

# ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAE FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF A TWO PARAMETER SYSTEM OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND ORDER

BY  
M. FAIERMAN

**1. Introduction.** The origin and importance of multiparameter Sturm-Liouville problems in mathematical physics has recently been discussed by Atkinson [1, sects. 3 and 4], [2, introduction]. In spite of the importance of such problems, and in spite of the work done in this field by such early investigators as Klein and Hilbert, Atkinson points out that in recent years this field has been relatively neglected in contrast to the single parameter case. As an example, he states that as opposed to the one parameter case, the detailed behaviour of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the multiparameter Sturm-Liouville case is still far from clear.

In light of the above remarks, we wish to present here some results concerning the asymptotic developments for the eigenvalues of the simultaneous two parameter systems

$$(1a) \quad y_1'' + (\lambda a_1(x_1) + \mu b_1(x_1) + q_1(x_1))y_1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \quad ' = d/dx_1,$$

$$(1b) \quad y_1(0)\cos \alpha_1 - y_1'(0)\sin \alpha_1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \pi,$$

$$y_1(1)\cos \beta_1 - y_1'(1)\sin \beta_1 = 0, \quad 0 < \beta_1 \leq \pi,$$

and

$$(2a) \quad y_2'' + (\lambda a_2(x_2) + \mu b_2(x_2) + q_2(x_2))y_2 = 0, \quad 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1, \quad ' = d/dx_2,$$

$$(2b) \quad y_2(0)\cos \alpha_2 - y_2'(0)\sin \alpha_2 = 0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_2 < \pi,$$

$$y_2(1)\cos \beta_2 - y_2'(1)\sin \beta_2 = 0, \quad 0 < \beta_2 \leq \pi,$$

where we shall assume, unless otherwise stated, that for  $i=1, 2$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ , and  $q_i$  are real-valued, continuous functions in  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ . Furthermore, it is also assumed that

$$(3) \quad [a_1(x_1)b_2(x_2) - a_2(x_2)b_1(x_1)] > 0$$

for  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ ,  $i=1, 2$ ; and since this implies that for at least one  $i$ ,  $b_i \neq 0$  in  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ , we see that there is no loss of generality in assuming in the sequel that  $b_2 > 0$  in  $0 \leq x_2 \leq 1$ . For clearly this can always be achieved, if necessary, by interchanging the order of systems (1a, 1b) and (2a, 2b) as well as introducing an obvious orthogonal transformation in the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . In section 2 we summarize

---

Received by the editors January 12, 1972 and, in revised form, March 12, 1973.

known results for systems (1a, 1b), (2a, 2b) which we utilize in section 3 to arrive at our main result, namely theorem 5.

**2. Preliminaries.** We now collect some well-known facts concerning systems (1a, 1b), (2a, 2b) which we require for later use. We first need the following definitions. We shall call the tuple  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$  an eigenvalue of system (1a, 1b) (resp. (2a, 2b)) if (1a) (resp. (2a)), with  $\lambda=\lambda^*$  and  $\mu=\mu^*$ , has a non-trivial solution satisfying (1b) (resp. (2b)); if both  $\lambda^*$  and  $\mu^*$  are real, then we shall call  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$  a real eigenvalue. Furthermore, for  $i=1, 2$  and for arbitrary values of the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we shall denote by  $y_i(x_i, \lambda, \mu)$  the solution of (ia) satisfying  $y_i(0, \lambda, \mu)=\sin \alpha_i, y_i'(0, \lambda, \mu)=\cos \alpha_i$ , where  $'=d/dx_i$ .

2.1. System (2a, 2b). We know from the Sturm theory that for each  $\lambda, -\infty < \lambda < \infty$ , the totality of values of  $\mu$  which renders (2a, 2b) soluble, and with a corresponding non-trivial solution, form a countably infinite set of real numbers which we shall denote by  $\{\mu_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $\mu_0(\lambda) < \mu_1(\lambda) < \dots$ , and  $\mu_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From Richardson [8, sects. 2 and 3] and [9, sect. 2] we then have the following information (we remark that Richardson considers the case where  $a_2, b_2$ , and  $q_2$  are analytic in  $[0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_2=0, \beta_2=\pi$ ; however for the results stated below, his arguments are in no way vitiated for the system under consideration here). For  $n \geq 0, \mu_n(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$ ; and if  $c_n = \{(\lambda, \mu_n(\lambda)) \mid -\infty < \lambda < \infty\}$ , then the totality of the real eigenvalues of system (2a, 2b) is precisely the union of the disjoint subsets  $c_n, n=0, 1, \dots$ , of  $E_2$  (real Euclidean 2-space). Moreover, if  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*) \in c_n$ , then  $y_2(x_2, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$  is a solution of (2a, 2b) having exactly  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1)$ .

We may now look upon the sets  $c_n$  as curves in the  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -plane. In this plane we introduce angle in the usual way, and denote by  $\phi$  the angle which a ray emanating from the origin makes with the positive  $\lambda$ -axis. Put

$$g(x_2) = (a_2(x_2)/b_2(x_2)), \quad 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1,$$

$$G = \sup_{0 \leq x_2 \leq 1} g(x_2), \quad G^* = \inf_{0 \leq x_2 \leq 1} g(x_2),$$

$$\phi_1 = \tan^{-1}\{-G\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = \tan^{-1}\{-G^*\},$$

where the principal branch of the inverse tangent is taken. Denoting by  $c_n(\lambda)$  the point of  $c_n$  having  $\lambda$  as abscissa, we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\epsilon$  be any number satisfying  $0 < \epsilon < \min\{(\phi_1 + \pi/2), (-\phi_1 + \pi/2)\}$ . Let  $n$  be any nonnegative integer. Then there exists the positive number  $\lambda_n^+(\epsilon)$  such that  $c_n(\lambda)$  lies in the sector  $(\phi_1 - \epsilon) < \phi < (\phi_1 + \epsilon)$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_n^+(\epsilon)$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the solution  $y(x_2, \lambda)$  of the equation  $y'' + [\lambda(a_2(x_2) + \Delta(x_2)) + q_2(x_2)]y = 0, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1, ' = d/dx_2$ , which satisfies the initial conditions  $y(0, \lambda) = \sin \alpha_2, y'(0, \lambda) = \cos \alpha_2$ , and where  $\Delta(x_2) = b_2(x_2)\tan(\phi_1 + \epsilon)$ . Observing from above that  $(a_2 + \Delta) > 0$  in at least some proper subinterval of  $[0, 1]$ , we conclude from

[7, p. 227] that the number of zeros of  $y$  in  $(0, 1)$  exceeds  $n$  for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently large. Hence if  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity with  $j$  such that  $\mu_n(\lambda_j) \geq \lambda_j \tan(\phi_1 + \varepsilon)$  for each  $j$ , then we know from the Sturm comparison theorem that the number of zeros of  $y_2(x_2, \lambda_j, \mu_n(\lambda_j))$  in  $(0, 1)$  exceeds  $n$  for all large  $j$ . Since this is impossible, we conclude that  $c_n(\lambda)$  lies in the sector  $-\pi/2 < \phi < (\phi_1 + \varepsilon)$  for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently large. In a similar manner we can also show that  $c_n(\lambda)$  lies in the sector  $(\phi_1 - \varepsilon) < \phi < \pi/2$  for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently large, and the theorem follows.

From theorem 1 we conclude that for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\{\mu_n(\lambda)/\lambda\} \rightarrow -G$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . In [4] we have sharpened this result by imposing certain restrictions on the coefficients of (2a); and we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let both  $a_2(x_2)$  and  $b_2(x_2)$  belong to  $C_4$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $g(x_2) = G$  at precisely the finite set of points  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^p$ , where  $p \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_p \leq 1$ , and  $g'(h_j) = 0$ ,  $g''(h_j) < 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . Then for each  $n \geq 0$  there exists the numbers  $G_{i,n}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , such that*

$$\mu_n(\lambda) = -\lambda G + \lambda^{1/2} G_{1,n} + \lambda^{1/4} G_{2,n} + G_{3,n} + o(1)$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , (and not uniformly with respect to  $n$ ).

2.2. System (1a, 1b). Noting from (3) that  $\psi(x_1, \phi) = (a_1(x_1) + b_1(x_1) \tan \phi) > 0$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$  and  $\phi_1 \leq \phi \leq \phi_2$ , it then follows from the works of Richardson cited above that the totality of the real eigenvalues of system (1a, 1b) is the union of a countably infinite number of disjoint analytic curves in  $E_2$  which we shall denote by  $S_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Moreover, if  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*) \in S_n$ , then  $y_1(x_1, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$  is a solution of (1a, 1b) having exactly  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1)$ ; and if  $d_n$  denotes the minimum distance from the zero element of  $E_2$  to  $S_n$ , then  $d_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now choose the numbers  $\phi_1^*$  and  $\phi_2^*$ , where  $-\pi/2 < \phi_1^* < \phi_1 \leq \phi_2 < \phi_2^* < \pi/2$ , so that  $\psi > 0$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$  and  $\phi_1^* \leq \phi \leq \phi_2^*$ . Hence, from Richardson we know that if  $\phi_1^* \leq \phi \leq \phi_2^*$ , then in the  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -plane a straight line through the origin with slope  $\tan \phi$  intersects each  $S_n$  in exactly one point, which we denote by  $(\lambda_n(\phi), \mu_n(\phi))$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $\lambda_0(\phi) < \lambda_1(\phi) < \dots$ , and  $\lambda_n(\phi) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_n(\phi)$  and  $\mu_n(\phi)$  are analytic in  $[\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*]$ ; and if  $N_1$  denotes the smallest integer greater than the number of zeros of  $y_1(x_1, 0, 0)$  in  $0 < x_1 < 1$ , then  $\lambda_n(\phi) > 0$  for  $n \geq N_1$ . Finally, we observe that if for  $n \geq N_1$  we denote by  $S_n^+$  the subset of  $S_n$  lying in the sector  $\phi_1^* \leq \phi \leq \phi_2^*$ , then  $S_n^+$  is a Jordan arc and can be represented parametrically by putting  $\lambda = \lambda_n(\phi)$ ,  $\mu = \mu_n(\phi)$ ,  $\phi_1^* \leq \phi \leq \phi_2^*$ .

2.3. Systems (1a, 1b), (2a, 2b). Referring to the simultaneous systems (1a, 1b), (2a, 2b) as system (1-2), we shall call the tuple  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$  an eigenvalue of this system if, with  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and  $\mu = \mu^*$ , (ia) has a non-trivial solution satisfying (ib) for  $i = 1, 2$ . From the results of Atkinson [3, p. 551, problem 16 and pp. 160–168] (see also [7, pp. 248–251]) it readily follows that the eigenvalues of system (1-2) form an

infinite subset of  $E_2$ , and moreover, if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are any pair of nonnegative integers, then there is exactly one eigenvalue of system (1-2), say  $(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$ , such that  $y_i(x_i, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$  has precisely  $p_i$  zeros in  $0 < x_i < 1, i = 1, 2$ . We see from this that the set of eigenvalues of system (1-2) may be denoted by  $\{(\lambda_{i,j}, \mu_{i,j})\}_{i,j=0}^\infty$ , where  $y_1(x_1, \lambda_{i,j}, \mu_{i,j})$  has precisely  $i$  zeros in  $0 < x_1 < 1$ , and  $y_2(x_2, \lambda_{i,j}, \mu_{i,j})$  has precisely  $j$  zeros in  $0 < x_2 < 1$ . Furthermore, it now follows (see Richardson [8, sect. 4]) that the eigenvalues of system (1-2) and the points of intersection of the sets  $S_n$  with the sets  $c_n$  are identical. Indeed, if  $i$  and  $j$  are any pair of non-negative integers, then  $S_i$  intersects  $c_j$  in precisely one point, namely at the eigenvalue of system (1-2),  $(\lambda_{i,j}, \mu_{i,j})$ .

Finally, for a further discussion of the results of section 2 we refer to [5, chaps. 2 and 3].

**3. Main results.** In this section we shall fix the non-negative integer  $m$  and use the results of section 2 to obtain asymptotic developments for  $\lambda_{n,m}$  and  $\mu_{n,m}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From theorem 1 we see that there is a positive number  $\lambda^\dagger$  such that  $c_m(\lambda)$  lies in the sector  $\phi_1^* < \phi < \phi_2^*$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda^\dagger$ . Also, from subsection 2.2 we note that if  $d_n$  is the minimum distance from the zero element of  $E_2$  to  $S_n$  and  $d(\lambda)$  the distance from the zero element to  $c_m(\lambda)$ , then we can choose the integer  $N > N_1$  large enough so that  $d_n > 2d(\lambda^\dagger)$  for  $n \geq N$ .

**THEOREM 3.** For  $n \geq N, (\lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m})$ , the unique point of intersection of  $S_n$  with  $c_m$ , lies in the sector  $\phi_1^* < \phi < \phi_2^*$ . Moreover,  $0 < \lambda^\dagger < \lambda_{N,m} < \lambda_{N+1,m} < \lambda_{N+2,m} < \dots$ , and  $\lambda_{n,m} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** Referring to subsection 2.2, we see that for  $n \geq N$  we may introduce into  $E_2$  the Jordan curve  $\gamma_n$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 3t\lambda_n(\phi_1^*), & \mu &= 3t\mu_n(\phi_1^*), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \lambda &= \lambda_n(\phi(t)), & \mu &= \mu_n(\phi(t)), & \phi(t) = (2-3t)\phi_1^* + (3t-1)\phi_2^*, & \frac{1}{3} < t \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \lambda &= 3(1-t)\lambda_n(\phi_2^*), & \mu &= 3(1-t)\mu_n(\phi_2^*), & \frac{2}{3} < t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the arc obtained by restricting the parameter  $t$  to the interval  $\frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}$  is precisely  $S_n^+$ . From the Jordan theorem we know that  $\gamma_n$  separates  $E_2$  into two disjoint regions, say  $\Omega_n$  and  $\Omega_n^*$ , having  $\gamma_n$  as common boundary; moreover, if  $\Omega_n$  is the bounded region, then it is clear that

$$\Omega_n = \{(\lambda, \mu) \mid (\lambda, \mu) \in E_2, \lambda = \tau\lambda_n(\phi(t)), \mu = \tau\mu_n(\phi(t)), 0 < \tau < 1, \frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{2}{3}\}.$$

We note that  $c_m(\lambda^\dagger) \in \Omega_n$ ; and if we denote by  $c_m^+$  the curve  $\{c_m(\lambda) \mid \lambda^\dagger \leq \lambda < \infty\}$ , then  $c_m^+$  lies in the sector  $\phi_1^* < \phi < \phi_2^*$ . Since  $\Omega_n$  is bounded, we conclude that  $c_m^+$  intersects  $S_n^+$ . From subsection 2.3 it then follows that  $c_m^+$  intersects  $S_n^+$  in precisely one point, namely  $(\lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m})$ . Thus  $(\lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m})$  lies in the sector  $\phi_1^* < \phi < \phi_2^*$  and  $c_m(\lambda) \in \Omega_n^*$  for  $\lambda > \lambda_{n,m}$ .

Assume now that for some  $n \geq N$ ,  $\lambda_{n+1,m} < \lambda_{n,m}$ ; and for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , denote by  $\gamma_n(t)$  the point of  $\gamma_n$  corresponding to  $t$ . Then we know from above that there is a  $t^*$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} < t^* < \frac{2}{3}$ , such that  $\gamma_n(t^*) = (\lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m})$  and  $\gamma_n(t^*) \in \Omega_{n+1}^*$ . On the other hand, from subsection 2.2 and the definition of  $\Omega_{n+1}$ , we see that  $\gamma_n(t) \in \Omega_{n+1}$  for  $\frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{2}{3}$ . Thus we must have  $\lambda_{n,m} < \lambda_{n+1,m}$ . This and the results of subsection 2.2 complete the proof of our theorem.

We now choose the number  $h_1$ , where  $0 \leq h_1 \leq 1$ , so that  $g(h_1) = G$  (see subsection 2.1). Put

$$\Delta(x_1) = (b_2(h_1))^{-1}[a_1(x_1)b_2(h_1) - b_1(x_1)a_2(h_1)], \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1,$$

$$D = \int_0^1 (\Delta(x_1))^{1/2} dx_1,$$

where here and in the sequel the positive root of a positive quantity is always taken.

**THEOREM 4.** *As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\lambda_{n,m} = [n\pi/D]^2[1 + o(1)], \quad \mu_{n,m} = [n\pi/D]^2[-G + o(1)].$$

**Proof.** From theorem 3 and subsection 2.1 we see that for  $n \geq N$ ,  $\mu_{n,m} = -\lambda_{n,m}G + r_n$ , where  $r_n = o(\lambda_{n,m})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n \geq N$ , put  $Q_n = (1 + |r_n|)B + Q$ , where  $B$  (resp.  $Q$ ) is the supremum of  $|b_1|$  (resp.  $|q_1|$ ) in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ , and choose the integer  $N^* > N$  large enough so that for  $n \geq N^*$ ,  $\{Q_n/\lambda_{n,m}\delta^2\} < \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $\delta$  is the infimum of  $\Delta^{1/2}$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ . Now for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists the partition of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p = 1$ ,  $p > 2$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^p M_i(t_i - t_{i-1}) < (D + \varepsilon)$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^p m_i(t_i - t_{i-1}) > (D - \varepsilon),$$

where  $M_i$  (resp.  $m_i$ ) is the supremum (resp. infimum) of  $\Delta^{1/2}$  in  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Observing that for  $n \geq N^*$ ,  $y_1(x_1, \lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m})$  satisfies the differential equation

$$y'' + (\lambda_{n,m}\Delta(x_1) + r_n b_1(x_1) + q_1(x_1))y = 0, \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \quad ' = d/dx_1,$$

it follows from a simple application of the Sturm fundamental theorem to each of the intervals  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  that

$$n < \left\{ (l_n/\pi) \sum_{i=1}^p M_i(t_i - t_{i-1}) + Q_n/\pi \delta l_n + 2p \right\},$$

$$n > \left\{ (l_n/\pi) \sum_{i=1}^p m_i(t_i - t_{i-1}) - Q_n/\pi \delta l_n - 2p \right\},$$

where  $l_n^2 = \lambda_{n,m}$ . Hence the limit superior of the sequence  $\{n\pi/\lambda_{n,m}^{1/2}D\}_{n=N}^\infty$  does not exceed  $(1 + \varepsilon/D)$  and the limit inferior is not less than  $(1 - \varepsilon/D)$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, our theorem follows.

We note that the proof of theorem 4 depends upon the fact that  $\mu_m(\lambda) = \lambda(-G + o(1))$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Since in [4] we have been able to sharpen this result, it is natural to ask whether the results of [4] can be used to improve the results of theorem 4. In the sequel we show that this can be done provided that certain conditions are fulfilled. Hence in the following theorem it will be assumed that (i) the hypotheses of theorem 2 are satisfied, and (ii) both  $a_1(x_1)$  and  $b_1(x_1)$  belong to  $C_2$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\Delta(x_1)$  and  $D$  be defined as in theorem 4 (where now  $h_1$  is given by theorem 2) and put

$$D^* = \int_0^1 b_1(x_1)(\Delta(x_1))^{-1/2} dx_1,$$

$$F = D \left[ \int_0^1 (\Delta(x_1))^{-1/2} [q_1(x_1) + (\Delta(x_1))^{1/4} d^2 [(\Delta(x_1))^{-1/4}] / dx_1^2] dx_1 \right],$$

$$F^\dagger = 2D [(\Delta(1))^{-1/2} \cot \beta_1 + (\frac{1}{4}) \Delta'(1) (\Delta(1))^{-3/2}] \quad \text{if } \beta_1 \neq \pi,$$

$$F^* = -2D [(\Delta(0))^{-1/2} \cot \alpha_1 + (\frac{1}{4}) \Delta'(0) (\Delta(0))^{-3/2}] \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 \neq 0,$$

where  $' = d/dx_1$ . We shall also put (see theorem 2),

$$D_{1,m} = D^* G_{1,m} / 2, \quad D_{2,m} = D^* G_{2,m} / 2,$$

$$D_{3,m} = D^* G_{3,m} / 2 - (G_{1,m}^2 / 8) \int_0^1 (b_1(x_1))^2 (\Delta(x_1))^{-3/2} dx_1,$$

$$A_{1,m} = -2D_{1,m}, \quad A_{2,m} = -2D^{1/2} D_{2,m}, \quad A_{3,m} = (D_{1,m}^2 - 2DD_{3,m} - F),$$

$$B_{1,m} = (DG_{1,m} - GA_{1,m}), \quad B_{2,m} = (D^{3/2} G_{2,m} - GA_{2,m}),$$

$$B_{3,m} = (D^2 G_{3,m} - DG_{1,m} D_{1,m} - GA_{3,m}).$$

THEOREM 5. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(i) \quad \lambda_{n,m} = [(n+1)\pi/D]^2 [1 + A_{1,m}/(n+1)\pi + A_{2,m}/((n+1)\pi)^{3/2} + A_{3,m}/((n+1)\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

$$\mu_{n,m} = [(n+1)\pi/D]^2 [-G + B_{1,m}/(n+1)\pi + B_{2,m}/((n+1)\pi)^{3/2} + B_{3,m}/((n+1)\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

if  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_1 = \pi$ ;

$$(ii) \quad \lambda_{n,m} = [(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/D]^2 [1 + A_{1,m}/(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi + A_{2,m}/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^{3/2} + (A_{3,m} - F^\dagger)/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

$$\mu_{n,m} = [(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/D]^2 [-G + B_{1,m}/(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi + B_{2,m}/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^{3/2} + (B_{3,m} + GF^\dagger)/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

if  $\alpha_1=0$  and  $\beta_1 \neq \pi$ ;

$$(iii) \quad \lambda_{n,m} = [n\pi/D]^2 [1 + A_{1,m}/n\pi + A_{2,m}/(n\pi)^{3/2} + (A_{3,m} - F^\dagger - F^*)/(n\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

$$\mu_{n,m} = [n\pi/D]^2 [-G + B_{1,m}/n\pi + B_{2,m}/(n\pi)^{3/2} + (B_{3,m} + GF^\dagger + GF^*)/(n\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

if  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$  and  $\beta_1 \neq \pi$ ;

$$(iv) \quad \lambda_{n,m} = [(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/D]^2 [1 + A_{1,m}/(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi + A_{2,m}/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^{3/2} + (A_{3,m} - F^*)/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

$$\mu_{n,m} = [(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/D]^2 [-G + B_{1,m}/(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi + B_{2,m}/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^{3/2} + (B_{3,m} + GF^*)/((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2 + o(1/n^2)],$$

if  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$  and  $\beta_1 = \pi$ .

**Proof.** We shall only consider the case  $\alpha_1=0, \beta_1=\pi$ ; the other cases may be similarly treated. Now we have seen that  $c_m(\lambda)$  lies in the sector  $\phi_1^* < \phi < \phi_2^*$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda^\dagger$ ; hence from subsections 2.1 and 2.2 it follows that

$$L(\lambda) = \int_0^1 (\lambda a_1(x_1) + \mu_m(\lambda) b_1(x_1))^{1/2} dx_1$$

is analytic in  $\lambda^\dagger \leq \lambda < \infty$ . If for  $\lambda \geq \lambda^\dagger$  we put

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/2}D + D_1 + \lambda^{-1/4}D_2 + \lambda^{-1/2}D_3 + h(\lambda),$$

where  $D_i = D_{i,m}, i=1, 2, 3$ , then  $h(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\lambda^\dagger \leq \lambda < \infty$ ; also, a simple computation involving the use of theorem 2 shows that  $h(\lambda) = o(\lambda^{-1/2})$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . From theorem 3 it then follows that for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$(4) \quad L_n = \int_0^1 (\lambda_{n,m} a_1(x_1) + \mu_{n,m} b_1(x_1))^{1/2} dx_1 = \lambda_{n,m}^{1/2} D + D_1 + \lambda_{n,m}^{-1/4} D_2 + \lambda_{n,m}^{-1/2} D_3 + h(\lambda_{n,m}),$$

where  $h(\lambda_{n,m}) = o(\lambda_{n,m}^{-1/2})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now from subsection 2.2 we know that  $\psi(x_1, \phi) > 0$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$  and  $\phi_1^* \leq \phi \leq \phi_2^*$ . Hence there are positive numbers  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  such that  $\delta_1 \leq \psi \leq \delta_2$  in this rectangle. For  $n \geq N$  put  $\psi_n(x_1) = \psi(x_1, \phi_n), 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ , where  $\phi_n = \tan^{-1}\{\mu_{n,m}/\lambda_{n,m}\}$  and the principal branch of the inverse tangent is taken. Then  $\delta_1 \leq \psi_n \leq \delta_2$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ . Moreover, both  $|\psi'_n|$  and  $|\psi''_n|$  remain less than some bound independent of  $n$  and  $x_1$ , where  $' = d/dx_1$ .

We now show that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(5) \quad L_n = (n+1)\pi - \gamma_n/(n+1)\pi + o(1/n),$$

where, with

$$H_n(x_1) = \int_0^{x_1} \psi_n^{1/2} d\tau, \quad H_n^*(x_1) = [q_1 + \psi_n^{1/4}(\psi_n^{-1/4})''], \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \quad ' = d/dx_1,$$

$$\gamma_n = (H_n(1)/2) \int_0^1 \psi_n^{-1/2} H_n^* dx_1.$$

We argue as in [7, pp. 271–273]. For  $n \geq N$  put

$$t_n = H_n^{-1}(1)H_n(x_1), \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \quad Y_n(t_n) = (\psi_n(x_1))^{1/4}y_1(x_1, \lambda_{n,m}, \mu_{n,m}),$$

$$\rho_n^2 = H_n^2(1)\lambda_{n,m}, \quad Q_n(t_n) = (\psi_n(x_1))^{-1}H_n^2(1)H_n^*(x_1), \quad \xi_n = \rho_n t_n,$$

and

$$\delta_n^* = (\psi_n(0))^{-1/4}H_n(1).$$

Then for  $0 \leq t_n \leq 1$ ,

$$(6) \quad Y_n(t_n) = \rho_n^{-1} \left[ \delta_n^* \sin \xi_n - \int_0^{t_n} \sin(\xi_n - \rho_n \tau) Q_n(\tau) Y_n(\tau) d\tau \right].$$

In light of the above remarks concerning bounds for  $\psi_n$  and its first two derivatives, it now follows from the Gronwall lemma that the supremum in  $[0, 1]$  of  $|Y_n|$ , of  $|Y_n'|$  ( $' = d/dt_n$ ), and of  $\rho_n^2 |Y_n - \delta_n^* \rho_n^{-1} \sin \xi_n|$  all remain less than some bound independent of  $n$ . Hence, using an argument similar to that used in the proof of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we deduce from (6) that

$$Y_n(t_n) = \rho_n^{-1} \delta_n^* \left[ \sin \xi_n + (2\rho_n)^{-1} \left( \int_0^{t_n} Q_n(\tau) d\tau \right) \cos \xi_n + \rho_n^{-1} z_{1,n}(t_n) \right],$$

$$Y_n'(t_n) = \delta_n^* [\cos \xi_n + z_{2,n}(t_n)],$$

where, for  $i=1, 2$ , the supremum in  $[0, 1]$  of  $|z_{i,n}|$  tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, since  $Y_n$  has  $n$  zeros in  $(0, 1)$  and  $Y_n(1)=0$ , a standard argument now shows that  $\rho_n = \pi(n+1 + \varepsilon_n)$ , where

$$\tan \pi \varepsilon_n = \left[ -(2\rho_n)^{-1} \int_0^1 Q_n(t_n) dt_n + \rho_n^{-1} \varepsilon_n^* \right]$$

and  $\varepsilon_n^* = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From this (5) follows.

From (4), (5), and theorems 2 and 3 we conclude that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(\lambda_{n,m}^{1/2} D / (n+1)\pi) \rightarrow 1$  and  $(\mu_{n,m} / \lambda_{n,m}) = (-G + O(1/n))$ . A simple calculation now shows that  $\gamma_n = ((F/2) + O(1/n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, from (4) and (5), we see that if for  $n \geq N$  we put

$$\lambda_{n,m}^{1/2} = [(n+1)\pi/D][1 - D_1/(n+1)\pi - D^{1/2}D_2/((n+1)\pi)^{3/2} - (DD_3 + F/2)/((n+1)\pi)^2 + \varepsilon_n^\dagger],$$

then  $\varepsilon_n^\dagger = o(1/n^2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Our results follow from this and theorems 2 and 3.

To conclude, we remark that the formulae given in theorem 5 have been obtained to an accuracy determined by the conditions which we have imposed upon

the coefficients of the differential equations (1a) and (2a) and under suitable conditions, these formulae may be further developed. This follows from the fact that our results depend upon the formulae of theorem 2 and upon formulae of the type given in (5) (see [7, pp. 272–273]), and in the manner described in [4, sect. 1] and [6], these formulae may be further developed for suitable coefficients in our differential equations.

## REFERENCES

1. F. V. Atkinson, *Multiparameter spectral theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 1–27.
2. F. V. Atkinson, *Multiparameter Eigenvalue Problems*, Vol. 1, Academic, New York, N.Y., 1972.
3. F. V. Atkinson, *Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems*, Academic, New York, N.Y., 1964.
4. M. Faierman, *Asymptotic formulae for the eigenvalues of a two-parameter ordinary differential equation of the second order*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (1972), 1–52.
5. M. Faierman, *Boundary value problems in differential equations*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Toronto, June, 1966.
6. J. Horn, *Ueber eine lineare differentialgleichung zweiter ordnung mit einem willkürlichen parameter*, Math. Ann. **52** (1899), 271–292.
7. E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover, New York, N.Y., 1956.
8. R. G. D. Richardson, *Theorems of oscillation for two linear differential equations of the second order*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1912), 22–34.
9. R. G. D. Richardson, *Über die notwendig und hinreichenden bedingungen für das bestehen eines Kleinschen oszillationstheorems*, Math. Ann. **73** (1912–13), 289–304.

LOYOLA COLLEGE,  
MONTREAL, QUEBEC

The Author's current address is:  
Department of Mathematics,  
Ben Gurion University of the Neger,  
Beer-Sheva, Israel.