

CLASSIFICATION OF LOCALLY EUCLIDEAN SPACES

LEO SARIO

1. The classification of Riemann surfaces has largely reached its completion. The purpose of the present paper is to lay the foundation for a new intriguing field in the classification theory: Riemannian spaces with Euclidean metrics. The paper is self-contained, both for the Riemann surface expert and the reader whose main interest is with higher dimensions.

The significance of locally Euclidean spaces lies, first of all, in that their function-theoretic nature differs for dimensions $n > 2$ and $n = 2$. The existence or nonexistence of Green's functions and positive or bounded harmonic functions in R^n , punctured R^n , and in the punctured flat torus offer simple examples. A striking phenomenon is that, despite such differences, the basic inclusion relations remain valid. Moreover, capacities and null-classes can be defined for components of point sets in R^n .

These results are established by an extension of the linear operator method ([6], [7]). The main points of the generalized method are given in Nos. 2, 3, 8, 17, 21, and 23-27. The significance of this extension is in the fact that the absence of such devices as conformal mappings, conjugate harmonic functions, the reflection principle, and doubling of bordered regions necessitates new tools.

Another promising aspect of higher dimensions is the introduction of new function classes (Nos. 29-34). In No.35 we give a list of questions, an essential part of our paper. The important unsolved problem on the strictness of the inclusion $O_{BB} \subset O_{HD}$, well-known for Riemann surfaces (No. 24), is typical of these.

Several interesting topics are meaningful only in locally Euclidean spaces. However, at the cost of somewhat heavier equipment, some of our reasoning can be generalized to arbitrary Riemannian spaces.

Received March 8, 1964.

The work was sponsored by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham), Grant DA-ARO(D)-31-124-G 499, University of California, Los Angeles. The author is also grateful to his former students Professors A. Gray, K. V. R. Rao, B. Rodin, and G. Weill, for their valued comments and suggestions.

§ 1. Two lemmas on harmonic functions

2. We start with two simple properties of harmonic functions.

Let E be a compact set in a locally Euclidean space V .

LEMMA. *Consider the family of harmonic functions u in V with $\text{sgn } u|_E \neq \text{const}$. There exists a constant $0 < q < 1$, independent of u , such that*

$$(1) \quad q \inf_V u \leq u|_E \leq q \sup_V u.$$

We shall actually prove slightly more: if $\min_E u \leq 0$ and $\sup_V u \geq 0$, then there is a $q \in (0, 1)$ such that $u|_E \leq q \sup_V u$. The first inequality (1) then follows on applying this result to $-u$.

Proof. If $\sup_V u = 0$ or ∞ , there is nothing to prove. In other cases we multiply by a constant so as to make $\sup_V u = 1$. The functions $v = 1 - u$ then have the properties $\inf_V v = 0$ and $\max_E v \geq 1$. We are to find a constant $q_1 > 0$ such that $\min_E v \geq q_1$.

Cover E by a finite number of solid spheres C_m , $m = 1, \dots, N$, $\bar{C}_m \subset V$, with radii r_m such that slightly smaller solid spheres C'_m concentric with C_m and with radii $r'_m = kr_m$ already cover E . We shall denote by $|z|$ the length of the vector $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$. The area of the unit hypersphere $|z| = 1$ is

$$\omega_n = \frac{2(\sqrt{\pi})^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$$

and the Poisson formula for $v(z)$, $z \in C_m$, reads

$$(2) \quad v(z) = \frac{r_m^{n-2}(r_m^2 - |z|^2)}{\omega_n} \int \frac{v d\omega_n}{(|z|^2 + r_m^2 - 2|z|r_m \cos \theta)^{n/2}},$$

where θ is the angle between the radius to z and that to the integration point. For $z \in C'_m$, (2) gives the Harnack inequality

$$\frac{1-k}{(1+k)^{n-1}} v(z_m) \leq v(z) \leq \frac{1+k}{(1-k)^{n-1}} v(z_m),$$

where z_m is the center of C_m . For any two points $z, z' \in C'_m$ we have

$$(3) \quad c \leq \frac{v(z)}{v(z')} \leq c^{-1} \text{ with } c = \left(\frac{1-k}{1+k}\right)^n.$$

We may suppose that E is connected, for if this is not the case we first

replace E by a larger connected compact set in V and cover it by spheres as above. By assumption there is a $z_0 \in E$ with $v(z_0) \geq 1$. This point can be connected with any point $z \in E$ by a sequence of points $z_j \in E, j = 1, \dots, j_z \leq N, z_{j_z} = z$, such that any pair z_{j-1}, z_j is in some C'_m . We have found a constant $q_1 = c^N > 0$ with the desired property $v(z) \geq q_1$ for all $z \in E$ and all v .

3. Given a locally Euclidean space V and a point $z_0 \in V$ consider regions $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ of V containing z_0 . Let u_Ω be a uniquely determined harmonic function on Ω .

LEMMA. *If the Dirichlet integral D_Ω over Ω has the directed limit*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} D_\Omega(u_\Omega - u_{\Omega'}) = 0,$$

then $u_\Omega(z) - u_\Omega(z_0)$ converges uniformly in compact subsets to a harmonic limit

$$(5) \quad v(z) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} (u_\Omega(z) - u_\Omega(z_0)).$$

Proof. For any $i = 1, \dots, n$, the partial derivative u_{x_i} of a harmonic function u is harmonic. Its value at the center z of a solid sphere C of radius δ and with volume V_δ is

$$u_{x_i}(z) = \frac{1}{V_\delta} \int_C u_{x_i} dV,$$

where dV is the volume element. On applying the Schwarz inequality and summing from $i = 1$ to $i = n$ one obtains

$$|\text{grad } u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{V_\delta} \int_C |\text{grad } u|^2 dV = \frac{1}{V_\delta} D_C(u).$$

Given a compact set $E \subset V$ cover it with solid spheres $C_m \subset V, m = 1, \dots, N$, of radii r_m such that the spheres C'_m concentric with the C_m and of radii $r'_m = r_m - \delta_m$ already cover E . Again we may assume that E is connected and we join z_0 to any $z \in E$ by a sequence $z_j, j = 1, \dots, j_z \leq N$, with z_{j-1}, z_j in some C'_m . The line segment d_j from z_{j-1} to z_j has length $< 2r_0$, where $r_0 = \max r_m$, and we find for $\delta = \min \delta_m$ and for harmonic u in $G = \cup C_m$ that

$$|u(z_j) - u(z_{j-1})| \leq 2r_0 \max_{\alpha_j} |\text{grad } u| \leq 2r_0 V_\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{D_G(u)}.$$

This implies

$$|u(z) - u(z_0)| \leq 2r_0 N V_\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{D_G(u)}.$$

An application to $u(z) = u_\Omega(z) - u_{\Omega'}(z)$ with $G \subset \Omega$ gives the desired result.

§ 2. Normal operators and principal functions

4. Let Ω be a region of a locally Euclidean space V . Designate by C the solid unit sphere $|z| < 1$ and by P a coordinate hyperplane, $x_1 = 0$, say. We shall call Ω a *bordered region* of V if

(a) $\partial\Omega$ is compact in V ,

(b) every $z \in \partial\Omega$ has a neighborhood N_z and a diffeomorphism h of N_z with C such that $h(N_z \cap \partial\Omega) = C \cap P$ and $h(N_z \cap \Omega)$ is one of the two half-balls of $C - P$.

A bordered region $\Omega \subset V$ shall be called a *regular region* if

(c) Ω is compact in V ,

(d) Ω and $V - \bar{\Omega}$ have the same boundary in V ,

(e) each component of $V - \Omega$ is noncompact in V .

We note that the border of a bordered region has a well-defined continuously turning normal and we can speak of the *flux*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS$$

across $\partial\Omega$ of a sufficiently regular function u in $\bar{\Omega}$. Here dS is the area element of $\partial\Omega$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the normal derivative exterior (or interior, if so specified) to Ω .

5. A function is, by definition, harmonic in a set $E \subset V$ if it has a harmonic extension to an open set containing E . Let f be harmonic on the border $\alpha = \partial\Omega$ of a bordered region $\Omega \subset V$. Suppose there is a function $u \in C^1$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ with $u|_\alpha = f$, $u \in H$ in Ω , $u = Lf$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, where H denotes the space of harmonic functions and L is an operator which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(6) \quad Lf|_\alpha = f,$$

$$(7) \quad L(c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2) = c_1 Lf_1 + c_2 Lf_2,$$

$$(8) \quad \min f \leq Lf \leq \max f,$$

$$(9) \quad \int_\alpha \frac{\partial Lf}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

An operator with properties (6)-(9) will be called a *normal operator*.

For a regular Ω the operator solving the Dirichlet problem is trivially

normal. In §5 we shall see that even in the general case of a bordered region there are normal operators. Their effect is that, in an intuitive sense, there is no source or sink of Lf on the "ideal boundary" β of the region, *i.e.*, β is "removable" for Lf .

Special significance to normal operators is given by the fact that on a noncompact bordered region there generally are several operators, each with its own extremal property.

6. Let V be a locally Euclidean space, and \bar{V}_1 the complement of a regular subregion with border α_1 . On \bar{V}_1 let there be given a continuous function σ , harmonic in V_1 , and a normal operator L . We are interested in the problem of constructing on V a harmonic function p , to be called the *principal function*, that imitates the behavior of σ in V_1 . More precisely, we require that $p|_{V_1} = \sigma + L(p - \sigma)$. This means that, in the sense of No. 5, $p - \sigma$ must have no singularity on the ideal boundary of V . We also set out to find explicit upper and lower bounds for $p - \sigma$ in terms of σ .

Suppose V is given by removing a finite number of points $z_j, j = 1, \dots, N$, from a locally Euclidean space V^* . Then V_1 may consist of disjoint solid n -spheres C_j punctured at their centers z_j , and of the complement $V_1^* = V^* - \bar{Q}^*$ of a regular region $Q^* \subset V^*, \cup \bar{C}_j \subset Q^*$. In $C_j - z_j$ the function σ can be a singularity function, *e.g.*, r^{2-n} or any of its partial derivatives of any order. In V_1^* , σ can be an arbitrarily behaving harmonic function. For L we may take different normal operators in the various components of V_1 . Thus our problem is to construct, on an arbitrary locally Euclidean space V^* , a harmonic function with given singularities at a finite number of preassigned points, and with a given behavior near the ideal boundary of V^* .

The theory of principal functions derives its significance from the triple generality in the choice of V_1, σ , and L .

§ 3. The main existence theorem

7. To construct principal functions p in a locally Euclidean space V we may assume that

$$(10) \quad \sigma|_{\alpha_1} = 0.$$

Indeed, if this condition is not met, we replace σ by $\sigma_0 = \sigma - L\sigma$. Then $p = \sigma_0 + Lp$

is the desired function in V_1 .

It is in the nature of the problem that σ have vanishing flux :

$$(11) \quad \int_{\alpha_1} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

The flux of p vanishes by Stokes' formula, and (11) follows from (9).

The solution of the problem will be uniquely determined up to an additive constant. Suppose indeed p' , p'' were two solutions. Then by the maximum principle,

$$\max_{V-V_1} (p' - p'') = \max_{\alpha_1} (p' - p'')$$

and by (8),

$$\max_{\bar{V}_1} (p' - p'') = \max_{\alpha_1} (p' - p'').$$

It follows that

$$\max_V (p' - p'') = \max_{\alpha_1} (p' - p''),$$

and one infers that $p' - p''$ is constant on V .

We shall give an explicit expression for a solution p .

8. Let V_0 with border α_0 be a regular region of V such that $\alpha_0 \subset V_1$ and $\alpha_1 \subset V_0$. Our problem is to find $p|_{\alpha_0}$. In fact, then p is obtained on $V = V_0 \cup V_1$ from the identities

$$(12) \quad p|_{V_0} = Lp, \quad p|_{V_1} = \sigma + Lp,$$

where L is the operator providing us with the solution of the Dirichlet problem in V_0 . We set

$$(13) \quad K = LL'$$

and obtain on α_0

$$(14) \quad p = \sigma + Kp.$$

The n th iterate of K will be denoted by K^n .

Let q be the constant of Lemma 2 applied to the compact set α_1 in the region V_0 , and set

$$(15) \quad Q = \frac{1}{1-q},$$

$$(16) \quad m = \min_{\alpha_0} \sigma, \quad M = \max_{\alpha_0} \sigma.$$

We are ready to state the main existence theorem :

THEOREM. *Given a locally Euclidean space V , let $V_1 \subset V$ be a boundary neighborhood with compact border α_1 , and $V_0 \subset V$ a regular region with border $\alpha_0 \subset V_1$, $\alpha_1 \subset V_0$. On V_1 let σ be a harmonic function satisfying conditions (10), (11), and let L be a normal operator defined by (6)-(9). Then the function*

$$(17) \quad p = \sum_0^\infty K^n \sigma$$

on V_1 gives by (12) the principal function p on V :

$$(18) \quad p - \sigma = Lp.$$

The function satisfies the inequalities

$$(19) \quad mQ \leq p|_{V_0} \leq MQ,$$

$$(20) \quad mQ \leq p - \sigma \leq MQ.$$

9. *Proof.* We are to show that $p = \sum_0^\infty K^n \sigma|_{\alpha_0}$ converges uniformly. Then K can be applied term by term, for

$$|K \sum_0^\infty K^n \sigma - \sum_1^m K^n \sigma| = |K \sum_{m+1}^\infty K^n \sigma| \leq |\sum_{m+1}^\infty K^n \sigma|_{\alpha_0},$$

which tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have $Kp = \sum_1^\infty K^n \sigma = p - \sigma$ as required by (14). The proof will be based on Lemma 2.

Let h be continuous in $\bar{V}_0 \cap \bar{V}_1$ and harmonic in $V_0 \cap V_1$ with $h|_{\alpha_1} = 0$, $h|_{\alpha_0} = \text{const.}$ such that $\int_{\alpha_1} (\partial h / \partial n) dS = 1$, the derivative here and later being interior to $V_0 \cap V_1$ on α_1 , exterior to it on α_0 . By Green's formula we have for any $u \in C^1$ in $\bar{V}_0 \cap \bar{V}_1$, harmonic in $V_0 \cap V_1$, with $\int_{\alpha_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = 0$,

$$\int_{\alpha_0} u \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dS = \int_{\alpha_1} u \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dS.$$

This holds, in particular, for functions $u = \sigma, L'\varphi, L\psi, K\varphi$ with any harmonic φ, ψ on α_0, α_1 .

We claim that

$$(21) \quad \int_{\alpha_1} K^n \sigma \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

For $n = 0$ this is so by (10). Suppose this holds for $n = i$. Then the same integral over α_0 vanishes, hence

$$\int_{\alpha_0} L'K^i \sigma \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

Here α_0 can be replaced by α_1 , and then L' by LL' . Equality (21) follows for $i+1$, and consequently for $n=0, 1, \dots$

Since $\partial h/\partial n \geq 0$ on α_1 we conclude that $\text{sgn } K^n \sigma|_{\alpha_1} \neq \text{const}$. Lemma 2 and relation (8) give for $n=1$,

$$qm \leq K\sigma|_{\alpha_0} \leq qM.$$

Each increment of n brings another factor q and we obtain

$$q^n m \leq K^n \sigma|_{\alpha_0} \leq q^n M.$$

We have shown that $\sum_0^\infty K^n \sigma|_{\alpha_0}$ converges uniformly:

$$Qm \leq p|_{\alpha_0} \leq QM.$$

By the maximum principle the same bounds hold for $p|_{V_0}$, hence for $p|_{\alpha_1}$ and $p-\sigma|_{\alpha_1}$ and consequently for $p-\sigma$ in V_1 . This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

Our next task is to show the existence of operators L . We shall first consider regular regions, then noncompact bordered regions.

§ 4. Normal operators for regular regions

10. Let Ω be a regular region with disconnected border of a locally Euclidean space V . Partition the components of the border into two disjoint sets α and β . Let $f \in H$ on α and consider the family U of functions u such that

$$(22) \quad u \in C^1 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\Omega} \in H, \quad u|_{\alpha} = f.$$

There exists a function $u_0 \in U$ determined by the additional property $\partial u_0/\partial n = 0$ on β (for existence see Fichera [3], p. 196)*. We set $u_0 = L_0 f$.

Define the function $u_1 \in U$ by the conditions

$$(23) \quad u_1|_{\beta} = c(\text{const.}), \quad \int_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

The existence of the constant c is seen as follows. For $u \in U$ with $u|_{\beta} = c$ the flux across α toward Ω increases with c . In fact, for $c' < c''$ and the corresponding functions u', u'' , the difference $v = u'' - u'$ satisfies $v|_{\alpha} = 0$, $v|_{\beta} \geq 0$, $v|_{\Omega} \geq 0$, $\partial v/\partial n \geq 0$ on α , hence $\int_{\alpha} (\partial v/\partial n) dS \geq 0$. A similar reasoning shows

*) The author is indebted to Professor G. Weill for pointing out this reference.

that the flux of $u_m \in U$ with $u_m|_\beta = \min f$ is nonpositive and that of $u_M \in U$ with $u_M|_\beta = \max f$ is nonnegative. Consequently there is a $c \in (\min f, \max f)$ that gives vanishing flux. We set $u_1 = L_1 f$.

11. We shall also introduce an operator $(P)L_1$ as follows. Take a partition P of the components $\gamma_k, k = 1, \dots, k_\Omega$ of β into disjoint sets $\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, j_\Omega$.

LEMMA. *There exists a function $(P)u_1 \in U$ with*

$$(24) \quad (P)u_1|_{\beta_j} = c_j(\text{const.}), \quad \int_{\beta_j} \frac{\partial(P)u_1}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

Proof. Choose disjoint regular regions $D_\alpha, D_k \subset \Omega, k = 1, \dots, k_\Omega$, with disjoint borders $\alpha \cup \alpha', \gamma_k \cup \gamma'_k$ respectively. In \bar{D}_α take the function $u_\alpha \in C^1, u_\alpha|_{D_\alpha} \in H, u_\alpha|_\alpha = f, u_\alpha|_{\alpha'} = c_\alpha, \int_\alpha (\partial u_\alpha / \partial n) dS = 0$. Apply Theorem 8 to Ω with $V_1 = D_\alpha \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_{k_\Omega}$ and $\sigma = u_\alpha - c_\alpha$ in $D_\alpha, \sigma = 0$ in each D_k . For L take in D_α and each $\bigcup_{\gamma_k \subset \beta_j} D_k$ the operator L_1 . The resulting principal function p is $f + c$ on α and the desired function is $(P)u_1 = p - c$. Write

$$(25) \quad (P)u_1 = (P)L_1 f.$$

12. The most important partitions are the identity partition where $j_\Omega = 1$, and the canonical partition, where $j_\Omega = k_\Omega$. In the former case, $(P)L_1 = L_1$. For the sake of simplicity we shall henceforth assume that a partition P has been given in advance and we let L_1 and u_1 stand for $(P)L_1$ and $(P)u_1$.

With this understanding we take a real constant λ and introduce

$$(26) \quad u_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)u_0 + \lambda u_1 = L_\lambda f.$$

Clearly L_λ satisfies the conditions (6)-(9) of a normal operator, for so do L_0 and L_1 .

13. Let $U_0 \subset U$ be the class of functions u with the additional property

$$(27) \quad \int_{\beta_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, j_\Omega.$$

For $u, v \in U_0$ we set

$$(28) \quad A(u) = \int_\alpha u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS, \quad A(u, v) = \int_\alpha u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dS,$$

$$(29) \quad B(u) = \int_\beta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS, \quad B(u, v) = \int_\beta u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dS.$$

The Dirichlet integral of u over Ω will be denoted by $D(u)$.

LEMMA. *The function u_λ minimizes the functional $B(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u)$ in U_0 . Explicitly,*

$$(30) \quad B(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u) = \lambda^2 A(u_1) - (1 - \lambda)^2 A(u_0) + D(u - u_\lambda).$$

Thus the value of the minimum is $\lambda^2 A(u_1) - (1 - \lambda)^2 A(u_0)$ and the deviation from this minimum is $D(u - u_\lambda)$.

Proof. In view of $u - u_\lambda|_\alpha = 0$ we have

$$D(u - u_\lambda) = B(u) + B(u_\lambda) - B(u, u_\lambda) - B(u_\lambda, u).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} B(u_\lambda) &= \lambda(1 - \lambda) B(u_0, u_1) \\ &= \lambda(1 - \lambda) (B(u_0, u_1) - B(u_1, u_0)) \\ &= \lambda(1 - \lambda) (A(u_1) - A(u_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, u_\lambda) &= \lambda(B(u, u_1) - B(u_1, u)) \\ &= \lambda(A(u_1) - A(u)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(u_\lambda, u) &= (1 - \lambda) (B(u_0, u) - B(u, u_0)) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) (A(u) - A(u_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (30) follows.

§ 5. Normal operators for noncompact regions

14. Let V_1 be a noncompact bordered region, with border α , of a locally Euclidean space V . Take a regular region $\Omega \subset V_1$ with border $\alpha \cup \beta_\Omega$. We shall consider partitions $\beta_{\Omega j}$ of β_Ω such that the border of any component of $V_1 - \Omega$ belongs to exactly one $\beta_{\Omega j}$. A partition $\{\beta_{\Omega j^*}\}$ of β_Ω , $j^* = 1, \dots, j_\Omega^*$, is said to be a *refinement* of a partition $\{\beta_{\Omega j}\}$, $j = 1, \dots, j_\Omega$, if $\beta_{\Omega j^*}$ is contained in only one $\beta_{\Omega j}$.

Let $G_{\Omega j}$ be the union of those components of $V_1 - \Omega$ whose border belongs to $\beta_{\Omega j}$. Let $\Omega \subset \Omega'$, $\partial\Omega' = \alpha \cup \beta_{\Omega'}$, $\beta_\Omega \subset \beta_{\Omega'}$. A partition $\{\beta_{\Omega' j}\}$ of $\beta_{\Omega'}$ is said to be *induced* by the partition $\{\beta_{\Omega j}\}$ of β_Ω if $\beta_{\Omega' j} = \beta_{\Omega' j} \cap G_{\Omega j}$. Partitions of the β_Ω for all Ω are said to form a *consistent system of partitions* if for $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ the partition of $\beta_{\Omega'}$ is a refinement of the partition induced by that of β_Ω . We shall only

consider consistent systems of partitions. The most important systems are the identity partition, where β_Ω constitutes one part β_{Ω_j} only, and the canonical partition, where β_{Ω_j} is the border of exactly one component of $V_1 - \bar{\Omega}$.

15. Given a consistent system of partitions, the function $u_{\Omega\lambda}$ and the operator $L_{\Omega\lambda}$ are formed in each regular Ω as in Nos. 10-12. Note that we cannot prove the existence of the directed limit of $u_{\Omega\lambda}$ by Lemma 3. The reason is that the points z_0 where we know that the limit exists are on the border α , not interior to V_1 . In the 2-dimensional case the difficulty can be overcome by choosing α to be an analytic Jordan curve and by forming the double \hat{V}_1 of V_1 . But for $n > 2$ such reflection is not possible. For this reason we shall, in this section, make use of normal families.

The functions $u_{\Omega\lambda}$ are uniformly bounded between $\min f$ and $\max f$. Every nested sequence $\{\Omega_m\}$ with $\Omega_m \rightarrow V_1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ has a subsequence, again denoted by $\{\Omega_m\}$, for which the corresponding functions $u_{m\lambda}$ converge uniformly in compact subsets of V_1 . By the maximum principle the convergence is uniform in \bar{V}_1 , and the limiting function u_λ is continuous on \bar{V}_1 , harmonic on V_1 .

Every limiting function u_λ belongs to the class U_0 of functions $u \in C^1$ in \bar{V}_1 , $u|V_1 \in H$, $u|\alpha = f$, and

$$(31) \quad \int_{\beta_{\Omega_j}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = 0$$

for every β_{Ω_j} in the given consistent system of partitions.

16. Let

$$(32) \quad B_\Omega(u) = \int_{\beta_\Omega} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS, \quad B_\Omega(u, v) = \int_{\beta_\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dS,$$

and define

$$(33) \quad B(u) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V_1} \int_{\beta_\Omega} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS,$$

where symbolically $B(u)$ is the integral over the ideal boundary of V_1 .

By way of preparation of (30) for the noncompact V_1 we first prove:

LEMMA. Any limiting function $u_\lambda = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_{m\lambda}$ minimizes the functional $B(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u)$ in U_0 .

Proof. Let $u_m = u_{m\lambda}$ and

$$F(u) = B(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u),$$

$$F_m(u) = B_m(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u),$$

where B_m refers to $\beta_m \subset \partial Q_m$. Then

$$F(u_\lambda) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(u_\lambda) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_m(u_n). \text{ For } m \leq n, F_m(u_n) \leq F_n(u_n)$$

and consequently

$$(34) \quad F(u_\lambda) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(u_n).$$

On the other hand,

$$F_n(u_n) \leq F_n(u) \leq F(u)$$

for all $u \in U_0$. It follows that

$$(35) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(u_n) \leq \inf_{U_0} F(u) \leq F(u_\lambda).$$

We conclude from (34) and (35) that

$$(36) \quad \min_{U_0} F(u) = F(u_\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(u_n).$$

17. We are ready to state:

THEOREM. *On an arbitrary bordered region V_1 , compact or not, of a locally Euclidean space there is a unique function u_λ which in U_0 has the extremal property*

$$B(u) + (2\lambda - 1)A(u) = \lambda^2 A(u_1) - (1 - \lambda)^2 A(u_0) + D(u - u_\lambda).$$

Proof. For any limiting function $u_\lambda = \lim u_n$ and for $u \in U_0$ set $u - u_\lambda = h$. Then $u_\lambda + \epsilon h \in U_0$ for any real ϵ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(u_\lambda + \epsilon h) &= F_n(u_\lambda) + \epsilon^2 D_n(h) \\ &+ \epsilon [B_n(u_\lambda, h) + B_n(h, u_\lambda) \\ &+ (2\lambda - 1)A(u_\lambda, h)]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $D(h) < \infty$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the first three terms have limits and, as a consequence, the bracketed expression $I_n \rightarrow I$:

$$F(u_\lambda + \epsilon h) = F(u_\lambda) + \epsilon^2 D(h) + \epsilon I.$$

By the minimum property of u_λ we have $dF/d\epsilon = 0$ for $\epsilon = 0$, hence $I = 0$. On setting $\epsilon = 1$ we obtain the desired deviation formula

$$F(u) = F(u_\lambda) + D(u - u_\lambda).$$

The formula remains valid in a degenerate form for $D(h) = \infty$.

Suppose u', u'' are two minimizing functions. Then

$$D(u' - u'') = F(u') - F(u'') = 0$$

and the uniqueness follows.

COROLLARY. u_0 minimizes $D(u)$, u_1 minimizes $A(u) + B(u)$ in U_0 .

18. The uniqueness established, we can write

$$(37) \quad u_\lambda = L_\lambda f,$$

where L_λ is a normal operator satisfying conditions (6)-(9). Indeed, the approximating operators L_{Ω_λ} were seen to enjoy these properties and the same is true of the limiting operators because of uniform convergence.

The principal functions p_λ corresponding to the L_λ possess important minimal properties which we proceed to establish.

§ 6. Extremal properties of principal functions

19. First let Ω be a regular region with border β . Take two solid spheres C_a, C_b centered at a, b and with disjoint closures $\bar{C}_a, \bar{C}_b \subset \Omega$. Consider the class P of functions $p \in C^1$ in $\bar{\Omega} - a - b$, $p|_{\Omega - a - b} \in H$ and with the following properties :

$$(38) \quad p|_{\bar{C}_a} = \frac{|z - a|^{2-n}}{\omega_n(n-2)} + h(z),$$

$$(39) \quad p|_{\bar{C}_b} = -\frac{|z - b|^{2-n}}{\omega_n(n-2)} + k(z), \quad k(b) = 0,$$

$$(40) \quad \int_{\beta_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

Here ω_n is the area $2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$ of the unit hypersphere $|z|=1$; h, k are harmonic in \bar{C}_a, \bar{C}_b , and $\{\beta_j\}$ is a given partition of β . We let h_0, h_1 signify the h corresponding to p_0, p_1 .

LEMMA. On a regular region Ω of a locally Euclidean space the function p_λ has the property

$$(41) \quad B(p) + (2\lambda - 1)h(a) = \lambda^2 h_1(a) - (1 - \lambda)^2 h_0(a) + D(p - p_\lambda).$$

Proof. For short we write r for $|z - a|$ or $|z - b|$ and set

$$s(r) = \frac{r^{2-n}}{\omega_n(n-2)}.$$

The flux of p across $\alpha_a = \partial C_a$ away from the center is -1 , and that across $\alpha_b = \partial C_b$ away from the center is $+1$.

We again start with

$$D(p - p_\lambda) = B(p) + B(p_\lambda) - B(p, p_\lambda) - B(p_\lambda, p)$$

and use A_a, A_b for A taken over α_a, α_b . Here

$$B(p_\lambda) = \lambda(1 - \lambda) (B(p_0, p_1) - B(p_1, p_0))$$

is the sum of

$$\lambda(1 - \lambda) [A_a(s + h_0, s + h_1) - A_a(s + h_1, s + h_0)]$$

and a similar expression containing A_b . In the bracketed quantity, $A_a(s, h_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, $A_a(h_0, h_1) - A_a(h_1, h_0) = 0$, and the only nonvanishing terms are

$$A_a(h_0, s) - A_a(h_1, s) = h_1(a) - h_0(a).$$

Because of the normalization $k(b) = 0$ the corresponding expression for A_b vanishes and we obtain

$$B(p_\lambda) = \lambda(1 - \lambda) (h_1(a) - h_0(a)).$$

Analogous computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} B(p, p_\lambda) &= \lambda(h_1(a) - h(a)), \\ B(p_\lambda, p) &= (1 - \lambda) (h(a) - h_0(a)), \end{aligned}$$

and (41) follows.

20. If V is a locally Euclidean space, let a consistent system of partitions be given for the borders β_Ω of all regular subregions $\Omega \subset V$ that contain a and b . Let $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ with $\beta_\Omega \subset \beta_{\Omega'}$ and denote by p_λ, h_λ, B quantities corresponding to Ω , and by $p'_\lambda, h'_\lambda, B'$ those corresponding to Ω' . For $p = p'_0|_\Omega, p_\lambda = p_0$, (41) gives

$$(42) \quad B(p'_0) - h'_0(a) = -h_0(a) + D(p'_0 - p_0);$$

for $p = p'_1|_\Omega, p_\lambda = p_1$,

$$(43) \quad B(p'_1) + h'_1(a) = h_1(a) + D(p'_1 - p_1);$$

for $p = p_1, p_\lambda = p_0$,

$$(44) \quad B(p_1) - h_1(a) = -h_0(a) + D(p_1 - p_0).$$

By virtue of $B(p_i) = 0, B(p'_i) \leq B'(p'_i) = 0$, we have :

LEMMA. $h_0(a)$ decreases, $h_1(a)$ increases with increasing Ω and $h_1(a) \leq h_0(a)$ for every Ω .

One concludes that the directed limits $h_i(a) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} h_{i\Omega}(a), i = 0, 1$, exist and so do

$$\lim_{\substack{\Omega \rightarrow V \\ \Omega \subset \Omega'}} D_{\Omega}(p_{\Omega i} - p_{\Omega' i}) = 0.$$

From this and from the normalization $p_{\Omega}(b) - p_{\Omega'}(b) = 0$ Lemma 3 gives the harmonic directed limits

$$p_i = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} p_{\Omega i}$$

on $V - a - b$, the convergence being uniform in compact subsets. Write

$$(45) \quad p_{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda)p_0 + \lambda p_1.$$

21. We consider the family P of functions $p \in H$ on $V - a - b$ with singularities (38), (39) and the property

$$\int_{\beta_{\Omega j}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = 0$$

for all $\beta_{\Omega j}$ in the given partition.

To establish the extremal property (41) of p_{λ} in P for the noncompact V we let $\Omega' \rightarrow V$ in (42), (43) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\Omega}(p_0) - h_0(a) &= -h_{\Omega_0}(a) + D_{\Omega}(p_0 - p_{\Omega_0}), \\ B_{\Omega}(p_1) + h_1(a) &= h_{\Omega_1}(a) + D_{\Omega}(p_1 - p_{\Omega_1}). \end{aligned}$$

On letting $\Omega \rightarrow V$ we infer by $B_{\Omega} \leq 0$ that

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} D_{\Omega}(p_i - p_{\Omega i}) = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

By virtue of the triangle inequality this gives

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} D_{\Omega}(p_{\lambda} - p_{\Omega \lambda}) = 0.$$

From this and the definition $D(p - p_{\lambda}) = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} D_{\Omega}(p - p_{\lambda})$ one concludes, again by the triangle inequality, that

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} D_{\Omega}(p - p_{\Omega \lambda}) = D(p - p_{\lambda}).$$

The deviation formula for Ω and $p \in P$ on V reads

$$B_{\Omega}(p) + (2\lambda - 1)h(a) = \lambda^2 h_{\Omega_1}(a) - (1 - \lambda)^2 h_{\Omega_0}(a) + D_{\Omega}(p - p_{\Omega\lambda}).$$

We let $\Omega \rightarrow V$ and obtain what we set out to find:

THEOREM. *In the class P in a locally Euclidean space V the function p_{λ} minimizes the functional $F(p) = B(p) + (2\lambda - 1)h(a)$. The value of the minimum is $\lambda^2 h_1(a) - (1 - \lambda)^2 h_0(a)$ and the deviation of $F(p)$ from this minimum is $D(p - p_{\lambda})$.*

It is an open question what is the extremal property of p_{λ} if the singularities s , $-s$ of (38), (39) are replaced by partial derivatives of s .

For later reference we observe that

$$(46) \quad B(p_0) = B(p_1) = 0.$$

This follows by choosing $p = p_i$, $p_{\lambda} = p_i$, $i = 0, 1$.

22. We have these immediate consequences:

COROLLARY 1. *The function p_0 gives to $B(p) - h(a)$ the minimum $-h_0(a)$, and the function p_1 gives to $B(p) + h(a)$ the minimum $h_1(a)$, both in P .*

COROLLARY 2. *Among functions in P with $B(p) \leq 0$, we have*

$$(47) \quad h_1(a) \leq h(a) \leq h_0(a).$$

DEFINITION. *The span of the region V is*

$$(48) \quad S = h_0(a) - h_1(a).$$

Observe that the span depends on the class P , i.e., on a , b , and the system of partitions.

COROLLARY 3. *The function $\frac{1}{2}(p_0 + p_1)$ gives the minimum*

$$(49) \quad \min_P B(p) = -\frac{S}{4}.$$

In particular, $B(p) \geq 0$ for all $p \in P$ if and only if the span vanishes. We shall return to the span in Nos. 23, 24.

The function $p_0 - p_1$ is not in P , and a separate discussion will be needed to establish its extremal property.

§ 7. Extremal harmonic functions

23. Let Ω be a regular region of a locally Euclidean space. For any real

μ, λ let

$$(50) \quad p_{\mu\lambda} = \mu p_0 + \lambda p_1,$$

where p_0, p_1 are in P , defined for the compact $\bar{\Omega}$ (No. 19). We introduce the class Q of functions $q \in C^1$ in $\bar{\Omega} - a - b, q \in H$ in $\Omega - a - b$, and with the additional properties

$$(51) \quad q|_{\bar{C}_a} = (\mu + \lambda)s + e,$$

$$(52) \quad q|_{\bar{C}_b} = -(\eta + \lambda)s + f, \quad f(b) = 0,$$

$$(53) \quad \int_{\beta_j} \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} dS = 0,$$

$j = 1, \dots, j_\Omega$, where $e, f \in H$ in \bar{C}_a, \bar{C}_b . If $\mu + \lambda = 0$, then $q \in C^1$ in $\bar{\Omega}, q|_\Omega \in H$.

We retain the meaning of h_0, h_1 for $p_0, p_1 \in P$ and state:

THEOREM. *The function $p_{\mu\lambda}$ in Q has the minimum property*

$$(54) \quad B(q) + (\lambda - \mu)e(a) = \lambda^2 h_1(a) - \mu^2 h_0(a) + D(q - p_{\mu\lambda}).$$

The proof is an analogue of that in No. 19 when we note that the singularity at a of q is $(\mu + \lambda)s$ while that of p_0 and p_1 is s . The intermediate results are now

$$\begin{aligned} B(p_{\mu\lambda}) &= \mu\lambda[h_1(a) - h_0(a)], \\ B(q, p_{\mu\lambda}) &= \lambda[(\mu + \lambda)h_1(a) - e(a)], \\ B(p_{\mu\lambda}, q) &= \mu[e(a) - (\mu + \lambda)h_0(a)], \end{aligned}$$

and (54) follows.

In the case of a noncompact locally Euclidean space V the only change in defining the class Q is that the flux of $q \in Q$ is to vanish across every β_{Ω_j} in a given consistent system of partitions. Since the convergence proof of $p_{\Omega\mu\lambda}$ is based on that of $p_{\Omega 0}, p_{\Omega 1}$, the reasoning in 20-21 applies mutatis mutandis. We conclude that the deviation formula (54) holds for the limiting function $p_{\mu\lambda}$ in V .

The main motivation for considering μ, λ without the earlier restriction $\mu + \lambda = 1$ is that we can now have $\mu + \lambda = 0$. The competing class Q then is the class U of regular harmonic functions u on V with the normalization $u(b) = 0$ and with vanishing flux across each β_{Ω_j} .

THEOREM. *The function $p_0 - p_1$ has the following minimum property in U :*

$$(55) \quad D(u) - 2u(a) = -S + D(u - p_0 + p_1).$$

On setting $u = 0$ we obtain:

COROLLARY. *The span has the value*

$$(56) \quad S = D(p_0 - p_1),$$

and $p_0 = p_1$ if and only if the span vanishes.

24. The class HD for a given locally Euclidean space V consists, by definition, of harmonic functions with a finite Dirichlet integral over V . A space V is in O_{HD} if there are no nonconstant HD -functions in V .

THEOREM. $V \notin O_{HD}$ if and only if $S \neq 0$ for some a, b and the identity partition.

Proof. From (46) and the triangle inequality we conclude that $p_0 - p_1 \in HD$. Suppose there is a nonconstant $u \in HD$ in V . Then there is a nonconstant $u \in HD$ in V with $u(b) = 0$. Let $a \in V$ be a point for which $u(a) \neq 0$. If $p_0 - p_1$ were constant, we would conclude from

$$D(u) - 2u(a) = -D(p_0 - p_1) + D(u - p_0 + p_1)$$

that $u(a) = 0$. Thus $S = D(p_0 - p_1) \neq 0$.

Conversely, if $S \neq 0$, then $p_0 - p_1$ is a nonconstant HD -function in V .

Let HB be the class of harmonic bounded functions in V .

LEMMA. *The existence of nonconstant HD -functions in V implies that of nonconstant HB -functions:*

$$(57) \quad O_{HB} \subset O_{HD}.$$

In fact, $p_0 - p_1 = L(p_0 - p_1)$ is bounded in a boundary neighborhood, hence in V .

Other O -classes of interest are introduced in § 9.

§ 8. Capacity functions

25. We shall introduce the capacity of the ideal boundary and of a boundary component of a locally Euclidean space V .

Consider a regular region $\Omega \subset V$ with border $\beta = \gamma \cup \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_{j_\Omega}$, where γ is a set of components of β and each β_j , $j = 1, \dots, j_\Omega$, is a component of $\beta - \gamma$.

Let C_a be a solid n -sphere centered at a given point a , with $\bar{C}_a \subset \Omega$. Denote by P the class of functions $p \in C^1$ on $\Omega - a$, $p \in H$ in $\Omega - a$,

$$(58) \quad p|_{\bar{C}_a} = -\frac{|z-a|^{2-n}}{\omega_n(n-2)} + h(z),$$

$$(59) \quad \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = 1,$$

$$(60) \quad \int_{\beta_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, j_{\Omega}.$$

Here $h \in H$ and $h(a) = 0$. Clearly (59) is a consequence of (60).

In P the capacity function p_{γ} of γ is defined by the properties

$$(61) \quad p_{\gamma}|_{\gamma} = k_{\gamma},$$

$$(62) \quad p_{\gamma}|_{\beta_j} = k_j,$$

k_{γ}, k_j being constants. The existence can easily be established by the main existence theorem (No. 8).

THEOREM. *The capacity function minimizes $B(p)$ in P :*

$$(63) \quad \min_P B(p) = k_{\gamma} + D(p - p_{\gamma}).$$

Proof. On adding to the right side of

$$(64) \quad D(p - p_{\gamma}) = B(p, p - p_{\gamma})$$

the quantity

$$B(p_{\gamma}, p) - B(p_{\gamma}) = 0$$

one obtains

$$D(p - p_{\gamma}) = B(p) - B(p_{\gamma}) + B(p_{\gamma}, p) - B(p, p_{\gamma}).$$

One transfers $B(p_{\gamma}, p) - B(p, p_{\gamma})$ to ∂C_a and shows in the same fashion as in No. 19 that its value is $h_{\gamma}(a) - h(a)$, hence 0. This proves the theorem.

26. In passing we note that for $\gamma = \beta, p_{\beta}$ also has the following extremal property.

THEOREM. *The capacity function p_{β} of the border β of a regular region gives*

$$\min_P \sup_{\Omega} p = \sup_{\Omega} p_{\beta} = k_{\beta}.$$

In fact, for any harmonic function u

$$(65) \quad B(u, p_{\beta}) = u(a).$$

In particular, this is true for $u = p - p_{\beta}$. Since $B(p_{\beta}) = k_{\beta}$, it follows from $u(a) = 0$ that $B(p, p_{\beta}) = k_{\beta}$, and the possibility of $\sup_{\Omega} p < k_{\beta}$ is excluded.

27. Let $\{\Omega_n\}$ be a nested sequence of regular regions of a locally Euclidean space V with $\cup \Omega_n = V$. Consider a consistent system $\{\beta_{nj}\}$ of partitions of the $\{\partial\Omega_n\}$. A sequence $\{\gamma_n\} = \{\beta_{nj(n)}\}$ defines a *subboundary* γ of the *ideal boundary* β of V if $\beta_{n+1, j(n+1)}$ is in the component of $V - \Omega_n$ bordered by $\beta_{nj(n)}$. Equivalence in two exhaustions is defined in an obvious manner. For the identity partition γ is the ideal boundary β . For the canonical partition each γ is a boundary component. In general γ is a boundary component if each γ_n in the sequence defining γ is the border of exactly one component of $V - \Omega_n$.

For a regular $\Omega \subset V$ let β_Ω be the part of β_Ω that corresponds to a given γ . Let $p_{\Omega\gamma}$ be the capacity function of γ_Ω on $\bar{\Omega}$ with $p_{\Omega\gamma}|_{\gamma_\Omega} = k_{\Omega\gamma}$.

LEMMA. For $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$,

$$(66) \quad k_{\Omega\gamma} \leq k_{\Omega'\gamma}.$$

Indeed,

$$k_{\Omega\gamma} = B_\Omega(p_{\Omega\gamma}) \leq B_\Omega(p_{\Omega'\gamma}) \leq B_{\Omega'}(p_{\Omega'\gamma}) = k_{\Omega'\gamma}.$$

We conclude that the directed limit exists:

$$(67) \quad k_\gamma = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} k_{\Omega\gamma}.$$

In the case $k_\gamma < \infty$ we could derive from this the uniform convergence of $p_{\Omega\gamma}$ to a unique limit p_γ on $V - a$, the capacity function γ , for which Theorems 25, 26 continue to hold in a class P defined in an obvious manner. If $k_\gamma = \infty$, limiting capacity functions still exist but uniqueness is lost. We shall not use limiting functions in either case but introduce:

DEFINITIONS. *The capacity of the subboundary γ of a locally Euclidean space is*

$$(68) \quad c_\gamma = k_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$$

A boundary component γ is weak if $c_\gamma = 0$.

We distinguish two classes of locally Euclidean spaces:

$$C_p = \{V | c_p = 0\},$$

$$C_\gamma = \{V | \text{each boundary component } \gamma \text{ is weak}\}.$$

The capacity of a compact set in a solid sphere $|z| < \rho$ of R^n can also be defined on replacing the singularity (58) by $|z|^{2-n}(\omega_n(n-2))^{-1} + h(z)$ in $|z| \geq \rho$.

with $h(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

§ 9. Classification of locally Euclidean spaces

We have arrived at four classes of locally Euclidean spaces: O_{HB} , O_{HD} , C_β , C_τ . We conclude our study by introducing other significant classes and by listing problems they lead to.

28. A Green's function $g_\Omega(z, a)$ for a regular region Ω has, by definition, the singularity $|z - a|^{2-n}$ at a , and $g|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. By the maximum principle $g_\Omega \leq g_{\Omega'}$ for $\Omega \subset \Omega'$, and the directed limit $g_V = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow V} g_\Omega$ either exists or is ∞ in V . In the former case it is called the Green's function in V . A space V is said to be parabolic, $V \in O_\sigma$, if it has no Green's function; otherwise it is hyperbolic. We note in passing:

Every region $V \subset R^n$ is hyperbolic.

In fact, every g_Ω , $\Omega \subset V$, is dominated by the Green's function $|z - a|^{2-n}$ of R^n .

29. In strict analogy with the concept of the real part of an analytic function in the 2-dimensional case we introduce:

DEFINITION. *The class R for V consists of harmonic functions in V with vanishing flux across every component γ_{Ω_j} of the boundary β_Ω of every regular region Ω of V :*

$$\int_{\gamma_{\Omega_j}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = 0.$$

If the span S is defined for the canonical partition, the preceding reasoning for HD applies to RD and we obtain:

(69) $O_{RB} \subset O_{RD}.$

30. In a canonical exhaustion each β_{Ω_j} has the property that $V - \beta_{\Omega_j}$ consists of two components. We shall refer to such hypersurfaces β_{Ω_j} as *dividing cycles*.

DEFINITION. *The class K for V is composed of harmonic functions in V with vanishing flux across every dividing cycle.*

For $V \subset R^n$ the classes R and K coincide. For an n -dimensional V imbedded in a higher dimensional R^m , they differ in general.

31. In $R^{2n} = C^n$ we consider the class A of *analytic functions* of n complex variables and the class of real parts of such functions.

32. Let p_Ω be the capacity function of $\partial\Omega = \beta_\Omega$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ with singularity

$$-s = -r^{2-n}/(\omega_n(n-2))$$

(cf. No. 19) at a given point $a \in \Omega$.

DEFINITION. *The class HM_q in a locally Euclidean space V consists of those $u \in H$ on V for which the mean ($q \geq 1$)*

$$(70) \quad M = \int_{\beta_\Omega} |u|^q \frac{\partial p_\Omega}{\partial n} dS$$

is bounded for all $\Omega \subset V$.

33. In analogy with $\log |w|$ of a meromorphic function w on a plane region we introduce: L is the class of harmonic functions in a given locally Euclidean space $V \subset R^n$ with singularities c_j s at isolated points z_j , $j = 1, \dots$, the coefficients c_j being nonzero real numbers.

Given $u \in V$ and $v \in L$ on V , take a regular region Ω containing a and decompose $v|_{\beta_\Omega}$ into $v^+ = \max(v, 0)$ and $v^- = \max(-v, 0)$. Let x_Ω^+ , x_Ω^- be the solutions in $\bar{\Omega}$ of the Dirichlet problem with boundary values v^+ , v^- , respectively.

Let a_μ ($\mu = 1, \dots, \mu_\Omega$), b_ν ($\nu = 1, \dots, \nu_\Omega$) be the positive and negative singularities of v in Ω . Denote by $g_\Omega(z, z_j)$ the Green's function on $\bar{\Omega}$ with singularity s at z_j and set

$$y_\Omega^+(z) = \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, a_\mu), \quad y_\Omega^-(z) = \sum_{\nu \in \Omega} g_\Omega(z, b_\nu),$$

$$u_\Omega^+ = x_\Omega^+ + y_\Omega^+, \quad u_\Omega^- = x_\Omega^- + y_\Omega^-.$$

DEFINITIONS. *The characteristic $C(\Omega)$ of $v \in L$ is*

$$(71) \quad C(\Omega) = u_\Omega^+(a).$$

The class LC of functions of bounded characteristic in a locally Euclidean space V consists of $v \in L$ with bounded $C(\Omega)$ for all $\Omega \subset V$.

We can thus speak of harmonic functions of bounded characteristic without reference to meromorphic functions.

34. Let P stand for positive. We have introduced classes IJ with $I = H, K, A, R, L$ and $J = P, B, D, M_q, C$. Some of the classes, such as LB , are

obviously void, and we only consider nondegenerate classes.

Given a locally Euclidean space V let \bar{V}_1 be the complement of a regular region with border α_1 . With each nondegenerate class IJ we associate the class I_0J of functions $u \in IJ$ on \bar{V}_1 with $u|_{\alpha_1} = 0$. Such functions are useful in studying removability properties of the boundary.

35. A general classification theory can be developed for locally Euclidean spaces. As special cases one can consider regions in R^n , and n -dimensional submanifolds in a higher dimensional space R^m . The following problems arise:

- (1) What are the inclusion relations between the various classes O_{IJ} , O_{I_0J} , C_β , C_γ ? Do the classes O_{I_0J} for a fixed I coincide (cf. [5])?
- (2) Which inclusion relations are strict for $V^n \subset R^n$, which for $V^n \subset R^m$, and which for locally Euclidean spaces V ? Do the classes O_{IJ} for a fixed I generally coincide in the first case? Is $O_{HM,q} = O_{HP}$ for $q = 1$, but $O_{HM,q} \neq O_{HB}$ for $q > 1$ (cf. [2]). Can counterexamples be constructed by removing from the unit ball equidistant radial segments of "meridian" planes and by suitably identifying the "faces" of such segments?
- (3) The modulus of a regular region Ω of a locally Euclidean space V can be defined analogously to that on Riemann surfaces. Can Ω be subdivided into two regular regions each with a modulus arbitrarily close to 1? Are there modular tests for a given V to belong to a given class?
- (4) Can tests in terms of deep coverings or of Riemannian metrics be formed (cf. [2])?
- (5) What metric properties do the boundaries of $V^n \subset O_{IJ}$, C_β , C_γ possess in R^n or R^m (cf. [1])?
- (6) In what classes are the complements $R^n - C$ and $R^n - S$ of the n -dimensional analogues of Cantor sets C and Schottky sets S (cf. [4])? What can be said about their complements with respect to compact locally Euclidean spaces (cf. problem (8))?
- (7) Is the complement of a generalized Cantor set in some class O_{IJ} if and only if the volume $\prod_1^\infty (1 - (1/p_n))$ vanishes [4]?
- (8) Compact locally Euclidean spaces can be formed by identifying opposite faces of an n -cube. Can unramified Abelian covering spaces [4] be formed and do they all belong to an O_{IJ} ?
- (9) Remove a disk D from R^n and take two copies V_1, V_2 , of the remaining

space. Identify the upper (lower) face of D in V_1 with the lower (upper) face of D in V_2 so as to form a locally Euclidean covering space of R^n . More generally, construct covering spaces of the "cube" of (8) by removing several disks and using several duplicates of the remaining space, in the same manner as forming covering surfaces of R^2 , with the branch points replaced by circles, the connecting line segments by encircled disks. Develop a classification of such covering spaces based on the ramification properties, in analogy with the classical type problem.

(10) If the potential p of a unit mass distribution $d\mu$ on a compact set E in R^n is defined as

$$p(z) = \int_E (|z - \zeta|^{2-n} / \omega_n(n-2)) d\mu(\zeta),$$

what is the relation between the equilibrium potential and our capacity function [7, 2]?

(11) Is the component γ of a compact set E in R^n a point if and only if $c_\gamma = 0$ (cf. [8])?

(12) Can an "equivalence" of locally Euclidean spaces, (or at least of n -manifolds V in R^m or in $R^{2m} = C^m$) be defined in terms of isomorphisms of suitable function spaces or by quasiconformality?

(13) In the affirmative case, is a component γ of ∂V always a point or always a continuum or are there "unstable" components [8]?

(14) Cover R^n with a set of cubes with side 1 and arrange the cubes in a sequence $\{Q_i\}$ such that the $R_j = \cup_1^j Q_i$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, form a nested sequence of regions exhausting R^n . For $\epsilon > 0$ remove from Q_i a Cantor set C_i such that $Q_i - C_i$ has volume $2^{-i} \epsilon$. Then the region $V = R^n - \cup_1^\infty C_i$ has an arbitrarily small volume ϵ , yet is dense in R^n . Does V have an equivalent V^* in R^n (at least if $n = 2m$) such that one boundary component of V^* is a continuum? Can V^* be a bounded region?

(15) Under what self-mappings of R^n is a class O_{IJ} preserved? In particular, what can be said about quasi-conformally equivalent regions?

(16) Can the classification theory be extended to mappings of the complex space C^n into itself, with suitable modifications of properties P, B, \bar{D}, M, C ?

(17) To what extent can an analogue of the theory of meromorphic functions of bounded characteristic be developed for LC ? In particular, can func-

tions in LC be decomposed into extremal LP -functions? Do the Poisson-Stieltjes formula and the decompositions by Parreau and Rao generalize?

(18) Can a value distribution theory be developed for analytic functions suitably associated with harmonic functions in locally Euclidean spaces?

(19) Can the following interpolation problem be solved in terms of linear combinations of functions $p_0 - p_1$ with suitable singularities: given a locally Euclidean space V , points $z_1, \dots, z_m \in V$, and real numbers r_1, \dots, r_m , find a harmonic function u in V with $u(z_i) = r_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and such that the Dirichlet integral is minimized?

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets, *Acta Math.*, **83** (1950), 101-129.
- [2] L. Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton University Press, 1960, 382 pp.
- [3] G. Fichera, Alcuni recenti sviluppi della teoria dei problemi al contorno per le equazioni alle derivate parziali lineari, *Convegno internazionale sulle equazioni lineari alle derivate parziali*, Trieste, 1954, Editioni Cremonese, Roma, 1955, 231 pp.
- [4] L. Sario, Über Riemannsche Flächen mit hebbarem Rand, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae A. I.*, **50** (1948), 1-79.
- [5] L. Sario, Sur la classification des surfaces de Riemann, *C. R. Onzième Congr. Math. Scand. Trondheim*, 1949, 229-238.
- [6] L. Sario, A linear operator method on arbitrary Riemann surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1952), 281-295.
- [7] L. Sario, Capacity of the boundary and of a boundary component, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) **59** (1954), 135-144.
- [8] L. Sario, Strong and weak boundary components, *J. Analyse Math.*, **5** (1956/57), 389-398.

University of California, Los Angeles