Interplay between early-life malnutrition, epigenetic modulation of the immune function and liver diseases

Sabrina Campisano, Anabela La Colla, Stella M. Echarte and Andrea N. Chisari*

Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, 7600 Mar del Plata, Argentina

Abstract

Early-life nutrition plays a critical role in fetal growth and development. Food intake absence and excess are the two main types of energy malnutrition that predispose to the appearance of diseases in adulthood, according to the hypothesis of 'developmental origins of health and disease'. Epidemiological data have shown an association between early-life malnutrition and the metabolic syndrome in later life. Evidence has also demonstrated that nutrition during this period of life can affect the development of the immune system through epigenetic mechanisms. Thus, epigenetics has an essential role in the complex interplay between environmental factors and genetics. Altogether, this leads to the inflammatory response that is commonly seen in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. In conjunction, DNA methylation, covalent modification of histones and the expression of non-coding RNA are the epigenetic phenomena that affect inflammatory processes in the context of NAFLD. Here, we highlight current understanding of the mechanisms underlying developmental programming of NAFLD linked to epigenetic modulation of the immune system and environmental factors, such as malnutrition.

Key words: Early malnutrition: Epigenetics: Immune response: Liver disease

Introduction

Nutrition in early life is crucial for fetal growth and development. Food intake absence and excess are the two main types of energy malnutrition that predispose to the appearance of diseases in adulthood, according to the hypothesis of 'developmental origins of health and disease'[1]. In this regard, the transmission of an altered metabolic phenotype to the progeny can lead to an increased risk of developing different metabolic diseases, including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).

NAFLD results from the interaction between environmental and genetic factors. Maternal diet constitutes a major environmental factor which directly affects the liver and indirectly through adiposity and metabolic dysfunction. Different studies support the notion that early-life malnutrition may affect the metabolic phenotype in the offspring, leading to the development of NAFLD[2]. In addition, evidence has been obtained showing that epigenetics exerts an important role in NAFLD pathogenesis[3]. These epigenetic mechanisms are considered a link between the nutritional environment and gene expression. Increasing evidence has shown that early-life nutrition can influence the development of the immune system through epigenetic mechanisms[4]. Thus, epigenetics has a crucial effect over the intricate interplay between environmental factors and genetics to drive the inflammatory response that is commonly seen in NAFLD.

The aim of the present review is to describe the epigenetic modulation of the immune function and its association with the development of liver diseases related to early-life malnutrition.

Role of early-life malnutrition in the developmental origin of non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases

Malnutrition is determined as a disequilibrium between energy intake and the quantity that the body needs for optimal growth and function. There are many causes of malnutrition such as obesity, protein–energy malnutrition, food intake absence and essential fatty acid deficiency among others, although food intake absence and excess are the two main types of energy malnutrition[5]. Since the nutritional environment during preconception, pregnancy and early life is crucial for optimal offspring development and long-term health, it has important consequences for survival, healthy development and the incidence of acute and chronic diseases in later life[6]. Certainly, it has been proposed that the period between pregnancy and the

---

Abbreviations: BAFF, B cell activating factor; Batf3, basic leucine zipper transcription factor, ATF-like-3; CCL, C-C motif chemokine ligand; CXCL, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand; DC, dendritic cells; DNMT, DNA methyltransferases; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HDAC, histone deacetylase; HFD, high-fat diet; HSC, hepatic stellate cells; KC, Kupffer cells; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; mDC, myeloid dendritic cells; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; miRNA, microRNA; MT-ND6, mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase 6; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NK, natural killer; NKT, natural killer T; NLR, NOD-like receptor; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cells; SAM, *S*-adenosylmethionine; SEC, sinusoidal endothelial cells; SIRT, sirtuin; SOCS, suppressor of cytokine signalling; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

* **Corresponding author:** Dr Andrea N. Chisari, fax +54 223 475 2426, email achisari@mdp.edu.ar

first 2 years of life is critical. In this respect, it has been shown that chronic diseases are especially common in undernourished children who experience rapid weight gain after infancy[7]. The 'thrifty phenotype' hypothesis[8] explains this phenomenon, arguing that fetal undernutrition leads to metabolic adaptations that optimise the utilisation of limited nutrient availability and, hence, augment the opportunities of survival in continued poor conditions after birth. Nevertheless, these adaptations increase the risk for metabolic alterations when exposed to a plentiful postnatal nutrient environment[9]. In this respect, the phenomenon of fetal intra-uterine growth restriction can occur in humans as a result of poor maternal nutrition and has been associated with the development of different metabolic diseases, a process called fetal programming[10]. Epidemiological investigations such as those conducted on children conceived during the Dutch 'Hunger Winter' of 1944–1945 or during the great Chinese famine of 1950–1960 highlighted the association between maternal undernutrition, lowered birth weight, and subsequent adult diseases such as obesity, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, NAFLD and even the metabolic syndrome[11–13]. On the other side, different studies on diabetic pregnancies and maternal obesity demonstrated that an excessive energy intake during gestation and childhood has similar effects on offspring long-term health outcomes[14–17]. Obesity programmes the fetus in a similar way as maternal undernutrition. This association of maladaptive programming with adult diseases has generated a great attention to the developmental programming process. Since NAFLD has an elevated prevalence and commonly an unfavourable evolution, it represents an important public health care issue[18].

Epidemiological characteristics of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

The epidemiological association between early-life malnutrition and the metabolic syndrome in later life has been well documented[11–13,19–21]. NAFLD is the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome and its diagnosis is strongly predictive of other features of this mentioned syndrome that include insulin resistance, obesity, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension[11–13,19–24]. NAFLD is the most common cause of chronic disease around the world, both in adults and children, with a prevalence ranging between 20 and 30 % in Western countries[25]. It covers a spectrum of diseases ranging from steatosis or a simple accumulation of fat that exceeds 5 % of total liver weight, to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) that also involves inflammation and significant hepatocyte cell death. Because NAFLD can evolve to liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which do not have effective treatments until today, it is predicted to become the most frequent indication for liver transplantation by 2030[26]. NAFLD has a prevalence estimated in children that ranges from 3 to 10 % in Western societies and in adolescents prevalence has more than doubled in the last two decades[2]. Interestingly, the prevalence of NAFLD is different between ethnic groups. Africans Americans are protected from hepatic steatosis compared with Caucasian and Hispanic adults, even when their BMI and

insulin sensitivity are controlled for[2]. It is important to note that most cases of NASH are found in adults rather than in children and, like other chronic inflammatory processes in the liver, progression of NASH to clinically relevant hepatic fibrosis usually takes several years.

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: its contribution to the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma

As has been previously exposed, NAFLD is a growing health problem. Patients go through a wide range of progressive stages that include a spectrum of liver diseases, from benign steatosis to NASH, cirrhosis and, ultimately, HCC. Even though most patients develop simple steatosis, approximately 7–30 % of them progress to NASH. Thereafter, the disease may evolve to cirrhosis within 10 years, and ultimately lead to an increased risk of developing HCC[27].

NAFLD pathogenesis is complex and involves different cellular and molecular mechanisms that promote the development of liver cancer. The progression to HCC in the context of NAFLD is a gradual process that follows a dysplasia–carcinoma sequence that may take several years to develop. During this period, erratic liver remodelling with repeated cycles of hepatocellular destruction and compensatory proliferation as a result of oxidative stress, inflammation, altered immunity and fibrosis create a propitious environment for the development of carcinogenesis[28]. Throughout this process, interaction between many different oncogenic pathways and hepatic cell types occurs. As a result, hepatic stellate cells (HSC), sinusoidal endothelial cells (SEC), hepatic lymphocytes and Kupffer cells (KC) acquire pro-oncogenic properties and, at the same time, produce different growth factors, chemokines and cytokines that foster the beginning and progression of HCC[29].

Even though it has been reported that most cases of NAFLD-related HCC develop in the context of cirrhosis, NAFLD is generally associated with obesity and diabetes, which are independently connected with an augmented risk for cancer[30]. In addition, it is important to highlight the link between early-life malnutrition and the metabolic syndrome in adult life. Thus, the interaction between different oncogenic pathways associated with adipose tissue dysfunction, early-life malnutrition and cirrhosis can provide the basis for the development of HCC in adulthood[2,25].

Different mechanisms of oncogenesis such as structural genomic defects, epigenetic alterations that compromise different regulatory pathways, and aberrant signalling pathways contribute to a tumour-promoting environment in NAFLD, and have been involved in HCC development[31]. In this respect, the chronic inflammatory response that accompanies obesity and undernutrition, which leads to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, is conducive to an increased risk of developing cancer[31]. In particular, TNF-α has been shown to be an important cytokine released by adipose tissue, which can activate diverse pro-oncogenic pathways such as the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and the NF-κB[32]. In addition, IL-6 has been demonstrated to have anti-

apoptotic effects through activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3)[31]. Moreover, adipose-derived hormones, such as adiponectin and leptin, can contribute to this tumorigenic environment. While adiponectin has anti-inflammatory effects and is expressed at low levels in NAFLD, leptin levels are elevated in this pathology and exert pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic effects[33]. In addition, the ectopic deposition of fat in the liver and its associated cellular dysfunction may contribute to the development of HCC in the context of NAFLD. This process known as lipotoxicity can either promote oncogenic mechanisms[34] or contribute to the increase of lipid peroxides that can induce oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis[35,36]. In conjunction, these molecular events contribute to insulin resistance, which activates proliferative signalling pathways involved in HCC[37].

Epigenetic mechanisms underlying non-alcoholic fatty liver disease related to malnutrition

Epigenetic mechanisms play an important role in the regulation of genomic functions, without affecting the primary DNA sequence. These alterations include post-translational modifications of histones, DNA methylation and microRNA (miRNA). In particular, during early development an embryo is especially vulnerable to environmental effects, which can influence these epigenetic mechanisms. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that nutrition induces epigenetic alterations that are transmitted over many generations[38]. Since these epigenetic alterations are sensitive to environmental factors, they can provide a mechanistic link between nutrition, developmental programming and disease.

Nutritional imbalances, such as overnutrition and undernutrition, induce metabolic alterations during the early developmental period of life. These imbalances lead to epigenetic dysregulation which, in turn, is associated with the development of NAFLD. Moreover, these epigenetic alterations have been proposed as important predetermining factors that affect the individual susceptibility to develop NAFLD[39]. Thus, several epigenetic alterations that contribute to pathophysiology of NAFLD are discussed below.

DNA methylation

Among epigenetic mechanisms, DNA methylation arises as a potent regulator of gene expression, whose intervention has been strongly related to carcinogenesis[40]. DNA methylation is a modification that occurs at cytosine nucleotides, in particular in those which precede a guanine, usually referred as CpG islands. These CpG islands are typically located in promoter regions of oncogenes, DNA repair genes or tumour-suppressor genes[41], thus affecting gene expression in several cancers. In this respect, it has been shown that while hypermethylation blocks gene transcription, hypomethylation induces gene activation. This degree of DNA methylation depends on the activity of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT).

Nutrition is strongly related to DNA methylation since this epigenetic mechanism depends on the availability of *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM), which needs methyl donors from food (folate, choline and betaine) for its synthesis[42]. In this respect, methyl-deficient diets were reported to induce liver injury in a similar way as that observed in human NASH patients[43]. Moreover, this diet was shown to affect DNA methylation as a consequence of an important decrease in liver SAM concentration. At the same time, it resulted in the differential expression of more than a hundred genes associated with DNA damage and repair, lipid and glucose metabolism, fibrosis, and liver tissue remodelling. In this regard, the number of hypomethylated genes was significantly greater than the number of hypermethylated ones[44]. Another study reported that in a model of intra-uterine growth restriction, using rats exposed to a low-protein diet during pregnancy, the placenta showed hypomethylation of the wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 2 (Wnt2) promoter, which is associated with fetal growth[45]. Moreover, it was evidenced that a low-protein diet during pregnancy was conducive to DNA hypermethylation of H19/insulin-like growth factor II (*IGF2*) genes that resulted in up-regulation of DNMT1 and DNMT3 in the liver of male offspring[46].

As was explained before, DNA methylation can be inherited from parents. It has been shown that exposure to a high-fat diet (HFD) during pregnancy can induce hypomethylation of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1a (CDKN1a), a liver cell cycle inhibitor, that acts during the early postnatal life of the offspring[47]. Given that CDKN1a up-regulation has been related to hepatocyte growth in liver disease, this result suggests that a HFD in this period induces an early liver dysfunction, which may later predispose to NAFLD. Another study demonstrated that consumption of a Western diet during pregnancy and early postnatal life is conducive to an augmented susceptibility to NAFLD in male offspring[48].

Liver steatosis plays a key role in NAFLD pathophysiology. It requires coordination between PPARα and PPARγ for balance between fatty acid synthesis and oxidation[49]. While PPARα has been shown to be involved in the modulation of peroxisomal and mitochondrial β-oxidation in liver and skeletal muscle, PPARγ, on the other hand, has been found to be central in the regulation of adipogenesis and to decrease the expression of proinflammatory cytokines. It has been reported that PPARα expression is down-regulated in liver steatosis, thus contributing to lipogenesis[50]. This effect can be aggravated by the up-regulation of PPARγ, as a result of PPARγ promoter hypomethylation[51]. In addition, the epigenetic alteration of liver PPARγ in NAFLD patients has been shown to contribute to insulin resistance. Furthermore, methylation levels of PPARγ coactivator 1α (PGC1α) promoter correlated with the homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and fasting insulin, while those of mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) promoter were inversely correlated with fasting insulin[52].

Mitochondrial DNA methylation has also been involved in the development of NAFLD[53]. It has been reported that mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase 6 (MT-ND6) is strongly methylated, which leads to MT-ND6 mRNA down-regulation in

NASH patients. Moreover, hepatic methylation of MT-ND6 was shown to be associated with NAFLD[54].

Although there are more data from animal models of NAFLD, DNA methylation alterations have also been reported in NAFLD patients. Furthermore, nearly 70 000 methylated CpG sites in genes related to tissue repair and metabolic regulation have been found in these patients[55]. Another study revealed the methylation of nine genes related to intermediate metabolism and insulin-like signalling, which are strongly associated with NAFLD[56]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that those who experienced famine *in utero*, as a result of the Dutch 'Hunger Winter', presented an altered methylation of the insulin-like growth factor-2 (*IGF2*) gene in adult life[57].

Histone modifications

Epigenetic alterations related to NAFLD are not restricted to DNA methylation. In fact, aberrant histone modifications have been reported to promote the development of insulin resistance, thereby playing a key role in NAFLD[58]. Histone tails can be post-transcriptionally modified by acetylation, methylation, ubiquitinylation, phosphorylation and SUMOylation, thus determining if chromatin will be active or not and, as a result, affecting the expression of genes within that portion of chromatin[38].

Acetylation on lysine residues has been shown to be the most frequent histone modification. While acetylation is related to activation of gene transcription and is catalysed by histone acetyltransferases (HAT), deacetylation is associated with gene inactivation and is catalysed by histone deacetylases (HDAC). In fact, it has been evidenced that the imbalance between HDAC and HAT affects histone acetylation, thereby altering gene expression in NAFLD[59]. Among HDAC, sirtuins (SIRT) have been reported to be involved in multiple cellular events related to energy homeostasis. In particular, SIRT1, the most studied member of the family, has been shown to regulate metabolic processes such as fatty acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis, lipolysis and mitochondrial activity[60]. Given that SIRT1 inhibits NF-κB action and, in doing so, decreases the inflammatory response, its liver deletion augments steatosis and obesity-induced inflammation. On the other hand, SIRT1 overexpression exerts a beneficial effect against steatosis and insulin resistance[61]. The deletion of SIRT3 in mice, which is localised in mitochondria, has been associated with alterations compatible with NAFLD[62]. In addition, a HFD during pregnancy was found to induce the reduction of fetal liver HDAC1, suggesting that this diet can affect fetal chromatin through histone modifications[63]. Another study reported that the HAT activator p300, which is related to NAFLD progression, modulates carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein (ChREBP), inducing its hyperacetylation, thus promoting its transcriptional activity. Moreover, this epigenetic modification in p300 led to liver lipogenesis in mice and was associated with insulin resistance[64]. In addition, it has been proven that alterations in the regulation of circadian clock genes, as a result of HDAC3 action, can induce inadequate lipid metabolism in the liver, thereby helping in the development of NAFLD[65,66].

Histone methylation is different between cell types and has been related to fetal development. This histone modification can occur in lysine as well as in arginine residues in H3 and H4 histones, and can be reversed by histone demethylases. The histone methyltransferase PRMT1 has been shown to induce PPARγ co-activator 1α (PGC1α) methylation, thus altering fatty acid oxidation and energy metabolism[67]. On the other hand, the histone demethylase JHDM2A has been reported as a regulator in energy homeostasis and fat deposition[68].

MicroRNA

Among epigenetic mechanisms, miRNA have been strongly related to the development of NAFLD. miRNA are non-coding single-stranded RNA that modulate mRNA degradation or inhibition of translation, thus affecting the expression of different genes. It has been shown that one miRNA can alter the expression of multiple genes and, on the other hand, multiple miRNA can affect a single gene. Moreover, miRNA can modulate DNA methylation and histone modifications[69].

The aberrant expression of miRNA has been related to insulin resistance, obesity and NAFLD[70]. It has been reported that almost 100 miRNA are differentially expressed in NASH patients[71]. In another study, it was shown that forty-six miRNA were distinctively expressed in NASH patients, with twenty-three of them being found up-regulated, including miRNA-21, miRNA-100 and miRNA-34a, and the rest down-regulated, such as miRNA-126 and miRNA-122[71]. A maternal HFD during gestation and lactation has also been proven to alter the expression of twenty-three miRNA in offspring liver[72]. In another study, it was shown that the differential expression of miRNA was related to the progression from liver steatosis to HCC, suggesting that each pathological state involves diverse miRNA[73].

In particular, miRNA122, the principal hepatic microRNA, was found to be down-regulated in NAFLD patients compared with a control group[72]. Moreover, the deletion of this liver-specific miRNA in mice induced hepatic steatosis, inflammation and HCC[74]. As a result, this miRNA has been proposed to be a major contributor to the regulation of lipid metabolism in the liver, thus having a tumour-suppressor role in this organ[75].

Apart from miRN-122, there are other miRNA that have been related to NAFLD. The miRNA 146b, 143, 34a and 23a were reported to be significantly increased in NAFLD[59]. Other miRNA have been shown to modulate lipid and cholesterol regulatory genes, such as miRNA 370, 33, 103 and 104, thus ultimately contributing to the development of NAFLD.

Role of the immune response in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease progression

The liver is a site where complex immunological activity occurs, which involves different immune cells as well as non-haematopoietic cell populations. Although this organ provides a tolerogenic environment, aberrant activation of the immune system can induce harmful inflammation that firstly leads to low-level inflammation, tissue and organ injury, and ultimately

fibrosis and carcinogenesis[76]. In this respect, liver disease progression is accompanied by metabolic and inflammatory disorders that, in conjunction with genetic and environmental factors, promote a constant activation of the immune system. In particular, obesity augments the risk of NAFLD progression with a prevalence of >25% of NASH patients among obese individuals[77]. Thus, inflammatory processes in the liver are involved in both homeostasis and pathology. When adequate immune activation occurs due to pathogens or tissue damage, liver homeostasis is preserved by the resolution of inflammation. It has been demonstrated that immune defects commonly arise in under- and overnourished children. Those who suffer obesity and the metabolic syndrome display immune activation due to perturbed energy utilisation[78], and those with severe acute malnutrition exhibit elevated systemic proinflammatory cytokines, NEFA and ketones[79]. The following therapeutic feeding reduces inflammation in those children[79]. However, when inflammation is deregulated, pathology and organ injury arise; thus early-life malnutrition represents an important risk factor.

As mentioned above, the liver is comprised by both immune cell and non-haematopoietic cell populations. Liver myeloid immune cell populations are represented by KC, myeloid dendritic cells (mDC) and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC), and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC)[80]. The innate lymphocytes in the adult liver include natural killer (NK) cells, NK T (NKT) cells, mucosal associated invariant T cells and γδ T cells. This organ also contains adaptive immune lymphoid cells, such as CD4+ and CD8+ T cells as well as B cells[80]. Non-haematopoietic cell populations are represented by HSC, hepatocytes, cholangiocytes and SEC[80]. These different cell populations overall enable the liver to fight in response to pathogens and endogen harmful signals. The role of immune and non-haematopoietic cell subtypes in liver metabolic diseases and HCC development are summarised at Table 1.

Kupffer cells

Liver-resident macrophages, known as KC, have an important role in the immune response. Given that they are the body's primary line of defence against micro-organisms or endogenous signals[81], their location is critical for KC to carry out their different functions in liver (phagocytosis, antigen processing and presentation and secretion of different proinflammatory mediators such as cytokines, prostanoids, NO and reactive oxygen species)[82]. KC feature with a vast array of pattern recognition receptors (PRR)[83], complement receptors[84] and Fc receptors[85], and are able to respond to cytokine, Toll-like receptor (TLR)[86], RIG-like receptor and NOD-like receptor (NLR) signalling[87]. However, to maintain the steady state, KC can mount opposite responses to exogenous signals, polarising to M1 (classical) or M2 (alternative) phenotypes[88]. The M1 phenotype is characterised by the increment in the production of inflammatory cytokines, reactive nitrogen species and reactive oxygen species, and is related to microbicidal and tumoricidal activities. On the other hand, M2 macrophages exhibit immunomodulatory functions and are important in immunity against parasites and tissue remodelling[88].

KC can promote the pathogenesis of liver disease, augmenting the production of proinflammatory cytokines[88,89]. It has been demonstrated that TNF-α and IL-6 are closely related to steatosis, insulin resistance and inflammatory disorders[90]. Furthermore, IL-6 expression correlates with the degree of hepatic inflammation, and fibrosis[91,92]. Contrariwise, adiponectin shifts KC polarisation to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype[93,94], preventing NASH development[95]. The lack of adiponectin induces hepatic steatosis progression, fibrosis and HCC[96]. In addition, as a mechanism of adaptation to increased energy intake, KC can regulate fatty acid oxidation, increase hepatic lipid storage and insulin resistance[97]. These events occur in response to the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, thus suggesting a beneficial role for M2 KC in metabolic disorders[98,99]. Stimulating M2 macrophages induces M1 macrophage apoptosis that preserves against NAFLD progression[100]. Moreover, macrophage-mediated inflammation is associated with TLR activation. TLR signalling is related to hepatic damage, NAFLD, fibrosis and HCC[101–103]. It has been shown that the TLR involved in NAFLD beginning and progression are TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9[104,105]. Stimulation of TLR4 on KC activates NF-κB, mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1), p38, c-Jun *N*-terminal kinase (JNK) and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), and induces the production of inflammatory cytokines and type I IFN, hence promoting tissue damage, increase in leucocyte infiltration, and secretion of pro-fibrogenic cytokines. In effect, it has been demonstrated that inactivation of TLR4 induces the attenuation of steatosis and NASH in several experimental models[106,107]. In addition, it was shown that TLR9$^{-/-}$ mice are protected from NASH and that this protection is consistent with a decrease in IL-1b production by KC[104]. Pathogen-associated molecular pattern molecules (PAMP) and damage-associated molecular pattern molecules (DAMP) can as well be recognised by NLR[108,109], whose activation induces the formation of the inflammasome[110]. Commonly, activation of TLR and NLR leads to the production of proinflammatory cytokines and the recruitment of immune cells in the liver, as well as in other tissues, such as adipose tissue, muscle, hypothalamus, pancreatic islets and blood vessels. The resultant chronic inflammation promotes insulin resistance and energy imbalance and contributes to fatty liver disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and atherosclerosis, all of which are metabolic complications of obesity[111]. Of importance, inflammasome activation has been related to NAFLD development. Among various inflammasome complexes, the NLRP3 inflammasome is the best characterised and has been linked with this and other diseases[112]. Altogether, these data support the notion that KC play a critical role in maintaining immune homeostasis of the liver. Thus, the balance of the products involved in inflammatory signalling pathways is crucial to determine NASH attenuation or progression.

Dendritic cells

Liver DC are a heterogeneous population of hepatic antigen-presenting cells whose main function is to induce T cell-mediated immunity. Hepatic DC populations are described as phenotypically immature and tolerogenic[113], although in an

Table 1. Role of immune and non-haematopoietic cell subtypes in liver metabolic diseases and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development

Cell subtypes	Role in liver metabolic diseases and HCC development	Reference
Immune cell subtypes		
Kupffer cells	Contribute to the pathogenesis of liver disease, increasing the production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-6	90–92, 88, 89
	Regulate fatty acid oxidation, increase hepatic lipid storage and IR in response to the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, as a mechanism of adaptation to increased energy intake	97–99
	Activation of TLR and NLR on Kupffer cells induces the production of proinflammatory cytokines and the recruitment of immune cells in the liver, contributing to the metabolic complications of obesity (fatty liver disease, T2DM and atherosclerosis)	111
	Stimulation of TLR4 on Kupffer cells activates NF-κB, MAPK, ERK1, p38, JNK and IRF3, and induces the production of inflammatory cytokines and type I IFN, contributing to tissue damage, leucocyte infiltration and secretion of profibrogenic cytokines	106, 107
Dendritic cells	Dendritic cells express the TLR4–MD-2 complex, produce inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-12 and TNF-α, and express co-stimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80 and CD86) following hepatic injury	76
	mDC can expand in the early phases of steatohepatitis, acquiring an immune-stimulating phenotype capable of promoting T lymphocyte responses implicated in NASH progression	118–121
	Diphtheria toxin-induced dendritic cell depletion worsens hepatic inflammation and hepatocyte death in experimental NASH, suggesting that dendritic cells can also act as negative regulators of inflammation	119
	Murine CD103⁺/mDC1 may act as a protective dendritic cell subtype that influences the pro-anti-inflammatory balance and preserves the liver from metabolic damage	123
	Human CD141⁺/mDC1, considered the equivalent of CD103⁺/mDC1 in mice, are selectively depleted in chronic liver diseases, suggesting a differential involvement of mDC subsets in liver immunity	123–125
MDSC	They are expanded during chronic liver disease and have been linked to HCC progression	132, 133
	MDSC can counteract proinflammatory cells in NAFLD and exert a protective role against fibrosis	127, 135
	The CCL2–CCR2 pathway might contribute to MDSC accumulation in the steatotic liver and therefore contribute to HCC pathogenesis	135
Natural killer cells	Natural killer cells can be activated in response to elevated levels of several cytokines, such as IL-12, IFN-c and IL-1 during NASH	139, 140
	Natural killer cells may be implicated in the evolution to NASH since administration of a high-fructose diet to mice deficient in natural killer cells prevents the development of steatosis	141
	Natural killer cells play a critical role in inhibiting the development of fibrosis through direct killing of early and senescent activated HSC	142, 143
Natural killer T cells	Influx of natural killer T cells into the liver during NASH is mediated by the up-regulation of CXCL16 on SEC and macrophages, along with the production of IL-4 and IFN-γ by natural killer T cells	144
	Activation of the Hedgehog pathway is implicated in natural killer T cell recruitment in NASH livers of methionine/choline-deficient diet-fed mice. Its activation drives HSC activation and fibrogenesis	145
CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells	Macrophages and lymphocytes represent the most frequent inflammatory infiltrates of NASH livers	151
	NASH patients have increased frequencies of IFN-γ-producing memory CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells	152
	Peripheral CD4⁺ T cells from obese mice and NASH patients have dysfunctional chemotaxis and migrate more readily toward CXCL12 when compared with T cells from healthy mice or donors	153
	The progression to NASH is marked by an increase of the Th17:Tregs ratio in peripheral blood and liver	156
B cells	Of patients with NASH, 40 to 60 % have circulating antibodies against lipid peroxidation-derived antigens, increasing the risk of developing liver fibrosis	157, 158
	B cells rapidly increase in serum and adipose tissue of mice fed a HFD	159
	There is an increase in serum level of BAFF in human NASH, which correlates with B cell content in the liver	160
Liver non-haematopoietic cell subtypes		
HSC	They become highly proliferative during NAFLD and begin to produce a fibrotic extracellular matrix profuse with type I collagen	164
	Ballooned hepatocytes generate Sonic Hedgehog, a ligand of the Hedgehog-signalling pathway, which promotes HSC activation and drives NASH progression in mice	168
Hepatocytes	Express TLR4 and TLR2. TLR2 is up-regulated during liver inflammatory conditions	170, 171
Cholangiocytes	Express CD14, MD-2, TLR2, 3, 4 and 5, and are involved in antigen presentation to T cells in the liver	173, 174
Sinusoidal endothelial cells	Constitutively express TLR4 and CD14 and are capable of presenting antigens to classical liver T cells	117, 175, 176

IR, insulin resistance; TLR, Toll-like receptor; NLR, NOD-like receptor; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinases; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; IRF3, interferon regulatory factor 3; IFN, interferon; MD-2, myeloid differentiation factor 2; mDC, myeloid dendritic cells; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases; CCL2, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2; CCR2, C-C motif chemokine receptor; HSC, hepatic stellate cells; CXCL16, chemokine C-X-C motif ligand; SEC, sinusoidal endothelial cells; Tregs, regulatory T cells; HFD, high-fat diet; BAFF, B cell activating factor.

environment of chronic inflammation, DC are transformed to potent inducers of immune responses, becoming efficient antigen-presenting cells and a source of proinflammatory cytokines[114–117].

DC are localised in the portal areas and are divided depending on the expression of specific markers into: plasmacytoid (PDCA-1⁺; pDC), and myeloid or classical (PDCA-1⁻; mDC). The latter are also subgrouped into DC103⁺/CD11b⁻

type 1 (mDC1) and DC103⁻/CD11b⁺ type 2 (mDC2) cells[114,115]. Following hepatic injury DC express the TLR4–myeloid differentiation factor 2 (MD-2) complex, produce inflammatory cytokines such as IL-12 and TNF-α, and express co-stimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80 and CD86)[76]. However, the role of DC in the pathogenesis of liver diseases is still unclear. DC ablation has been demonstrated to either prevent or worsen liver damage and inflammation depending on the experimental setting[114–116]. Previous reports have indicated that mDC can expand in the early phases of steatohepatitis, developing an immune-stimulating phenotype able to promote T lymphocyte responses[118,119] related to NASH progression[120,121]. Nevertheless, diphtheria toxin-induced DC depletion aggravates liver inflammation and hepatocyte death in experimental NASH, suggesting that DC may also act as negative regulators of inflammation[119].

In this sense, Heier *et al.*[122] investigated the role of type 1 myeloid DC (CD103⁺/DC mDC1) in the pathophysiology of steatosis and NASH. They used basic leucine zipper transcription factor, ATF-like-3 (Batf3)-deficient animals, which lack CD103⁺ DC and two dietary models to induce steatosis and NASH based on mice fed with a high-sucrose diet or a choline/methionine-deficient diet, respectively. It was shown that Batf3⁻/⁻ mice that were administered both diets exhibited aggravated steatosis and lobular inflammation than similarly treated wild-type animals[122]. Accordingly, CD103⁺/mDC1 deficiency increased liver infiltrating monocyte/macrophages as well as their release of inflammatory mediators. However, hepatic transaminases and fibrosis markers were not significantly affected[122]. Moreover, the administration of bone marrow-derived CD103⁺/DC mDC1 to Batf3⁻/⁻-deficient mice was conducive to the diminishment of monocyte recruitment, hepatic transaminases and liver C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL) 2 expression, without altering the level of steatosis[122]. High-sucrose diet-fed Batf3⁻/⁻ mice provided with bone marrow-derived CD103⁺/DC1 further significantly reduced proinflammatory monocyte influx along with serum TAG, cholesterol and NEFA. The authors thus proposed murine CD103⁺/mDC1 as a protective DC subtype that affects the pro-anti-inflammatory balance and preserves the liver from metabolic damage[122]. It can be concluded that they are crucial participants in the inflammatory process involved in steatohepatitis in mice[123]. Moreover, human CD141⁺/mDC1, that are considered the equivalent of CD103⁺/mDC1 in mice[124], are abundant in healthy human livers[125], but interestingly, while pDC and mDC2 expand in diseased livers, CD141⁺/DC are depleted in chronic liver diseases[125], suggesting differential involvement of mDC subsets in liver immunity[123,125].

In conclusion, it is evident that our understanding of the complex signalling network involved in the regulation of DC functions is incomplete, but overall these results suggest that DC may represent a key factor in the progression of NAFLD.

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells

MDSC are a heterogeneous population of immune cells defined by their ability to suppress T-cell activation through the production of IL-10, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and arginase[126]. Various cells in the liver, particularly HSC[127–129], as well as liver-derived soluble factors, including hepatocyte growth factor and acute-phase proteins[130], can induce the differentiation of MDSC from myeloid cells. Although MDSC are also present in the healthy liver[131] they are expanded during chronic liver disease[132] and have been linked to HCC progression[133].

Results from patients with liver disease and experimental animal models have been obtained, supporting the notion that MDSC are involved in the pathogenesis of liver inflammation and HCC[134]. In addition, it has been reported that the depletion of hepatic MDSC increases the expression of fibrosis markers[12], thus exerting a protective role. Moreover, it has been shown that MDSC can counteract proinflammatory cells in NAFLD[135].

In human and mouse models of liver cancer, MDSC are induced in the tumour environment and suppress anti-tumoral immune responses[134]. In this sense, the CCL2–C-C motif chemokine receptor 2 (CCR) axis plays a pivotal role in the migration of MDSC in cancer, since the impairment of CCL2–CCR2 signalling inhibits tumour growth[136–138]. Yao *et al.*[135] have found that the expression of CCL2 is up-regulated in the livers of NAFLD mice, and that CCL2 stimulates the migration of MDSC *in vitro*. Thus, the CCL2–CCR2 pathway might contribute to MDSC accumulation in the steatotic liver, therefore promoting HCC pathogenesis.

Innate and adaptive immune lymphoid cells

Hepatic innate lymphocyte populations (NK cells, NKT cells, mucosal associated invariant T cells and γδ T cells) are potent cytokine producers that influence both innate and adaptive immune responses in the liver. Some studies indicate that NK cells can be activated in response to elevated levels of several cytokines, such as IL-12, interferon-c and IL-1 during NASH[139,140]. It was reported that administration of a high-fructose diet to mice deficient in NK cells prevents the development of steatosis, showing that NK cells may be implicated in the evolution to NASH[141]. In addition, NK cells play a critical role in inhibiting the development of fibrosis through direct killing of early and senescent activated HSC[142,143]. Furthermore, the influx of NKT cells into the liver during NASH is mediated by enhanced expression of the chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand (CXCL) 16 on SEC and macrophages, which binds C-X-C chemokine receptor (CXCR) type 6 on the surface of NKT cells[144]. In this respect, up-regulation of CXCL16 is accompanied by the production of IL-4 and IFN-γ by NKT cells, which worsens inflammation via macrophage activation[144]. Activation of the Hedgehog pathway has also been implicated in NKT cell recruitment in NASH livers of methionine/choline-deficient diet-fed mice. Moreover, activation of the Hedgehog pathway drives HSC activation and fibrogenesis[145]. Then, NKT cells seem to be involved in the development of NASH.

On the other hand, populations of adaptive lymphocytes (CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells and B cells) are found in healthy livers[146,147]. Depending on the cytokine environment, T CD4⁺ cells can assume a proinflammatory phenotype (Th1) or an anti-inflammatory phenotype (Th2)[148]. The balance between

Th1 and Th2 T cells is important to maintain immune system homeostasis. There is a third type of CD4^{+} cells called Th17, which play a pro-inflammatory role[149]. The implication of the adaptive immunity in stimulating adipose tissue inflammation has been well studied in obesity[150]. A similar mechanism is implicated in the beginning of inflammation in NASH, where different studies have demonstrated that both macrophages and lymphocytes are the most common inflammatory infiltrates in these livers[151]. For instance, individuals with NASH have augmented frequencies of IFN-γ-producing memory CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells[152]. Dysfunctional chemotaxis is one of the molecular mechanisms driving T cell infiltration into the liver. In this sense, it has been shown that peripheral CD4^{+} T cells from both obese mice and NASH patients migrate more promptly toward the chemokine CXCL12 when compared with T cells from healthy animals or donors[153]. Likewise, Th17 cell infiltration is found in NASH livers and it is well known that IL-17 secretion exacerbates hepatic steatosis and inflammation[149]. Moreover, IL-17 signalling in HSC up-regulates the expression of profibrotic genes while absence of IL-17 in a murine model of liver fibrosis diminishes the levels of proinflammatory cytokines and cell death[154,155]. In addition, different studies have reported that the progression to NASH is marked by an increment of the Th17:regulatory T cells (Tregs) ratio in peripheral blood and liver[156].

B cells play an important role in NASH pathogenesis. It has been shown that 40–60 % of patients with NASH have circulating antibodies against lipid peroxidation-derived antigens[157]. In addition, the elevated titres of these antibodies are in parallel with an increased risk of developing liver fibrosis[158]. Furthermore, Winer et al.[159] have demonstrated that B cells rapidly increase in serum and adipose tissue of mice fed a HFD.

B cell activating factor (BAFF) is a well-known cell survival and maturation factor for B cells. An increase in serum level of BAFF in human NASH has been demonstrated, and the serum BAFF level correlates with B cell content in the liver[160]. Moreover, BAFF receptor-deficient mice showed improved obesity and insulin resistance induced by HFD but, in addition, displayed enhanced hepatic steatosis that indicates a protective role of BAFF in hepatic steatosis[160,161]. Nevertheless, to better understand the B cell's contribution in sustaining hepatic inflammation and promoting fibrosis in NASH, further studies will be required.

Hepatic non-haematopoietic cells

In addition to hepatic immune cell populations, non-haematopoietic cells in the liver play key roles in local and systemic innate immunity and inflammation. HSC reside in the space between hepatocytes and the liver SEC, known as the space of Disse[162]. In physiological conditions, HSC store vitamin A and lipids[162,163]. However, in response to a liver injury, such as in NAFLD, HSC become activated, transdifferentiate to myofibroblasts and begin to synthesise large amounts of extracellular matrix, leading to fibrosis[164–166]. HSC activation is a result of the release of proliferative and fibrogenic cytokines, in particular platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and TGF-β from different cells, such as hepatocytes, SEC,

macrophages, NK cells and lymphocytes[167]. Guy et al.[168] have found that ballooned hepatocytes generate Sonic Hedgehog, a ligand of the Hedgehog-signalling pathway that induces HSC activation and contributes to NASH progression in mice. TLR4 is another factor implicated in the activation of HSC[76,169]. Hepatocytes express TLR4 and TLR2, and it has been shown that TLR2 is up-regulated during inflammatory conditions[170,171]. In addition, a role for biliary epithelial cells in portal and septal fibrosis has been demonstrated[172]. Murine biliary cells express CD14, myeloid differentiation factor 2 (MD-2), TLR2, TLR3, TLR4 and TLR5[173], and are involved in antigen presentation to T cells[174], thus playing an additional role in hepatic immune function. In addition, SEC constitutively express TLR4 and CD14[175], and are capable of presenting antigens to classical T cells[117,176].

Epigenetic changes affecting immune functions in the context of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma

Perturbations in the immune system are characteristic of metabolic diseases and cancer development[59]. Epigenetic mechanisms are involved in the stimulation and maintenance of immune activation and inflammation. Environmental factors such as nutrition can induce epigenetic modifications[177]. The expression of infant immune and metabolic genes is regulated by epigenetic modifications inherited by malnourished parents[178,179]. Moreover, strong evidence indicates that pre-existing epigenetic marks within genes respond to environmental stimuli by activating or repressing gene expression. In this sense, male mice that received protein–energy malnutrition during gestation showed different epigenetic marks at the liver X receptor alpha (LXR-α) locus that were inherited by their appropriately fed offspring[179]. The LXR-α gene is involved in inflammation and lipid metabolism, and LXR-α-dependent modifications in liver lipid-synthesis genes were clearly visible in the second generation[179]. Epigenetic changes, hence, would play a primordial role in the interplay between genetics and environmental factors to drive the inflammatory changes accompanying diet-induced metabolic diseases that could even end in cancer[59]. DNA methylation, covalent modification of histones and the expression of microRNA are the epigenetic phenomena involved in inflammatory processes. The epigenetic mechanisms for NAFLD and HCC development are summarised at Table 2.

DNA methylation

DNA methylation is one of the main epigenetic modifications that contribute to altered gene expression in NAFLD. As mentioned above, PPARγ has been found to reduce the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and contribute to insulin resistance in patients with NAFLD[50–52]. It has been shown that the promoter region of PPARγ becomes hypermethylated as fibrosis augments in NASH liver biopsies from patients. Thus, it functions like a negative regulator of HSC activation and liver fibrogenesis[180,181]. Increasing evidence demonstrated that

Table 2. Epigenetic mechanisms affecting the immune response involved in liver metabolic diseases and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

	Study subject	Target gene(s)	Effects on the immune function related to metabolic diseases and HCC	Reference
Epigenetic mechanism				
DNA methylation	Human	*PPARγ*	It becomes hypermethylated as fibrosis increases in NASH biopsies. It functions like a negative regulator of HSC activation	180, 181
	Human	*UBASH3B* and *TRIM3*	*UBASH3B* becomes hypermethylated while *TRIM3* hypomethylated in leucocytes from obese individuals. Both are involved in regulating T cell and macrophage proliferation and function	183
	Human	*TNFα*	Is hypomethylated in leucocytes from whole blood in obese individuals inducing systemic inflammation	184
	Human	*MT-ND6*	Its hypermethylation in mitochondria is found in NASH patients, which correlates with overproduction of ROS	54
	Human	*SOCS1*	Its hypermethylation inside HCC cells is associated with overproduction of inflammatory cytokines like TNF-α and IL-6	187
	Mouse	*SOCS2*	Its hypermethylation in HCC leads to activation of the inflammatory pathway	191
Histone modifications	Human	*TNFα* and cyclo-oxygenase 2	H3 hyperacetylation in their promoter regions has been shown in monocytes from type 1 and type 2 DM subjects H3K4 methylation is related to monocyte dysfunction by stabilising NF-κBp65 at the promoter sites of inflammatory genes	195, 196
		IL1, *IL6* and *Mcp1*	Histone acetylation near their promoter regions, mediated by *Brg1*, is involved in NASH pathogenesis serving as an epigenetic coordinator of proinflammatory gene transcription	197
	Mouse	*TNFα* and *CCL2*	Elevated expression levels of *TNFα* and *CCL2* in obese mice with fatty liver is associated with alterations in histone H3K9 and H3K18 acetylation	198
	Non-human primates	*SIRT1*	Fetal acetylation of histone H3K14 in response to maternal HFD decreases *SIRT1* expression in liver, and was found to be down-regulated also in HCC	203, 204, 206
miRNA				
miRNA-200b and miRNA-155	Rat		Their up-regulation in response to EZH2 inhibition induces hepatic steatosis in NAFLD, along with inflammatory genes expression	218
miRNA-132, miRNA-150, miRNA-433, miRNA-28-3p, miRNA-511, miRNA-517a and miRNA-671	Human		Showed differential expression in the visceral adipose tissue of patients with NAFLD. Their target genes are the obestatin gene, insulin receptor pathway genes and inflammation-related genes	219
miRNA-34a, miRNA-122, miRNA-181a, miRNA-192 and miRNA-200b	Mouse		Their circulating levels correlate with inflammatory activity, fibrosis stage and liver enzyme levels	220, 221
miRNA-146b-5p	Human		It is involved in the modulation of inflammatory pathways and has been shown to decrease in monocytes from obese and type 2 DM individuals	222, 223
miRNA-107	Mouse		Its inhibition induced by TLR4 is impaired in obesity resulting in an increased inflammatory response in macrophages	224
miRNA-126 and miRNA-193b	Human		Increased levels of CCL2 in obese individuals are associated with the down-regulation of these miRNA	225
miRNA-122	Human		Is underexpressed in NASH patients	71
	Mouse		Its deletion results in hepatic steatosis, inflammation and HCC that is associated with hyperactivation of oncogenic pathways and hepatic infiltration of inflammatory cells that produce IL-6 and TNF-α	74, 226
miRNA-21	Human		Increases oxidative stress and inflammation in obesity and steatosis	227
	Mouse		Its expression is up-regulated at early stages of HCC	228
	Human		Inhibition of miRNA-21 in HCC increase *PTEN* expression, decreased tumour cell proliferation, migration and invasion	230
miRNA-143	Human		Increases oxidative stress and inflammation in obesity and steatosis, and also promotes HCC development and progression	227
miRNA-155	Mouse		Is up-regulated in diet-induced NASH models, which was associated with the early stages of HCC	231, 232
	Mouse		Its up-regulation was associated with down-regulation of its target genes *CEBPB* and *SOCS1*, which have been reported to suppress inflammation and HCC, respectively	232, 233
	Mouse		NF-κB activates miRNA-155 expression, thereby linking NF-κB signalling to NAFLD-related HCC via miRNA deregulation	228

NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; HSC, hepatic stellate cells; UBASH3B, ubiquitin-associated and SH3 domain-containing protein B; TRIM3, tripartite motif-containing 3; MT-ND6, mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase 6; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SOCS, suppressor of cytokine signalling; DM, diabetes mellitus; H, histone; K, lysine; NF-κBp65, NF-κB p65 subunit; Mcp1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; Brg1, Brahma-related gene; CCL2, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2; SIRT1, sirtuin 1; HFD, high-fat diet; miRNA, microRNA; EZH2, zeste homolog 2; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog gene; CEBPB, CCAAT/enhancer binding protein β.

DNA methylation and insulin resistance in NAFLD patients are critical players for the transition from steatosis to fibrotic NASH[59].

It is well known that NAFLD is linked to obesity and the metabolic syndrome[182]. Adipose tissue enlargement related to the metabolic syndrome is associated with immune system activation and chronic inflammation, which is also involved in the development of insulin resistance[150]. In addition, altered methylation levels on the UBASH3B (ubiquitin-associated and SH3 domain-containing protein B) and TRIM3 (tripartite motif-containing 3) genes in obesity have been reported, genes which are both involved in regulating T cell and macrophage proliferation and function[183]. Another study has succeeded in linking altered DNA methylation to systemic inflammation in obesity. It was shown that TNF-α promoter was hypomethylated in leucocytes from whole blood in obese individuals[184]. Moreover, another study identified global DNA hypermethylation in B cells from obese and T2DM individuals[185], suggesting altered B cell function in metabolic diseases. In addition, the up-regulation of the DNA DNMT3B in macrophages has been linked to elevated levels of SFA, leading to M1 polarisation and adipose tissue inflammation[186]. Furthermore, oxidative stress has certainly been linked to inflammation, and mitochondria are the principal sources and targets of reactive oxygen species. As mentioned above, elevated levels of MT-ND6 methylation in mitochondria have been found in NASH patients[54]. When NAFLD progresses to HCC, inflammation and oxidative stress also play a critical role in this process. The suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS) 1 is a negative regulator of cytokine signalling, epigenetically regulated and, indeed, a suppressor of inflammation. SOCS1 promoter hypermethylation is one of the best-known epigenetic changes in macrophages and HCC[187–189]. It was demonstrated that loss of SOCS1 expression inside tumour cells through promoter hypermethylation is markedly associated with overproduction of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6[190]. Moreover, increased promoter methylation of the Janus tyrosine kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) inhibitor SOCS2 also in the tumours of glycine N-methyltransferase (GNMT) knockout mice has been shown, leading to epigenetic activation of the inflammatory pathway[191]. Is evident that the remodelling of the immune system in metabolic disease and HCC is intimately linked to epigenetic modifications and methylation of CpG dinucleotides is the most well-studied epigenetic mechanism that plays crucial roles in cell fate decisions and oncogenic transformation till now.

Histone modifications

Modification of histones is another key epigenetic change that can alter the immune response[192,193]. In obese individuals, HDAC4 has been reported to be decreased in peripheral blood myeloid cells and to be also inversely correlated with the expression of the proinflammatory CCL5[194]. If its expression is restituted, the activation of TNF-α-induced NF-κB is inhibited, indicating its regulatory role in inflammation. Increased histone H3 hyperacetylation in the TNF-α and cyclo-oxygenase 2 promoter regions has been shown in monocytes from type 1 diabetes mellitus and T2DM subjects[195], while histone H3 lysine 4

(H3K4) methylation has been related to monocyte dysfunction by stabilising NF-κBp65 at the promoter sites of inflammatory genes[196]. The liver is a metabolically active organ that is usually affected by inflammation in obese individuals. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that when hepatocytes are cultured with NEFA the up-regulation of the Brahma-related gene (Brg1) and Brahma protein are induced, which promote inflammation by increasing histone acetylation near the promoter regions of the IL-1, IL-6 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (Mcp1) genes. Thus, Brg1 is involved in NASH pathogenesis, behaving as an epigenetic coordinator of proinflammatory gene transcription[197]. Moreover, TNF-α and chemokine CCL2 are considered important inflammatory mediators in the development of NAFLD. By a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay, an increase in H3K9 and H3K18 acetylation at TNF-α and CCL2 in obese mice was demonstrated[198]. These results indicate that elevated levels of TNF-α and CCL2 expression in fatty liver are associated with the alterations in histone H3 acetylation[198]. Likewise, SIRT1, a class III HDAC, which is involved in the regulation of glucose homeostasis, antihyperlipidaemic activity, insulin sensitivity, oxidative stress, anti-inflammatory activity, and antiaging activity[199], has been shown to be down-regulated in the adipose tissue of obese individuals. This results in augmented macrophage recruitment through increased chemoattractant and cytokine production[200]. As mentioned above, this HDAC also inhibits NF-κB activity to diminish the inflammatory response and modulates other cytokines implicated in lipid metabolism[59,201]. Therefore, liver-specific deletion of SIRT1 has been shown to enhance fatty liver disease and obesity-induced inflammation, while SIRT1 overexpression exhibited a protective effect against steatohepatitis and insulin resistance[61,202]. In addition, another study performed in non-human primates has demonstrated that a maternal HFD increases the fetal acetylation of histone H3K14 and decreased SIRT1 expression in their fetal livers[203]. Moreover, a significant reduction in the expression of SIRT1 in NAFLD animal models has been reported, and natural SIRT1 activator has shown protective effects on metabolic diseases[204]. Furthermore, the mitochondrial SIRT3 has been shown to be required for maintenance of integrity in response to oxidative stress[205]. Mice deficient in SIRT3 displayed NASH[62], therefore indicating its role in regulating inflammation. Otherwise, several studies have demonstrated that aberrant chromatin modifications link metabolic perturbation to cellular transformation in the liver. In this sense, the expression of SIRT1 was found to be down-regulated in HCC, as it happens in NAFLD[206]. Indeed, overexpression of SIRT1 in a HFD model exposed to hepatic carcinogen protected mice from HCC[207], indicating a tumour-suppressive role for SIRT1.

Moreover, mice with genetic ablation of the enzyme that converts methionine to SAM (methionine adenosyl transferase 1A; MAT1A) displayed lower hepatic SAM levels, higher lipogenesis and oxidative stress; thus, they were predisposed to NAFLD-associated HCC[208,209]. On the other hand, mice defective in glycine N-methyltransferase, the SAM catabolic enzyme, displayed higher hepatic SAM levels but also developed NAFLD and HCC[191,210]. Thus, either an excess or a defect in SAM liver levels may result in aberrant methylation of

histones, deriving from epigenetic modulation of critical metabolic and carcinogenic pathways[211].

Non-coding RNA

Short non-coding miRNA and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) act in modulating translation and transcription of target genes, thus regulating a variety of biological functions[212]. miRNA play an important role in lipid metabolism and inflammation and some of these miRNA have been shown to be epigenetically regulated in NAFLD[213–215]. In this sense, the enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) is known as a histone–lysine *N*-methyltransferase enzyme that intervenes in histone methylation and transcriptional repression[216], catalysing the addition of methyl groups to histone H3 at lysine 27[217]. Moreover, a study performed in rats demonstrated that EZH2 inhibition induced up-regulation of TNF-α and TGF-β inflammatory genes as well as of miRNA-200b and miRNA-155, subsequently inducing hepatic steatosis in NAFLD[218]. Another study developed in patients with NAFLD showed differential expression of seven miRNA (miRNA-132, miRNA--150, miRNA-433, miRNA-28-3p, miRNA-511, miRNA-517a and miRNA-671) in the visceral adipose tissue, which target genes comprising the obestatin gene, insulin receptor pathway genes and inflammation-related genes[219].

Even though liver biopsy is the 'gold standard' for diagnosis of NAFLD, it is very invasive. Thus, serum miRNA panelling is currently being considered as a non-invasive technique that may be used as a biomarker for diagnosis and monitoring of NAFLD. A study showed that the levels of circulating miRNA--34a, miRNA-122, miRNA-181a, miRNA-192 and miRNA-200b were significantly correlated with inflammatory activity, fibrosis stage and liver enzyme levels in mice[220,221].

Different miRNA are differentially expressed both at the tissue and systemic levels in obese or T2DM individuals. The miRNA-146b-5p is involved in the modulation of inflammatory pathways and has been shown to be decreased in monocytes from obese[222] and T2DM[223] individuals. In addition, TLR4-induced inhibition of miRNA-107 is impaired in obesity, leading to an increased inflammatory response in macrophages[224]. Furthermore, the trafficking of inflammatory immune cells to the adipose tissue in obesity is controlled by the expression of chemokines[150]. An increased level of CCL2 in obese individuals which was associated with reduced miRNA-126 and miRNA-193b has been shown[225].

Interestingly, the liver-specific miRNA-122 is under-expressed in NASH patients[71]. As mentioned above, different studies have demonstrated that deletion of miRNA-122 in mice resulted in hepatic steatosis, inflammation and HCC[74,226]. These pathological manifestations were associated with hyperactivation of oncogenic pathways[74,226] and hepatic infiltration of inflammatory cells that produced IL-6 and TNF-α[74]. Furthermore, there is evidence indicating that miRNA-21, miRNA-103, miRNA-143 and miRNA-378 increase oxidative stress and inflammation in animal models with obesity and steatosis[227]. Using a NASH-related HCC model of rodents fed on a choline-deficient, low-methionine and amino acid-defined diet, authors found a significant increase in miRNA-21 expression at early stages of HCC[228]. Moreover, unsaturated fatty acids in hepatocytes induce steatosis mediated by mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)/NF-κB signalling pathway stimulation and concomitantly activate miRNA-21, that in turn suppresses the expression of the phosphatase and tensin homolog gene (*PTEN*)[229]. In HCC, inhibition of miRNA-21 increased PTEN expression, decreased tumour cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, suggesting that miRNA-21 and the tumour-suppressor PTEN pathway are involved in the NAFLD-related HCC development[230]. In addition, miRNA-143 was shown to not only regulate obesity-associated pathways but also promote HCC development and progression[59]. Furthermore, using diet-induced NASH models it was demonstrated that miRNA-155 is overexpressed in these livers and it was associated with the early stages of HCC[231,232]. At these early stages, up-regulation of miRNA-155 was related to the down-regulation of their target genes CCAAT/enhancer binding protein β (CEBPB) and SOCS1 that have been shown to suppress inflammation and HCC, respectively[232,233]. In addition, NF-κB activates miRNA-155 expression, thus linking NF-κB signalling to NAFLD-related HCC via miRNA deregulation[228].

On the other hand, the contribution of lncRNA to obesity-related inflammation is not completely understood. lncRNA E33 has been reported to be up-regulated in macrophages from diabetic and diet-induced diabetic mice[234]. lncRNA E33 over-expression in RAW cells was associated with the up-regulation of several proinflammatory genes while lncRNA E33 silencing reversed these effects[234]. The expression of non-coding RNA, in particular miRNA, constitutes an important epigenetic mechanism that coordinates downstream features of the immune system, including immune cell differentiation, function and recruitment.

Conclusion

Early-life malnutrition induces epigenetic alterations that modify the expression of genes involved in lipid and glucose metabolism, and also in the immune response developed in the context of NAFLD. There is limited knowledge about the interaction between early-life malnutrition and its long-term effects over the epigenetic modulation of the immune function, which ultimately favours NAFLD. Therefore, the strategy of modulating the epigenome to prevent and/or treat NAFLD, cirrhosis and HCC is still challenging. To progress in this field, it is necessary to have a better understanding of the epigenetic mechanisms that alter the immune system in malnutrition. This knowledge will offer us novel treatment interventions for vulnerable individuals, which is crucial to reduce worldwide mortality.

Acknowledgements

of the paper. All authors contributed and agreed on the final version of the manuscript.

The authors have no declarations of interest to declare.

References

1. Barker DJ (2004) The developmental origins of chronic adult disease. *Acta Paediatr* **93**, 26–33.
2. Brumbaugh DE & Friedman JE (2014) Developmental origins of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Pediatr Res* **75**, 140–147.
3. Gallego-Durán R & Romero-Gómez M (2015) Epigenetic mechanisms in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an emerging field. *World J Hepatol* **7**, 2497–2502.
4. Paparo L, di Costanzo M, di Scala C, *et al.* (2014) The influence of early life nutrition on epigenetic regulatory mechanisms of the immune system. *Nutrients* **6**, 4706–4719.
5. Singer C, Stancu P, Coşoveanu S, *et al.* (2014) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in children. *Curr Health Sci J* **40**, 170–176.
6. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, *et al.* (2013) Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* **382**, 427–451.
7. Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, *et al.* (2008) Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet* **371**, 340–357.
8. Hales CN & Barker DJP (1992) Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis. *Diabetologia* **35**, 595–601.
9. Gluckman PD & Hanson MA (2004) The developmental origins of the metabolic syndrome. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* **15**, 183–187.
10. Barker DJ, Eriksson JG & Forsén T (2002) Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of effects and biological basis. *Int J Epidemiol* **31**, 1235–1239.
11. Ravelli ACJ, van Der Meulen JH, Osmond C, *et al.* (1999) Obesity at the age of 50 y in men and women exposed to famine prenatally. *Am J Clin Nutr* **70**, 811–816.
12. Roseboom T, de Rooij S & Painter R (2006) The Dutch famine and its long-term consequences for adult health. *Early Hum Dev* **82**, 485–491.
13. Ross MG & Beall MH (2008) Adult sequelae of intrauterine growth restriction. *Semin Perinatol* **32**, 213–218.
14. Pettitt DJ, Baird HR, Aleck KA, *et al.* (1983) Excessive obesity in offspring of Pima Indian women with diabetes during pregnancy. *N Eng J Med* **308**, 242–245.
15. Shankar K, Harrell A, Liu X, *et al.* (2008) Maternal obesity at conception programs obesity in the offspring. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **294**, R528–R538.
16. Boney CM, Verma A, Tucker R, *et al.* (2005) Metabolic syndrome in childhood: association with birth weight, maternal obesity, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* **115**, e290–e296.
17. Whitaker RC (2004) Predicting preschooler obesity at birth: the role of maternal obesity in early pregnancy. *Pediatrics* **114**, e29–e36.
18. Pardee PE, Lavine E & Schwimmer JB (2009) Diagnosis and treatment of pediatric nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and the implications for bariatric surgery. *Semin Pediatr Surg* **18**, 144–151.
19. Correia-Branco A, Keating E & Martel F (2015) Maternal undernutrition and fetal developmental programming of obesity: the glucocorticoid connection. *Reprod Sci* **22**, 138–145.
20. Wang N, Chen Y, Ning Z, *et al.* (2016) Exposure to famine in early life and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **101**, 2218–2225.
21. Fraser A, Ebrahim S, Smith GD, *et al.* (2008) The associations between birthweight and adult markers of liver damage and function. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **22**, 12–21.
22. Wang N, Wang X, Han B, *et al.* (2015) Is exposure to famine in childhood and economic development in adulthood associated with diabetes? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **100**, 4514–4523.
23. Wang N, Wang X, Li Q, *et al.* (2017) The famine exposure in early life and metabolic syndrome in adulthood. *Clin Nutr* **36**, 253–259.
24. Cianfarani S, Agostoni C, Bedogni G, *et al.* (2012) Effect of intrauterine growth retardation on liver and long-term metabolic risk. *Int J Obes* **36**, 1270–1277.
25. Dietrich P & Hellerbrand C (2014) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* **28**, 637–653.
26. Byrne CD & Targher GJ (2015) NAFLD: a multisystem disease. *J Hepatol* **62**, Suppl. 1, S47–S64.
27. Ekstedt M, Franzén LE, Mathiesen UL, *et al.* (2006) Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. *Hepatology* **44**, 865–873.
28. Farazi PA & De Pinho RA (2006) Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. *Nat Rev Cancer* **6**, 674–687.
29. Wu SD, Ma YS, Fang Y, *et al.* (2012) Role of the microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma development and progression. *Cancer Treat Rev* **38**, 218–225.
30. Younossi ZM, Gramlich T, Matteoni CA, *et al.* (2004) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **2**, 262–265.
31. Park EJ, Lee JH, Yu GY, *et al.* (2010) Dietary and genetic obesity promote liver inflammation and tumorigenesis by enhancing IL-6 and TNF expression. *Cell* **140**, 197–208.
32. Stickel F & Hellerbrand C (2010) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma: mechanisms and implications. *Gut* **59**, 1303–1307.
33. Mirza MS (2011) Obesity, visceral fat, and NAFLD: querying the role of adipokines in the progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *ISRN Gastroenterol* **2011**, 592404.
34. Vinciguerra M, Carrozzino F, Peyrou M, *et al.* (2009) Unsaturated fatty acids promote hepatoma proliferation and progression through downregulation of the tumor suppressor PTEN. *J Hepatol* **50**, 1132–1141.
35. Wei Y, Wang D, Topczewski F, *et al.* (2006) Saturated fatty acids induce endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis independently of ceramide in liver cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **291**, E275–E281.
36. Malhi H, Bronk SF, Werneburg NW, *et al.* (2006) Free fatty acids induce JNK dependent hepatocyte lipoapoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 12093–12101.
37. Gallagher EJ & Le Roith D (2011) Mini review: IGF, insulin, and cancer. *Endocrinology* **152**, 2546–2551.
38. Jang H & Serra C (2014) Nutrition, epigenetics, and diseases. *Clin Nutr Res* **3**, 1–8.
39. Lee JH, Friso S & Choi SW (2014) Epigenetic mechanisms underlying the link between non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases and nutrition. *Nutrients* **6**, 3303–3325.
40. Franco R, Schoneveld O, Georgakilas AG, *et al.* (2008) Oxidative stress, DNA methylation and carcinogenesis. *Cancer Lett* **266**, 6–11.
41. Lahtz C & Pfeifer GP (2011) Epigenetic changes of DNA repair genes in cancer. *J Mol Cell Biol* **3**, 51–58.

42. Niculescu MD & Zeisel SH (2002) Diet, methyl donors and DNA methylation: interactions between dietary folate, methionine and choline. *J Nutr* **132**, Suppl. 8, 2333S–2335S.

43. Anderson OS, Sant KE & Dolinoy DC (2012) Nutrition and epigenetics: an interplay of dietary methyl donors, one-carbon metabolism, and DNA methylation. *J Nutr Biochem* **23**, 853–859.

44. Lillycrop KA, Phillips ES, Torrens C, et al. (2008) Feeding pregnant rats a protein-restricted diet persistently alters the methylation of specific cytosines in the hepatic PPARα promoter of the offspring. *Br J Nutr* **100**, 278–282.

45. Reamon-Buettner SM, Buschmann J & Lewin G (2014) Identifying placental epigenetic alterations in an intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) rat model induced by gestational protein deficiency. *Reprod Toxicol* **45**, 117–124.

46. Gong L, Pan YX & Chen H (2010) Gestational low protein diet in the rat mediates *Igf2* gene expression in male offspring via altered hepatic DNA methylation. *Epigenetics* **5**, 619–626.

47. Dudley KJ, Sloboda DM, Connor KL, et al. (2011) Offspring of mothers fed a high fat diet display hepatic cell cycle inhibition and associated changes in gene expression and DNA methylation. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e21662.

48. Pruis MGM, Lendvai A, Bloks VW, et al. (2014) Maternal Western diet primes non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adult mouse offspring. *Acta Physiol* **210**, 215–227.

49. Varga T, Czimmerer Z & Nagy L (2011) PPARs are a unique set of fatty acid regulated transcription factors controlling both lipid metabolism and inflammation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1812**, 1007–1022.

50. Sun C, Fan JG, Qiao L (2015) Potential epigenetic mechanism in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int J Mol Sci* **16**, 5161–5179.

51. Giby VG & Ajith TA (2014) Role of adipokines and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Hepatol* **6**, 570–579.

52. Sookoian S, Rosselli MS, Gemma C, et al. (2010) Epigenetic regulation of insulin resistance in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: impact of liver methylation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator 1α promoter. *Hepatology* **52**, 1992–2000.

53. Chen G, Broséus J, Hergalant S, et al. (2015) Identification of master genes involved in liver key functions through transcriptomics and epigenomics of methyl donor deficiency in rat: relevance to nonalcoholic liver disease. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **59**, 293–302.

54. Pirola CJ, Gianotti TF, Burgueño AL, et al. (2013) Epigenetic modification of liver mitochondrial DNA is associated with histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gut* **62**, 1356–1363.

55. Murphy SK, Yang H, Moylan CA, et al. (2013) Relationship between methylome and transcriptome in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 1076–1087.

56. Ahrens M, Ammerpohl O, von Schönfels W, et al. (2013) DNA methylation analysis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease suggests distinct disease-specific and remodeling signatures after bariatric surgery. *Cell Metab* **18**, 296–302.

57. Heijmans BT, Tobi EW, Stein AD, et al. (2008) Persistent epigenetic differences associated with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **105**, 17046–17049.

58. Ling C & Groop L (2009) Epigenetics: a molecular link between environmental factors and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* **58**, 2718–2725.

59. Tian Y, Wong VW, Chan HL, et al. (2013) Epigenetic regulation of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Semin Cancer Biol* **23**, 471–482.

60. Feige J & Auwerx J (2008) Transcriptional targets of sirtuins in the coordination of mammalian physiology. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **20**, 303–309.

61. Purushotham A, Schug TT, Xu Q, et al. (2009) Hepatocyte-specific deletion of SIRT1 alters fatty acid metabolism and results in hepatic steatosis and inflammation. *Cell Metab* **9**, 327–338.

62. Hirschey MD, Shimazu T, Jing E, et al. (2011) SIRT3 deficiency and mitochondrial protein hyperacetylation accelerate the development of the metabolic syndrome. *Mol Cell* **44**, 177–190.

63. Aagaard-Tillery KM, Grove K, Bishop J, et al. (2008) Developmental origins of disease and determinants of chromatin structure: maternal diet modifies the primate fetal epigenome. *J Mol Endocrinol* **41**, 91–102.

64. Bricambert J, Miranda J, Benhamed F, et al. (2010) Salt-inducible kinase 2 links transcriptional coactivator p300 phosphorylation to the prevention of ChREBP-dependent hepatic steatosis in mice. *J Clin Invest* **120**, 4316–4331.

65. Feng D, Liu T, Sun Z, et al. (2011) A circadian rhythm orchestrated by histone deacetylase 3 controls hepatic lipid metabolism. *Science* **331**, 1315–1319.

66. Mazzoccoli G, Vinciguerra M, Oben J, et al. (2014) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: the role of nuclear receptors and circadian rhythmicity. *Liver Int* **34**, 1133–1152.

67. Gueant JL, Namour F, Gueant-Rodriguez RM, et al. (2013) Folate and fetal programming: a play in epigenomics? *Trends Endocrinol Metab* **24**, 279–289.

68. Portha B, Fournier A, Kioon MD, et al. (2014) Early environmental factors, alteration of epigenetic marks and metabolic disease susceptibility. *Biochimie* **97**, 1–15.

69. Lynn FC (2009) Meta-regulation: microRNA regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* **20**, 452–459.

70. Lakner AM, Bonkovsky HL & Schrum LW (2011) micro-RNAs: fad or future of liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* **17**, 2536–2542.

71. Cheung O, Puri P, Eicken C, et al. (2008) Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is associated with altered hepatic microRNA expression. *Hepatology* **48**, 1810–1820.

72. Zhang J, Zhang F, Didelot X, et al. (2009) BMC maternal high fat diet during pregnancy and lactation alters hepatic expression of insulin like growth factor-2 and key microRNAs in the adult offspring. *Genomics* **10**, 478.

73. Tessitore A, Cicciarelli G, Del Vecchio F, et al. (2016) MicroRNA expression analysis in high fat diet-induced NAFLD-NASH-HCC progression: study on C57BL/6J mice. *BMC Cancer* **16**, 3.

74. Hsu SH, Wang B, Kota J, et al. (2012) Essential metabolic, anti-inflammatory, and anti-tumorigenic functions of miR-122 in liver. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 2871–2883.

75. Wen J & Friedman JR (2012) miR-122 regulates hepatic lipid metabolism and tumor suppression. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 2773–2776.

76. Seki E & Brenner DA (2008) Toll-like receptors and adaptor molecules in liver disease: update. *Hepatology* **48**, 322–335.

77. Machado M, Marques-Vidal P & Cortez-Pinto H (2006) Hepatic histology in obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. *J Hepatol* **45**, 600–606.

78. Gregor MF & Hotamisligil GS (2011) Inflammatory mechanisms in obesity. *Annu Rev Immunol* **29**, 415–445.

79. Bartz S, Mody A, Hornik C, et al. (2014) Severe acute malnutrition in childhood: hormonal and metabolic status

at presentation, response to treatment, and predictors of mortality. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **99**, 2128–2137.

80. Robinson MW, Harmon C & O'Farrelly C (2016) Liver immunology and its role in inflammation and homeostasis. *Cell Mol Immunol* **13**, 267–276.

81. Smedsrod B, De Bleser PJ, Braet F, *et al.* (1994) Cell biology of liver endothelial and Kupffer cells. *Gut* **35**, 1509–1516.

82. Bilzer M, Roggel F & Gerbes AL (2006) Role of Kupffer cells in host defense and liver disease. *Liver Int* **26**, 1175–1186.

83. Su GL, Klein RD, Aminlari A, *et al.* (2000) Kupffer cell activation by lipopolysaccharide in rats: role for lipopolysaccharide binding protein and toll-like receptor 4. *Hepatology* **31**, 932–936.

84. Schieferdecker HL, Schlaf G, Jungermann K, *et al.* (2001) Functions of anaphylatoxin C5a in rat liver: direct and indirect actions on nonparenchymal and parenchymal cells. *Int Immunopharmacol* **1**, 469–481.

85. van Egmond M, van Garderen E, van Spriel AB, *et al.* (2000) FcαRI-positive liver Kupffer cells: reappraisal of the function of immunoglobulin A in immunity. *Nat Med* **6**, 680–685.

86. Wu J, Meng Z, Jiang M, *et al.* (2010) Toll-like receptor-induced innate immune responses in non-parenchymal liver cells are cell type-specific. *Immunology* **129**, 363–374.

87. Miura K, Yang L, van Rooijen N, *et al.* (2013) Toll-like receptor 2 and palmitic acid cooperatively contribute to the development of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis through inflammasome activation in mice. *Hepatology* **57**, 577–589.

88. Sica A & Mantovani A (2012) Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 787–795.

89. Biswas SK & Mantovani A (2012) Orchestration of metabolism by macrophages. *Cell Metab* **15**, 432–437.

90. Paz K, Hemi R, Le Roith D, *et al.* (1997) A molecular basis for insulin resistance. Elevated serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and IRS-2 inhibits their binding to the juxta membrane region of the insulin receptor and impairs their ability to undergo insulin-induced tyrosine phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* **272**, 29911–29918.

91. Abiru S, Migita K, Maeda Y, *et al.* (2006) Serum cytokine and soluble cytokine receptor levels in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Liver Int* **26**, 39–45.

92. Haukeland JW, Damas JK, Konopski Z, *et al.* (2006) Systemic inflammation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is characterized by elevated levels of CCL2. *J Hepatol* **44**, 1167–1174.

93. Bonizzi G & Karin M (2004) The two NF-κB activation pathways and their role in innate and adaptive immunity. *Trends Immunol* **25**, 280–288.

94. Lawrence T & Gilroy DW (2007) Chronic inflammation: a failure of resolution? *Int J Exp Pathol* **88**, 85–94.

95. Hagemann T, Lawrence T, McNeish I, *et al.* (2008) "Re-educating" tumor-associated macrophages by targeting NF-κB. *J Exp Med* **205**, 1261–1268.

96. Gatselis NK, Ntaios G, Makaritsis K, *et al.* (2013) Adiponectin: a key playmaker adipocytokine in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Exp Med* **14**, 121–131.

97. Takeda N, O'Dea EL, Doedens A, *et al.* (2010) Differential activation and antagonistic function of HIF-α isoforms in macrophages are essential for NO homeostasis. *Genes Dev* **24**, 491–501.

98. Han MS, Jung DY, Morel C, *et al.* (2013) JNK expression by macrophages promotes obesity-induced insulin resistance and inflammation. *Science* **339**, 218–222.

99. Liu Y, Chen K, Wang C, *et al.* (2013) Cell surface receptor FPR2 promotes antitumor host defense by limiting m2 polarization of macrophages. *Cancer Res* **73**, 550–560.

100. Wan J, Benkdane M, Teixeira-Clerc F, *et al.* (2014) M2 Kupffer cells promote M1 Kupffer cell apoptosis: a protective mechanism against alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* **59**, 130–142.

101. Ekihiro S & Brenner DA (2008) Toll-like receptors and adaptor molecules in liver disease: updates. *Hepatology* **48**, 322–335.

102. Aoyama T, Paik YH & Seki E (2010) Toll-like receptor signalling and liver fibrosis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* **2010**, 192543.

103. Iimuro Y & Fujimoto J (2010) TLRs, NF-κB, JNK, and liver regeneration. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* **2010**, 598109.

104. Miura K, Kodama Y, Inokuchi S, *et al.* (2010) Toll-like receptor 9 promotes steatohepatitis by induction of interleukin-1β in mice. *Gastroenterology* **139**, 323–334.

105. Rivera CA, Gaskin L, Allman M, *et al.* (2010) Toll-like receptor-2 deficiency enhances non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *BMC Gastroenterol* **10**, 52.

106. Csak T, Velayudham A, Hritz I, *et al.* (2011) Deficiency in myeloid differentiation factor-2 and toll-like receptor 4 expression attenuates non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis in mice. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* **300**, 433–441.

107. Spruss A, Kanuri G, Wagnerberger S, *et al.* (2009) Toll-like receptor 4 is involved in the development of fructose-induced hepatic steatosis in mice. *Hepatology* **50**, 1094–1104.

108. Martinon F, Mayor A & Tschopp J (2009) The inflammasomes: guardians of the body. *Annu Rev Immunol* **27**, 229–265.

109. Pedra JH, Cassel SL & Sutterwala FS (2009) Sensing pathogens and danger signals by the inflammasome. *Curr Opin Immunol* **21**, 10–16.

110. Dixon LJ, Flask CA, Papouchado BG, *et al.* (2013) Caspase-1 as a central regulator of high fat diet-induced non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e56100.

111. Csak T, Ganz M, Pespisa J, *et al.* (2011) Fatty acid and endotoxin activate inflammasomes in mouse hepatocytes that release danger signals to stimulate immune cells. *Hepatology* **54**, 133–144.

112. Eun-Kyeong J, Jin Kyung K, Dong-Min S, *et al.* (2016) Molecular mechanisms regulating NLRP3 inflammasome activation. *Cell Mol Immunol* **13**, 148–159.

113. Doherty DG (2016) Antigen-presenting cell function in the tolerogenic liver environment. *J Autoimmun* **66**, 60–75.

114. Rahman AH & Aloman C (2013) Dendritic cells and liver fibrosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1832**, 998–1004.

115. Eckert C, Klein N, Kormek M, *et al.* (2016) The complex myeloid network of the liver with diverse functional capacity at steady state and in inflammation. *Front Immunol* **6**, 179.

116. Heymann F & Take F (2016) Immunology of the liver – from homeostasis to disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenteol Hepatol* **13**, 88–110.

117. Thomson AW & Knolle PA (2010) Antigen-presenting cell function in the tolerogenic liver environment. *Nat Rev Immunol* **10**, 753–766.

118. Ibrahim J, Nguyen AH, Rehman A, *et al.* (2012) Dendritic cell populations with different concentrations of lipid regulate tolerance and immunity in mouse and human liver. *Gastroenterology* **143**, 1061–1072.

119. Henning JR, Graffeo CS, Rehman A, *et al.* (2013) Dendritic cells limit fibroinflammatory injury in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. *Hepatology* **58**, 589–602.

120. Sutti S, Jindal A, Locatelli I, *et al.* (2014) Adaptive immune responses triggered by oxidative stress

contribute to hepatic inflammation in NASH. *Hepatology* **59**, 886–897.

121. Wolf MJ, Adili A, Piotrowitz K, *et al.* (2014) Metabolic activation of intrahepatic CD8⁺ T cells and NKT cells causes nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and liver cancer via cross-talk with hepatocytes. *Cancer Cell* **26**, 549–564.

122. Heier E-C, Meier A, Julich-Haertel H, *et al.* (2017) Murine CD103⁺ dendritic cells protect against steatosis progression towards steatohepatitis. *J Hepatol* **66**, 1241–1250.

123. Bernsmeier C & Albano E (2017) Liver dendritic cells and NAFLD evolution: a remaining open issue. *J Hepatol* **66**, 1120–1122.

124. Dutertre CA, Wang LF & Ginhoux F (2014) Aligning *bona fide* dendritic cell populations across species. *Cell Immunol* **291**, 3–10.

125. Kelly A, Fahey R, Fletcher JM, *et al.* (2014) CD141⁺ myeloid dendritic cells are enriched in healthy human liver. *J Hepatol* **60**, 135–142.

126. Gabrilovich DI & Nagaraj S (2009) Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**, 162–174.

127. Höchst B, Schildberg FA, Sauerborn P, *et al.* (2013) Activated human hepatic stellate cells induce myeloid derived suppressor cells from peripheral blood monocytes in a CD44-dependent fashion. *J Hepatol* **59**, 528–535.

128. Chou HS, Hsieh CC, Yang HR, *et al.* (2011) Hepatic stellate cells regulate immune response by way of induction of myeloid suppressor cells in mice. *Hepatology* **53**, 1007–1019.

129. Hsieh CC, Chou HS, Yang HR, *et al.* (2013) The role of complement component 3 (C3) in differentiation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Blood* **121**, 1760–1768.

130. Yen BL, Yen ML, Hsu PJ, *et al.* (2013) Multipotent human mesenchymal stromal cells mediate expansion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells via hepatocyte growth factor/c-met and STAT3. *Stem Cell Reports* **1**, 139–151.

131. Chen S, Akbar SMF, Abe M, *et al.* (2011) Immunosuppressive functions of hepatic myeloid-derived suppressor cells of normal mice and in a murine model of chronic hepatitis B virus. *Clin Exp Immunol* **166**, 134–142.

132. Pallett LJ, Gill US, Quaglia A, *et al.* (2015) Metabolic regulation of hepatitis B immunopathology by myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Nat Med* **21**, 591–600.

133. Schneider C, Teufel A, Yevsa T, *et al.* (2012) Adaptive immunity suppresses formation and progression of diethylnitrosamine-induced liver cancer. *Gut* **61**, 1733–1743.

134. Hammerich L & Tacke F (2015) Emerging roles of myeloid derived suppressor cells in hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol* **6**, 43–50.

135. Yao L, Abe M, Kawasaki K, *et al.* (2016) Characterization of liver monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells and their role in a murine model of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0149948.

136. Huang B, Lei Z, Zhao J, *et al.* (2007) CCL2/CCR2 pathway mediates recruitment of myeloid suppressor cells to cancers. *Cancer Lett* **252**, 86–92.

137. Boelte KC, Gordy LE, Joyce S, *et al.* (2011) Rgs2 mediates pro-angiogenic function of myeloid derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment via upregulation of MCP-1. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e18534.

138. Hale M, Itani F, Buchta CM, *et al.* (2015) Obesity triggers enhanced MDSC accumulation in murine renal tumors via elevated local production of CCL2. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0118784.

139. Ganz M & Szabo G (2013) Immune and inflammatory pathways in NASH. *Hepatol Int* **7**, 771–781.

140. Tian Z, Chen Y & Gao B (2013) Natural killer cells in liver disease. *Hepatology* **57**, 1654–1662.

141. Bhattacharjee Kumar J, Arindkar JMS, Das B, *et al.* (2014) Role of immunodeficient animal models in the development of fructose induced NAFLD. *J Nutr Biochem* **25**, 219–226.

142. Krizhanovsky V, Yon M, Dickins RA, *et al.* (2008) Senescence of activated stellate cells limits liver fibrosis. *Cell* **134**, 657–667.

143. Radaeva S, Wang L, Radaev S, *et al.* (2007) Retinoic acid signaling sensitizes hepatic stellate cells to NK cell killing via upregulation of NK cell activating ligand RAE1. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* **293**, G809–G816.

144. Wehr A, Baeck C, Heymann F, *et al.* (2013) Chemokine receptor CXCR6-dependent hepatic NK T cell accumulation promotes inflammation and liver fibrosis. *J Immunol* **190**, 5226–5236.

145. Syn WK, Agboola KM, Swiderska M, *et al.* (2012) NKT associated Hedgehog and osteopontin drive fibrogenesis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gut* **61**, 1323–1329.

146. Norris S, Collins C, Doherty DG, *et al.* (1998) Resident human hepatic lymphocytes are phenotypically different from circulating lymphocytes. *J Hepatol* **28**, 84–90.

147. Pruvot FR, Navarro F, Janin A, *et al.* (1995) Characterization, quantification, and localization of passenger T lymphocytes and NK cells in human liver before transplantation. *Transpl Int* **8**, 273–279.

148. Romagnani S (1992) Type 1 T helper and type 2 T helper cells: functions, regulation and role in protection and disease. *Int J Clin Lab Res* **21**, 152–158.

149. Tang Y, Bian Z, Zhao L, *et al.* (2011) Interleukin-17 exacerbates hepatic steatosis and inflammation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* **166**, 281–290.

150. Sell H, Habich C & Eckel J (2012) Adaptive immunity in obesity and insulin resistance. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **8**, 709–716.

151. Brunt EM (2010) Pathology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **7**, 195–203.

152. Inzaugarat ME, Ferreyra Solari NE, Billordo LA, *et al.* (2011) Altered phenotype and functionality of circulating immune cells characterize adult patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Clin Immunol* **31**, 1120–1130.

153. Boujedidi H, Robert O, Bignon A, *et al.* (2015) CXCR4 dysfunction in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in mice and patients. *Clin Sci (Lond)* **128**, 257–267.

154. Tan Z, Qian X, Jiang R, *et al.* (2013) IL-17A plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis through hepatic stellate cell activation. *J Immunol* **191**, 1835–1844.

155. Meng F, Wang K, Aoyama T, *et al.* (2012) Interleukin-17 signaling in inflammatory, Kupffer cells, and hepatic stellate cells exacerbates liver fibrosis in mice. *Gastroenterology* **143**, 765–776.

156. Rau M, Schilling AK, Meertens J, *et al.* (2016) Progression from nonalcoholic fatty liver to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is marked by a higher frequency of Th17 cells in the liver and an increased Th17/resting regulatory T cell ratio in peripheral blood and in the liver. *J Immunol* **196**, 97–105.

157. Albano E, Mottaran E, Vidali M, *et al.* (2005) Immune response towards lipid peroxidation products as a predictor of progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to advanced fibrosis. *Gut* **54**, 987–993.

158. Nobili V, Parola M, Alisi A, *et al.* (2010) Oxidative stress parameters in paediatric non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int J Mol Med* **26**, 471–476.

159. Winer DA, Winer S, Shen L, *et al.* (2011) B cells promote insulin resistance through modulation of T cells and

production of pathogenic IgG antibodies. *Nat Med* **17**, 610–617.

160. Kawasaki K, Abe M, Tada F, *et al.* (2013) Blockade of B-cell activating factor signaling enhances hepatic steatosis induced by a high-fat diet and improves insulin sensitivity. *Lab Invest* **93**, 311–321.

161. Kim DH & Do MS (2015) BAFF knockout improves systemic inflammation via regulating adipose tissue distribution in high-fat diet-induced obesity. *Exp Mol Med* **47**, e129.

162. Weiskirchen R & Tacke F (2014) Cellular and molecular functions of hepatic stellate cells in inflammatory responses and liver immunology. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* **3**, 344–363.

163. Thapa M, Chinnadurai R, Velazquez VM, *et al.* (2015) Liver fibrosis occurs through dysregulation of MyD88-dependent innate B cell activity. *Hepatology* **61**, 2067–2079.

164. Ramadori G & Saile B (2004) Portal tract fibrogenesis in the liver. *Lab Invest* **84**, 153–159.

165. Kobold D, Grundmann A, Piscaglia F, *et al.* (2002) Expression of reelin in hepatic stellate cells and during hepatic tissue repair: a novel marker for the differentiation of HSC from other liver myofibroblasts. *J Hepatol* **36**, 607–613.

166. Dranoff JA, Kruglov EA, Robson SC, *et al.* (2002) The ecto-nucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase NTPDase2/CD39L1 is expressed in a novel functional compartment within the liver. *Hepatology* **36**, 1135–1144.

167. Elpek GO (2014) Cellular and molecular mechanisms in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis: an update. *World J Gastroenterol* **20**, 7260–7276.

168. Guy CD, Suzuki A, Zdanowicz M, *et al.* (2012) Hedgehog pathway activation parallels histologic severity of injury and fibrosis in human nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* **55**, 1711–1721.

169. Seki E, De Minicis S, Osterreicher CH, *et al.* (2007) TLR4 enhances TGF-β signaling and hepatic fibrosis. *Nat Med* **13**, 1324–1332.

170. Liu S, Gallo DJ, Green AM, *et al.* (2002) Role of toll-like receptors in changes in gene expression and NFκB activation in mouse hepatocytes stimulated with lipopolysaccharide. *Infect Immun* **70**, 3433–3442.

171. Matsumura T, Degawa T, Takii T, *et al.* (2003) TRAF6-NF-κB pathway is essential for interleukin-1-induced TLR2 expression and its functional response to TLR2 ligand in murine hepatocytes. *Immunology* **109**, 127–136.

172. Chiba M, Sasaki M, Kitamura S, *et al.* (2011) Participation of bile ductular cells in the pathological progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Clin Pathol* **64**, 564–570.

173. Harada K, Ohira S, Isse K, *et al.* (2003) Lipopolysaccharide activates nuclear factor-κB through toll-like receptors and related molecules in cultured biliary epithelial cells. *Lab Invest* **83**, 1657–1667.

174. Lleo A & Invernizzi P (2013) Apotopes and innate immune system: novel players in the primary biliary cirrhosis scenario. *Dig Liver Dis* **45**, 630–636.

175. Uhrig A, Banafsche R, Kremer M, *et al.* (2005) Development and functional consequences of LPS tolerance in sinusoidal endothelial cells of the liver. *J Leukoc Biol* **77**, 626–633.

176. Crispe IN (2009) The liver as a lymphoid organ. *Annu Rev Immunol* **27**, 147–163.

177. Barrès R, Kirchner H, Rasmussen M, *et al.* (2013) Weight loss after gastric bypass surgery in human obesity remodels promoter methylation. *Cell Rep* **3**, 1020–1027.

178. Donkin I, Versteyhe S, Ingerslev LR, *et al.* (2016) Obesity and bariatric surgery drive epigenetic variation of spermatozoa in humans. *Cell Metab* **23**, 369–378.

179. Martínez D, Pentinat T, Ribó S, *et al.* (2014) In utero undernutrition in male mice programs liver lipid metabolism in the second-generation offspring involving altered Lxra DNA methylation. *Cell Metab* **19**, 941–951.

180. Mann J, Chu DC, Maxwell A, *et al.* (2010) MeCP2 controls an epigenetic pathway that promotes myofibroblast trans-differentiation and fibrosis. *Gastroenterology* **138**, 705–714.

181. Zeybel M, Hardy T, Wong YK, *et al.* (2012) Multigenerational epigenetic adaptation of the hepatic wound-healing response. *Nat Med* **18**, 1369–1377.

182. Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Afendy M, *et al.* (2011) Changes in the prevalence of the most common causes of chronic liver diseases in the United States from 1988 to 2008. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **9**, 524–530.e1.

183. Wang X, Zhu H, Snieder H, *et al.* (2010) Obesity related methylation changes in DNA of peripheral blood leukocytes. *BMC Med* **8**, 87.

184. Hermsdorff HH, Mansego ML, Campión J, *et al.* (2013) TNF-α promoter methylation in peripheral white blood cells: relationship with circulating TNFα, truncal fat and *n*-6 PUFA intake in young women. *Cytokine* **64**, 265–271.

185. Simar D, Versteyhe S, Donkin I, *et al.* (2014) DNA methylation is altered in B and NK lymphocytes in obese and type 2 diabetic human. *Metabolism* **63**, 1188–1197.

186. Yang X, Wang X, Liu D, *et al.* (2014) Epigenetic regulation of macrophage polarization by DNA methyl transferase 3b. *Mol Endocrinol* **28**, 565–574.

187. Herath NI, Leggett BA & MacDonald GA (2006) Review of genetic and epigenetic alterations in hepatocarcinogenesis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **21**, 15–21.

188. Zhou Y, Zhang X & Klibanski A (2014) Genetic and epigenetic mutations of tumor suppressive genes in sporadic pituitary adenoma. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **386**, 16–33.

189. Amodio N, Bellizzi D, Leotta M, *et al.* (2013) miR-29b induces SOCS-1 expression by promoter demethylation and negatively regulates migration of multiple myeloma and endothelial cells. *Cell Cycle* **12**, 3650–3662.

190. Cheng C, Huang C, Ma TT, *et al.* (2014) SOCS1 hypermethylation mediated by DNMT1 is associated with lipopolysaccharide induced inflammatory cytokines in macrophages. *Toxicol Lett* **225**, 488–497.

191. Martinez-Chantar ML, Vazquez-Chantada M, Ariz U, *et al.* (2008) Loss of the glycine *N*-methyltransferase gene leads to steatosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. *Hepatology* **47**, 1191–1199.

192. Schoenborn JR, Dorschner MO, Sekimata M, *et al.* (2007) Comprehensive epigenetic profiling identifies multiple distal regulatory elements directing transcription of the gene encoding interferon-γ. *Nat Immunol* **8**, 732–742.

193. Di Spirito JR & Shen H (2010) Histone acetylation at the single-cell level: a marker of memory CD8+ T cell differentiation and functionality. *J Immunol* **184**, 4631–4636.

194. Abu-Farha M, Tiss A, Abubaker J, *et al.* (2013) Proteomics analysis of human obesity reveals the epigenetic factor HDAC4 as a potential target for obesity. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e75342.

195. Miao F, Gonzalo IG, Lanting L, *et al.* (2004) In vivo chromatin remodeling events leading to inflammatory gene transcription under diabetic conditions. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 18091–18097.

196. Li Y, Reddy MA, Miao F, *et al.* (2008) Role of the histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferase, SET7/9, in the regulation of NF-κB-dependent inflammatory genes: relevance to diabetes and inflammation. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 26771–26781.

197. Tian W, Xu H, Fang F, *et al.* (2013) Brahma-related gene 1 bridges epigenetic regulation of proinflammatory cytokine production to steatohepatitis in mice. *Hepatology* **58**, 576–588.

198. Mikula M, Majewska A, Ledwon JK, *et al.* (2014) Obesity increases histone H3 lysine 9 and 18 acetylation at TNFα and CCL2 genes in mouse liver. *Int J Mol Med* **34**, 1647–1654.

199. Colak Y, Yesil A, Mutlu HH, *et al.* (2014) A potential treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with SIRT1 activators. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* **23**, 311–319.

200. Gillum MP, Kotas ME, Erion DM, *et al.* (2011) SirT1 regulates adipose tissue inflammation. *Diabetes* **60**, 3235–3245.

201. Herranz D & Serrano M (2010) SIRT1: recent lessons from mouse models. *Nat Rev Cancer* **10**, 819–823.

202. Escande C, Chini CC, Nin V, *et al.* (2010) Deleted in breast cancer-1 regulates SIRT1 activity and contributes to high-fat diet-induced liver steatosis in mice. *J Clin Invest* **120**, 545–558.

203. Suter MA, Chen A, Burdine MS, *et al.* (2012) A maternal high-fat diet modulates fetal SIRT1 histone and protein deacetylase activity in nonhuman primates. *FASEB J* **26**, 5106–5114.

204. Colak Y, Ozturk O, Senates E, *et al.* (2011) SIRT1 as a potential therapeutic target for treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Med Sci Monit* **17**, HY5–HY9.

205. Kim HS, Patel K, Muldoon-Jacobs K, *et al.* (2010) SIRT3 is a mitochondria-localized tumor suppressor required for maintenance of mitochondrial integrity and metabolism during stress. *Cancer Cell* **17**, 41–52.

206. Wang RH, Sengupta K, Li C, *et al.* (2008) Impaired DNA damage response, genome instability, and tumorigenesis in SIRT1 mutant mice. *Cancer Cell* **14**, 312–323.

207. Herranz D, Muñoz-Martin M, Canamero M, *et al.* (2010) Sirt1 improves healthy ageing and protects from metabolic syndrome-associated cancer. *Nat Commun* **1**, 3.

208. Lu SC, Alvarez L, Huang ZZ, *et al.* (2001) Methionine adenosyl transferase 1A knockout mice are predisposed to liver injury and exhibit increased expression of genes involved in proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**, 5560–5565.

209. Martinez-Chantar ML, Corrales FJ, Martinez-Cruz LA, *et al.* (2002) Spontaneous oxidative stress and liver tumors in mice lacking methionine adenosyltransferase 1A. *FASEB J* **16**, 1292–1294.

210. Liao YJ, Liu SP, Lee CM, *et al.* (2009) Characterization of a glycine N-methyltransferase gene knockout mouse model for hepatocellular carcinoma: implications of the gender disparity in liver cancer susceptibility. *Int J Cancer* **124**, 816–826.

211. Lu SC & Mato JM (2012) *S*-adenosylmethionine in liver health, injury, and cancer. *Physiol Rev* **92**, 1515–1542.

212. Wang Z, Yao H, Lin S, *et al.* (2013) Transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of human microRNAs. *Cancer Lett* **331**, 1–10.

213. Finch ML, Marquardt JU, Yeoh GC, *et al.* (2014) Regulation of microRNAs and their role in liver development, regeneration and disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **54**, 288–303.

214. Ferreira DM, Simão AL, Rodrigues CM, *et al.* (2014) Revisiting the metabolic syndrome and paving the way for microRNAs in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *FEBS J* **281**, 2503–2524.

215. Panera N, Gnani D, Crudele A, *et al.* (2014) MicroRNAs as controlled systems and controllers in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* **20**, 15079–15086.

216. Viré E, Brenner C, Deplus R, *et al.* (2006) The Polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation. *Nature* **439**, 871–874.

217. Cao R, Wang L, Wang H, *et al.* (2002) Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* **298**, 1039–1043.

218. Vella S, Gnani D, Crudele A, *et al.* (2013) EZH2 down-regulation exacerbates lipid accumulation and inflammation in *in vitro* and *in vivo* NAFLD. *Int J Mol Sci* **14**, 24154–24168.

219. Estep M, Armistead D, Hossain N, *et al.* (2010) Differential expression of miRNAs in the visceral adipose tissue of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **32**, 487–497.

220. Cermelli S, Ruggieri A, Marrero JA, *et al.* (2011) Circulating microRNAs in patients with chronic hepatitis C and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e23937.

221. Tryndyak VP, Latendresse JR, Montgomery B, *et al.* (2012) Plasma microRNAs are sensitive indicators of inter-strain differences in the severity of liver injury induced in mice by a choline- and folate-deficient diet. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **262**, 52–59.

222. Hulsmans M, Van Dooren E, Mathieu C, *et al.* (2012) Decrease of miR-146b-5p in monocytes during obesity is associated with loss of the anti-inflammatory but not insulin signaling action of adiponectin. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e32794.

223. Balasubramanyam M, Aravind S, Gokulakrishnan K, *et al.* (2011) Impaired miR-146a expression links subclinical inflammation and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes. *Mol Cell Biochem* **351**, 197–205.

224. Foley NH & O'Neill LA (2012) miR-107: a Toll-like receptor-regulated miRNA dysregulated in obesity and type II diabetes. *J Leukoc Biol* **92**, 521–527.

225. Arner E, Mejhert N, Kulyté A, *et al.* (2012) Adipose tissue microRNAs as regulators of CCL2 production in human obesity. *Diabetes* **61**, 1986–1993.

226. Tsai WC, Hsu SD, Hsu CS, *et al.* (2012) MicroRNA-122 plays a critical role in liver homeostasis and hepatocarcinogenesis. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 2884–2897.

227. Hulsmans M, de Keyzer D, Holvoet P (2011) MicroRNAs regulating oxidative stress and inflammation in relation to obesity and atherosclerosis. *FASEB J* **25**, 2515–2527.

228. Wang B, Majumder S, Nuovo G, *et al.* (2009) Role of microRNA-155 at early stages of hepatocarcinogenesis induced by choline-deficient and amino acid-defined diet in C57BL/6 mice. *Hepatology* **50**, 1152–1161.

229. Vinciguerra M, Sgroi A, Veyrat-Durebex C, *et al.* (2009) Unsaturated fatty acids inhibit the expression of tumor suppressor hosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) via microRNA-21 up-regulation in hepatocytes. *Hepatology* **49**, 1176–1184.

230. Meng F, Henson R, Wehbe-Janek H, *et al.* (2007) MicroRNA-21 regulates expression of the *PTEN* tumor suppressor gene in human hepatocellular cancer. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 647–658.

231. Pogribny IP, Starlard-Davenport A, Tryndyak VP, *et al.* (2010) Difference in expression of hepatic microRNAs miR-29c, miR-34a, miR-155, and miR-200b is associated with strain-specific susceptibility to dietary nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. *Lab Invest* **90**, 1437–1446.

232. Yan XL, Jia YL, Chen L, *et al.* (2013) Hepatocellular carcinoma-associated mesenchymal stem cells promote hepatocarcinoma progression: role of the S100A4-miR155-SOCS1-MMP9 axis. *Hepatology* **57**, 2274–2286.

233. Worm J, Stenvang J, Petri A, *et al.* (2009) Silencing of microRNA-155 in mice during acute inflammatory response leads to derepression of c/ebp β and down-regulation of G-CSF. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, 5784–5792.

234. Reddy MA, Chen Z, Park JT, *et al.* (2014) Regulation of inflammatory phenotype in macrophages by a diabetes-induced long non-coding RNA. *Diabetes* **63**, 4249–4261.