

A CLASS OF FINITE GROUPS HAVING NILPOTENT INJECTORS

M. J. IRANZO and F. PÉREZ MONASOR

(Received 19 February 1985; revised 10 April 1985)

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to construct a class of groups which properly contains the class of \mathcal{N} -constrained groups, and which is such that all groups in this class have \mathcal{N} -injectors.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 20 D 10.

All groups considered throughout this paper will be finite. We denote by \mathcal{N} the class of nilpotent groups, by $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ the class of quasinilpotent groups, i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{G \mid G = F(G)L(G) = F^*(G)\}$, and we put $\mathcal{L} = \{G \mid G = C_G(L(G))L(G)\}$, where $L(G)$ is the semisimple radical of G (the concept of semisimple group is taken from Gorenstein-Walter's paper [2]). The properties of the subgroups $F^*(G)$ and $L(G)$ which we shall use here are given in [3].

Let \mathcal{M} be the class of all groups G such that for every subnormal subgroup N of G , we have $C_N(J) \leq J$, where J is any \mathcal{N} -injector of $F^*(N)$. By [4] we know that every \mathcal{N} -injector of $F^*(G)$ constitutes the product of $F(G)$ and an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$.

A group G is \mathcal{N} -constrained if $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$. In 1971 A. Mann proved that an \mathcal{N} -constrained group has an unique conjugacy class of \mathcal{N} -injectors. In [4] we proved that all groups belonging to \mathcal{L} have \mathcal{N} -injectors. Using Lausch's theorem [5] we can obtain the following

THEOREM. *Let G be an \mathcal{M} -group. Then G possesses \mathcal{N} -injectors, and these are exactly the \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroups of G that contain the product of $F(G)$ and an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$.*

PROOF. We use induction on $|G|$. Put $Z = Z(L(G))$. Let G^* be a maximal normal subgroup of G . Then we have

$$L(G)/Z = (L(G) \cap G^*)Z/Z \cdot RZ/Z,$$

where $R \trianglelefteq G$ is semisimple. Moreover, we have

$$L(G) \cap G^* = (L(G) \cap G^*)Z(L(G) \cap G^*) = L(G^*)Z(L(G) \cap G^*).$$

On the other hand, $L(G) = (L(G) \cap G^*)R$, where $[L(G^*), R] \leq Z$, and by the three-subgroups lemma together with the perfectness of $L(G^*)$, it follows that $[L(G^*), R] = 1$. Therefore $R \leq C_{L(G)}(L(G^*))$, whence

$$[R, G^*] \leq [C_{L(G)}(L(G^*)), G^*] \leq C_{L(G) \cap G^*}(L(G^*)) = Z(L(G) \cap G^*),$$

and again by the three-subgroups lemma we obtain $[R, G^*] = 1$. Now let I be an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$. Then I/Z is an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)/Z$, so that $I/Z \cap RZ/Z$ is an \mathcal{N} -injector of RZ/Z , and $I/Z \cap L(G^*)Z/Z$ is an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G^*)Z/Z$. Thus we have

$$I/Z = (I \cap L(G^*))Z/Z \times (I \cap R)Z/Z,$$

whence

$$I = (I \cap L(G^*))(I \cap R)Z = (I \cap L(G^*))(I \cap R),$$

because $Z = Z(L(G^*))Z(R)$.

Now let V be an \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroup of G such that $IF(G) \leq V$, and suppose that $V \cap G^* \leq W \leq G^*$, where $W \in \mathcal{N}$. It is clear that $F(G^*) \leq V \cap G^* \leq W \leq G^*$, and that $I \cap L(G^*) \leq V \cap G^* \leq W \leq G^*$. Thus

$$I = (I \cap L(G^*))(I \cap R) \leq (V \cap G^*)(I \cap R) \leq W(I \cap R) \leq G^*(I \cap R).$$

Moreover, $W(I \cap R) \in \mathcal{N}$ because $[G^*, R] = 1$.

By the inductive hypothesis, we can assume that W is an \mathcal{N} -injector of G^* . We now have two cases.

Case 1. $F(G) \leq G^*$. In this case $F(G^*) = F(G) \leq W$. Let V_1 be an \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroup of G that contains $W(I \cap R)$. Then $IF(G) \leq V_1$. Since $C_G(IF(G)) \leq IF(G)$, an application of Lausch's theorem implies that there exists $g \in G$ such that $V_1 = V^g$. In consequence, we have

$$V \cap G^* \leq W \leq V_1 \cap G^* = V^g \cap G^* = (V \cap G^*)^g,$$

and so $V \cap G^* = W$ is an \mathcal{N} -injector of G^* .

Case 2. $F(G) \not\leq G^*$. In this case $G = F(G)G^*$, whence $G/G^* \cong C_p$ for a prime number p . Thus, either $VG^*/G^* = 1$, or $VG^* = G$. In the first case, $VG^* = G^*$, and so $F(G) \leq V \leq G^*$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $VG^* = G$. Now we have

$$G/G^* = VG^*/G^* \cong V/(V \cap G^*) \cong C_p.$$

Since $V \cap W = V \cap G^*$, it follows that $V/(V \cap W) \cong C_p$, and since $F(G) \leq V$ but $F(G) \not\leq W$, we obtain

$$V = F(G)(V \cap W) = V \cap F(G)W \leq F(G)W.$$

Now, as $F(G)W$ is solvable, it possesses a unique conjugacy class of \mathcal{N} -injectors. If W_1 is one of them, then W_1 and V are \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroups of $F(G)W$ containing $F(G)$; moreover, as $F(G)W/F(G)$ is nilpotent, it follows [1, Hilfsatz 1] that V and W_1 are conjugated in $F(G)W$. Hence V is an \mathcal{N} -injector of $F(G)W$. Thus $V \cap G^*$ is an \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroup of $F(G)W \cap G^* = W(F(G) \cap G^*) = W$, and so $V \cap G^* = W$.

Trivially, an \mathcal{N} -injector of G is an \mathcal{N} -maximal subgroup of G that contains the product of $F(G)$ and an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$.

PROPOSITION 1. $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF. Let I be an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$, and let $G \in \mathcal{L}$. Then we have

$$C_G(IF(G)) \leq C_G(I) \cap C_G(F(G)) = C_{C_G(F(G))}(I);$$

but $L(G) \leq C_G(F(G)) \leq L(G)C_G(L(G))$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(F(G)) &= L(G)(C_G(F(G)) \cap C_G(L(G))) \\ &= L(G)C_G(F^*(G)) = L(G)Z(F^*(G)). \end{aligned}$$

From $Z(F^*(G)) \leq C_{C_G(F(G))}(I) \leq L(G)Z(F^*(G))$ we get

$$C_{C_G(F(G))}(I) = Z(F^*(G))C_{L(G)}(I) \leq Z(F^*(G))I = Z(F(G))I.$$

Now if N is a subnormal subgroup of G , then since \mathcal{L} is an S_n -closed class, N is also an \mathcal{L} -group, and by the above argument we obtain $C_N(J) \leq J$, where J is an \mathcal{N} -injector of $F^*(N)$. Thus G is an \mathcal{M} -group.

REMARK. The class \mathcal{L} is properly contained in \mathcal{M} . In fact, if we take $G = A_5$ wr C_7 , then $L(G) = A_5^\#$, i.e. the base group of G , and $C_G(L(G)) = 1$. Hence $G \notin \mathcal{L}$.

Moreover, we know that $A_5^\#$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , whence all proper subnormal subgroups of G are contained in $A_5^\#$. Let N be one of them, so that $F^*(N) = N = L(N)$, and trivially $C_N(I) \leq I$ for every \mathcal{N} -injector I of N . So it remains to prove that if I is an \mathcal{N} -injector of $L(G)$, then

$$C_G(IF(G)) \leq IF(G), \text{ i.e. } C_G(I) \leq I.$$

This follows easily from the corresponding statement for \mathcal{N} -injectors of $A_5^\#$ (which gives $C_{L(G)}(I) \leq I$) and from $C_G(I) \leq L(G)$ (which is obvious from the regular action of $C_7 \leq G$ on $L(G)$ together with the fact that 7 does not divide $|L(G)|$).

If $S \leq G$, we put $[S]_G = \{S^g \mid g \in G\}$.

PROPOSITION 2. *If $G \in \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a bijection φ between $\{[\mathcal{I}]_G \mid \mathcal{I} \text{ is an } \mathcal{N}\text{-injector of } L(G)\}$ and $\{[\mathcal{V}]_G \mid \mathcal{V} \text{ is an } \mathcal{N}\text{-injector of } G\}$ given by*

$$\varphi([\mathcal{I}]_G) = [\mathcal{V}]_G,$$

where \mathcal{V} is an \mathcal{N} -injector of G that contains \mathcal{I} .

PROOF. Let I_1, I_2 be \mathcal{N} -injectors of $L(G)$ which are conjugate in G , i.e. $I_2 = I_1^g$ for some $g \in G$. Let V_1, V_2 be \mathcal{N} -injectors of G that contain I_1, I_2 , respectively. Then $I_2 = I_1^g \leq V_1^g$. Therefore $I_2 F(G) \leq V_1^g \cap V_2$, and by Lausch's Theorem, V_1^g and V_2 are conjugate in G .

Obviously φ is surjective. Moreover, if I_1, I_2 are \mathcal{N} -injectors of $L(G)$ such that

$$\varphi([I_1]_G) = [V_1]_G = [V_2]_G = \varphi([I_2]_G),$$

then there exists $g \in G$ such that $V_2 = V_1^g, I_2 = V_2 \cap L(G) = V_1^g \cap L(G) = (V_1 \cap L(G))^g = I_1^g$.

Set $S = \{[V]_G \mid V \text{ is an } \mathcal{N}\text{-injector of } G\}$.

COROLLARY. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G is an \mathcal{M} -group, and $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$;
- (ii) G is an \mathcal{N} -constrained group.

PROOF. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). By Proposition 1, we know that all \mathcal{N} -constrained groups are \mathcal{M} -groups. A. Mann's theorem [6] then implies that $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). We must prove that $L(G) = 1$ [4]. Let P, Q be p -Sylow and q -Sylow subgroups of $L(G)$ ($p \neq q$), respectively, and let I_1, I_2 be \mathcal{N} -injectors of $L(G)$ that contain P, Q , respectively. Let V_1, V_2 be \mathcal{N} -injectors of G such that $I_1 \leq V_1$ and $I_2 \leq V_2$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $V_1^g = V_2$, and so $I_2 = I_1^g$. Therefore $P^g \leq I_1^g = I_2$. This argument shows that $|I_2| = |L(G)|$, and so $L(G)$ is nilpotent. Hence $L(G) = 1$.

References

- [1] B. Fischer, W. Gaschütz and B. Hartley, 'Injektoren endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen', *Math. Z.* **102** (1967), 337–339.
- [2] D. Gorenstein and J. Walter, 'The π -layer of a finite group', *Illinois J. Math.* **15** (1971), 555–564.
- [3] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).

- [4] M. J. Iranzo and F. Perez Monasor, 'Existence of N -injectors in a not central normal Fitting class', *Israel J. Math.* **48** (1984), 123–128.
- [5] H. Lausch, 'Conjugacy classes of maximal nilpotent subgroups', *Israel J. Math.* **47** (1984), 29–31.
- [6] A. Mann, 'Injectors and normal subgroups of finite groups', *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 554–558.

Departamento de Algebra y Fundamentos
Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas
Universidad de Valencia
Valencia
Spain