Malnutrition Matters, Joint BAPEN and Nutrition Society Meeting, 29-30 November 2011, Harrogate

A survey of enterally tube fed patients receiving $\sim\!800\,\mathrm{kcal/d}$ tube feeding regimens

G. P. Hubbard¹, H. Finch², S. White³, J. Mackel⁴, C. Day⁵, J. M. Davies⁶, A. Owen⁶, T. Hoe⁷, S. Bertram-Barclay⁷, C. Provan⁸, K. Sommerville⁹ and R. J. Stratton¹

¹Nutricia Ltd, Wiltshire BA14 0XQ, ²The Royal Hospital for Neuro-disability, London, SW15 3SW, ³Northern General Hospital, Sheffield, S5 7AU, ⁴NHS Lothian, EH9 2HL, ⁵Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, DE22 3NE, ⁶Aneurin Bevan Health Board, NP44 8YN, ⁷NHS East Sussex CHS, TN37 7RD, ⁸Vale of Leven Hospital, G83 0UA and ⁹Dumfries and Galloway Royal Infirmary, DG1 4AP, UK

The British Artificial Nutrition Survey suggests that patients receiving long term tube feeding are an increasingly dependent population with low activity levels⁽¹⁾. It has been suggested that such patients may have lower energy requirements than predicted and need low energy tube feeding regimens in order to prevent weight $gain^{(2,3)}$. However there is little published information about the use of low energy tube feeding regimens in the UK. Therefore a survey to investigate and characterise the numbers and types of patients receiving low energy tube feeding regimens of $\sim 800 \, \text{kcal}$ total energy per day was undertaken.

A survey of adult tube fed patients (\geq 18yrs) who were receiving a low energy tube feeding regimen (receiving <1000 kcal/day) was undertaken in patients receiving enteral tube feeding at home (HETF) (n 1400) or in a long term neuro-rehabilitation centre (n 108) between July and September 2009. A standardised questionnaire, which included patient demographics (age, location, dependency, activity levels, diagnosis), tube type and feeding regimen details (duration, timing, energy and volume prescription) and reasons for low energy tube feeding, was completed for each patient from their dietetic notes.

2.2% (33/1508) of patients were receiving mean actual energy intakes of 800 kcal/d (SD 69, range 600–900 kcal/d) as a sole source of nutrition (this would equate to approximately 500 patients in the UK based on BANS data¹). These patients had a mean age of 44yrs (SD 19, range 21–80), mean BMI: $24.8 \, \text{kg/m}^2$ (SD 4.6), a mean time on tube feeding of 6yrs (SD 5, range 1.3–22yrs) and a mean time receiving \sim 800 kcal/d of 2.3yrs (range 7 days–16yrs, 16 patients (49%) had been receiving \sim 800 kcal/d for >1 yr). The majority of patients had severe learning disabilities (n 9), severe neuro-disabilities (n 7) or stroke (n 4). Patients resided either in nursing homes (64%) or their own/family homes (36%). All patients required full assistance for daily activities (100%) and were all very immobile (bed bound or bed rest (91%), very sedentary (9%)). Dietitians had prescribed \sim 800 kcal/d due to the patients' low energy requirements and gradual weight gain over time on higher energy regimens. The majority of patients (n = 21) were receiving regimens of between 600–900 kcal/d from a tube feed or sip feeds alone, regimens that were deficient in protein, electrolytes, vitamins and minerals. The remaining patients were receiving a number of feeding products to meet their nutritional requirements which were deficient in potassium, high in calcium and very complex and time consuming to provide.

The data from this survey suggests that $\sim 2\%$ of home enterally tube fed patients' are currently receiving ~ 800 kcal/d as a sole source of nutrition, with the use of a variety of nutritionally inadequate regimens. In addition to the need for a low energy nutritionally complete tube feeding regimen, more research is required to understand the nutritional requirements of this patient group.

With thanks to the Dietetic Dept at Nottingham University Hospitals.

- 1. British Artificial Nutrition Survey (BANS) (2009) BAPEN.
- 2. Dickerson RN, Brown RO & Gervasio JG et al. (1999) J Am Coll Nutr 18, 61–68.
- 3. Leone and Pencharz (2010) Clin Nutr 29, 370-72.