

2017) was a Life Fellow of the American Psychiatric Association and today the Institute of Mental Health at University College London is led by its Sackler Chair, inaugurated in 2018.⁷ Meanwhile the Tate Gallery in London 'has become the latest institution to quietly drop the Sackler name from its walls in the race to cut ties with the disgraced family'.⁸

To conclude, in researching and reflecting on the history of psychiatry we will do best if we attend to Walter Benjamin's 'Definitions of basic historical concepts: Catastrophe – to have missed the opportunity. Critical moment – the status quo threatens to be preserved'² (N10,2).

About the author

George Ikkos is a consultant liaison psychiatrist in the Department of Psychiatry at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, London, immediate past Chair of the Royal College of Psychiatrists' History of Psychiatry Special Interest Group (HoPSIG), and former President of the Royal Society of Medicine's Pain Medicine and Psychiatry Sections. He is joint editor of *Mind, State and Society: Social History of Psychiatry and Mental Health in Britain 1960–2010*, which was runner up in the Association of American Publishers' PROSE 2022 Awards, in the section History of Science, Medicine and Technology (available open access from <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781911623793>).

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- Hilton C. Our values and our historical understanding of psychiatrists. *BJPsych Bull* [Epub ahead of print] 30 Mar 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjb.2023.16>.
- Benjamin W. *The Arcades Project* (trans. H Eiland, K McLaughlin). Belknap Press, 1999.
- US Department of Justice Office of Public Affairs. *Justice Department Announces Global Resolution of Criminal and Civil Investigations with Opioid Manufacturer Purdue Pharma and Civil Settlement with Members of the Sackler Family*. US Department of Justice, 2020; 21 Oct (Release 20-1136, updated 21 Oct 2021) (<https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-global-resolution-criminal-and-civil-investigations-opioid>).
- Humphreys K, Shover CL, Andrews CM, Bohnert ASB, Brandeau ML, Caulkins JP, et al. Responding to the opioid crisis in North America and beyond: recommendations of the Stanford-Lancet commission. *Lancet* 2022; **399**: 555–604.
- Lepping P, Poole R. Psychiatry's need for Vergangenheitsbewältigung: 'culture wars', cognitive dissonance and coming to terms with the past. *BJPsych Open* 2022; **8**(6): e202.
- Poitras L (Director). *All the Beauty and the Bloodshed* (film). Praxis Films, 2022.
- Robinson S. The ethics behind the endowment: UCL's relationship with the Sackler Trust. *The Cheese Grater* 2020; 16 Dec (<https://cheesegratermagazine.org/2020/12/16/ucl-iomh-sackler-trust/>).
- Chow V. Tate has become the latest institution to quietly drop the Sackler name from its walls in the race to cut ties with the disgraced family. *Artnet News* 2022; 7 Feb (<https://news.artnet.com/art-world/tate-drops-sackler-name-2069277>).



COMMENTARY

Sailing between Scylla and Charybdis: invited response to 'Blame or discovery?'

Claire Hilton

BJPsych Bulletin (2024) **48**, 122–123, doi:10.1192/bjb.2023.40

Correspondence to Claire Hilton (claire.hilton6@gmail.com)

First received 21 Apr 2023, accepted 21 Apr 2023

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Summary This brief commentary reflects on navigating two dangers of historical research into psychiatry: hagiographic representations of psychiatrists; and accusations of their self-interest and oppression of vulnerable people.

Keywords History of psychiatry; historical inquiry; hagiographic representations; obituaries; psychiatrists.

† Commentary on... Blame or discovery? Walter Benjamin's *Jetztzeit*, Purdue Pharma LP and 'our values and our historical understanding of psychiatrists' (<https://doi.org/10.1192/bjb.2023.39>).

I would like to focus on two of George Ikkos's comments:¹ 'Although fairness is undoubtedly a foundational value in both history and psychiatry, arguably the key driver in historical enquiry should be discovery rather than praise or blame' and 'Consistent with her institutional position, Hilton presses repeatedly her legitimate anxiety lest psychiatrists be unfairly criticised or blamed'.

As a historian, I aim to understand and explain the past as impartially and objectively as possible. Historical research is a voyage of discovery, but when seeking out psychiatry and psychiatrists, one needs to navigate cautiously to avoid the dangers of Scylla and Charybdis.

On one side are hagiographic representations: *Festschriften*; biographies; eponymous donations, bequests, lectureships or terminology; obituaries which follow the aphorism *De mortuis nil nisi bonum* ('Of the dead, nothing but good'). Their messages often originate from psychiatric colleagues and institutions. On the other side, widely quoted historical analyses by Michel Foucault,² Andrew Scull,³ Elaine Showalter,⁴ Akinobu Takabayashi⁵ and others have dominated the landscape, often featuring psychiatrists as seeking to benefit themselves while oppressing vulnerable people whom they were meant to be helping.

The polarities of these Scylla and Charybdis sources are hard to reconcile, and we may become enmeshed in either at our peril. Incredulity at what others had written about psychiatry and psychiatrists of the past drew me into researching the subject, well before taking up my 'institutional position'.

Steering cautiously and critically with an open mind as to what one might find are prerequisites for a successful voyage of historical exploration. 'Praise and blame' about past generations are by-products of historical research, not motivations or goals for it. Understanding what happened in the past, however, may contribute to avoiding psychiatry and psychiatrists being 'unfairly criticised or blamed' in the future.

About the author

Claire Hilton, FRCPsych, FRHistS, is historian in residence at the Royal College of Psychiatrists and an Honorary Research Fellow at Birkbeck University of London, London, UK.

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Funding

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

C.H. is a member of *BJPsych Bulletin* editorial board and did not take part in the review or decision-making process of this paper.

References

- 1 Ikkos G. Invited commentary: blame or discovery? Walter Benjamin's *Jetztzeit*, Purdue Pharma LP and 'our values and our historical understanding of psychiatrists'. *BJPsych Bull* [Epub ahead of print]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjb.2023.40>.
- 2 Foucault M. *Madness and Civilization: A History of Insanity in the Age of Reason*. Random House, 1965.
- 3 Scull A. *The Most Solitary of Afflictions: Madness and Society in Britain, 1700-1900*. Yale University Press, 1993.
- 4 Showalter E. *The Female Malady: Women, Madness, and English Culture, 1830-1980*. Pantheon Books, 1985.
- 5 Takabayashi A. Surviving the Lunacy Act of 1890: English psychiatrists and professional development during the early twentieth century. *Med Hist* 2017; **61**: 246-69.

