

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MAXIMAL TOPOLOGIES

JAMES E. JOSEPH

(Received 31 January 1975; revised 3 April 1975)

### Abstract

New characterizations of maximal topologies are given for a class of topologies including the compact, Lindelöf and  $m$ -compact topologies.

In what follows, let  $\lambda$  represent a property of topological spaces. If a space  $(X, T)$  has property  $\lambda$ , we will say that  $T$  is a  $\lambda$ -topology on  $X$  and call the space a  $\lambda$ -space. For certain choices of  $\lambda$ , characterizations have been given for maximal elements in the set of  $\lambda$ -topologies ordered by inclusion. For example, the following has been proved where  $\lambda$  is replaced by either of the words, “compact,” “Lindelöf,” or “countably compact,” Joseph (1969), Raha (1973), Smythe and Wilkins (1963).

**THEOREM.** *The following statements are equivalent for a space  $(X, T)$ .*

- 1)  $T$  is a maximal  $\lambda$ -topology on  $X$ .
- 2) The set of  $\lambda$ -subspaces of  $X =$  the set of closed subsets of  $X$ .
- 3) Any continuous bijection from a  $\lambda$ -space to  $X$  is a homeomorphism.

Recently, the following result has been obtained, Joseph (to appear):

**THEOREM.** *The following statements are equivalent to the statements in the above theorem with  $\lambda$  replaced by “compact.”*

- 4) Any continuous surjection from a compact space to  $X$  is a closed quotient map.
- 5) Any function with a compact graph from  $X$  to a space is continuous.
- 6) Any function with a compact graph from a space to  $X$  is closed when  $T$  is a compact topology.

We note that the following statements are true of a  $\lambda$ -space  $(X, T)$  with  $\lambda$  replaced throughout by either “compact,” “Lindelöf,” “countably compact,”

or “ $m$ -compact” for an infinite cardinal,  $m$ . These properties are readily verified by arguments paralleling those in Raha (1973).

- (\*) 1) A closed subspace of  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space.  
 2) A continuous image of  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space.  
 3) For each  $\lambda$ -subspace,  $A$  of  $X$ , the supremum,  $Q(T, A)$ , of  $T$  and  $\{X, X - A, \emptyset\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -space.

In this paper, we prove the following:

**THEOREM.** If  $\lambda$  is a property of topological spaces such that any  $\lambda$ -space satisfies the properties listed in (\*) the following statements are equivalent for a space  $(X, T)$ .

- 1)  $T$  is a maximal  $\lambda$ -topology on the set  $X$ .
- 2) The set of  $\lambda$ -subspaces of  $X =$  the set of closed subsets of  $X$ .
- 3) Any continuous surjection from a  $\lambda$ -space to  $X$  is a closed quotient map.
- 4) Any continuous bijection from a  $\lambda$ -space to  $X$  is a homeomorphism.
- 5) Any function with a  $\lambda$ -graph from  $X$  is continuous.
- 6) Any function with a  $\lambda$ -graph into  $X$  is closed when  $T$  is a  $\lambda$ -topology on  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$  be the projections of  $X \times Y$  onto  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. To show that 1) implies 2) we need show only that each  $\lambda$ -subspace of  $X$  is closed. This is clear since for each  $\lambda$ -subspace,  $A$ , of  $X$ ,  $T \subset Q(T, A)$  and  $Q(T, A)$  is a  $\lambda$ -topology on  $X$  forcing  $T = Q(T, A)$ . Assuming 2), let  $Y$  be a  $\lambda$ -space and let  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be a continuous surjection. If  $A$  is closed in  $Y$ , then  $A$  is a  $\lambda$ -subspace of  $Y$ , so  $g(A)$  is a  $\lambda$ -subspace of  $X$  and thus is closed in  $X$ . Now, let  $T^*$  be any topology on  $X$  for which  $g$  is continuous and  $T \subset T^*$ . Then  $X = g(Y)$ , so  $(X, T^*)$  is a  $\lambda$ -space. Let  $A$  be  $T^*$ -closed in  $X$ . Then  $A$  is a  $T^*$ - $\lambda$ -subspace of  $X$ , so  $A$  is a  $T$ - $\lambda$ -subspace of  $X$  since the identity function from  $(X, T^*)$  to  $(X, T)$  is continuous. So,  $A$  is  $T$ -closed,  $T = T^*$ , and  $g$  is a quotient map. It is immediate that 3) implies 4). To show that 5) follows from 4), let  $Y$  be a space and suppose  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $\lambda$ -graph,  $G(g)$ . The restriction,  $\pi_x^*$ , of  $\pi_x$  to  $G(g)$  is a continuous bijection and thus is a homeomorphism. Since  $g = \pi_y \circ \pi_x^{*-1}$ ,  $g$  is continuous. To prove that 5) implies 6) let  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be a function with  $G(g)$  a  $\lambda$ -subset of  $Y \times X$  and let  $A \subset Y$  be closed. Then for the restriction,  $\pi_y^*$ , we have  $\pi_y^{*-1}(A)$  is closed in  $G(g)$  and thus is a  $\lambda$ -subspace of  $Y \times X$ . Then  $g(A) = \pi_x(\pi_y^{*-1}(A))$  is a  $\lambda$ -subspace in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space, the identity function,  $i$ , from  $(X, T)$  to  $(X, Q(T, g(A)))$  has a  $\lambda$ -graph because the function,  $h$ , from  $(X, T)$  to  $X \times X$  defined by  $h(x) = (x, x)$  is continuous ( $T \subset Q(T, g(A))$ ,  $Q(T, g(A)) \subset Q(T, g(A))$  and  $h(X) = G(i)$ ); so  $i$  is continuous. Thus  $g(A)$  is  $T$ -closed since  $g(A)$  is  $Q(T, g(A))$ -closed. Finally, to verify that 6) implies 1), let  $T^*$  be a  $\lambda$ -topology on  $X$  and suppose  $T \subset T^*$ . The identity function from  $(X, T^*)$  to

$(X, T)$  renders  $T$  a  $\lambda$ -topology and, with the same reasoning as above, has a  $\lambda$ -graph; and is thus closed. Therefore,  $T = T^*$ . This completes the proof.

The theorem is true when  $\lambda$  is replaced throughout by either of the words "compact," "Lindelöf," or " $m$ -compact" for any infinite cardinal  $m$ .

Using the result of the theorem for  $m$ -compactness and the known fact that  $m$ -compact subsets are closed in a Hausdorff topological space with an open base of cardinality  $\leq m$  at each point, we may prove the following generalizations of corollaries 5 and 6 in Raha (1973).

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $T$  is an  $m$ -compact Hausdorff topology which contains an open base of cardinality  $\leq m$  at each point, then  $T$  is maximal  $m$ -compact.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $T$  is an  $m$ -compact Hausdorff topology which contains an open base of cardinality  $\leq m$  at each point, then  $T$  is a minimal element in the set of Hausdorff topologies which contain an open base of cardinality  $\leq m$  at each point.*

**PROOF.** Any topology which is contained in  $T$  would be  $m$ -compact; if in addition, the topology is Hausdorff and contains an open base of cardinality  $\leq m$  at each point, the topology must be maximal  $m$ -compact. Since  $T$  is  $m$ -compact, this topology must be  $T$ . This completes the proof.

#### References

- J. Joseph (1969), 'Continuous functions and spaces in which compact sets are closed', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **76**, 1125–1126.
- J. Joseph (to appear), 'Compact graphs and spaces in which compact sets are closed', *Math. Mag.*
- A. B. Raha (1973), 'Maximal topologies', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **15**, 279–290.
- N. Smythe and C. A. Wilkins (1963), 'Minimal Hausdorff and maximal compact spaces', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **3**, 167–171.

The Federal City College  
Washington, D. C.  
U.S.A.