathcal{X}|$  for an open substack  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the closed subsets of  $|\mathcal{X}|$  and the reduced closed substacks of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

We now introduce the notion of (étale) groupoid space which is equivalent to Deligne–Mumford stacks. Further details can be found in [Vis89, p. 668], [LM00, (2.4.3), (3.4.3), Proposition 3.8, (4.3)] and [Góm01, § 2.4].

**DEFINITION 4.9.** An (étale) *groupoid space* consists of the following data:

- i) two  $S$ -schemes  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ ;
- ii) five morphisms: *source* and *target*  $q_i : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), *origin*  $\varepsilon : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ , *inverse*  $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$  and *composition*  $m : X_1 \times_{q_1, X_0, q_2} X_1 \rightarrow X_1$  which satisfies the following:
  - a)  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are étale and  $(q_1, q_2) : X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \times X_0$  is finite;
  - b) the axioms of *associativity*, *identity element* and *inverse*.

We denote this groupoid space by  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$ .

Given a groupoid space  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$ , we define the category fibered in the groupoids  $[X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]'$  as follows: an object over  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  is a morphism  $U \rightarrow X_0$  of  $S$ -schemes and a morphism of  $a : U \rightarrow X_0$  to  $b : V \rightarrow X_0$  is a pair of morphisms  $f : U \rightarrow V$  and  $h : V \rightarrow X_1$  such that  $q_1 \circ h \circ f = a$  and  $q_2 \circ h = b$ . Then  $[X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]'$  is a *prestack* (see [LM00, § 3.1]).

**DEFINITION 4.10.** We define the *stack associated* to a groupoid space  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$ , denoted by  $[X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]$ , to be the stack associated with the prestack  $[X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]'$  ([LM00, Lemme 3.2]).

The stack  $\mathcal{X} = [X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]$  is a Deligne–Mumford stack with the canonical atlas  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . We can identify the fiber product  $X_0 \times_{\mathcal{X}} X_0$  with  $X_1$ . Conversely, given a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  and an atlas  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , then the schemes  $X_0$  and  $X_1 = M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M$  underlies a natural groupoid space structure with  $q_i = \text{pr}_i$  and  $\varepsilon = \Delta_{M/\mathcal{X}}$ . The associated stack  $[M \times_{\mathcal{X}} M \rightrightarrows M]$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{X}$ . In summary, giving a groupoid space  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$  is equivalent to giving a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  and an atlas  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $\xi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a morphism, which is considered as an object of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\xi$  lifts to  $\xi' : U \rightarrow X_0$ , then the automorphism group of  $\xi$  is identified with the set of morphisms  $\eta : U \rightarrow X_1$  with  $q_1 \circ \eta = q_2 \circ \eta = \xi'$ .

DEFINITION 4.11. A *morphism*  $f : (Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0) \rightarrow (X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0)$  of groupoid spaces is a pair of morphisms  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow X_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) which respects the groupoid space structures.

Given a morphism  $f : (Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0) \rightarrow (X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0)$ , then we have a natural morphism of prestacks  $[f]' : [Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0]' \rightarrow [X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]'$  and hence a natural morphism of stacks  $[f] : [Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0] \rightarrow [X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0]$  from [LM00, Lemme 3.2].

Conversely, consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

such that  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  are Deligne–Mumford stacks and the vertical arrows are atlases. If we define  $Y_1 := Y_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y_0$  and  $X_1 := X_0 \times_{\mathcal{X}} X_0$ , and if  $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$  is the natural morphism, then the pair of  $(f_0, f_1)$  determines a morphism  $f : (Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0) \rightarrow (X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0)$  of groupoid spaces. Evidently  $[f] = g$ .

Example 4.12. Let  $\mathcal{X} = [Z/G]$  be a quotient stack with  $G$  finite. There is a canonical atlas  $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Then the groupoid space  $Z \times_{\mathcal{X}} Z \rightrightarrows Z$  is isomorphic to the groupoid space

$$Z \times G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G\text{-action}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \end{array} Z$$

whose origin, inverse and composition are induced by the group structure of  $G$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a locally integral Deligne–Mumford stack, associated to a groupoid space  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$ . Let  $X_i^{\text{nor}}$  be the normalization of  $X_i$ , respectively. Then the lifts of the structure morphisms of  $X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$  induce a groupoid space  $X_1^{\text{nor}} \rightrightarrows X_0^{\text{nor}}$ .

DEFINITION 4.13 [Vis89, Definition 1.18]. We define the *normalization*  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{nor}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  to be the stack associated with  $X_1^{\text{nor}} \rightrightarrows X_0^{\text{nor}}$ .

It is easy to show the uniqueness and the universality of the normalization.

### 4.2 Quasi-coherent sheaves

DEFINITION 4.14. A *quasi-coherent sheaf*  $\mathcal{F}$  on a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of the following data:

- i) for each étale morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  with a scheme  $U$  there is a quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_U$  on  $U$ ;
- ii) for each diagram of étale morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{X} & \end{array}$$

with  $V$  and  $U$  schemes there is an isomorphism  $\Theta_\varphi : \mathcal{F}_V \rightarrow \varphi^*\mathcal{F}_U$  that satisfies the cocycle condition.

*Example 4.15.*

- i) The *structure sheaf*  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by  $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})_U := \mathcal{O}_U$ .
- ii) The *sheaf of differentials*  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is defined by  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S})_U := \Omega_{U/S}$  and by the canonical isomorphism.
- iii) Let  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks. We define the *sheaf of relative differentials*  $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  to be the unique sheaf such that for each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & \mathcal{X}, \end{array}$$

where  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}})_V := \Omega_{V/U}$ . Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow 0.$$

**DEFINITION 4.16.** In Definition 4.14, if every  $\mathcal{F}_U$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -algebra and every  $\Theta_\varphi$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -algebras, then we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ -algebra.

As in the case of schemes, to an  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  we can associate a representable and affine morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . For details, see [LM00, Equation (14.2)].

### 4.3 Inertia stacks

In this section, we study the inertia stack. It is an algebro-geometric object corresponding to the *twisted sector* introduced by Kawasaki [Kaw78] and used by Chen and Ruan [CR00] to define the orbifold cohomology.

**DEFINITION 4.17.** For a Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$ , its *inertia stack*, denoted by  $I(\mathcal{X})$ , is the stack defined as follows: an object over  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  is a pair  $(\xi, \alpha)$  where  $\xi \in \text{ob } \mathcal{X}_U$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\xi)$ , and a morphism  $(\xi, \alpha) \rightarrow (\eta, \beta)$  is a morphism  $\gamma : \xi \rightarrow \eta$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\gamma \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$ .

There is a natural forgetting morphism  $I(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . The forgetting morphism  $I(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is isomorphic to

$$\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \Delta} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Hence, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is complete, then so is  $I(\mathcal{X})$ .

The following lemma may be well known.

**LEMMA 4.18.** *Let  $Z$  be a scheme with an action of a finite group  $G$ . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$I([Z/G]) \cong \coprod_{g \in \text{Conj}(G)} [Z^g/C(g)],$$

where  $\text{Conj}(G)$  is a set of representatives of the conjugacy classes,  $Z^g$  is the locus of the points fixed under the  $g$ -action and  $C(g)$  is the centralizer of  $g$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  be a connected scheme. An object of  $[Z/G]$  over  $U$  is a  $G$ -torsor  $P \rightarrow U$  with a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $P \rightarrow Z$ . Its automorphism  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a  $G$ -torsor  $P \rightarrow U$  that is compatible with  $P \rightarrow Z$ . For some étale surjective  $V \rightarrow U$ , the fiber product

$P_V := P \times_U V$  is isomorphic to  $G \times V$  as  $G$ -torsors over  $V$ . Here  $G \times V$  is a  $G$ -torsor for the *right action* of  $G$ . The pull-back  $\alpha_V$  is represented by the *left action* of some  $g \in G$ .  $g$  is determined up to conjugacy and we can assume  $g \in \text{Conj}(G)$ .

Let  $\psi : G \times V \cong P_V \rightarrow P$  be the natural morphism. Now let us show that if  $a \in C(g)$  and  $b \notin C(g)$ , then we have  $\psi(\{a\} \times V) \cap \psi(\{b\} \times V) = \emptyset$ . Let  $x$  (respectively  $y$ ) be a geometric point of  $\{a\} \times V$  (respectively  $\{b\} \times V$ ) and assume that  $\psi(x) = \psi(y)$ . Then we have

$$\psi(x) = \psi(gxg^{-1}) = \alpha\psi(x)g^{-1} = \alpha\psi(y)g^{-1} = \psi(gyg^{-1}) \neq \psi(y).$$

This is a contradiction. So  $P$  decomposes into  $C(g)$ -torsors as  $P \cong P' \times J$  where  $P' := \psi(C(g) \times V)$  and  $J$  is a finite set. Let  $f_V$  denote the composition  $f \circ \psi$ . In the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(g) \times V & \xrightarrow{f_V} & Z \\ \alpha_V \downarrow & \nearrow f_V & \downarrow g \\ C(g) \times V & \xrightarrow{f_V} & Z \end{array}$$

we have  $g \circ f_V = f_V \circ \alpha_V$  since  $\alpha_V$  equals the right action of  $g$  on  $C(g) \times V$  and  $f_V$  is  $G$ -equivariant. We also have  $f_V = f_V \circ \alpha_V$  and hence  $g \circ f_V = f_V$ . This implies that  $f_V(C(g) \times V)$  is in  $Z^g$  and hence so is  $f(P')$ . Thus the  $C(g)$ -torsor  $P' \rightarrow V$  with  $f : P' \rightarrow Z^g$  is an object of  $[Z^g/C(g)]$ . For a non-connected  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$  and an object of  $I([Z/G])$  over  $U$ , we can assign it to be an object of  $\coprod_{g \in \text{Conj}(G)} [Z^g/C(g)]$  in the obvious way. We leave the rest for the reader.  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.19. A morphism  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of stacks is *barely faithful* if, for every object  $\xi$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , the map  $\text{Aut}(\xi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(f(\xi))$  is bijective.

Clearly, all barely faithful morphisms are faithful functors. From [LM00, Proposition 2.3 and Corollaire 8.1.2], all barely faithful morphisms of Deligne–Mumford stacks are *representable* in the sense of [LM00, Définition 3.9]. Because all separated and quasi-finite morphisms of algebraic spaces are schématique [LM00, Théorème A.2], all barely faithful and quasi-finite morphisms of Deligne–Mumford stacks are *representable* for our definition.

Example 4.20. All immersions are barely faithful. All morphisms of schemes are barely faithful.

LEMMA 4.21. *Barely faithful morphisms are stable under base change.*

Proof. Let  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a barely representable morphism of stacks and  $a : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  any morphism of stacks. An object of the fiber product  $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}'$  is a triple  $(\xi, \eta, \alpha)$ , where  $\xi$  is an object of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\eta$  is an object of  $\mathcal{X}'$  and  $\alpha : f(\xi) \rightarrow a(\eta)$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{X}_U$  for some  $U \in (\text{Sch}/S)$ . Its automorphism is a pair of automorphisms  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\xi)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\eta)$  with  $\alpha \circ f(\varphi) = a(\psi) \circ \alpha$ . Since the map  $f : \text{Aut}(\xi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(f(\xi))$  is bijective, for each  $\psi$  there is one and only one  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha \circ f(\varphi) = a(\psi) \circ \alpha$ . We have thus proved the lemma.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.22. *Let  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a barely faithful morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks. Then the inertia stack  $I(\mathcal{Y})$  is naturally isomorphic to the fiber product  $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I(\mathcal{X})$ .*

Proof. The natural morphism  $\Psi : I(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I(\mathcal{X})$  is defined as follows: for an object  $\xi$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$  and its automorphism  $\alpha$ , the pair  $(\xi, \alpha)$ , which is an object of  $I(\mathcal{Y})$ , is mapped to the triple  $(\xi, (f(\xi), f(\alpha)), \text{id}_{f(\xi)})$ .

We will show initially that  $\Psi$  is a fully faithful functor. Let  $\xi$  be an object of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . The automorphism group of  $(\xi, (f(\xi), f(\alpha)), \text{id}_{f(\xi)})$  is a pair of automorphisms  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\xi)$  and  $\nu \in \text{Aut}((f(\xi), f(\alpha))) = C(f(\alpha))$  such that  $f(\beta) = \nu$ . Hence  $\Psi$  is barely faithful. Let  $\eta$  be another object of  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\tau$  an automorphism of  $\eta$ . It suffices to show that if  $\text{Hom}_{I(\mathcal{Y})}((\xi, \alpha), (\eta, \tau)) = \emptyset$ , then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I(\mathcal{X})}(\Psi(\xi, \alpha), \Psi(\eta, \tau)) = \emptyset.$$



Suppose that there is an element  $(\sigma, \varepsilon)$  of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I(\mathcal{X})}(\Psi(\xi, \alpha), \Psi(\eta, \tau))$ , where  $\sigma$  is a morphism  $\xi \rightarrow \eta$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a morphism  $f(\xi) \rightarrow f(\eta)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(\xi) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & f(\eta) \\ f(\alpha) \downarrow & & \downarrow f(\tau) \\ f(\xi) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & f(\eta) \end{array}$$

is commutative and  $f(\sigma) = \varepsilon$ . Since  $f$  is barely faithful, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \eta \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \xi & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \eta \end{array}$$

is commutative, that is,  $\text{Hom}_{I(\mathcal{Y})}((\xi, \alpha), (\eta, \tau)) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, let us show  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism, that is, an equivalence of categories. Let  $(\xi, (\theta, \beta), v)$  be an object of  $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I(\mathcal{X})$  where  $v$  is an isomorphism  $f(\xi) \rightarrow \theta$ . Then there is a natural bijection  $\text{Aut}(\xi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(f(\xi)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\theta)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\xi)$  be an automorphism corresponding to  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\theta)$ . Then we can see that  $\Phi(\xi, \alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $(\xi, (\theta, \beta), v)$ . We have thus completed the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.23.** *If  $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack, then  $I(\mathcal{X})$  is also smooth.*

*Proof.* From Lemmas 4.26 and 4.21, there is an étale, surjective and barely faithful morphism  $\coprod_i [M_i/G_i] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that each  $M_i$  is smooth and each  $G_i$  is a finite group. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 4.18 and Proposition 4.22.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Coarse moduli space

**DEFINITION 4.24.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack. The *coarse moduli space* of  $\mathcal{X}$  is an algebraic space  $X$  with a morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$  such that:

- i) for any algebraically closed field  $\Omega$  with a morphism  $\text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow S$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega) \rightarrow X(\Omega)$  is a bijection;
- ii) for any algebraic space  $Y$ , any morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$  uniquely factors as  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ .

Keel and Mori proved that the coarse moduli space always exists [KM97, Corollary 1.3].

*Example 4.25.* Let  $Z$  be an algebraic space and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $Z$ . Then the coarse moduli space of the quotient stack  $[Z/G]$  is the quotient algebraic space  $Z/G$ .

The following lemma is well-known.

**LEMMA 4.26** (see, e.g., [AV02, Lemma 2.2.3]). *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Deligne–Mumford stack and  $X$  its coarse moduli space. Then there is an étale covering  $(X_i \rightarrow X)_i$  such that  $\mathcal{X} \times_X X_i$  is isomorphic to a quotient stack  $[Z_i/G_i]$  with a scheme  $Z_i$  and a finite group  $G_i$ . Hence the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$  is proper.*

Now we assume  $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ .

**DEFINITION 4.27.** Let  $X$  be a variety. We say that  $X$  has *quotient singularities* if there is an étale covering  $(U_i/G_i \rightarrow X)_i$  with a smooth variety  $U_i$  and a finite group  $G_i$ .

Lemma 4.26 shows that for a variety  $X$ ,  $X$  has quotient singularities if it is the coarse moduli space of some smooth Deligne–Mumford stack. In fact, ‘only if’ also holds (Lemma 4.29).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack and  $x : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  a closed point. Then  $\text{Aut}(x)$  acts on the tangent space  $T_x \mathcal{X}$ .

DEFINITION 4.28. We say that  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(x)$  is a *reflection* if the subspace of the  $\alpha$ -fixed points  $(T_x\mathcal{X})^\alpha$  is of codimension 1.

LEMMA 4.29. *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety with quotient singularities. Then there is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  without reflections such that the automorphism group of general geometric points is trivial and  $X$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* We will give only a sketch. There is a finite set of pairs  $(V_i \rightarrow X, G_i)_i$  such that:

- i)  $V_i$  is a smooth variety;
- ii)  $G_i$  is a finite group acting effectively on  $V_i$  without reflections;
- iii)  $V_i \rightarrow X$  is a morphism étale in codimension 1 which factors as  $V_i \rightarrow V_i/G_i \rightarrow X$  with  $V_i/G_i \rightarrow X$  étale.

Let  $V_{ij}$  be the normalization of  $V_i \times_X V_j$ . Then the natural morphisms  $V_{ij} \rightarrow V_i$  and  $V_{ij} \rightarrow V_j$  are étale in codimension 1. From the purity of branch locus, they are actually étale, and hence  $V_{ij}$  is smooth. The diagonal  $\Delta : V_i \rightarrow V_i \times_X V_i$  factors through  $\Delta' : V_i \rightarrow V_{ij}$ . Then, with a suitable multiplication morphism, the diagram

$$\coprod V_{i,j} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Delta'} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \coprod V_i$$

has the structure of groupoid space. We set  $\mathcal{X}$  as the associated stack. Clearly  $\mathcal{X}$  has no reflections. The canonical morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$  makes  $X$  the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$  (see [Gil84, Proposition 9.2]). Any geometric point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  has a lift  $\tilde{x} : \text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow V_i$  with  $\Omega$  being an algebraically closed field. The automorphism group of  $x$  is identified with  $\{y : \text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow V_{ii} \mid p_1 \circ y = p_2 \circ y = \tilde{x}\}$ . If  $y$  is over the smooth locus of  $X$ , then this group is trivial. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper forms a part of the author’s Master’s thesis. The author is deeply grateful to Y. Kawamata for recommending this area of study and for his direction and encouragement. The author would also like to thank J. McKernan and H. Uehara for reading this paper and pointing out the English mistakes.

REFERENCES

AV02 D. Abramovich and A. Vistoli, *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 27–75.

Bat99a V. V. Batyrev, *Birational Calabi–Yau  $n$ -folds have equal Betti numbers*, in *New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996)* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999), 1–11.

Bat99b V. V. Batyrev, *Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **1** (1999), 5–33.

CR00 W. Chen and Y. Ruan, *A new cohomology theory for orbifold*, Preprint (2000), math.AG/0004129.

Cra99 A. Craw, *An introduction to motivic integration*, Preprint (1999), math.AG/9911179.

CR88 C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras* (Wiley, New York, 1988).

Dan78 V. I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surveys **33** (1978), 97–154.

DHVW86 L. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds I; II*, Nucl. Phys. B **261** (1985), 678–686; **274** (1986), 285–314.

DL99 J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Germes of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 201–232.

- DL01 J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*, in *European Congress of Mathematics (Barcelona, 2000)*, vol. I (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001), 327–348.
- DL02 J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic integration, quotient singularities and the McKay correspondence*, *Compositio Math.* **131** (2002), 267–290.
- DM69 P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 75–109.
- EH99 D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The geometry of schemes* (Springer, New York, 1999).
- Eis95 D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry* (Springer, New York, 1995).
- Gil84 H. Gillet, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and  $Q$ -varieties*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **34** (1984), 193–240.
- Góm01 T. L. Gómez, *Algebraic stacks*, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **111** (2001), 1–31.
- Gre61 M. J. Greenberg, *Schemata over local rings*, *Ann. Math. (2)* **73** (1961), 624–648.
- Gro A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV* (*Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, Paris, 1964–1967); written with the collaboration of J. Dieudonné.
- Kaw78 T. Kawasaki, *The signature theorem for  $V$ -manifolds*, *Topology* **17** (1978), 75–83.
- KM97 S. Keel and S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, *Ann. Math. (2)* **145** (1997), 193–213.
- KM98 J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998); with the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti (translated from the 1998 Japanese original).
- KMM87 Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, in *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987), 283–360.
- Knu71 D. Knutson, *Algebraic spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 203 (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
- Kon95 M. Kontsevich, *Lecture at Orsay*, (1995).
- LM00 G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- Loo02 E. Looijenga, *Motivic measures*, in *Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 1999/2000*, *Astérisque* **276** (2002), 267–297.
- Rua00 Y. Ruan, *Stringy geometry and topology of orbifolds*, Preprint (2000), math.AG/0011149.
- Sai89 M. Saito, *Introduction to mixed Hodge modules*, *Astérisque* **179–180** (1989), 145–162.
- Sai90 M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **26** (1990), 221–333.
- Vis89 A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, *Invent. Math.* **97** (1989), 613–670.
- Wat74 K. Watanabe, *Certain invariant subrings are Gorenstein, I; II*, *Osaka J. Math.* **11** (1974), 1–8; 379–388.

Takehiko Yasuda [t-yasuda@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp](mailto:t-yasuda@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan