

doi:10.1017/S0029665124000053

Proceedings of the Nutrition Society (2024), 1–9 © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

> The Nutrition Society Summer Conference 2022 was hosted collaboratively by Sheffield Hallam University, the University of Sheffield and Sheffield City Council, 12th-15th July 2022

Conference on 'Food and Nutrition: Pathways to a Sustainable Future' Postgraduate Symposium

Association between body composition and cardiometabolic disease risk: role of dietary fat intake and APOLIPOPROTEIN E genotype on this relationship

Ezgi Ozen, Julie A. Lovegrove and Kim G. Jackson*

Hugh Sinclair Unit of Nutrition, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research and Institute for Food, Nutrition and Health, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6DZ, UK

> Excess body weight is associated with increased mortality and risk of developing CVD. Body fat distribution is now considered a better indicator of disease risk than BMI, with central adiposity associated with dyslipidaemia and insulin resistance. Dietary modification is unquestionably important in the prevention of obesity and CVD, with the type but not the amount of dietary fat emerging as an important determinant of both diseases. Although reducing SFA intake via replacement with unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) is a key public health strategy for CVD prevention, variability in the lipid lowering response has been observed. This narrative review aims to investigate the link between adiposity and CVD risk, and the role of dietary fat composition and APOLIPOPROTEIN (APO)E genotype on this relationship. In the absence of weight loss, replacing dietary SFA with UFA reduces central adiposity and anthropometric measures, and is linked with lower total and LDL-cholesterol concentrations. However, differences in study populations and body composition techniques need to be taken into consideration. To date, only a limited number of studies have determined the role of APOE on body composition and CVD risk, but findings are inconsistent. Both APOE2 and APOE4 alleles have been correlated with adiposity related markers, and an APOE genotype-BMI interaction has been reported on fasting lipids. However, studies are often performed retrospectively leading to small sample sizes within the genotype groups. Further studies are needed to confirm the relationship between APOE genotype, adiposity and circulating CVD risk markers.

Key words: Abdominal fat: BMI: Fasting lipid profile: Dietary fat composition

The worldwide prevalence of obesity is on the rise with more than one billion people reported to be obese in 2022. Obesity is defined as excessive body fat accumulation and in the UK, an estimated 26% of adults are classified as obese compared with 13 % globally^(1,2). Obesity has been extensively linked with non-communicable diseases such as CVD, some types of cancers, metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Amongst these diseases, an estimated 18.6

million people died from CVD in 2019 and if this trend continues it is expected to be responsible for over 23 million deaths by 2030, partly due to increasing obesity rates^(3,4). CVD is multifactorial in nature, with diet recognised as one of the most important modifiable risk factors in relation to obesity and CVD and a cornerstone of public health recommendations for the prevention of these chronic diseases. Interestingly, the type but not the

^{*}Corresponding author: Kim G Jackson, email: k.g.jackson@reading.ac.uk

amount of dietary fat intake has emerged as an important determinant in relation to CVD risk markers and body fat accumulation^(5,6).

The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) report on SFA and health recommends the population average intake of SFA should be no more than 10% of total energy (TE) for CVD prevention⁽⁷⁾. This target is reported in the UK Eatwell guide in grams as less than 30 grams of daily SFA intake for the average man and less than 20 g for the average woman⁽⁸⁾. Despite these recommendations to limit SFA, it still remains a significant source of energy in the UK diet of around 12·3 % of TE (25·3 g/d)⁽⁹⁾. Reducing dietary SFA intake has been a key public health strategy in the UK, but the replacement macronutrient also plays an important role in determining CVD risk. Studies have reported more beneficial effects on CVD risk markers after replacement of SFA with unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) than carbohydrates (CHO) or protein^(10,11). Although evidence to conclude beneficial effects of substituting SFA with MUFA on hard clinical outcomes is limited, replacement of SFA with PUFA has been shown to be associated with lower risk of CVD events and SFA replacement with MUFA and PUFA to have favourable effects on CVD risk markers such as fasting total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-cholesterol concentrations. Studies have also reported that greater total body fat percentage is positively associated with CVD-related fasting lipid markers⁽¹²⁾. However, very little is known about the impact of replacing dietary SFA with UFA on body composition. As a result, there is now considerable interest in whether dietary fat composition has an impact on cardiovascular health via effects on the body fat

There is increasing recognition that not all obese people diagnosed with, or at a greater risk of developing cardiometabolic diseases, progress to CVD. This suggests that obesity *per se* is not always a marker for metabolic dysfunction⁽¹³⁾. In agreement with this, clinical observations have reported a healthy metabolic profile in both lean and obese subjects to be related to the location of body fat storage⁽¹⁴⁾. Therefore, it has become clear that body fat distribution may represent a better indicator of metabolic abnormalities than total adiposity^(15,16). Adipose tissue consists of white, brown and beige adipose tissue⁽¹⁷⁾. Main total fat stores in humans are shown to be white adipose tissue which has two different metabolically characteristic compartments, subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT). SAT represents a major component of body fat and is located under the skin whereas VAT can be defined as the accumulation of adipose tissue around or within organs such as omental (intestines and organs in the lower abdomen), mesenteric (intestines and bowel), epicardial (heart) and mediastinal (thoracic cavity) regions⁽¹⁸⁾. Importantly, there are anatomical, cellular and molecular differences between SAT and VAT. In terms of cellular differences, VAT contain larger adipocytes which are dysfunctional, insulin resistant, hyperlipolytic and not subject to the antilipolytic effect of insulin compared to SAT which contains small adipocytes⁽¹⁹⁾. Studies have reported that gynoid fat

accumulation is mostly subcutaneous and associated with favourable cardiometabolic profile⁽²⁰⁾. On the other hand, abdominal fat accumulation includes a greater VAT mass which is associated with higher TC, LDL-cholesterol and TAG, insulin resistance and inflammatory markers^(21,22).

Genetic heterogeneity can contribute between 40 and 75% of the inter-individual variation in BMI⁽²³⁾, towards phenotype diversity and predisposition to adiposity. Using GWAS more than 1000 specific independent loci were found to be associated with obesity, with SNP within fat mass and obesity-associated (FTO), melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) and leptin receptor (LEPR) genes being the most commonly identified (24). APOLIPOPROTEIN (APO)E, a gene essential for lipid metabolism, is associated with CVD risk and dietary fat intake plays a role in this relationship, with APOE4 allele carriers (~ 25% of population) showing a greater responsiveness of the fasting lipid profile to dietary fat intake and composition. However, this is not always a consistent finding in the literature, with differences in the metabolic phenotype and BMI of the study participants thought to play a role in the relationship with CVD risk. Studies in animals have suggested the APOE gene to play a role in obesity, with one study reporting differences in body fat distribution and weight gain between APOE3 and APOE4 mice in response to high-fat feeding. Therefore, it is possible that the role of APOE genotype on CVD risk may be driven by changes in body composition and adiposity.

In this review, the relationship between body composition and CVD is presented, the first part focusing on the role of dietary fat intake and the second on the impact of the *APOE* genotype on this relationship.

Association between dietary fat intake and body composition

Although higher dietary fat intake was initially reported to be associated with adiposity, obesity rates have continued to increase despite decreasing fat intakes⁽²⁵⁾. Therefore, the quality of dietary fat has gained importance, but studies investigating the role on body fat content and distribution are limited. This was echoed in the review of Melanson *et al.*⁽²⁵⁾ published in 2009 which proposed that dietary SFA had negative effects on body weight but that more research was needed. Replacing dietary SFA with UFA has been shown in some studies to have a positive effect on body composition and abdominal VAT mass without impacting on weight loss^(5,26). Studies examining the effect of dietary fat on adiposity and body fat distribution are presented in Table 1.

Findings from a cross-sectional study conducted in 128 men (mean BMI of 28 kg/m²) from the Quebec Family study concluded that dietary MUFA was positively associated with trunk skinfold thickness and waist circumference (WC), SFA intake with body fat mass, whereas PUFA showed no relationship with the adiposity markers (WC and body fat mass)⁽²⁷⁾. Later, in a cross-over study performed by Summers *et al.*⁽⁵⁾, substituting SFA with a combination of *n*-3 and *n*-6 PUFA decreased

Table 1. Summary of the studies investigating the association of SFA intake with body composition and the effect of replacing SFA intake on body composition

Reference	Study group	Study design/ Duration	Body composition method and/or anthropometric measurements	Dietary assessment	Dietary fat intervention	Dietary fat composition (%E, unless specified)				Significant outcomes
						SFA	MUFA	PUFA	other	-
Observationa										
Doucet et al., 1998 ⁽²⁷⁾	M, mean age 54·6 (sE 0·6) n 128, mean BMI 28 kg/m ²	CS	W, WC, HC, SFT, and body density (under water weighing)	3 d food diary	_				CHO:47 Fat:35.5 Protein:16.2	(+): SFA intake with BF mass, BMI, WC
Neville et al., 2012 ⁽⁶⁾	20–50 years, M n 49, mean BMI 24 ± 3 kg/m ² , F n 51, mean BMI 22 (sp 3) kg/m ²	CS	W, BIA	7 d food diary	-					(+): SFA intake with BF and TF in F
Ozen <i>et al.</i> , 2022 ⁽⁷³⁾	18–70 years, <i>n</i> 409, BMI 18-5–39-9 kg/m ²	CS	DXA	4 d food diary	-					AL mass higher Q3 (SFA- 12·0–14·8 %TE) than Q1 (SFA-1·9–10·0 %TE)
Dietary Intensummers et al., 2002 ⁽⁵⁾	vention Studies n 17 - 6 DM (56(sp 10) years), 6 non-OB (BMI < 27 kg/m², 55 (sp 13) years) 5-OB without DM (BMI > 30 kg/m² 50 (sp 9) years)	R, CO, 5 weeks/diet	MRI	3-d food diary to check adherence	SFA-rich (ND) PUFA-rich (ND)		33·9 24·3	9·8 21·7		SAT ↓ PUFA <i>v.</i> SFA diet.
Fernandez de la Puebla et al., 2003 ⁽³⁴⁾	18–63 years, HCL OW (mean BMI 28·2 (sp 2·6) kg/m²) M <i>n</i> 34	R, CO, 28 d/diet	BIA		MUFA-rich (30 %TE) CHO-rich (28 %TE) Run-in diet (38 %TE)	< 10 < 10 20		6 6 6	CHO:47 CHO:57 CHO:47	BF mass ↓ CHO for MUFA v. run-in (SFA) diet. Lean body mass ↑ CHO v. SFA diet
Piers <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ⁽²⁶⁾	24–49 years, <i>n</i> 8, OW or OB (BMI 25·5–31·3 kg/m ²)	R, CO, 4 weeks/diet	DXA		SFA rich (40) MUFA-rich (40)	24 11	13 22	3 7		BF mass \uparrow SFA v . MUFA diet.
Bjermo et al., 2012 ⁽²⁸⁾	30–65 years, <i>n</i> 61, abdominally OB (WC: F:> 88 cm, M:> 102 cm)	PAL, RCT, 10 weeks	W, MRI for liver fat content, BODPOD for BF mass		SFA (42) n-6 PUFA (40)	20 9	ND ND	ND ND		Liver fat ↓ PUFA v. SFA diet.
Damasceno <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ⁽³⁰⁾	High CVD risk PREDIMED subgroup (n 169) M; aged 55–80, F: aged 60–80 mean BMI 29 kg/m ²	PAL, RCT, 1 year	W, WC		medDiet + EVOO medDiet + nuts control diet	9·4 9·3 9·1	22·1 20·9 18·8	6·1 7·7 5·5		WC ↓ MedDiet + mixed nuts v. baseline
Rosqvist <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ⁽³¹⁾	20–38 years, <i>n</i> 39, BMI 18–27 kg/m ²	PAL RCT, 7 weeks	MRI		SFA-rich (36-8) n-6 PUFA rich (40)	16.4	12·9 12·4	4·5 12·9		lean body mass ↑ PUFA diet, liver fat, BF and VF content ↑ SFA diet
Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ⁽³²⁾	20–65 years, <i>n</i> 101 central OB, BMI 22–40 kg/m ²	R, CO, 4 weeks/diet with 2–4 weeks washout	DXA		All diets 35.5 Canola CanolaOleic CanolaDHA Corn/Safflower Flax/Safflower	6.6 6.5 6.9 6.7 6.8	17·6 19·3 17·8 9·5 9·6	9·1 6·9 8·0 16·3 16·3	Oleic acid: 15·7 18·0 16·5 8·3 8·4	central OB ↓ CanolaOleic v. Flax/Safflower diet
Kahleova et al., 2019 ⁽²⁹⁾	<i>n</i> 75 OW, BMI 20–40 kg/m², mean age 53·2 (sp 12·6) years	PAL, RCT, 16 weeks	DXA	3-day food dairy to check adherence	Vegan diet (17·5) Control diet (35)	19 27	33 37	41 30		W, fat mass & VF volume ↓ Vegan <i>v</i> . control diet after adjustment for BMI

CS, cross-sectional; M, male; BF, body fat; TF, trunk fat; (+), positive association; W, weight; WC, waist circumference; HC, hip circumference; SFT, skin fold thickness; F, female; BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; DXA, dual x ray absorptiometry; AL, android lean; R, randomised; CO, cross-over study; MRI, magnetic resistance imaging; VF, visceral fat; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; HCL, hypercholesteraemic; OW, overweight; OB, obese; PAL, parallel; ↓: reduced, ↑: increased.

abdominal fat accumulation specifically subcutaneous fat in female subjects without diabetes, whilst there was no difference in the waist:hip ratio and body fat percentage. However, findings from this study need to be interpreted with caution due to the small sample sizes within each of the subgroups (n 6 with type 2 diabetes, n 6 non-obese and n 5 obese individuals). Similarly, in a parallel study conducted in 61 abdominally obese healthy and type 2 diabetic subjects, liver fat content was found to be lower following a n-6 PUFA diet for 10 weeks compared with a SFA diet⁽²⁸⁾. During a 16 week RCT, 75 participants with a BMI of 28–40 kg/m² followed either a control diet (their habitual diet) or a low-fat vegan diet which was high in PUFA and low in SFA and trans-fat. The results suggested that a decrease in SFA intake during the yegan diet was associated with reduced fat mass. However, this finding was not significant after adjustment for BMI indicating that the level of adiposity of the participants at study entry was an important determinant of the response to dietary fat intake⁽²⁹⁾. In the BODYCON cross-sectional study, the relationship between dietary SFA intake and body composition and fat distribution was investigated using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Although anthropometric measurements BMI, WC, hip circumference (HC) and waist:hip ratio across quartiles of increasing SFA intake were similar, android lean mass (but not fat mass) was higher in participants consuming 12·0-14·8 %TE SFA (Quartile 3) compared with those meeting the recommendation of $\leq 10.0\%$ TE SFA (Quartile 1). However, in a cross-sectional study conducted by Neville et al. (6), a higher dietary SFA intake in women aged 20-50 years was associated with having a higher total body fat percentage and trunk fat mass. In this study body composition was measured using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) which is more prone to bias compared to DXA, computed tomography or MRI scans⁽⁶⁾. Differences in the study cohorts might also explain this findings as the BODYON study group had a higher than average physical activity level. It should also be noted that the food sources of SFA consumed by populations in these studies could also have an impact on the results.

In an RCT substituting dietary SFA (24 %TE SFA, 13 %TE MUFA and 3 %TE PUFA) with MUFA (11 % TE SFA, 22 %TE MUFA and 7 %TE PUFA), using two isoenergetic diets for 4 weeks, a reduction in fat mass in 8 overweight or obese male subjects was reported. The authors stressed that on the SFA diet participants gained fat around the abdominal area, whereas during the MUFA diet, the fat loss was similar from the trunk and limbs. However, differences in physical activity levels and food intake between diets together with a small sample size may have influenced the findings in these free-living subjects⁽²⁶⁾. In the parallel PREDIMED study conducted in participants with a mean BMI of 29.5 kg/m² and high CVD risk, a 5% reduction in WC was observed after 1 year in the group assigned to the MedDiet with nuts compared to baseline without a significant change in body weight⁽³⁰⁾. However, differences in WC were not found in either the MedDiet with EVOO or the low-fat control diet groups which indicates that increased n-6 PUFA

compared to MUFA might be more beneficial for abdominal obesity. In agreement with this, in the LIPOGAIN parallel RCT performed in thirty-nine free living subjects, higher visceral adiposity and liver fat was found after eating muffins high in SFA for 7 weeks, whereas muffins rich in PUFA increased lean tissue mass suggesting that dietary fat quality may influence liver fat accumulation, fat distribution and body composition⁽³¹⁾. In another RCT study, reductions in abdominal obesity was evident after 4 weeks of following a CanolaOleic diet (6.5% TE from SFA, 19.3% TE from MUFA and 6.9%TE from PUFA) compared with flax/safflower diet (6.8 % TE from SFA, 9.6% TE from MUFA and 16.3% TE from PUFA) but not canola, canola DHA or corn/ safflower diets in men with central adiposity⁽³²⁾. Raatz et al. (33) argued in their study that SFA intake was positively associated with BMI; however, this observation needs to be interpreted with caution as food intake was self-reported and BMI has limitations as a marker of adiposity. The isoenergetic replacement of a high SFA diet (47 % CHO, 38 % fat- 20 % SFA, 12 % MUFA and 6 % PUFA) by a MedDiet (47 % CHO, 38 % fat-< 10 % SFA, 22 % MUFA and 6 % PUFA) or CHO diet (57 % CHO, 28% fat-< 10% SFA, 12% MUFA and 6% PUFA) had a positive effect on total body fat in 34 hypercholesterolemic male subjects with a mean BMI of 28.2 kg/m² in a cross-over study⁽³⁴⁾. However, the type of carbohydrate they consumed in the diets was not clear, therefore findings should be interpreted with caution.

DiNicolantonio and O'Keefe⁽³⁵⁾ speculated on the potential mechanisms on how dietary fatty acids influence body composition in their review paper. As long-chain SFA have a lower oxidation rate than MUFA and long chain PUFA, they tend to stay in the liver longer, whereas UFA are more likely to be packaged into very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) and exported from liver. This may explain the reason behind the line of thinking that SFA increase abdominal obesity more than UFA. Moreover, it has also been claimed that n-6 PUFA may cause more fat accumulation than n-3 PUFA by inhibiting the positive effects of n-3 PUFA on obesity such as increasing fatty acid oxidation and prevention of adipocyte proliferation (35,36). It should be noted that observed inconsistencies in the literature may arise from different methodologies used to determine body fat distribution in these studies (e.g. anthropometric measures, BIA, DXA, computed tomography and MRI), sample size and different dietary approaches (e.g. supplementation, replacement, and selfreported intakes). Moreover, other behavioural and lifestyle factors in addition to diet such as sedentary lifestyle as well as non-modifiable risk factors such as the effects of genetic make-up may influence the relationship between body composition and CVD.

Association between the APOE gene and Body **Composition**

ApoE is a 34-kDa arginine rich protein consisting of 299 amino acids and encoded by the APOE gene. There are three major allelic variants of the APOE gene; $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 4$,





Table 2. Summary of the studies investigating the role of APOE genotype and obesity

Reference	Study population	Method to assess adiposity	Association?	Significant outcomes
Oh et al., 2001 ⁽⁵²⁾	non-T2DM, aged 30–79 years n 164 first-degree relatives of people with T2DM n 962 no family history of T2DM	WC	Y	↑WC in APOE4 v. APOE2 and APOE3/E3 in women with a family history of T2DM
Duman <i>et al.</i> , 2004 ⁽⁴⁷⁾	n 94 healthy Turkish subjects and n 112 subjects with T2DM	ВМІ	Υ	APOE2 – ↑BMI
Volcik <i>et al.</i> , 2006 ⁽⁵⁰⁾	n 12 421 subjects aged 45–64 years, African American (n 3187) or White (n 9304)	BMI	Υ	BMI – APOE genotypes in order of E4 < E3 < E2
Zeljko <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ⁽⁴⁵⁾	n 230, Roma population of Croatia, aged 20–84 years	BMI and WC	Υ	APOE2 – ↑BMI and WC
Zarkesh <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ⁽⁵³⁾	n 843 (n 468 with AO, n 375 without AO) Iranian subjects, aged ≥ 19 years	BMI, WC	N	-
Tabatabaei-Malazy et al., 2012 ⁽⁷⁴⁾	n 155 non-T2DM, n 156 T2DM Iranian subjects, aged 25–65 years	BMI, WC	Υ	APOE4 - ↑ BMI and WC
Luptakova <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ⁽⁴⁸⁾	 n 175 pre and perimenopausal, n 129 early postmenopausal, n 143 late postmenopausal Slovak women, aged 39–90 years 	BMI, WC	Υ	WHR – APOE2 v. APOE4 in early postmeno- pausal women
Tejedor <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ⁽⁴⁶⁾	n 4660 middle aged (40–55 years) Spanish men	BMI and WC	Υ	APOE2 – ↑BMI and WC
Alharbi <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁽⁵¹⁾	n 198 obese, n 198 NW Saudi university students	BMI	Υ	APOE4 – BMI in subjects with BMI > 25 kg/m ²
Kulminski <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ⁽⁴⁹⁾	n 27 863 Caucasians aged 20–100 years from seven longitudinal studies	BMI	Υ	APOE4/E4 – ↓BMI, APOE2/E2 and APOE2/ E4 – ↑ BMI in older adults

T2DM, type 2 diabetes; Y, yes; ↑, higher; WC, waist circumference; N, no; AO, abdominal obesity; NW, normal weight; ↓, lower.

caused by a single base substitution of the two nucleotides (SNP) at position 112 (rs429358) and at position 158 (rs7412) resulting in the substitution of arginine or cysteine amino acids in the apoE protein (37). These three alleles give rise to six possible APOE genotypes, APOE2/2, E2/4, E4/4, E2/3, E3/4 and E3/3(38). Approximately $58\cdot2$ % of population are APOE3/E3 which is referred to as the wild-type, $26\cdot3$ % carry one copy of the E4 allele (E3/E4 and E4/E4), while E2 carriers (E2/E2 and E2/E3) account for only 15% in the UK (39).

Several animal studies investigating the effect of diet on body weight and composition in $APOE^{+/+}$ and $APOE^{-/-}$ mice concluded that APOE plays a role in obesity(40,41) and that APOE deficiency is protective against obesity via suppression of fat accumulation in the liver and fat tissues⁽⁴²⁾. In a study by Huebbe *et al.*⁽⁴³⁾, *APOE4* and APOE3 female mice were fed with low and high-fat diets (5% from soya and 21·2% from milk respectively) for 10 months, with female APOE3 mice having a significantly higher body weight during both diets compared to female APOE4 mice. Arbones-Mainar et al. (44) showed that male APOE4 mice had less body weight gain and VAT fat compared to APOE3 mice on a high fat western-type diet (21% fat from milk), while SAT accumulation was the same for both genotypes. Although findings from animal studies appear to support an association between APOE genotype and obesity, there is currently no clear consensus on which genotype group is more obesogenic. This may be due to the difficulty in comparing studies due to the sex or age of mice, diet composition/length and so these findings should be interpreted carefully.

The role of *APOE* genotype in obesity has been investigated in only a small number of human studies, but

findings have generally been inconsistent (Table 2). An association between APOE2 with both BMI and WC was found in 208 Croatian subjects⁽⁴⁵⁾ and with BMI in 4660 subjects from the Aragon Workers Health Study in Spain⁽⁴⁶⁾ and 94 healthy and 112 diabetic women in Turkey⁽⁴⁷⁾. A greater waist:hip ratio was observed in APOE2 carriers compared to APOE4 carriers but only in early postmenopausal Slovak women $(n 129/427)^{(48)}$. Moreover, the APOE4 allele was associated with lower BMI, especially in older Caucasian individuals, while the APOE2 allele was related to a higher BMI in a pooled analysis of seven longitudinal cohort studies (49). Although the studies above suggest that the APOE2 allele is associated with adiposity, in contrast some others reported the APOE4 allele to be related with obesity markers. For example, in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, the APOE4 allele was linked to a greater BMI while the lowest was associated with APOE2 allele⁽⁵⁰⁾. Similarly, in a case–control study which included 198 normal weight healthy and 198 obese Saudi university students, the APOE4 allele was positively associated with BMI in only overweight and obese subjects $(BMI > 25 \text{ kg/m}^2)^{(51)}$. In 164 non-diabetic firstdegree relatives of people with diabetes and 962 nondiabetic people with no family history of diabetes, WC was significantly greater in older women with a family history of diabetes who were APOE4 carriers compared to APOE2 carrier and APOE3/E3 groups⁽⁵²⁾. Similarly, the APOE4 allele was found to be positively associated with WC in 155 non-diabetic and 156 diabetic Iranian subjects⁽⁵³⁾. However, APOE and adiposity (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) was not found to be associated in an Iranian population in a cross-sectional study that included 345

Table 3. Role of adiposity in the relationship between APOE genotype and CVD risk markers

	, ,		• •
Reference	Study population	Method to assess adiposity	Significant outcomes
Petkeviciene et al., 2012 ⁽⁶⁴⁾	n 996 Lithuanian subjects, aged 25–64 years	BMI	No interaction between APOE with BMI on TC or LDL-cholesterol
Kofler <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ⁽⁶⁵⁾	n 312 UK subjects, aged 20–69 years	BMI	↓TAG in <i>APOE2</i> carriers only in subjects with normal BMI
Zarkesh <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ⁽⁵³⁾	<i>n</i> 843 (<i>n</i> 468 with AO, <i>n</i> 375 without AO) Iranian subjects, aged \geq 19 years	WC	↑TAG in APOE3 v. APOE2 in participants with AO
Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ⁽⁶⁷⁾	n 454 (n 282 OW/OB and n 172 NW) Chinese subjects	BMI	↓TAG in <i>APOE4</i> and <i>APOE3 v. APOE2</i> only in NW subjects
Franco <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ⁽⁶⁸⁾	n 115 Brazilian subjects with NWO aged 20–59 years	BMI	APOE4 carriers only, 1 % ↑ in android fat % associated with 0.08 mmol/l ↑ in TAG in subjects
Martínez- Magaña <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ⁽⁷⁰⁾	n 1997 MA subjects	ВМІ	↑TC, TAG and LDL-cholesterol and ↓ HDL-cholesterol in <i>APOE4</i> carriers <i>v. APOE3/3</i> group in participants with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ²
Garcia <i>et al.</i> , 2021 ⁽⁶⁹⁾	n 1266 Amerindian subjects, aged 21–93 years	BMI	-
Ozen et al.,2022 ⁽⁶⁶⁾	n 360 UK subjects, aged 18–70 years	BMI	↑lean and android lean mass in APOE4 v. APOE3/E3 in subjects with normal BMI
Role of BMI in th	e relationship between APOE genotype a	nd CVD ris	k markers in participants with CVD
Kolovou <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ⁽⁷²⁾	n 359 Greek Caucasian subjects with CHD, aged 18–65 years	BMI	In NW subjects, the <i>APOE4</i> associated with \uparrow TC <i>v. APOE3</i> . In OW, the <i>APOE2/E2</i> + <i>E2/E3</i> had \uparrow HDL-cholesterol <i>v.</i> APOE3/E4 + <i>APOE4/E4</i>
Koopal <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ⁽⁷¹⁾	n 5450 subjects with vascular disease, aged 18–80 years	BMI	↑BMI - ↑non-HDL-cholesterol in APOE4 and APOE2/E2 carriers

^{↑,} higher; WC, waist circumference; T2DM, type 2 diabetes; AO, abdominal obesity; NW, normal weight; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; ↓, lower; OW, overweight; OB, obese; NWO, normal weight obesity; ↑, increase; MA, Mexican Amerindian.

men and 498 women⁽⁵³⁾. To summarise, while some findings indicate that APOE2 allele is associated with adiposity markers, the others suggest that being an APOE4 allele carrier is linked with obesity. This inconsistency could be due to differences in the study populations. Moreover, these studies are cross-sectional therefore they do not suggest causation.

Role of BMI in the relationship between APOE and CVD risk biomarkers

Higher concentrations of fasting lipid CVD risk markers TC and LDL-cholesterol in APOE4 compared to APOE2 carriers have been reported in many studies (54-57). This has been explained by the variance in the LDL-R binding activity of isoforms (with apoE4 having the greatest and apoE2 having the least affinity⁽⁵⁸⁾) and differences in rates of conversion of VLDL to LDL, cholesterol absorption and bile acid synthesis between genotype groups^(59–63)

In view of the impact of APOE genotype on CVD risk markers, a few studies have determined the role of BMI on this relationship and summary of these studies are presented in Table 3. Petkeviciene *et al.* ⁽⁶⁴⁾ reported a lack of an interaction between APOE2 or APOE4 with BMI on circulating TC and LDL-cholesterol concentrations in 996 Lithuanian adults. However, a study conducted in 312 UK adults reported a lower TAG concentration in APOE2 carriers but only in subjects with a normal BMI and not in the overweight or obese sub-groups⁽⁶⁵⁾. Similarly, using data from the cross-sectional BODYCON study (n 360/409), novel interactions between APOE genotype and BMI on

body fat distribution were evident. APOE4 carriers had higher lean and android lean mass compared to APOE3/E3 group only in the normal weight sub-group. Furthermore, differences in fasting lipid concentrations between the APOE2/E3 and other genotype groups were also only found within the normal weight, but not overweight or obese participants, with diet and sex important factors in this relationship (66). In contrast, in 454 Chinese subjects, lower TAG concentrations were observed in APOE3 and APOE4 carriers compared to APOE2 carriers in normal weight subjects⁽⁶⁷⁾.

In contrast to the findings above, some studies reported an APOE x BMI interaction on CVD risk markers only in subjects with higher adiposity. For example, in APOE4 carriers only, each 1% increase in android fat percentage was associated with 0.08 mmol/l increase in TAG concentration in Brazilian adults with a normal BMI but higher body fat percentage⁽⁶⁸⁾. Another study in Iranian participants found that the APOE3/E3 genotype was associated with higher TAG concentrations in subjects only with higher WC⁽⁵³⁾. Similarly, in an Amerindian population in the Bolivian tropics, there was a tendency for APOE4 carriers to have lower TC and LDL-cholesterol concentrations at higher BMIs compared to APOE3/E3 group⁽⁶⁹⁾. Moreover, higher TC, TAG and LDL-cholesterol concentrations in APOE4 carriers compared to APOE3/3 group were only observed in a Mexican Amerindian sub-group with BMI \geq 30 kg/m² while there were no differences between genotypes in normal weight and overweight participants⁽⁷⁰⁾. Some studies have also looked at whether the APOE genotype modifies the effects of adiposity on lipid risk markers in





subjects with CVD. Only interactions between the APOE2/ E2 genotype and APOE4 allele with BMI on non-HDLcholesterol were observed in 5796 subjects with clinically manifest vascular disease. In all genotype groups, there was a relationship between WC and VAT with non-HDLcholesterol concentration⁽⁷¹⁾. Similarly, the effect of adiposity and APOE genotype was investigated in 359 Greek Caucasian subjects with CHD. In normal weight subjects, the APOE4 allele was associated with higher TC levels compared with APOE3 allele while in the overweight group, the APOE2 allele was related to higher HDLcholesterol concentrations compared to APOE3 and APOE4 genotype groups⁽⁷²⁾. Although it appears counterintuitive to determine the relationship between genotype and CVD risk markers in patients with pre-existing CVD, the genotype x adiposity interactions observed on the lipid profile were in agreement with findings in healthy individuals suggesting that adiposity may in part override effects of genotype on CVD risk and progression. In summary, studies investigating the effect of APOE genotype on body composition and fasting lipids markers has provided inconsistent results and so there was no clear consensus on which allele played an important role in this relationship. While in some studies the interaction between $APOE \times$ adiposity was only evident in normal weight subjects, the others reported an interaction in the overweight/obese participants. Sub-group analysis performed in some of the studies suggest that the findings might be influenced by the participants sex, age, ethnicity and habitual diet and should be taken into consideration during data interpretation and the design of future studies.

Conclusion

Obesity is a consequence of the imbalance between energy consumption and expenditure leading to either subcutaneous and/or ectopic fat (visceral) accumulation. The literature suggests that a complex relationship exists between abdominal (visceral) obesity and CVD risk mediated by inflammation and insulin resistance. It is well recognised that dietary fat quality has an impact on both body composition and CVD risk markers, with SFA considered to be less favourable compared to UFA. Moreover, emerging studies have suggested BMI to play a role in the relationship between APOE genotype and CVD risk markers, but the specific allele which play an important role in this relationship remains unclear. Further studies recruiting according to genotype are needed to understand the role of modifiable risk markers and their interaction with gene variants related to lipid metabolism and body fat distribution.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the organisers of the Nutrition Society 2022 Summer Conference and Postgraduate Competition for their invitation to present this current review.

Financial support

E.O. was supported by The Republic of Turkey Ministry of National Education (Ph.D. studentship).

Conflicts of interest

J.A.L. is Deputy Chair of the UK Government Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) and a previous member of the SACN working group on Saturated Fats and Health. J.A.L. was chair, and K.G.J. was a member of a scientific expert committee for the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) on Individual SFA and Cardiovascular Risk (2018–2021). E.O. has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Authorship

E.O drafted the manuscript with intellectual contribution and revision from K.G.J and J.A.L. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- House of Commons Library (2023) Obesity Statistics. https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/ sn03336/ (accessed July 2023).
- World Health Organisation (2021) Obesity and Overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed July 2023).
- 3. Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO *et al.* (2020) Global burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk factors, 1990–2019: update from the GBD 2019 study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **76**, 2982–3021.
- Smith SC Jr, Collins A, Ferrari R et al. (2012) Our time: a call to save preventable death from cardiovascular disease (heart disease and stroke). J Am Coll Cardiol 60, 2343–2348.
- Summers LK, Fielding BA, Bradshaw HA et al. (2002) Substituting dietary saturated fat with polyunsaturated fat changes abdominal fat distribution and improves insulin sensitivity. Diabetologia 45, 369–377.
- 6. Neville MM, Geppert J, Min Y *et al.* (2012) Dietary fat intake, body composition and blood lipids of university men and women. *Nutr Health* **21**, 173–185.
- The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) (2019) Report on Saturated Fats and Health. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/saturated-fats-and-health-sacn-report (accessed July 2023).
- 8. Government Dietary Recommendations (2018) Government Recommendations for Energy and Nutrients for Males and Females Aged 1–18 Years and 19+ Years. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/618167/government_dietary_recommendations.pdf (accessed July 2023).
- GOV.UK (2020) Summary of Key Findings from the NDNS Report of Years 9 to 11 (Combined) 2020. https:// www.gov.uk/government/statistics/ndns-results-from-years-9-to-11-2016-to-2017-and-2018-to-2019/ndns-results-from-years-9-to-11-combined-statistical-summary#macronutrients (accessed July 2023).
- 10. Vasilopoulou D, Markey O, Kliem KE et al. (2020) Reformulation initiative for partial replacement of



saturated with unsaturated fats in dairy foods attenuates the increase in LDL cholesterol and improves flow-mediated dilatation compared with conventional dairy: the randomized, controlled REplacement of SaturatEd fat in dairy on Total cholesterol (RESET) study. Am J Clin Nutr 111, 739-748.

- 11. Vafeiadou K, Weech M, Altowaijri H et al. (2015) Replacement of saturated with unsaturated fats had no impact on vascular function but beneficial effects on lipid biomarkers, E-selectin, and blood pressure: results from the randomized, controlled Dietary Intervention and VAScular function (DIVAS) study. Am J Clin Nutr 102, 40-48.
- 12. Sun J, Zhang Z, Liu Z et al. (2022) The correlation of total percent fat with alterations in cholesterol and triglycerides in adults. Front Nutr 9, 881729.
- 13. Hamer M & Stamatakis E (2012) Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97, 2482-2488.
- 14. Mathew H, Farr OM & Mantzoros CS (2016) Metabolic health and weight: understanding metabolically unhealthy normal weight or metabolically healthy obese patients. Metabolism 65, 73-80.
- 15. Machann J, Horstmann A, Born M et al. (2013) Diagnostic imaging in obesity. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab **27**, 261–277.
- 16. Piché ME, Vasan SK, Hodson L et al. (2018) Relevance of human fat distribution on lipid and lipoprotein metabolism and cardiovascular disease risk. Curr Opin Lipidol 29, 285-292.
- 17. Chait A & den Hartigh LJ (2020) Adipose tissue distribution, inflammation and its metabolic consequences, including diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Front Cardiovasc Med 7, 22.
- 18. Kwok KH, Lam KS & Xu A (2016) Heterogeneity of white adipose tissue: molecular basis and clinical implications. Exp Mol Med 48, e215.
- 19. Ibrahim MM (2010) Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue: structural and functional differences. Obes Rev 11, 11-18.
- 20. Vasan SK, Osmond C, Canoy D et al. (2018) Comparison of regional fat measurements by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and conventional anthropometry and their association with markers of diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk. Int J Obes 42, 850-857.
- 21. Mittal B (2019) Subcutaneous adipose tissue & visceral adipose tissue. Indian J Med Res 149, 571-573.
- 22. Hardy OT, Czech MP & Corvera S (2012) What causes the insulin resistance underlying obesity? Curr Opin Endocrinol *Diabetes Obes* **19**, 81–87.
- 23. Reddon H, Patel Y, Turcotte M et al. (2018) Revisiting the evolutionary origins of obesity: lazy v. peppy-thrifty genotype hypothesis. Obes Rev 19, 1525-1543.
- 24. Loos RJF & Yeo GSH (2022) The genetics of obesity: from discovery to biology. Nature Rev Genetics 23, 120-133.
- 25. Melanson EL, Astrup A & Donahoo WT (2009) The relationship between dietary fat and fatty acid intake and body weight, diabetes, and the metabolic syndrome. Ann Nutr Metab 55, 229–243.
- 26. Piers LS, Walker KZ, Stoney RM et al. (2003) Substitution of saturated with monounsaturated fat in a 4-week diet affects body weight and composition of overweight and obese men. Br J Nutr 90, 717-727.
- 27. Doucet E, Alméras N, White MD et al. (1998) Dietary fat composition and human adiposity. Eur J Clin Nutr 52, 2-6.
- 28. Bjermo H, Iggman D, Kullberg J et al. (2012) Effects of n-6 PUFAs compared with SFAs on liver fat, lipoproteins, and

- inflammation in abdominal obesity: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 95, 1003-1012.
- 29. Kahleova H, Hlozkova A, Fleeman R et al. (2019) Fat quantity and quality, as part of a low-fat, vegan diet, are associated with changes in body composition, insulin resistance, and insulin secretion. A 16-week randomized controlled trial. Nutrients 11, 615.
- 30. Damasceno NR, Sala-Vila A, Cofán M et al. (2013) Mediterranean diet supplemented with nuts reduces waist circumference and shifts lipoprotein subfractions to a less atherogenic pattern in subjects at high cardiovascular risk. Atherosclerosis 230, 347–353.
- 31. Rosqvist F, Iggman D, Kullberg J et al. (2014) Overfeeding polyunsaturated and saturated fat causes distinct effects on liver and visceral fat accumulation in humans. Diabetes 63,
- 32. Liu X, Kris-Etherton PM, West SG et al. (2016) Effects of canola and high-oleic-acid canola oils on abdominal fat mass in individuals with central obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24, 2261–2268.
- 33. Raatz SK, Conrad Z, Johnson LK et al. (2017) Relationship of the reported intakes of fat and fatty acids to body weight in US adults. Nutrients 9, 438.
- 34. Fernández de la Puebla RA, Fuentes F, Pérez-Martinez P et al. (2003) A reduction in dietary saturated fat decreases body fat content in overweight, hypercholesterolemic males. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 13, 273–277.
- 35. DiNicolantonio JJ & O'Keefe JH (2017) Good fats v. bad fats: a comparison of fatty acids in the promotion of insulin resistance, inflammation, and obesity. Mo Med 114, 303-307.
- 36. Simopoulos AP & DiNicolantonio JJ (2016) The importance of a balanced ω-6 to ω-3 ratio in the prevention and management of obesity. Open Heart 3, e000385.
- 37. Seripa D, D'Onofrio G, Panza F et al. (2011) The genetics of the human APOE polymorphism. Rejuvenation Res 14,
- 38. Singh PP, Singh M & Mastana SS (2006) APOE distribution in world populations with new data from India and the UK. Ann Hum Biol 33, 279-308.
- 39. Lumsden AL, Mulugeta A, Zhou A et al. (2020) Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype-associated disease risks: a phenome-wide, registry-based, case-control study utilising the UK Biobank. eBioMedicine 59, 102954.
- 40. Chiba T, Nakazawa T, Yui K et al. (2003) VLDL induces adipocyte differentiation in ApoE-dependent manner. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 23, 1423-1429.
- 41. Hofmann SM, Perez-Tilve D, Greer TM et al. (2008) Defective lipid delivery modulates glucose tolerance and metabolic response to diet in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. *Diabetes* **57**, 5–12.
- 42. Gao J, Katagiri H, Ishigaki Y et al. (2007) Involvement of apolipoprotein E in excess fat accumulation and insulin resistance. Diabetes 56, 24-33.
- 43. Huebbe P, Dose J, Schloesser A et al. (2015) Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype regulates body weight and fatty acid utilization-studies in gene-targeted replacement mice. Mol Nutr Food Res **59**, 334–343.
- 44. Arbones-Mainar JM, Johnson LA, Altenburg MK et al. (2008) Differential modulation of diet-induced obesity and adipocyte functionality by human apolipoprotein E3 and E4 in mice. Int J Obes (Lond) 32, 1595-1605.
- 45. Zeljko HM, Škarić-Jurić T, Narančić NS et al. (2011) E2 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism is predictive for obesity status in Roma minority population of Croatia. Lipids Health Dis 10, 9.



- 46. Tejedor MT, Garcia-Sobreviela MP, Ledesma M et al. (2014) The apolipoprotein E polymorphism rs7412 associates with body fatness independently of plasma lipids in middle aged men. PLoS One 9, e108605.
- 47. Duman BS, Oztürk M, Yilmazer S et al. (2004) Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in Turkish subjects with Type 2 diabetes mellitus: allele frequency and relation to serum lipid concentrations. Diabetes Nutr Metab 17, 267-274.
- 48. Luptákova L, Siváková D & Cvíčelová M (2013) Effect of APOE polymorphism on obesity and lipid profile in women of differing reproductive status. Central Eur J Biol 8, 713-723.
- 49. Kulminski AM, Loika Y, Culminskaya I et al. (2019) Independent associations of TOMM40 and APOE variants with body mass index. Aging Cell 18, e12869.
- 50. Volcik KA, Barkley RA, Hutchinson RG et al. (2006) Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms predict low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and carotid artery wall thickness but not incident coronary heart disease in 12 491 ARIC study participants. Am J Epidemiol 164, 342-348.
- 51. Alharbi KK, Syed R, Alharbi FK et al. (2017) Association of Apolipoprotein E polymorphism with impact on overweight university pupils. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 21, 53-57.
- 52. Oh JY & Barrett-Connor E (2001) Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and lipid levels differ by gender and family history of diabetes: the Rancho Bernardo Study. Clin Genet 60, 132-137.
- 53. Zarkesh M, Daneshpour MS, Faam B et al. (2012) Is there any association of apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism with obesity status and lipid profiles? Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS). Gene 509, 282-285.
- 54. Rathnayake KM, Weech M, Jackson KG et al. (2019) Impact of the apolipoprotein E (epsilon) genotype on cardiometabolic risk markers and responsiveness to acute and chronic dietary fat manipulation. Nutrients 11, 2044.
- Caslake MJ, Miles EA, Kofler BM et al. (2008) Effect of sex and genotype on cardiovascular biomarker response to fish oils: the FINGEN Study. Am J Clin Nutr 88, 618-629.
- 56. Fallaize R, Carvalho-Wells AL, Tierney AC et al. (2017) APOE genotype influences insulin resistance, apolipoprotein CII and CIII according to plasma fatty acid profile in the Metabolic Syndrome. Sci Rep 7, 6274.
- 57. Griffin BA, Walker CG, Jebb SA et al. (2018) APOE4 genotype exerts greater benefit in lowering plasma cholesterol and apolipoprotein B than wild type (E3/E3), after replacement of dietary saturated fats with low glycaemic index carbohydrates. Nutrients 10, 1524.
- 58. Hatters DM, Peters-Libeu CA & Weisgraber KH (2006) Apolipoprotein E structure: insights into function. Trends Biochem Sci 31, 445-454.
- 59. Huang Y, Liu XQ, Rall SC Jr et al. (1998) Apolipoprotein E2 reduces the low density lipoprotein level in transgenic mice by impairing lipoprotein lipase-mediated lipolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. J Biol Chem 273, 17483-17490.
- 60. Kim M & Bezprozvanny I (2021) Differences in recycling of apolipoprotein E3 and E4-LDL receptor complexes-a mechanistic hypothesis. Int J Mol Sci 22, 5030.

- 61. Malloy SI, Altenburg MK, Knouff C et al. (2004) Harmful effects of increased LDLR expression in mice with human APOE*4 but not APOE*3. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol **24**, 91–97.
- 62. Sehayek E, Shefer S, Nguyen LB et al. (2000) Apolipoprotein E regulates dietary cholesterol absorption and biliary cholesterol excretion: studies in C57BL/6 apolipoprotein E knockout mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **97**, 3433–3437.
- 63. Minihane AM, Jofre-Monseny L, Olano-Martin E et al. (2007) ApoE genotype, cardiovascular risk and responsiveness to dietary fat manipulation: symposium on 'Molecular basis for diseases'. Proc Nutr Soc 66, 183-197.
- 64. Petkeviciene J, Smalinskiene A, Luksiene DI et al. (2012) Associations between apolipoprotein E genotype, diet, body mass index, and serum lipids in Lithuanian adult population. PLoS One 7, e41525.
- 65. Kofler BM, Miles EA, Curtis P et al. (2012) Apolipoprotein E genotype and the cardiovascular disease risk phenotype: impact of sex and adiposity (the FINGEN study). Atherosclerosis 221, 467-470.
- 66. Ozen E, Mihaylova RG, Lord NJ et al. (2022) Association between APOE genotype with body composition and cardiovascular disease risk markers is modulated by BMI in healthy adults: findings from the BODYCON study. Int J Mol Sci 23, 9766.
- 67. Zhang J, Xuemei Z, Fan P et al. (2012) Distribution and effect of apo E genotype on plasma lipid and apolipoprotein profiles in overweight/obese and nonobese Chinese subjects. J Clin Lab Anal 26, 200-205.
- 68. Franco LP, Gonçalves Zardini Silveira A, Sobral de Assis Vasconcelos Lima R et al. (2018) APOE genotype associates with food consumption and body composition to predict dyslipidaemia in Brazilian adults with normal-weight obesity syndrome. Clin Nutr 37, 1722-1727.
- 69. Garcia AR, Finch C, Gatz M et al. (2021) APOE4 is associated with elevated blood lipids and lower levels of innate immune biomarkers in a tropical Amerindian subsistence population. Elife 10, e68231.
- 70. Martínez-Magaña JJ, Genis-Mendoza AD, Tovilla-Zarate CA et al. (2019) Association between APOE polymorphisms and lipid profile in Mexican Amerindian population. Mol Genet Genomic Med 7, e958.
- 71. Koopal C, van der Graaf Y, Asselbergs FW et al. (2015) Influence of APOE-2 genotype on the relation between adiposity and plasma lipid levels in patients with vascular disease. Int J Obes (Lond) 39, 265-269.
- 72. Kolovou GD, Anagnostopoulou KK, Kostakou P et al. (2009) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and obesity status in middle-aged men with coronary heart disease. In Vivo 23, 33-39.
- 73. Ozen E, Mihaylova R, Weech M et al. (2022) Association between dietary saturated fat with cardiovascular disease risk markers and body composition in healthy adults: findings from the cross-sectional BODYCON study. Nutr Metab 19, 15.
- Tabatabaei-Malazy O, Fakhrzadeh H, Qorbani M et al. (2012) Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and its effect on anthropometric measures in normoglycemic subjects and type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Metab Disord 11, 18.

