

## RINGS OF CONVERGENT POWER SERIES AND WEIERSTRASS PREPARATION THEOREM

TAKASI SUGATANI

### §0.

Let  $B$  be a  $B$ -ring with a nonarchimedean valuation  $|\cdot|$ , i.e.,  $B$  is an integral domain satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $B$  is bounded ( $|a| \leq 1$  for every  $a \in B$ ), (ii) the boundary  $\partial(B) = \{a \in B; |a| = 1\}$  forms a multiplicative group. Let  $\mathbf{Z}_+$  denote the set of all nonnegative integers. Let  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  variables over  $B$ . We denote by  $A_n = B\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  the set of all elements which can be written in the form

$$\sum_{\nu} a_{\nu} x^{\nu},$$

where  $a_{\nu} \in B$  for all  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$  and  $|a_{\nu}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n \rightarrow \infty$ . We define a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $A_n$ : For  $g = \sum a_{\nu} x^{\nu} \in A_n$ , let  $\|g\| = \max\{|a_{\nu}|\}$ . Let  $m$  be the maximal ideal of  $B$  and  $k = B/m$  be the residue field. Let  $\tau$  be the canonical mapping of  $B$  onto  $k$ . Then  $\tau$  can be extended to an epimorphism from  $A_n$  to a polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in the usual manner. We assume, throughout this paper, the  $B$ -ring  $B$  is complete. We shall identify  $A_{n-1}\langle x_n \rangle$  with  $A_n$  so that each element  $g$  of  $A_n$  has an expression  $\sum g_i x_n^i$ , where  $g_i \in A_{n-1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $\|g_i\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , let  $P_s$  denote the set of all polynomials of  $A_{n-1}[X_n]$  of degree  $< s$ . One can see several properties on a  $B$ -ring in [2], [4].

In this paper, we shall prove Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for  $A_n$ . We shall obtain Weierstrass Form Theorem and Scherung Theorem for  $A_n$  also.

Before going into discussions, the author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Hisasi Morikawa for his valuable suggestions and constant encouragement in the presentation of this paper.

---

Received June 1, 1979.

## §1.

To consider Weierstrass Preparation Theorem we need some information on unit elements of  $A_n$ . We prove

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let  $g = \sum a_\nu x^\nu \in A_n$ . Then  $g$  is a unit element of  $A_n$  if and only if*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} |a_{0, \dots, 0}| = 1, \\ |a_\nu| < 1 \text{ for each } \nu \neq (0, \dots, 0). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a unit element of  $A_n$  then there exists an element  $u$  of  $A_n$  such that  $gu = 1$ . It follows

$$1 = \tau(gu) = \tau(g)\tau(u) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Hence (1.1) holds. Conversely, suppose  $g$  satisfies (1.1). Then it can be seen that the inverse element of  $g$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad g^{-1} = (a_{0, \dots, 0})^{-1} [1 + \sum_1^\infty (g'')^i],$$

where

$$-g'' = (a_{0, \dots, 0})^{-1} \sum_{\nu \neq (0, \dots, 0)} a_\nu x^\nu.$$

With this the proof is complete.

From this proposition, we have the followings:

*Remark 1.2.* If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $A_n$  is not a quasi-local ring.

*Proof.* For a contradiction, we assume  $A_n$  is a quasi-local ring. Then it follows the set  $M$  of all nonunit elements of  $A_n$  forms a maximal ideal. By Proposition 1.1, for instance,  $x_1$  and  $1 + x_1$  belong to  $M$ . Then 1 belongs to  $M$ , a contradiction.

Let  $g = \sum g_i x_n^i \in A_{n-1} \langle x_n \rangle$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We say that  $g$  is general (allgemein) in  $x_n$  of order  $s$  if  $g_s$  is a unit element of  $A_{n-1}$  and  $\|g_i\| < 1$  for all  $i > s$ .

*Remark 1.3.*  $g \in A_n$  is general in  $x_n$  of order  $s \geq 0$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \tau(g) = \tau(g_0) + \tau(g_1)x_n + \dots + \tau(g_s)x_n^s$$

for which  $\tau(g_i) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, s-1$ , and  $\tau(g_s) \in k^* = k - \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.1, it is clear  $g_s$  is a unit element of  $A_{n-1}$  if and only if  $\tau(g_s)$  is in  $k^*$ . It is easy to verify the other conditions on the coefficients of  $g$ .

§ 2.

In this section we shall show Weierstrass Form for  $A_n$ , which is a generalization of the result of Grauert-Remmert [1].

**THEOREM 2.1** (Weierstrass Form for  $A_n$ ). *Let  $g \in A_n$  be general in  $x_n$  of order  $s \geq 0$ . Then for each  $f \in A_n$  there exists a unique pair  $q \in A_n, r \in P_s$  satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad f = qg + r.$$

Further, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\| = \max \{\|q\|, \|r\|\}.$$

In order to prove this theorem we need the following lemmas. Lemma 2.3 is established for  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  (Satz 2.1 of [1]). But in our case it cannot be assumed that for a nonzero element  $f$  of  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  there exists a nonzero element  $a$  in  $K$  satisfying  $\|af\| = 1$ , because we take an arbitrary  $B$ -ring  $B$  as a coefficient ring. So, we prove at first Lemma 2.2 analogous to Theorem 3.20 in [3].

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $g \in A_n$  be general in  $x_n$  of order  $s \geq 0$ . Then for  $q \in A_n$  and  $r \in P_s$  we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \|qg + r\| \geq \|q\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $q = \sum b_\nu x^\nu \in A_n$ . Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  be the highest indexterm of  $\nu$  such that  $\|q\| = |b_\nu|$ . If  $qg = \sum c_\nu x^\nu \in A_n$  then  $\|qg\| = \|c_{\mu'}\|$ , where  $\mu' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}, \mu_n + s)$ . If  $r \in A_n$  such that the coefficient of  $x^{\mu'}$  vanishes, then  $\|qg + r\| \geq \|qg\|$ . In particular this is true for all  $r \in P_s$  and now (2.3) follows.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $g \in A_{n-1}[x_n]$  be of degree  $s$  and the leading coefficient be a unit element of  $A_{n-1}$ . Then for each  $f \in A_n$  there exists a pair  $q \in A_n, r \in P_s$  satisfying*

$$(2.4) \quad f = qg + r.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum f_i x_n^i \in A_{n-1}\langle x_n \rangle$ . It follows for each  $i \geq 0$  there exist

$q_i$  and  $r_i \in A_{n-1}[x_n]$  such that  $r_i$  is of degree  $< s$  and  $f_i x_n^i = q_i g + r_i$ . Then (2.3) implies

$$(2.5) \quad \|f_i\| = \max \{\|q_i\|, \|r_i\|\}.$$

Let  $r = \sum_0^\infty r_i$  and  $q = \sum_0^\infty q_i$ . Then we see that  $q \in A_n$  and  $r \in P_s$ . With these  $q$  and  $r$  we obtain the equation (2.4).

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* If  $r \in gA_n \cap P_s$ , then by Lemma 2.2,  $0 = \|r - r\| \geq \|r\|$ . Therefore we have

$$gA_n \cap P_s = 0,$$

which shows the uniqueness of a pair  $q, r$  of (2.1).

We next prove

$$A_n = gA_n + P_s,$$

by using Grauert-Remmert's method in [1]. In fact, let  $g = \sum g_i x_n^i \in A_{n-1}\langle x_n \rangle$  and let  $g = g^{(1)} + g^{(2)}$ , where  $g^{(1)} = \sum_0^s g_i x_n^i$ . Then we have  $\delta = \|g^{(2)}\| < 1$ . We define a set of elements  $f_j, q_j$  and  $r_j$  of  $A_n$  in the following way: Let  $f_0 = f = q_0 g^{(1)} + r_0$ , where  $r_0 \in P_s$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we put  $f_{j+1} = f_j - q_j g - r_j = q_{j+1} g^{(1)} + r_{j+1}$ , where  $r_{j+1} \in P_s$ . This procedure is possible by Lemma 2.3. Then it follows

$$f_{j+1} = -q_j g^{(2)}$$

whence, by (2.5)

$$\|f_{j+1}\| = \delta \|q_j\| \leq \delta \|f_j\|,$$

therefore we have

$$\|f_{j+1}\| \leq \delta \|f_j\|.$$

By induction on  $j \geq 0$ , we have

$$\|f_j\| \leq \delta^j \|f\|, \quad \|q_j\| \leq \delta^j \|f\|$$

and

$$\|r_j\| \leq \delta^j \|f\|.$$

Putting  $q = \sum_0^\infty q_j$  and  $r = \sum_0^\infty r_j$ , we have  $q \in A_n$  and  $r \in P_s$  satisfying (2.7) as required.

By the definition it is clear  $\|q\| \leq \|f\|$ . Then we see  $\|r\| = \|f - qg\| \leq \max \{\|f\|, \|q\|\} = \|f\|$ . Therefore we have  $\|f\| \geq \max \{\|q\|, \|r\|\}$ . This proves

half of (2.2) and the other half is obvious. Thus our theorem is completely proved.

§ 3.

**THEOREM 3.1** (Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for  $A_n$ ). *Let  $g \in A_n$  be general in  $x_n$  of order  $s \geq 0$ . Then there exist uniquely  $u, a_0, \dots, a_{s-2}$  and  $a_{s-1}$  satisfying the following conditions:  $u$  is a unit element of  $A_n$ ,  $a_0, \dots, a_{s-1}$  are in  $A_{n-1}$  and*

$$(3.1) \quad g = u(x_n^s + a_{s-1}x_n^{s-1} + \dots + a_1x_n + a_0).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 there exists a unique pair  $q \in A_n, r \in P_s$  satisfying

$$x_n^s = qg + r.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 again, this time with  $x_n^s - r$  instead of  $g$ , we obtain a unique pair  $q' \in A_n, r' \in P_s$  satisfying

$$g = q'(x_n^s - r) + r'.$$

Then

$$g = q'qg + r',$$

therefore we must have  $q'q = 1$  and  $r' = 0$ . In particular we have

$$(3.2) \quad g = q'(x_n^s - r).$$

Put  $-a_0, -a_1, \dots, -a_{s-1}$  as the coefficients of  $r$  and  $u = q'$ . The uniqueness follows from the choice of  $r$ , which shows our assertion.

§ 4.

In this section we prove Scherung Theorem for  $A_n$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose the residue field  $k$  of  $B$  is infinite. Let  $f$  be in  $A_n$  and  $\|f\| = 1$ . Then there exists a  $B$ -automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $A_n$  such that  $\sigma(f)$  is general in  $x_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j$ , where each  $f_j$  is the  $j$ -th homogeneous part of  $f$ . Then there exists  $f_s$  such that  $\|f_s\| = 1$  and  $\|f_j\| < 1$  for all  $j > s$ . Let  $\tau(f_s) = \bar{f}_s$ . Then  $\bar{f}_s$  is a nonzero element of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $n = 1$  then the assertion is clear. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . By our assumption that  $k$  is infinite, we can choose an element  $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1}, \bar{a}_n) \in k^n$  satisfying

$$f_s(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \in k^*,$$

where  $\bar{a}_j = \tau(a_j)$  for  $a_j \in B$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Here we may assume  $|a_n| = 1$ . Put  $b = f_s(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then  $|b| = 1$ . We define a  $B$ -algebra endomorphism  $\sigma$  such as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(x_j) &= x_j + a_j x_n, & j &= 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sigma(x_n) &= x_n.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sigma^{-1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{-1}(x_j) &= x_j - a_j x_n, & j &= 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sigma^{-1}(x_n) &= x_n.\end{aligned}$$

It can be seen by easy calculations

$$\sigma(f) = \sum_0^{\infty} f_i^* x_n^i,$$

where each  $f_i^* \in A_{n-1}$  and  $\|f_i^*\| < 1$  for all  $i > s$ . In particular  $f_s^*$  is a unit element of  $A_{n-1}$ , for the constant term is equal to  $b$  and the norm of the part of terms of degree  $\geq 1$  is less than 1. Therefore  $\sigma(f)$  is general in  $x_n$  of order  $s$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is the  $B$ -automorphism to be desired.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, Nichtarchimedische Funktionentheorie, Weierstrass-Festschrift, Wissenschaftl. Abh. Arbeitsgem. f. Forsch. Nordrhein-Westfalen, **33** (1966), 393–476.
- [ 2 ] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, Über die Methode der diskret bewerteten Ringe in der nichtarchimedischen Analysis, *Inventiones Math.*, **2** (1966), 87–133.
- [ 3 ] A. C. M. van Rooij, *Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978.
- [ 4 ] T. Sugatani, On quasi-noetherian rings which are not noetherian, *Math. Rep. Toyama Univ.*, **1** (1978), 65–73.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Toyama University*