



Irish Section Postgraduate Meeting

Environmental and genetic factors influence the vitamin D content of cows' milk

R. R. Weir¹, J. J. Strain¹, M. Johnston², C. Lowis², A. M. Fearon³,
S. Stewart³ and L. K. Pourshahidi^{1*}

¹Northern Ireland Centre for Food and Health, University of Ulster, Coleraine BT52 1SA, UK

²Dairy Council for Northern Ireland, Shaftesbury House, Edgewater Office Park, Belfast BT3 9JQ, UK

³Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Belfast BT9 5PX, UK

Vitamin D is obtained by cattle from the diet and from skin production via UVB exposure from sunlight. The vitamin D status of the cow impacts the vitamin D content of the milk produced, much like human breast milk, with seasonal variation in the vitamin D content of milk well documented. Factors such as changes in husbandry practices therefore have the potential to impact the vitamin D content of milk. For example, a shift to year-round housing from traditional practices of cattle being out to graze during the summer months and housed during the winter only, minimises exposure to the sun and has been shown to negatively influence the vitamin D content of the milk produced. Other practices such as changing dietary sources of vitamin D may also influence the vitamin D content of milk, and evidence exists to suggest genetic factors such as breed can cause variation in the concentrations of vitamin D in the milk produced. The present review aims to provide an overview of the current understanding of how genetic and environmental factors influence the vitamin D content of the milk produced by dairy cattle. A number of environmental and genetic factors have previously been identified as having influence on the nutritional content of the milk produced. The present review highlights a need for further research to fully elucidate how farmers could manipulate the factors identified to their advantage with respect to increasing the vitamin D content of milk and standardising it across the year.

Environmental factors: Genetic factors: Vitamin D: Cows' milk

Cattle require vitamin D to aid the excretion of calcium from the kidneys and in the reabsorption of calcium from the bones, maintaining calcium homeostasis⁽¹⁾. Vitamin D is also important in preventing the development of hypocalcaemia⁽²⁾ and milk fever which is a debilitating disorder typically seen close to calving, characterised by decreased blood calcium concentrations, and in severe cases can result in fatalities⁽³⁾.

In a similar manner to human subjects, cattle can obtain vitamin D through both endogenous, or dermal synthesis, as well as dietary sources. Only vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) is produced through dermal synthesis following exposure to UVB emitted from the sun⁽⁴⁾. Dietary sources, however, can provide both vitamins

D₃ and D₂ (ergocalciferol). Vitamin D₂ is typically obtained naturally through the ingestion of fungi growing among the vegetation cattle consume⁽⁵⁾, and dietary vitamin D₃ is provided through synthetic additives in the feed concentrates⁽⁶⁾, usually in regulated quantities (per kg/d). Therefore, differences in husbandry practices can cause an inherent variation in the vitamin D content of the milk produced between different farms and throughout the year (e.g. housed *v.* grazing on pasture and grass *v.* concentrate feed). The amount of vitamin D consumed or synthesised by cattle impacts the vitamin D status of the animal, and much like human breast milk, the vitamin D status of the cow subsequently impacts the vitamin D content of the milk produced^(7–9).

Abbreviation: 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃.

***Corresponding author:** Dr K. Pourshahidi, email k.pourshahidi@ulster.ac.uk

Cows' milk provides many nutrients in the human diet (e.g. protein, calcium, riboflavin, vitamin B₁₂, potassium, iodine and phosphorus) and has been associated with a number of health benefits⁽¹⁰⁾. In the face of limited dietary sources of vitamin D, dairy products remain an important contribution to adults' overall vitamin D intake⁽¹¹⁾, with several countries across the globe implementing a mandatory or voluntary fortification policy for fluid milk to improve the vitamin D content of the milk on sale⁽¹²⁻¹⁵⁾.

The aims of the present review were to provide an overview of: (1) the genetic and the environmental factors that influence the vitamin D status of dairy cattle; (2) how these factors influence the vitamin D content of the milk produced.

Environmental factors

Seasonal changes in vitamin D content

Seasonal variations in vitamin D content of milk are well documented, with concentrations found to be higher in the summer months than in the winter, most likely due to differences in both husbandry and feeding practices between the seasons. Reports dating back as far as the 1920s demonstrated that a single cow pasture-fed between May and July had a higher 'anti-rachitic' (vitamin D) content than the milk produced when the same cow was fed in-house and kept in the dark⁽¹⁶⁾. The same cow was then involved in another study, which collected milk samples for 18 months. In support of the initial findings, a 2-3-fold increase in the vitamin D content of the milk produced was observed when the cow was out to pasture, compared with the milk produced when the cow was housed in a dark stall⁽¹⁷⁾. Evidence suggests that this seasonal variation is the result of insufficient stores of vitamin D in the liver and fat tissues for mobilisation in times when dietary intake of the vitamin is low⁽¹⁸⁾. Many subsequent studies have confirmed the seasonal variation of the vitamin D content of milk (approximate differences ranging between 0.004 and 0.0014 µg/g fat) across different countries and breeds of cattle (Table 1)⁽¹⁹⁻²²⁾. Although seasonal variation in vitamin D content is widely reported in the literature, units of measurement are inconsistent, which makes it difficult to compare between studies. In the previous edition of the UK Food Composition Tables, no seasonal variation in the vitamin D content of milk was noted for whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed milk, but was observed in the whole milk samples from the Channel Islands, where mean concentrations for summer and winter were 0.04 µg/100 g and 0.03 µg/100 g, respectively⁽²³⁾. In the most recent edition, a lack of seasonal variation is still apparent, with vitamin D only quantified for Channel Island whole milk, listed as 0.01 µg/100 g and trace for whole, semi-skimmed, skimmed and 1% milks⁽²⁴⁾.

While the seasonal variation in the vitamin D content of milk is established, not all studies or databases, such as the recent editions of the UK Food Composition Tables, report such variations, and a more comprehensive update

Table 1. Studies investigating the seasonal variation of vitamin D concentrations in cow's milk

Study	Study design				Vitamin D concentrations				
	Country	Cattle breed	n	Collection date	Milk sample collected	n	Summer content	Winter content	P
Bechtel and Hoppert ⁽³⁹⁾	USA	Guernsey; Holstein	Eight cows; fourteen cows	July 1932-July 1934	Fresh milk	Monthly	Max - 0.78 µg/quart; Max - 0.42 µg/quart	Max - 0.12 µg/quart; Max - 0.08 µg/quart	Not reported
Thompson et al. ⁽¹⁹⁾	England	Ayrshire; Jersey; Friesian	Sixty cows; sixty cows; fifty cows	Early March 1960	Fresh milk - churned to butter for analysis	Single 15 gallon sample collected from each herd	0.016 µg/g fat 0.013 µg/g fat 0.011 µg/g fat	0.002 µg/g fat 0.002 µg/g fat fat 0.002 µg/g fat	Not reported
Scott et al. ⁽²⁰⁾	Great Britain	Non-channel Island breeds	Twelve dairies	May 1980-September 1981	Pasturised milk	Every 7 weeks	0.033 µg/100 g milk	0.026 µg/100 g milk	Not reported
Kurmann and Indyk ⁽²¹⁾	New Zealand	Predominantly Friesian and Jersey-cross herds	One processing site	August 1991-May 1992	Bulk tank milk	Monthly	0.006 µg/g fat	0.002 µg/g fat	Not reported
Lindmark-Månsson et al. ⁽²²⁾	Sweden	Not specified	Nine dairies	November 1995-November 1996	Bulk tank milk	Every 2 months	Range 0.01-0.12 µg/100 g*	<0.001	Mean 0.03 µg/100 g

* Seasonal means not reported.

of vitamin D in milk across the UK and Ireland is warranted.

UVB exposure

In a study by Hymøller *et al.*, cows from two organic dairy farms in Denmark were selected to determine the effect of sunlight on the vitamin D status in March and April, and on each farm, cattle were allocated based on milk yield, parity and lactation stage to have daily outdoor access (from February to April) or to be confined indoors for the duration of the study (November–April)⁽²⁵⁾. Results from Farm 1 found no significant effect of treatment allocation on plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25(OH)D₃) concentration in March ($P = 0.350$) or April ($P = 0.060$), with mean plasma 25(OH)D₃ concentrations of 7.84 and 5.85 ng/ml for the outdoor and indoor groups, respectively⁽²⁵⁾. On Farm 2, the outdoor group had a significantly higher 25(OH)D₃ concentration in March, compared with the indoor group (5.71 v. 3.36 ng/ml; $P < 0.05$), but the same difference was not reported in April ($P = 0.100$)⁽²⁵⁾. Hymøller *et al.*⁽²⁵⁾ concluded that the assumption was that supplemental vitamin D₃ may still be required in the spring as a means to maintain a healthy vitamin D status.

In the field of bio-fortification/bio-addition, a recent Danish study⁽⁹⁾ investigated the potential impact of supplemental UVB light on vitamin D₃ synthesis in sixteen housed Holstein cattle, a common dairy breed, which had been severely depleted of their vitamin D stores. The cows were randomised to receive artificial UVB light 30, 90 or 120 min daily for 24 d or 60 min for 73 d; the length of UVB exposure was designed to be equivalent to 1, 2, 3 and 4 h of sunlight at pasture at 56°N, respectively⁽⁹⁾. After 24 d, the exposure to supplemental UVB light significantly increased the vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ concentrations in the milk in a dose-dependent manner over 30, 90 and 120 min⁽⁹⁾. In the cattle allocated to receive 60 min daily, a significant increase ($P = 0.029$) in the vitamin D₃ (but not the 25(OH)D₃) concentration of the milk produced between days 0 and 24 was noted, but this did not increase further up to day 73 ($P = 0.400$)⁽⁹⁾.

This important preliminary evidence, albeit from a limited number of studies, suggests that vitamin D bio-fortification of cow's milk does, at least in theory seem probable. Future studies therefore should investigate this novel on-farm method as a means of minimising the seasonal variation in cow's vitamin D status and the milk produced.

Diet

The seasonal changes in the vitamin D content of milk, have long been associated with the change in UV intensity and a reduction in the time spent outdoors, rather than as a result of the change in feed^(22,26). That being stated, in the UK cattle are solely reliant on dietary vitamin D during the winter, obtained through grass stores (hay, silage or haylage) or feed concentrates. Prior to 2010, both vitamins D₂ and D₃ were authorised by the European Commission as sources of vitamin D, which

could be added to feeds intended for cattle; however, in November 2010 no submission was made for the re-authorisation of a vitamin D₂ dossier, and as a result cattle can now only obtain vitamin D₂ from the consumption of fungi growing among the vegetation (fresh grass, hay, silage or haylage) used as roughage in the diet⁽⁵⁾ and not from concentrates. Within the EU, vitamin D₃ is now the only authorised source of supplemental vitamin D for cattle⁽²⁷⁾, with the maximum permitted levels set at 4000 IU (100 µg)/kg of feed⁽²⁸⁾.

Although cattle are reliant on dietary vitamin D during the winter months, it has been suggested that fat-soluble vitamins from such dietary sources are destroyed once they enter the rumen, owing to the fermentative environment^(29,30). Research using a fistula model was designed to test this hypothesis in vitamin D⁽⁴⁾. A maximum of 15 kg ruminal contents were removed and mixed with a vitamin D₂ and D₃ (both 250 mg) and vitamin E pre-mix⁽⁴⁾. The contents were then returned to the rumen; ruminal and blood samples were then collected over the subsequent 30 h period⁽⁴⁾. Once collected, ruminal samples were freeze-dried (*in vivo* samples), additional ruminal samples were collected at the 1 h time-point, and stored in plastic bottles, which were then placed in a water-bath (37 °C; *in vitro* samples). The concentrations of both vitamins D₂ and D₃ declined over the study period in the *in vivo* samples, with concentrations remaining stable in the *in vitro* samples, suggesting no degradation in the intact ruminal sample⁽⁴⁾. Results showed that the plasma concentrations of both vitamins D₂ and D₃ increased over the first few hours, from levels below the limit of detection, and reached a maximum concentration after 24 h (99(15) and 163(16) ng/ml, respectively), with vitamin D₃ concentrations significantly higher than those for vitamin D₂⁽⁴⁾. It has previously been hypothesised that vitamin D degradation in the rumen may be a natural protective detoxification process when large quantities of the vitamin are consumed⁽³¹⁾, and this may also be a possible reason for the rapid conversion to 25-hydroxyvitamin D observed by Hymøller and Jensen⁽⁴⁾.

Previously the potential of intravenous supplements to improve the vitamin D status of the cow and the milk produced have also been considered. Thompson and Hidioglou⁽³²⁾ orally administered 1 000 000 IU (25 000 µg) vitamin D₂ and 1 000 000 IU (25 000 µg) vitamin D₃ mixed in maize oil to two dairy cows, collecting milk and blood samples for 10 d after. The results showed that the maximum plasma vitamin D concentrations were observed after 2–3 d, with the maximum concentrations in the milk 1–3 d after⁽³²⁾. At the same time twelve additional cows were allocated to be orally or intravenously administered with vitamin D₃ in doses of 5 000 000 IU (125 000 µg) or 10 000 000 IU (250 000 µg). Increases in the vitamin D content of the milk produced varied between animals, with the maximum levels reached between 3 and 7 d for the oral doses and up to 10 d for the intravenous doses, with the maximum observed ranges between 8 IU (0.2 µg) and 92 IU (2.3 µg)/100 ml⁽³²⁾. It is important to interpret these results with caution as the doses administered in this trial are

extreme and would not be feasible to incorporate into the daily management of a dairy herd. Furthermore, little is also known on the safety, efficacy and longer-term effects of prolonged usage 'mega-doses', other than the data available for acute doses used in the treatment of milk fever^(33,34).

A research team led by Hollis collected milk samples from two groups of cows (4000 IU (100 µg) v. 40 000 IU (1000 µg) daily), and found concentrations of vitamin D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D in the milk to be greater in those cattle receiving a higher daily dose of vitamin⁽⁸⁾. Similar results were noted for 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and 25,26-dihydroxyvitamin D⁽⁸⁾. This research indicates that the intake of sufficient quantities of dietary vitamin D is enough to increase the vitamin D content of the milk produced.

A cross-over study randomised fourteen Danish Holstein cows based on parity and milk yield to receive a one-off 250 mg dose of vitamin D₂, followed by the same dose of vitamin D₃ in capsule-form or vice versa⁽⁶⁾. Plasma samples were obtained and area under the curve was used to determine the impact of the two different doses on the plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D status. Results found that the concentrations of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D₂ when D₂ was administered first was less than half that of 25(OH)D₃ when the vitamin D₃ dose was given first ($P \leq 0.001$)⁽⁶⁾, suggesting that vitamin D₂ may impair the utilisation of vitamin D₃.

McDermott *et al.* assigned twenty Holstein cows to receive 0 IU, 10 000 IU (250 µg), 50 000 IU (1250 µg) or 250 000 IU (6250 µg) vitamin D₃ daily, for 14 weeks starting at 2 weeks pre-partum⁽³⁵⁾. Vitamin D₃ concentrations in the colostrum were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in cows receiving 250 000 IU/d compared with the other groups, although this dropped during the transition to normal milk from colostrum, about 1 week post-partum. At the end of the study the vitamin D₃ content of the milk was approximately 0.075 ng/ml, 0.16 ng/ml, 20 ng/ml and 22 ng/ml for 0, 10 000, 50 000 and 250 000 IU, respectively⁽³⁵⁾. A mean concentration of 0.15 ng/ml for 25 (OH)D₃ was observed in normal milk⁽³⁵⁾.

The need to supplement cattle over the summer months with vitamin D₃ was investigated in Swedish Holsteins, assigned to receive a mineral feed containing vitamin D₃ concentrations in accordance with Swedish recommendations (control) or the same feed providing approximately 20 000 IU (500 µg) vitamin D₃ daily⁽²⁾. Plasma samples collected over the 2-year period showed a significant effect of treatment on the cattle's circulating 25(OH)D₃ concentrations compared with control ($P \leq 0.001$) and moreover, the 25(OH)D₃ concentrations in both the supplemented and unsupplemented cows increased when the cattle were out at pasture over the summer months⁽²⁾. The authors concluded that cattle obtain adequate vitamin D₃ from dermal synthesis over the summer, but that stores were not adequate to maintain the status and they had to rely on supplemental vitamin D over the winter⁽²⁾.

Overall the results of the earlier studies provide evidence to suggest that dietary vitamin D₃ is adequate to improve the vitamin D content of the milk produced and to help maintain the status in times where dermal synthesis is not feasible, despite the fermentative

environment of the rumen. These findings are of particular importance in relation to recent changes in husbandry practices, which have seen a growing shift to the year-round housing of cattle.

Genetic factors

Breed

The variation in the vitamin D content of milk produced by different cattle breeds is supported by evidence conducted across the world (Table 2). The Holstein–Friesian cross has become the most common breed of dairy cow, used for milk production across the world, due to the high production rates⁽³⁶⁾ and also remains a popular choice within the majority of British herds⁽³⁷⁾.

The average vitamin D content of milk produced in the UK is currently documented as 'trace' for whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed milk, albeit breed is not specified, with the exception of whole milk from the Channel Islands where Jersey cows are the dominant breed (0.1 µg/100 g)⁽²⁴⁾. The differences in vitamin D reported in the current Food Composition Tables support the results of Wallis⁽³⁸⁾ who compared the vitamin D content of the milk from Holsteins and Jerseys in the 1940s. Results from this early work showed that although Holsteins produced vastly greater quantities of milk, the vitamin D content of the Jersey cows was on average 3-fold higher owing to higher butterfat concentrations⁽³⁸⁾. Bechtel and Hoppert noted that not only was the vitamin D content of the milk higher in the summer months, but also that the milk fat produced from the Guernsey cattle was higher than the milk of the Holstein cattle⁽³⁹⁾. A British study involving three cattle breeds (Friesian, Jersey and Ayrshire) commonly milked in the UK observed differences across the three breeds in summer milk, with little difference apparent in winter milk⁽¹⁹⁾. In Portugal, two studies have noted a higher vitamin D content of milk from indigenous dairy breeds (Barrosã and Minhota) when compared that from with Friesians and Holstein–Friesians^(36,40).

Hair coverage and dominant colour

To determine if cattle could synthesis vitamin D₃ regardless of hair coverage, Hymøller and Jensen⁽⁴¹⁾ designed a study involving sixteen Danish Holstein cattle, which had been depleted of their vitamin D stores, and randomised based on parity and milk yield to one of the four groups. The treatment groups consisted of different levels of body coverage with a fabric, which prevented vitamin D synthesis for 28 d: a horse blanket; an udder cover; a horse blanket and an udder cover; no coverage⁽⁴¹⁾. The cattle were on pasture for 5 h each day and inside for the remainder of the day, and were fed a vitamin D₃-free diet throughout the study⁽⁴¹⁾. Mean plasma 25(OH)D₃ concentrations increased from 2.8 (0.2) ng/ml at baseline in all treatment groups, in a dose-dependent manner with the increasing level of body coverage⁽⁴¹⁾ (Table 3).

More recently, Hymøller and Jensen⁽⁴²⁾ randomised twenty Danish Holstein heifers based on milk yield and



Table 2. Studies investigating the impact of cattle breed on the vitamin D concentrations of milk

Study	Country	Cattle breed	n	Milk sample collected	n	Vitamin D concentrations
Bechtel and Hoppert ⁽³⁹⁾	USA	Guernsey; Holstein	Eight cows; fourteen cows	Fresh milk	Monthly; 1932–1934	0.12–1.09 µg/quart; 0.08–0.69 µg/quart
Wallis ⁽³⁸⁾	USA	Jersey; Holstein	Three cows; three cows	Fresh milk – extracted butterfat	Monthly – for up to 13 months	0.75 µg/quart; 0.25 µg/quart
Thompson <i>et al.</i> ⁽¹⁹⁾	England	Ayrshire; Jersey; Friesian	Sixty cows; sixty cows; fifty cows	Fresh milk – churned to butter for analysis	Single 15 gallon sample collected from each herd in early March	0.016 µg/g of fat*; 0.013 µg/g fat*; 0.011 µg/g fat*
Pires <i>et al.</i> ⁽⁴⁰⁾	Portugal	Barrosã; Friesian	Five cows; five cows	Fresh milk	1 from each cow	2.60 µg/100 g; 1.21 µg/100 g
Ramhola <i>et al.</i> ⁽³⁶⁾	Portugal	Minhota; Holeystein–Friesian	Fifteen cows; fifteen cows	Fresh milk	Monthly; October 2008 – September 2009	0.11 µg/g fat†; 0.10 µg/g fat†
McCance and Widdowson ⁽²⁴⁾	UK	Jersey; UK pooled milk (breed not specified)	Not specified	Whole milk; whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed	6 (3 summer and winter); not specified	0.01 µg/100 g; 'trace' for whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed

* Summer vitamin D concentration. Winter concentrations for all three breeds were 0.002 µg/g fat.

† Provitamin D₃ also measured – mean concentrations higher in the milk of Holstein–Friesian than Minhota cattle (0.77 and 0.45 µg/g fat).

dominant hair colour (black or white) to five different groups, allocated to an increasing length of time on pasture per day (0, 15, 30, 75, 150 or 300 min)⁽⁴²⁾. At baseline, the mean plasma 25(OH)D₃ concentration for all the heifers was 44.9 (2.4) nm/l. Over 28 d, the cattle on pasture for 15, 30 or 75 min were unable to maintain their 25(OH)D₃ concentrations from that at baseline. A significant increase in mean 25(OH)D₃ concentration was observed however in those outside on pasture for 150 or 300 min⁽⁴²⁾. In addition, they found that the dominant coat colour (black or white) had no significant effect on the plasma concentrations of 25(OH)D₃, illustrating that prominent coat colour does not influence the dermal synthesis of vitamin D₃ in such cattle⁽⁴²⁾ (Table 3).

The results of these two unique studies eloquently demonstrate that cattle can synthesize vitamin D₃ through all areas of their skin and not just in the udders or muzzle, where hair coverage is scarce. The work by Hymøller *et al.* also illustrates that, unlike human subjects, pigmentation has no effect on the synthesis of vitamin D₃ following UVB exposure⁽⁴³⁾. Further work in other cattle breeds is required to further investigate the variance in vitamin D levels in the milk produced. In addition, it may be beneficial to further explore the research by Hymøller and Jensen in other breeds to determine other factors that may prevent the dermal synthesis of vitamin D, such as long haired cattle breeds.

Other factors

Age

A German two-series study investigated the impact age has on the metabolism of 25(OH)D₃⁽⁴⁴⁾. In the first series, fourteen multiparous cows were supplemented orally with 3 mg 25(OH)D₃ daily from day 270 of gestation until parturition, with blood samples collected every other day⁽⁴⁴⁾. Ninety cows were allocated in the second series to receive 0, 4, 6 mg 25(OH)D₃ daily through mineral feed additives for the last 8–10 d of gestation, with blood samples also taken every other day until parturition, and at several intervals thereafter⁽⁴⁴⁾. Calculated slopes found the difference in 25(OH)D₃ between cattle in their second and third lactation to be significantly higher in the second lactation ($P < 0.001$), suggesting that younger cattle are more efficient at absorbing 25(OH)D₃ or that in older cattle the rate of 25(OH)D₃ elimination is faster, with 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ increased in cattle in the third lactation or higher⁽⁴⁴⁾.

Stage of lactation

A Japanese study collected milk samples from three Holstein cows at stage points post-partum: 1 d after, colostrum; 2–4 d after, early milk and 15 d after, later milk⁽⁴⁵⁾. Similar concentrations of vitamin D were recorded across the three points for two of the cows (33.2 IU/l (0.83 µg/l), 30.9 IU/l (0.77 µg/l), 35.6 IU/l (0.89 µg/l); and 47.0 IU/l (1.18 µg/l), 47.0 IU/l (1.18 µg/l), 55.7 IU/l (1.39 µg/l), respectively), with no trend noted in

Table 3. Studies investigating the impact of hair coverage and dominant hair colour on the vitamin D synthesis

Study	Study design					Plasma 25(OH)D concentrations			
	Country	Cattle breed	<i>n</i>	Study duration	Treatment groups	<i>n</i>	Mean at baseline	Mean at end-point	<i>P</i>
Hymøller and Jensen ⁽⁴¹⁾	Denmark	Holstein	Sixteen cows	28 d	Allocated to coverage; Horse blanket; Udder cover; Horse blanket and udder cover; Natural	14	2.5 (0.2) ng/ml	8.9 (1.8) ng/ml; 23.2 (1.5) ng/ml; 6.0 (0.5) ng/ml; 28.6 (3.1) ng/ml	≤0.01
Hymøller and Jensen ⁽⁴²⁾	Denmark	Holstein	Twenty cows	28 d	Allocated to daily pasture for; 15 min; 30 min; 75 min; 150 min; 300 min	14	44.9 (2.4) nm/l	36.2 (6.4) nm/l; 26.7 (2.8) nm/l; 43.9 (8.5) nm/l; 67.4 (8.6) nm/l; 95.9 (6.4) nm/l	≤0.001*

25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

* While no figures were reported it was also determined that dominant hair colour (black or white) had no impact on plasma 25(H)D concentrations.

the third (77.0 IU/l (1.93 µg/l), 88.9 IU/l (2.22 µg/l) and 47.4 IU/l (1.19 µg/l))⁽⁴⁵⁾.

Further work required to fully elucidate the impact of age and lactation on the vitamin D content of milk, as this has previously been established for other nutrients such as fatty acids^(46,47), this is of importance as the cattle milked on a farm will be at various stages of lactation depending on calving dates and parity.

Conclusion

The present review has identified a number of environmental and genetic factors, which can influence both the vitamin D status of cattle and the vitamin D content of the milk produced. It is worthy to note however that most of the research investigating the factors influencing the composition of cows' milk are, more often than not, concerned only with the macronutrient (namely protein and fat content). Much of the research available with regard to the vitamin D content of cow's milk is in relation to the prevention and treatment of hypocalcaemia and milk fever in dairy herds. Of particular importance to the dairy industry, the present review of the literature indicates that further research is needed to fully elucidate how farmers could manipulate the various factors identified to their advantage with respect to increasing the vitamin D content of milk, and standardising it across the year. Notwithstanding the clear and established health benefits for the animal associated with an improved vitamin D status, this approach potentially could also provide a premium product with an improved vitamin D content for the eventual benefit of the consumer.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding received as part of this PhD studentship.

Financial Support

This work was funded as part of a Department for Education and Learning Co-operative Award for Science and Technology. PhD studentship was funded by the Dairy Council for Northern Ireland to R. R. W.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Authorship

R. R. W. conducted the literature search and drafted the manuscript. J. J. S., M. J., C. L., A. M. F., S. S. and L. K. P. reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Horst RL, Goff JP & Reinhardt TA (1994) Symposium: calcium metabolism and utilization. Calcium and vitamin D metabolism in the dairy cow. *J Dairy Sci* **77**, 1936–1951.
2. Hymøller L, Jensen SK, Lindqvist H *et al.* (2009) Supplementing dairy steers and organically managed dairy cows with synthetic vitamin D₃ is unnecessary at pasture during exposure to summer sunlight. *J Dairy Res* **76**, 372–378.
3. Goff JP, Liesegang A & Horst RL (2014) Diet-induced pseudohypoparathyroidism: a hypocalcemia and milk fever risk factor. *J Dairy Sci* **97**, 1520–1528.
4. Hymøller L & Jensen SK (2010) Stability in the rumen and effect on plasma status of single oral doses of vitamin D and vitamin E in high-yielding dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* **93**, 5748–5757.
5. Richardson MD & Logendra S (1997) Ergosterol as an indicator of endophyte biomass in grass seeds. *J Agric Food Chem* **45**, 3903–3907.
6. Hymøller L & Jensen SK (2011) Vitamin D₂ impairs utilization of vitamin D₃ in high-yielding dairy cows in a cross-over supplementation regimen. *J Dairy Sci* **94**, 3462–3466.
7. Light RF, Wilson LT & Frey CN (1934) Vitamin D in the blood and milk of cows fed irradiated yeast. *J Nutr* **8**, 105–111.
8. Hollis BW, Roos BA, Draper HH *et al.* (1981) Vitamin D and its metabolites in human and bovine milk. *J Nutr* **111**, 1240–1248.
9. Jakobsen J, Jensen SK, Hymøller L *et al.* (2015) Short communication: artificial ultraviolet B light exposure increases vitamin D levels in cow plasma and milk. *J Dairy Sci* **98**, 1–7.
10. Food and Agriculture Organization (2013) *Milk and Dairy Products in Human Nutrition*. Rome: FAO.
11. Nutritional Diet and Nutrition Survey (2014) *Results from Years 1,2,3 and 4 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009–2011/2012)*. London: Public Health England.

12. Laaksi IT, Ruohola JS, Ylikomi TJ *et al.* (2006) Vitamin D fortification as public health policy: significant improvement in vitamin D status in young Finnish men. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **60**, 1035–1038.
13. Institute of Medicine Committee to Review Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin D and Calcium (2011) *Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US).
14. Calvo MS & Whiting SJ (2013) Survey of current vitamin D food fortification practices in the United States and Canada. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **136**, 211–213.
15. Lamberg-Allardt C, Brustad M, Meyer HE *et al.* (2013) Vitamin D – a systematic literature review for the 5th edition of the Nordic Nutrition Recommendations. *Food Nutr Res* **57**, 1–31.
16. Luce EM (1924) The influence of diet and sunlight upon the growth-promoting and anti-rachitic properties of the milk afforded by a cow. *Biochem J* **18**, 716–739.
17. Chick H & Roscoe MH (1926) Influence of diet and sunlight upon the amount of vitamin A and vitamin D in the milk afforded by a cow. *Biochem J* **20**, 632–649.
18. Thompson SY (1968) Section D. nutritive value of milk and milk products. Fat soluble vitamins in milk and milk products. *J Dairy Res* **35**, 149–169.
19. Thompson SY, Henry KM & Kon SK (1964) Factors affecting the concentration of vitamins in milk. I. Effect of breed, season and geographical location on fat-soluble vitamins. *J Dairy Res* **31**, 1–25.
20. Scott J, Bishop DR, Zechalko A *et al.* (1984) Nutrient content of liquid milk. 1. Vitamins A, D₃, C and the B complex in pasteurised bulk liquid milk. *J Dairy Res* **51**, 37–50.
21. Kurmann KA & Indyk H (1994) The endogenous vitamin D content of bovine milk: influence of season. *Food Chem* **50**, 75–81.
22. Lindmark-Månsson H, Fondén R & Pettersson HE (2003) Composition of Swedish dairy milk. *Int Dairy J* **13**, 409–425.
23. McCance RA & Widdowson E (2002) In *McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*, 6th ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
24. McCance RA & Widdowson E (2014) In *McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*, 7th ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
25. Hymøller L, Mikkelsen LK, Jensen SK *et al.* (2008) Access to outside areas during March and April in Denmark has negligible effect on the vitamin D₃ status of organic dairy cows. *Acta Agric Scand A* **58**, 51–54.
26. Bartlett S, Cotton AG, Henry KM *et al.* (1938) 196. The influence of various fodder supplements on the production and the nutritive value of winter milk. *J Dairy Res* **9**, 273–309.
27. European Commission (2016) European Union Register of Feed Additives Pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Appendix 4(II). Annex II: List of additives subject to the provisions of Art. 10 2 of Reg. (EC) No 1831/2003 for which no application for reevaluation was submitted before the deadline of 8 November 2010. Released 18 April 2016. http://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/animal-feed/feed-additives/eu-register_en
28. European Commission (2015) European Union Register of Feed Additives pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Released 14 December 2015. http://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/animal-feed/feed-additives/eu-register_en
29. Rode LM, McAllister TA & Cheng KJ (1990) Microbial degradation of vitamin A in rumen fluid from steers fed concentrate, hay or straw diets. *Can J Anim Sci* **70**, 227–233.
30. Bourne N, Wathes DC, McGowan M *et al.* (2007) A comparison of the effects of parenteral and oral administration of supplementary vitamin E on plasma vitamin E concentrations in dairy cows at different stages of lactation. *Livest Sci* **106**, 57–64.
31. Horst RL, Reinhardt TA & Reddy GS (2005) Vitamin D metabolism. *Vitamin D* **1**, 15–36.
32. Thompson SY & Hidiogrou M (1983) Effects of large oral and intravenous doses of vitamin D₂ and D₃ on the vitamin D in milk. *J Dairy Sci* **66**, 1638–1643.
33. Olsen WG, Jorgensen NA, Bringe AN *et al.* (1974) 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol (25-OH-D₃). III. Effect of dosage on soft tissue integrity and vitamin D activity of tissue and milk from dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* **57**, 677–682.
34. Weiss WP, Azem E, Steinberg W *et al.* (2015) Effect of feeding 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ with a negative cation–anion difference diet on calcium and vitamin D status of periparturient cows and their calves. *J Dairy Sci* **98**, 5588–5600.
35. McDermott CM, Beitz DC, Littledike ET *et al.* (1985) Effects of dietary vitamin D₃ on concentrations of vitamin D and its metabolites in blood plasma and milk of dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* **68**, 1959–1967.
36. Ramhola HMM, Santos J, Casal S *et al.* (2012) Fat-soluble vitamin (A, D, E and β-carotene) contents from the Portuguese autochthonous cow breed – Minhota. *J Dairy Sci* **95**, 5476–5484.
37. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2008) The Cattle Book 2008: Descriptive statistics of cattle numbers in Great Britain). Released 1 June 2008. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69220/pb13572-cattlebook-2008-090804.pdf
38. Wallis GC (1944) A breed comparison in the vitamin D content of milk with notes on a modified technique for the vitamin D assay of low-potency fats and oils. *J Dairy Sci* **27**, 733–742.
39. Bechtel HE & Hoppert CA (1936) A study of the seasonal variation of vitamin D in normal cow's milk. *J Nutr* **11**, 537–549.
40. Pires P, Fernandes É, Vilarinho M *et al.* (2003) Comparison of milk from two different cow breeds Barrosã and Frísia. *Electron J Environ Agric Food Chem* **2**, 514–518.
41. Hymøller L & Jensen SK (2010) Vitamin D₃ synthesis in the entire skin surface of dairy cows despite hair coverage. *J Dairy Sci* **93**, 2025–2029.
42. Hymøller L & Jensen SK (2012) 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol status in plasma is linearly correlated to daily summer pasture time in cattle at 56°N. *Br J Nutr* **108**, 666–671.
43. Libon F, Cavalier E & Nikkels AF (2013) Skin color is relevant to vitamin D synthesis. *Dermatology* **227**, 250–254.
44. Wilkens MR, Cohrs I, Lifschitz AL *et al.* (2013) Is the metabolism of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ age-dependent in dairy cows? *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **136**, 44–46.
45. Okano T, Yokoshima K & Kobayashi T (1984) High-performance liquid chromatographic determination of vitamin D₃ in bovine colostrum, early and later milk. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* **30**, 431–439.
46. Kelsey JA, Corl BA, Collier RJ *et al.* (2003) The effect of breed, parity, and stage of lactation on conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in milk fat from dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* **86**, 2588–2597.
47. Bainbridge ML, Cersosimo LM, Wright ADG *et al.* (2016) Content and composition of branched-chain fatty acids in bovine milk are affected by lactation stage and breed of dairy cow. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0150386.