

STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS BASED ON MARTINGALES TAKING VALUES IN HILBERT SPACE

HIROSHI KUNITA

To Professor Katuzi Ono on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and norm $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by K the set of all linear operators on H . Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a probability space and suppose we are given a family of σ -fields \mathfrak{F}_t , $t \geq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_t \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathfrak{F}_{t+\epsilon} = \mathfrak{F}_t$. We assume further that each \mathfrak{F}_t is complete relative to the probability measure P . A mapping $X_t(\omega); [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is called an H -valued stochastic process or shortly H -process if (f, X_t) is a scalar valued (real or complex) stochastic process for all $f \in H$. In particular, if (f, X_t) is a martingale for every $f \in H$, X_t is called an H -martingale.

The purpose of this article is to define two types of stochastic integrals by H -martingale $\int_0^t (\Phi_1(s, \omega), dX_s(\omega))$ and $\int_0^t \Phi_2(s, \omega) dX_s(\omega)$ and to establish a formula concerning these stochastic integrals. Here $\Phi_i(s, \omega)$, $i = 1, 2$ is H - or K -process, respectively, with suitable additional conditions. Similar problem concerning Hilbert space valued Brownian motion has been discussed by Daletskii [1].

1. Preliminaries. Let X be an H -random variable. Then $\|X(\omega)\|$ is clearly an \mathfrak{F} -measurable real random variable. We suppose $E\|X\| < \infty$. For a given sub σ -field \mathfrak{G} of \mathfrak{F} , we define the *conditional expectation* of X relative to \mathfrak{G} , denoted by $E(X|\mathfrak{G})$, in the following manner; $E(X|\mathfrak{G})$ is an H -random variable such that $(f, E(X|\mathfrak{G}))$ is \mathfrak{G} -measurable and $(f, E(X|\mathfrak{G})) = E((f, X)|\mathfrak{G})$ holds for every $f \in H$. Such $E(X|\mathfrak{G})$ is unique up to measure 0. Then an H -process X_t such that $E\|X_t\| < \infty$, $\forall t \geq 0$, is an H -martingale if and only if $E(X_t|\mathfrak{F}_s) = X_s$ holds for every $t \geq s$.

Received March 31, 1969

PROPOSITION 1. Let \mathcal{G} be a sub σ -field of \mathcal{F} . Let X and Y be H -random variables such that $E\|X\|^2 < \infty$ and $E\|Y\|^2 < \infty$. Then if X is \mathcal{G} -measurable, we have

$$E((X, Y)|\mathcal{G}) = (X, E(Y|\mathcal{G})) \quad \text{or} \quad E((Y, X)|\mathcal{G}) = (E(Y|\mathcal{G}), X).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the proposition in the case where X is a step function, i.e., there exists a \mathcal{G} -measurable partition $\{B_n\}$ of Ω such that $X(\omega) = a_n$ for $\omega \in B_n$, where each a_n is a fixed element of H . Since $(X, Y) = \sum (a_n, Y)I_{B_n}$ (I_B is the indicator function of the set B) and B_n belongs to \mathcal{G} , we have

$$E((X, Y)|\mathcal{G}) = \sum_n E((a_n, Y)|\mathcal{G})I_{B_n} = \sum_n (a_n, E(Y|\mathcal{G}))I_{B_n} = (X, E(Y|\mathcal{G})).$$

The proof of the second equality is quite similar to the above.

The following proposition is easily verified.

PROPOSITION 2. Let X_t be an H -martingale such that $E\|X_t\| < \infty$ for every $0 \leq t < \infty$. Then X_t has a weakly right continuous modification, i.e., there exists an H -martingale X_t^* such that $P(X_t = X_t^*) = 1$ for every t and (f, X_t^*) is a right continuous scalar martingale for every $f \in H$.

From now we shall only consider weakly right continuous H -martingales. We denote by \mathfrak{M} the set of all H -martingales such that $E\|X_t\|^2 < \infty$ for $0 < t < \infty$ and $X_0 = 0$ a.e. P . Then for every $X_t \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\|X_t\|^2$ becomes a real submartingale. In fact, using Proposition 1,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} E(\|X_t - X_s\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) &= E(\|X_t\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) + \|X_s\|^2 - E((X_t, X_s) | \mathcal{F}_s) - E((X_s, X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= E(\|X_t\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) - \|X_s\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $t \geq s$. Let us now introduce the metric ρ to \mathfrak{M} in the following way.

$$\rho(X, Y) = \sum_n \frac{1}{2} \frac{E\|X_n - Y_n\|^2}{1 + E\|X_n - Y_n\|^2}.$$

Then \mathfrak{M} is a complete metric space (c.f. [2]).

PROPOSITION 3. Let $X \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then, for almost all ω , $X_t(\omega)$ is strongly right continuous and has strong left limits with respect to t . Furthermore, if $X_t(\omega)$ is weakly continuous, it is strongly continuous for almost all ω .

Proof. Let L be a finite dimensional subspace of H . Then the assertion of the proposition is obvious for L -martingales. Since such L -martingales are dense in \mathfrak{M} , it is enough to verify that the limit of a sequence of strongly (right) continuous H -martingales is again strongly (right) continuous. Let $\{X_t^n\}$ be a sequence of \mathfrak{M} converging to X_t . Then, for each $\lambda > 0$ and N ,

$$P(\sup_{t \leq N} \|X_t - X_t^n\| > \lambda) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E\|X_N - X_N^n\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

by Doob's inequality. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{X_t^{n_k}\}$ such that

$$P(\sup_{t \leq N} \|X_t - X_t^{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } N > 0) = 1,$$

by Borel-Cantelli's lemma. It is now obvious that X_t is strongly (right) continuous if so is each $\{X_t^n\}$. The existence of strong left limits is obvious from the above discussion.

2. Stochastic integral I. We have shown in the preceding section that $\|X_t\|^2$ is a positive sub-martingale for any $X \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence, by Meyer's decomposition, there exists a unique natural increasing process $\langle X \rangle_t$ such that $\|X_t\|^2 - \langle X \rangle_t$ is a real martingale. This $\langle X \rangle_t$ plays an important role in the future. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}$, set

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_t = \frac{1}{4} \{ \langle X + Y \rangle_t - \langle X - Y \rangle_t \}.$$

in case of real Hilbert space. In case of complex Hilbert space, the definition of $\langle X, Y \rangle_t$ should be modified in an obvious way. Then we have, making use of equality (1),

$$(2) \quad E((X_t - X_s, Y_t - Y_s) | \mathfrak{F}_s) = E(\langle X, Y \rangle_t - \langle X, Y \rangle_s | \mathfrak{F}_s), \quad t \geq s.$$

We denote by $L(\langle X \rangle)$ the set of all very well measurable scalar processes ([5]) $\Phi(s, \omega)$ such that $E(\int_0^t |\Phi(s, \omega)|^2 d\langle X \rangle_s(\omega)) < \infty$ for every $0 \leq t < \infty$. Then similarly as the one dimensional case, we have

$$(3) \quad \left| E\left(\int_0^t \Phi \Psi d\langle X, Y \rangle\right) \right| \leq E\left(\int_0^t |\Phi|^2 d\langle X \rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left(\int_0^t |\Psi|^2 d\langle Y \rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\Phi \in L(\langle X \rangle)$ and $\Psi \in L(\langle Y \rangle)$.

THEOREM 1. For each $X \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\Phi \in L(\langle X \rangle)$, there exists a unique $Y \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle_t(\omega) = \int_0^t \Phi(s, \omega) d\langle X, Z \rangle_s(\omega) \quad \text{for every } Z \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Further, this Y satisfies

$$(f, Y_t) = \int_0^t \bar{\Phi} d(f, X_t) \quad \forall f \in H,$$

where the right hand of the above is the stochastic integral of the scalar martingale (f, X_t) , and $\bar{\Phi}$ is the complex conjugate of Φ .

The proof can be carried out similarly as that of real martingale, making use of inequalities (2) and (3). (See [2]). We shall call the above Y as the stochastic integral of Φ relative to X and denote it as $\int_0^t \Phi dX$.

By virtue of Theorem 1, we can define orthogonality of H -martingales, projection etc. quite similarly as the case of real martingales. Two X and Y are *orthogonal* if $\langle X, Y \rangle \equiv 0$ or equivalently (X_t, Y_t) is a scalar martingale. A subset \mathfrak{N} of \mathfrak{M} is a *stable subspace* if it is a closed subspace of \mathfrak{M} and $\int \Phi dX \in \mathfrak{N}$ whenever $X \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $\Phi \in L(\langle X \rangle)$. Let \mathfrak{N} be a stable subspace. We denote by \mathfrak{N}^\perp the set of all $Y \in \mathfrak{M}$ which is orthogonal to every element of \mathfrak{N} . Then each $X \in \mathfrak{M}$ has a unique decomposition $X = X^1 + X^2$, where $X^1 \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $X^2 \in \mathfrak{N}^\perp$. Let \mathfrak{M}_c be the set of all $X_t(\omega) \in \mathfrak{M}$ which is strongly continuous with respect to t for almost all ω . Then \mathfrak{M}_c is a stable subspace of \mathfrak{M} . We denote \mathfrak{M}_c^\perp as \mathfrak{M}_d .

THEOREM 2. The set of all $X \in \mathfrak{M}_d$ decomposed to the difference of the following two Y and \tilde{Y} , is dense in \mathfrak{M}_d : Y_t is an \mathfrak{F} -measurable H -process changing the values by jumps only; \tilde{Y}_t is a strongly continuous H -process such that

$$\sup_t \sum_{t_n \leq t} \|\tilde{Y}_{t_n} - \tilde{Y}_{t_{n-1}}\| < \infty$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ and \sup is taken for all such $\{t_n\}$.

Furthermore, we have for every $X \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$\sum_{t_n \leq t} \|X_{t_n} - X_{t_{n-1}}\|^2 \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{\|\Delta X_s\| > 0 \\ s \leq t}} \|\Delta X_s\|^2 + \langle X^c \rangle_t \quad \text{in } L^1\text{-sense}$$

as $\limsup_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| = 0$, where X^c is the projection of X on \mathfrak{M}_c and $\Delta X_s = X_s - X_s^-$ ($X_s^- = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} X_{s-\varepsilon}$).

Proof. Let L be a finite dimensional subspace of H . We denote by $\mathfrak{M}(L)$ etc. the subset of \mathfrak{M} etc. consisting of L -martingales. Then all the assertions of the theorem is immediate from that of real martingales if \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{M}_d etc. are replaced by $\mathfrak{M}(L)$, $\mathfrak{M}_d(L)$ etc. (See [2] or [5]). Since $\bigcup_L \mathfrak{M}_d(L)$ is dense in \mathfrak{M} , the first assertion is obvious. Now let Y be the projection of $X \in \mathfrak{M}$ to $\mathfrak{M}(L)$. Then making use of orthogonal expansion and Bessel's inequality, it is easily seen that $\|Y_t - Y_s\|^2$ increases to $\|X_t - X_s\|^2$ as L increases to H . Similar fact holds for $\langle Y \rangle_t$ and $\langle X \rangle_t$. On the other hand since $\sum_{t_n^{(k)} \leq t} \|Y_{t_n^{(k)}} - Y_{t_{n-1}^{(k)}}\|^2$ converges to $\sum_{s \leq t} \|\Delta Y_s\|^2 + \langle Y^c \rangle_t$ as $\limsup_k |t_n^{(k)} - t_{n-1}^{(k)}| = 0$, $\lim_k \sum_{t_n^{(k)} \leq t} \|X_{t_n^{(k)}} - X_{t_{n-1}^{(k)}}\|^2 \geq \sum_{s \leq t} \|\Delta X_s\|^2 + \langle X^c \rangle_t$. To obtain the converse inequality, choose L large enough so that $E\|X_N - Y_N\|^2 < \varepsilon$ for given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$. Then for $t \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\lim_{t_n^{(k)} \leq t} \|X_{t_n^{(k)}} - X_{t_{n-1}^{(k)}}\|^2] &\leq E\|Y_t\|^2 + E\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon + E(\sum_{s \leq t} \|\Delta Y_s\|^2 + \langle Y^c \rangle_t) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + E(\sum_{s \leq t} \|\Delta X_s\|^2 + \langle X^c \rangle_t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the desired equality.

Remark. Let $X \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times $\{T_n^\varepsilon\}$ converging to ∞ a.e. such that $\|X_t - X_s\| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $T_n^\varepsilon \leq s, t < T_{n+1}^\varepsilon$. Such $\{T_n^\varepsilon\}$ is called an ε -chain for X . The assertion of Theorem 2 holds if we replace $t_n^{(k)}$ by $T_n^{\varepsilon_k}$, where $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ is a sequence converging to 0.

3. Stochastic integral II. An H -process $\Phi(t, \omega)$ is called *very well measurable* if $(f, \Phi(t, \omega))$ is very well measurable for every $f \in H$ ([5]). For a fixed $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, set

$$L_H(\langle X \rangle) = \left\{ \Phi \mid \Phi \text{ is very well measurable } H\text{-process such that } E\left(\int_0^t \|\Phi\|^2 d\langle X \rangle\right) < \infty \text{ for } 0 \leq t < \infty \right\}.$$

Our purpose of this section is to define the stochastic integral $\int_0^t (\Phi, dX)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\Phi \in L_H(\langle X \rangle)$.

We first consider the case where Φ is a step function, i.e., there exist $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < \dots$ such that $\Phi(t, \omega) = \Phi(t_k, \omega)$ for $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$. Define the scalar process Y_t as

$$Y_t = \sum_{t_{k+1} \leq t} (\Phi(t_k), X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) + (\Phi(t_l), X_t - X_l),$$

where l is the natural number such that $t_l < t \leq t_{l+1}$. Applying Proposition 1, it is easily seen that Y_t is a scalar martingale. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_t|^2 &\leq \sum_{t_{k+1} \leq t} E\|\Phi(t_k)\|^2 \|X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}\|^2 + E\|\Phi(t_l)\|^2 \|X_t - X_l\|^2 \\ &\leq E\left(\int_0^t \|\Phi\|^2 d\langle X \rangle\right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote by $L_H^0(\langle X \rangle)$ the closure of the step functions in $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$. (The metric of $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$ is defined similarly as that of \mathfrak{M}).

Choose a sequence of step functions $\{\Phi^n\}$ of $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$ converging to $\Phi \in L_H^0(\langle X \rangle)$. Set $Y_t^n = \int_0^t (\Phi^n, dX)$. Then

$$E|Y_t^n - Y_t^m|^2 \leq E\left(\int_0^t \|\Phi^n - \Phi^m\|^2 d\langle X \rangle\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence there exists a square integrable martingale Y_t such that $E|Y_t - Y_t^n|^2 \rightarrow 0$. It does not depend on the choice of $\{\Phi^n\}$. We shall write this Y as $\int_0^t (\Phi, dX)$.

In order to see that the stochastic integral can be defined for all $\Phi \in L_H(\langle X \rangle)$, it is necessary to verify $L_H^0(\langle X \rangle) = L_H(\langle X \rangle)$. Let $\{f^i\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of H . For an arbitrary Φ of $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$, set $\Phi^i = (\Phi, f^i)$. It is known that for each Φ^i there exists a sequence of step functions $\{\Phi_n^i\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ such that $E\left(\int_0^t |\Phi_n^i - \Phi^i|^2 d\langle X \rangle\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ([5]). Since $\|\Phi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Phi^i|^2$, Φ can be approximated by step functions of $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$.

Remark. Set $X_t^i = (f^i, X_t)$ and denote the scalar stochastic integral as $\int_0^t \Phi^i dX^i$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \Phi^i dX^i$ converges to $\int_0^t (\Phi, dX)$ in L^2 -norm. In fact, if $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi, f^i)$ (finite sum) is a step function, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (\Phi, dX) &= \sum_{t_{k+1} \leq t} (\Phi(t_k), X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) + (\Phi(t_l), X_t - X_l) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_k \Phi^i(t_k) (X_{t_{k+1}}^i - X_{t_k}^i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi^i(t_l) (X_t^i - X_l^i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \Phi^i dX^i. \end{aligned}$$

The above holds obviously for arbitrary Φ^i . The convergence of $\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \Phi^i dX^i$ to $\int_0^t \Phi dX$ for arbitrary $\Phi \in L_H(\langle X \rangle)$ is now obvious.

4. Stochastic integral III. Let $\Phi(t, \omega)$ be a mapping from $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ to K such that $\Phi(t, \omega)f$ is very well measurable for all $f \in H$. We denote by $\|\Phi\|(t, \omega)$ the norm of the operator $\Phi(t, \omega)$. It is easily seen that $\|\Phi\|(t, \omega)$ is a real very well measurable process. We shall call Φ is a step function if there exists $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < \infty$ such that $\Phi(t) = \Phi(t_k)$ for $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$. Set

$L_K^0(\langle X \rangle) = \left\{ \Phi \mid \Phi \text{ is a very well measurable step function such that}$

$$E \left(\int_0^t \|\Phi\|^2 d\langle X \rangle \right) < \infty \right\}$$

and denote by $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$ the closure of $L_K^0(\langle X \rangle)$. We shall define the stochastic integral $Y_t = \int_0^t \Phi dX$, $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\Phi \in L_K(\langle X \rangle)$ as an element of \mathfrak{M} in the following way;

$$(4) \quad (f, Y_t) = \int_0^t (\Phi^* f, dX) \quad \text{for } f \in H,$$

where $\Phi^*(t, \omega)$ is the adjoint of $\Phi(t, \omega)$ for each t, ω . The stochastic integral defined in Section 2 is a particular case of this. To verify the existence of such Y , let us first consider the case where $\Phi(t, \omega)$ is a step function. Then

$$Y_t = \sum_{t_{k+1} \leq t} \Phi(t_k)(X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) + \Phi(t_l)(X_t - X_{t_l})$$

satisfies (4). Furthermore,

$$E \|Y_t\|^2 \leq E \left(\int_0^t \|\Phi\|^2 d\langle X \rangle \right).$$

Consequently, the stochastic integral $\int \Phi dX$ can be defined for all $\Phi \in L_K(\langle X \rangle)$ as the limit of $\int \Phi^n dX$, where $\{\Phi^n\}$ is a sequence of step functions such that $E \left(\int_0^t \|\Phi - \Phi^n\|^2 d\langle X \rangle \right) \rightarrow 0$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

Remark. The characterization of the space $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$ in an explicit form remains open. We shall give here two sufficient conditions that Φ belongs

to $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$: (a) $\Phi(t, \omega)$ is left continuous in t with respect to the operator norm for almost all ω , and $\|\Phi\|(t, \omega)$ is a bounded function; (b) $\Phi(t, \omega)$ is very well measurable and satisfies $E\left(\int_0^t \|\Phi\|_2^2 d\langle X \rangle\right) < \infty$, where $\|\Phi\|_2$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of Φ . The first assertion is obvious. Suppose that Φ satisfies the condition (b). Let $\{f^i\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of H . Set $\Phi^i = \Phi f^i$. Since $\Phi^i \in L_H(\langle X \rangle)$, it can be approximated by step functions of $L_H(\langle X \rangle)$. Define linear operator $\Phi^{(n)}$ by $\Phi^{(n)}f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi f^i$, where $a_i = (f, f^i)$. Then $\Phi^{(n)}$ can be approximated by step functions of $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$ from the fact just remarked above. Furthermore, since

$$\|\Phi^{(n)}f - \Phi^{(m)}f\|^2 = \sum_{i=m+1}^n a_i^2 \|\Phi f^i\|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n \|\Phi f^i\|^2\right) \|f\|^2,$$

$\|\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{(m)}\| \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^n \|\Phi f^i\|^2$. This inequality shows that $\{\Phi^n\}$ forms a cauchy sequence of $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$. The limit of $\{\Phi^{(n)}\}$ is clearly Φ . Therefore Φ belongs to $L_K(\langle X \rangle)$.

5. Formula on stochastic integral. A mapping F from the Hilbert space to the space of real or complex numbers is called twice differentiable at $x \in H$ if there exists a linear functional $F'(x)$ and linear operator $F''(x)$ of H such that

$$F(x + h) - F(x) = (F'(x), \bar{h}) + \frac{1}{2} (F''(x)h, \bar{h}) + o(\|h\|^2),$$

where $o(\|h\|^2)$ means $o(\|h\|^2)/\|h\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. Further if $F'(x)$ and $F''(x)$ are continuous in their norms, F is called twice continuously differentiable.

Now let $\varphi_t(\omega)$ be an strongly right continuous H -process such that $\sup_{\{t_k\}} \sum_{t_{k+1} \leq t} \|\varphi_{t_{k+1}} - \varphi_{t_k}\| < \infty$ for any t , then the Bochner integral $\int_0^t (\Phi, d\varphi)$ is well defined for H -process $\Phi(t, \omega)$, for almost all ω . We shall call such φ is an H -process with finite variation.

THEOREM 3. *Let F be twice continuously differentiable function such that $\|F'(x)\|$ and $\|F''(x)\|$ are bounded. Let X be of \mathfrak{M} and φ be well measurable strongly continuous process with finite variation. Set $A = X + \varphi$. Then we have the following formula*

$$F(A_t) - F(A_0) = \int_0^t (F'(A_s^-), d\bar{X}_s) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int F''(A^-) dX^c, \bar{X}^c \right\rangle_t$$

$$+ \int_0^t (F'(A_s^-), d\bar{\varphi}_s) + \sum_{\substack{\|\Delta X_s\| > 0 \\ s \leq t}} [F(A_s) - F(A_s^-) - (F'(A_s^-), \bar{X}_s - \bar{X}_s^-)],$$

where \bar{X}^c is the projection of X on \mathfrak{M}_c .

Proof. Since the proof is essentially the same as that of one dimensional case (See [2] or [5]), we shall state here the outline. Let $X = X^c + X^d$ be the orthogonal decomposition such that $X^c \in \mathfrak{M}_c$ and $X^d \in \mathfrak{M}_d$. We shall assume that X^d is written as $Y - \tilde{Y}$ where Y and \tilde{Y} are the processes having the properties of Theorem 2. Let $\{T_n\}$ be an ε -chain of X, Y, \tilde{Y} and φ , i.e., $\{T_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of stopping times converging to ∞ such that for $T_n \leq t, s < T_{n+1}, \|X_t - X_s\|, \|Y_t - Y_s\|, \|\tilde{Y}_t - \tilde{Y}_s\|$ and $\|\varphi_t - \varphi_s\|$ are all dominated by ε . We shall write $T_n \wedge t$ as T_n for the notational convention. Then

$$F(A_t) - F(A_0) = \sum [F(A_{T_n}^-) - F(A_{T_{n-1}})] + \sum [F(A_{T_n}) - F(A_{T_n}^-)].$$

The first term of the right hand side is written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (F'(A_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{A}_{T_n} - \bar{A}_{T_{n-1}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(\bar{A}_{T_n} - \bar{A}_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{A}_{T_n} - \bar{A}_{T_{n-1}}) \\ & + \sum o(\|A_{T_n} - A_{T_{n-1}}\|^2) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Each I_i converges as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum (F'(A_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{X}_{T_n} - \bar{X}_{T_{n-1}}) + \sum (F'(A_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{\varphi}_{T_n} - \bar{\varphi}_{T_{n-1}}) - \sum (F'(A_{T_{n-1}}), \Delta \bar{X}_{T_n}) \\ & \rightarrow \int (F'(A_s^-), d\bar{X}_s) + \int_0^t (F'(A_s^-), d\bar{\varphi}_s) - \sum_{s \leq t} (F'(A_s^-), \Delta \bar{X}_s). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(X_{T_n}^c - X_{T_{n-1}}^c), \bar{X}_{T_n}^c - \bar{X}_{T_{n-1}}^c) + \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(X_{T_n}^c - X_{T_{n-1}}^c), \bar{\varphi}_{T_n} - \bar{\varphi}_{T_{n-1}}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(\psi_{T_n} - \psi_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{X}_{T_n}^c - \bar{X}_{T_{n-1}}^c) + \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(\psi_{T_n} - \psi_{T_{n-1}}), \bar{\varphi}_{T_n} - \bar{\varphi}_{T_{n-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi = \varphi - \tilde{Y}$. The first term converges to $\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int F''(A^-) dX^c, \bar{X}^c \right\rangle_t$ by virtue of the definition of $\int_0^t F''(A_s^-) dX_s$ and Theorem 2. The other members converge to 0, because of the following estimate; for example,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \sum (F''(A_{T_{n-1}})(X_{T_n}^c - X_{T_{n-1}}^c), \psi_{T_n} - \psi_{T_{n-1}}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \sup \|F''(x)\| \sum \|\psi_{T_n} - \psi_{T_{n-1}}\|.$$

It is easily seen that I_3 converges to 0. Summing up all these, we obtain the desired formula.

To prove the general case, choose $\{X^n\}$ converging to X such that for each X^n the above argument is applicable. It will be shown that each member of (5) replacing X for X^n converges to the corresponding member of (5).

6. Examples. Additive and linear processes. Let H be a real Hilbert space. An H -process X_t is called additive if $X_{t_4} - X_{t_3}$ and $X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}$ are independent for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq t_3 < t_4$. We assume that $E\|X_t\|^2 < \infty$ for all $0 \leq t < \infty$ and X_t is mean continuous i.e., $E\|X_t - X_s\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow s$. The least σ -field in which $X_s, s \leq t$ are measurable is denoted by \mathfrak{F}_t . Then \mathfrak{F}_t is right continuous. Now, since $E(f, X_t) \leq \|f\|E\|X_t\|$, there exists a unique m_t of H such that $E(f, X_t) = (f, m_t)$ holds for any $f \in H$. Set $Y_t = X_t - m_t$. Then Y is again an additive process such that $E(f, Y_t) = 0$ for every $f \in H$. Furthermore, Y_t is an H -martingale because (f, Y_t) is a real martingale. Therefore Y_t has strongly right continuous modification. So we will assume that Y_t is strongly right continuous. Let X_t^c be the projection of Y_t to \mathfrak{M}_c and X_t^d the projection of Y_t to \mathfrak{M}_d . Remembering the procedure of defining X_t^c and X_t^d , it is seen that they are additive processes.

Let us now consider the covariance functional of $X_t, E(f, X_t)(g, X_t)$. Then it is a positive definite, symmetric and continuous bilinear form on H . Hence there exists a unique positive definite and symmetric operator S_t such that $(S_t f, g)$ coincides with the above bilinear form. Moreover, S_t has finite trace, because $\sum (S_t f^i, f^i) = \sum E(f^i, X_t)(f^i, X_t) \leq E\|X_t\|^2$, where $\{f^i\}$ is the complete orthonormal system of H .

Let E be a measurable subset of H such that $0 < \rho(0, E) < \infty$, where ρ is the metric induced by the norm of H . Define.

$$P_t(E) = \sum_{\substack{s \leq t \\ \|\Delta X_s\| > 0}} I_E(\Delta X_s)$$

Then we have the following

THEOREM 4. X_t^c and X_t^d are independent. Furthermore, the characteristic functionals are given by

$$E(\exp(i(f, X_t^c))) = \exp - \frac{1}{2} (S_t f, f)$$

$$E(\exp(i(f, X_t^d))) = \exp - \left[\int \left\{ \exp(i(f, x)) - 1 - i(f, x) \right\} \pi_t(dx) \right]$$

where $\pi_t(dx) = E(P_t(dx))$.

Proof. The function $F(x) = \exp i(f, x)$ has the first derivative $F'(x) = i \cdot \exp(i(f, x)) \cdot f$ and the second derivative $F''(x) = -\exp(i(f, x))f \cdot f$, which are continuous and bounded in their norms. Applying Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(i(f, Y_t)) - 1 &= \text{martingale} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \exp(i(f, X_s)) d\langle(f, X)\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \exp(i(f, X_s^-)) [\exp(i(f, \Delta X_s)) - 1 - i(f, \Delta X_s)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\exp(i(f, X_t))) - 1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t E(\exp(i(f, X_s)) d(S_t f, f) \\ &\quad + \int E(\exp(i(f, X_s))) \int_H (\exp(i(f, X)) - 1 - i(f, x)) d_s \pi(s, dx) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$E(\exp(i(f, X_t))) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (S_t f, f) + \int [\exp(i(f, x)) - 1 - i(f, x)] \pi_t(dx)\right).$$

The independence of X_t^c and X_t^d can be derived similarly as [2], if we replace (f, Y_t) by $(f, X_t^c - X_s^c) + (g, X_t^d - X_s^d)$ in the above discussion.

Now let X_t be a square integrable ($E\|X_t\|^2 < \infty$) and mean continuous H -process. We denote by M_t (resp. M) the smallest linear manifold containing X_s , $s \leq t$ (resp. $s < \infty$). Then M_t is a pre-Hilbert space by the inner product $(X, Y) = E(X, Y)$. We shall denote by \bar{M}_t the completion of M_t . The mean continuity of X_t implies that $\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bar{M}_{t+\epsilon} = \bar{M}_t$ and $\bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} \bar{M}_{t-\epsilon} = \bar{M}_t$. We shall call the H -process X_t *linear* if for any $X \in M$, the projection $P_t X$ of X to \bar{M}_t is independent of $X - P_t X$. ([3]).

We shall show that the joint distribution of linear process is subject to an infinitely divisible distribution. Let $X \in \bar{M}$. Then $P_t X$ is an additive process. In fact, since the projection of $P_t X$ to \bar{M}_s coincides with $P_s X (t \geq s)$, $P_t X - P_s X$ has to be independent of \bar{M}_s . Now let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_{t_i}$. Then $\sum c_i (P_t X_{t_i} - P_s X_{t_i})$ is independent of \bar{M}_s . This means that $(P_t X_{t_1} - P_s X_{t_1}, \dots, P_t X_{t_n} - P_s X_{t_n})$ is independent of \bar{M}_s , or equivalently, $(P_t X_{t_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is an H^n -valued additive process. Thus $(P_t X_{t_i}; i = 1, \dots, n)$ is subject to infinitely divisible distribution. Taking $t \geq \max(t_i)$, we see that $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ is also subject to infinite divisible distribution.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu.L. Daletskii, Infinite-dimensional elliptic operators and parabolic equations connected with them, *Uspekhi Mate. Nauk.* **22** (1967) (English translation; Russian Math. Surveys)
- [2] H. Kunita, and S. Watanabe, On square integrable martingales, *Nagoya Math. J.* **30** (1967), 209–245.
- [3] P. Lévy, Fonction aleatoires a correlation lineaire, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 217–258.
- [4] P.A. Meyer, *Probability and potentials*, Blaisdell, 1966.
- [5] P.A. Meyer, *Seminaire de probabilites I*, Lecture notes in Math., Springer, 1967.

*Mathematical Institute,
Nagoya University*