

## ABSOLUTE TAUBERIAN CONSTANTS FOR HAUSDORFF TRANSFORMATIONS

SORAYA SHERIF

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a fixed sequence of real or complex numbers. The Hausdorff transform  $\{t_n\}$  of a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  by means of the fixed sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  (or, in short, the  $(H, \mu_n)$  transform) is given by

$$(1.1) \quad t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) S_k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where, for  $r, q \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta^0 \mu_q = \mu_q, \quad \Delta \mu_q = \mu_q - \mu_{q+1}, \quad \Delta^{r+1} \mu_q = \Delta(\Delta^r \mu_q).$$

K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz [6] have shown (a simpler proof was given by Jakimovski [5, Equation (3.1)]) that if (1.1) and (1.2) hold and if

$$(1.3) \quad t_n = b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_n, \quad s_k = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_k,$$

then the series-to-series Hausdorff transform  $b_n$  of  $\sum a_n$  (unless otherwise indicated, the symbol  $\sum$  stands for  $\sum_0^\infty$ ) is such that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} b_0 &= \mu_0 a_0 \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} k (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) a_k, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

If (1.1)-(1.4) hold, then we say that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is *absolutely* summable  $(H, \mu_n)$  or summable  $|H, \mu_n|$ , if the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is of bounded variation or equivalently if

$$\sum |b_n| < \infty.$$

(For the definition of absolute summability, see [2; 4; 8; 10].)

In sections 2 and 3 of this paper, we shall prove the following two inequalities:

$$(1.5) \quad \sum |b_n - a_n| \leq K \sum |\Delta(na_n)|,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \sum |b_n - a_n| \leq A \sum \left| \Delta \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu a_\nu \right) \right|,$$

where  $K$  and  $A$  are *absolute* Tauberian constants.

Received March 16, 1972 and in revised form, October 10, 1973.

Estimates of this form have been shown in Sherif [9] for the absolute Cesàro means. Since, as is well-known (cf. [3, p. 251]), when

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_n = 1 / \binom{n+k}{k},$$

the  $(H, \mu_n)$  method reduces to the Cesàro method  $(C, k)$  the results of this paper include those of [9]. This will be verified in Remarks 2.1 and 3.1.

The estimates (1.5) and (1.6) are analogous to results obtained for other summability methods by various authors. For a discussion of these analogous estimates, see Sherif [9].

I have much pleasure in expressing my gratitude to Professor B. Kuttner for his criticisms and suggestions for improvements to present this paper.

**2. THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\{\mu_n\}$  be a moment sequence generated by the real function of bounded variation  $\chi$  on  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  so that*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \chi(0+) = \chi(0) = 0, \quad \chi(1) = 1,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{|\chi(t)|}{t} dt < \infty.$$

Then (1.5) holds with

$$(2.4) \quad K = \int_0^1 \frac{|\chi(t)|}{t} dt.$$

If

$$(2.5) \quad \chi(t) \geq 0,$$

the constant given in (2.4) is the best possible in the sense that (1.5) becomes false if  $K$  is replaced by any smaller constant.

*Remark 2.1.* In the case of summability  $(C, k)$  ( $k > 0$ ), we have  $\chi(t) = 1 - (1-t)^k$  ( $k > 0$ ), and equation (2.4) becomes

$$(2.6) \quad K = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1-t)^k}{t} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - u^k}{1-u} du = \frac{\Gamma'(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)} + \gamma,$$

( $\gamma$  is Euler's constant) by Bateman [1, p. 16].

Thus, Theorem 2.1 for  $\chi(t) = 1 - (1-t)^k$  ( $k > 0$ ), is Theorem 2.1 of Sherif [9].

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we require the following lemma.

LEMMA [7, p. 167, Theorem 5]. *Let*

$$(2.7) \quad A_n = \sum_{\nu} \alpha_{n,\nu} f_{\nu}.$$

*Suppose that*

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_n |\alpha_{n,\nu}| \text{ is bounded.}$$

*Let*

$$(2.9) \quad K = \sup_{\nu} \sum |\alpha_{n,\nu}|.$$

*Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \sum |A_n| \leq K \sum |f_{\nu}|,$$

*and this constant is the best possible in the sense that (2.11) becomes false if  $K$  is replaced by any smaller constant.*

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Since

$$(2.11) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n a_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}),$$

it follows from (1.4) and (2.11) that for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \left\{ \sum_{k=\nu+1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n - a_n &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=\nu+1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \right\} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \right] \quad (\text{cf. [3, Formula (11.5.5)]}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k} d\chi(t) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_{\nu}) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 d\chi(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \right\} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \binom{n}{k} t^{k-1} (1-t)^{n-k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} (n-k) \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-1-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term on the right hand side of (2.14) is to be taken to be 0 if  $\nu = 0$ . Since

$$(2.15) \quad (n - k) \binom{n}{k} = (k + 1) \binom{n}{k + 1}$$

we see on replacing  $k$  by  $k + 1$  in the first sum that the expression (2.14) reduces to

$$(2.16) \quad - (\nu + 1) \binom{n}{\nu + 1} t^\nu (1 - t)^{n-1-\nu}.$$

Integrating by parts in (2.13) and using (2.16) we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad b_n - a_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\nu a_\nu) \left[ \binom{n-1}{\nu} \int_0^1 t^\nu (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} \chi(t) dt \right], \text{ where } \binom{0}{0} = 1.$$

Now, (2.17) is a transformation of the type considered in the Lemma, and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.18) \quad \alpha_{n,\nu} = \begin{cases} 0, & (\nu \geq n) \\ \binom{n-1}{\nu} \int_0^1 t^\nu (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} \chi(t) dt, & (0 \leq \nu \leq n-1). \end{cases}$$

Thus, the conditions of the Lemma are satisfied with

$$(2.19) \quad K = \sup_{\nu} S_{\nu},$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{\nu} &= \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{\nu} \left| \int_0^1 t^\nu (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} \chi(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{\nu} \int_0^1 |\chi(t)| t^\nu (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 |\chi(t)| \left\{ \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{\nu} t (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} \right\} dt \\ (2.21) \quad &= \int_0^1 \frac{|\chi(t)|}{t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.5) holds with  $K$  given by (2.4), as claimed. Further if (2.5) holds, then there is equality in (2.20) and the final conclusion follows from the Lemma.

**3. THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\chi(t)$  be a real valued function defined for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , satisfying (2.2), and with  $\chi(t)/t$  of bounded variation there. Let  $\{\mu_n\}$  be the moment sequence generated by  $\chi$  so that (2.1) holds. Then (1.6) holds with*

$$(3.1) \quad A = \sup_{\nu} \left\{ (\nu + 1) \int_0^1 t^{\nu-1} |\chi(t)| dt + \int_0^1 t(1-t^{\nu}) \left| d \left( \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \right) \right| \right\}.$$

If (2.5) holds, and if  $\chi(t)/t$  is monotonic (in the case in which  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-increasing, this hypothesis implies that (2.5) holds (since  $\chi(1) = 1$ ) and the assumption (2.5) may therefore be omitted), then (3.1) is the best possible result in the sense that (1.6) becomes false if  $A$  is replaced by any smaller constant.

Further (3.1) can be simplified; if  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-increasing, we have

$$(3.2) \quad A = \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} dt;$$

if (2.5) holds and  $\chi(t)/t$  is increasing, we have

$$(3.3) \quad A = 2(1 - l) - \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} dt,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \chi(1) - \chi(1-).$$

*Remark 3.1.* When  $\chi(t) = 1 - (1 - t)^k$ , then condition (2.5) is satisfied in any case. If  $k > 1$ ,  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-increasing, while if  $0 < k < 1$ ,  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-decreasing. Hence, it is easily seen using (2.6) that Theorem 3.1 for  $\chi(t) = 1 - (1 - t)^k$  is Theorem 3.1 of Sherif [9].

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let

$$u_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0. \\ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu, & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$\phi_n = -\Delta u_{n-1} = u_n - u_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} na_n &= (n+1)u_n - nu_{n-1}, \\ &= u_n + n\phi_n, \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu + n\phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.5) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu + \phi_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Substituting with (3.5) in (1.4), we find that for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} k (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=1}^k \phi_\nu + \phi_k \right\} \\ &= B + D \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \sum_{\nu=1}^k \phi_\nu \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu \sum_{k=\nu}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu \left[ 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu \left[ 1 - \int_0^1 d\chi(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using an argument similar to that used in deducing (2.16), and integration by parts, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad B = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu \left[ 1 - \nu \binom{n}{\nu} \int_0^1 \chi(t) t^{\nu-1} (1-t)^{n-\nu} dt \right].$$

Also

$$(3.8) \quad D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \nu \phi_\nu \int_0^1 d\chi(t) t (1-t)^{n-\nu}.$$

Integrating the integral in (3.8) by parts, and using (2.15), it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu \phi_\nu \int_0^1 \chi(t) \left\{ (\nu+1) \binom{n}{\nu+1} t^\nu (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} - \nu \binom{n}{\nu} t^{\nu-1} (1-t)^{n-\nu} \right\} dt + \phi_n.$$

(The extra term  $\phi_n$  occurs in (3.9) since in the integration by parts of the integral in (3.8), the term  $(1-t)^{n-\nu}$  does not vanish at  $t = 1$  in the case  $\nu = n$ .) It thus follows from (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_n - a_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \phi_\nu \left[ \int_0^1 \chi(t) \left\{ \nu(\nu+1) \binom{n}{\nu+1} t (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \nu(\nu+1) \binom{n}{\nu} t^{\nu-1} (1-t)^{n-\nu} \right\} dt \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad b_n - a_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n-1}{\nu-1} \phi_\nu \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \left\{ (n-\nu) t^{\nu+1} (1-t)^{n-1-\nu} - (\nu+1) t^\nu (1-t)^{n-\nu} \right\} dt.$$

Now (3.10) is a transformation of the type considered in the Lemma, and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha_{n,\nu} = \begin{cases} 0, & (\nu > n) \\ \binom{n-1}{\nu-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \\ \times \{ (n-\nu)t^{\nu-1}(1-t)^{n-1-\nu} - (\nu+1)t^\nu(1-t)^{n-\nu} \} dt, & (\nu \leq n-1), \\ -(\nu+1) \int_0^1 t^{\nu-1} \chi(t) dt, & (\nu = n). \end{cases}$$

It follows by integration by parts that for  $1 \leq \nu \leq n-1$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha_{n,\nu} = \binom{n-1}{\nu-1} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-\nu} t^{\nu+1} a \left( \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \right).$$

Thus, the conditions of the Lemma are satisfied with

$$(3.13) \quad A = \sup_{\nu} \psi_{\nu}.$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \psi_{\nu} = \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n,\nu}|.$$

Now, we can deduce at once from (3.11), (3.12) and (3.14) that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\nu} &\leq (\nu+1) \int_0^1 t^{\nu-1} |\chi(t)| dt \\ &+ \int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{\nu-1} t^{\nu+1} (1-t)^{n-\nu} \right\} \left| d \left( \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \right) \right| \\ &= (\nu+1) \int_0^1 t^{\nu-1} |\chi(t)| dt + \int_0^1 t(1-t^{\nu}) \left| d \left( \frac{\chi(t)}{t} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

with equality in the case in which (2.5) holds and  $\chi(t)/t$  monotonic.

This completes the proof except for the simplification when (2.5) holds and  $\chi(t)/t$  is monotonic.

If  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-increasing, we may omit the modulus signs in (3.15) provided we alter the sign of the second integral. On integrating the second integral by parts we get

$$(3.16) \quad \psi_{\nu} = \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} dt.$$

Thus, equation (3.2) follows from (3.13) and (3.16).

If  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-decreasing, we omit the modulus signs in (3.15). Again integrating the second integral by parts we get

$$(3.16) \quad \psi_{\nu} = 2(\nu+1) \int_0^1 t^{\nu-1} \chi(t) dt - \int_0^1 \frac{\chi(t)}{t} dt.$$

Now, since  $\chi(t)/t$  is non-decreasing, it follows from (3.4) that for  $0 \leq t < 1$ ,

$$\chi(t)/t \leq \chi(1-) = 1 - l.$$

Hence, the first integral in (3.16) does not exceed

$$(3.17) \quad 2(\nu + 1)(1 - l) \int_0^1 t^\nu dt = 2(1 - l).$$

On the other hand, it tends to  $2(1 - l)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , so that its supremum equals  $2(1 - l)$ . This can be seen most easily by integrating it by parts, when we find that it is equal to

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{2(\nu + 1)}{\nu} (1 - \mu_\nu).$$

Combining (3.4), (3.13) and (3.16)–(3.18), equation (3.3) clearly follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bateman, *Higher transcendental functions*, Volume 1 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
2. M. Fekete, *Vizsátatok az absolut summabilis sorokrol, alkalmazással a Dirschlet—és Fourier —sorokra*, math. és Termés. Ért. 32 (1914), 389–425.
3. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1940).
4. J. M. Hyslop, *A Tauberian theorem for absolute summability*, J. London Math. Soc. 12 (1937), 176–180.
5. A. Jakimovski, *The sequence-to-function analogues to Hausdorff transformations*, The Bull. of the Research Council of Israel. Vol. 8F, No. 3 (1960), 135–154.
6. K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz, *Belträge Zur absoluten Limitierung*. Arch. Math. (Basel) 2 (1949), 10–16.
7. I. J. Maddox, *Elements of functional analysis* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970).
8. F. M. Mears, *Absolute regularity and the Norlund mean*, Ann. of Math. 83 (1937), 594–601.
9. S. Sherif, *Absolute Tauberian constants for Cesàro means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 168 (1972), 233–241.
10. J. M. Whittaker, *The absolute summability of Fourier series*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 2 (1931), 1–5.

*Education College for Women,  
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*