

# Predual of the Multiplier Algebra of $A_p(G)$ and Amenability

Tianxuan Miao

*Abstract.* For a locally compact group  $G$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $A_p(G)$  be the Herz-Figà-Talamanca algebra and let  $PM_p(G)$  be its dual Banach space. For a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module  $X$  of  $PM_p(G)$ , we prove that the multiplier space  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is the dual Banach space of  $Q_X$ , where  $Q_X$  is the norm closure of the linear span  $A_p(G)X$  of  $uf$  for  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$  in the dual of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . If  $p = 2$  and  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$ , then  $A_p(G)X$  is closed in  $X$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable. In particular, we prove that the multiplier algebra  $MA_p(G)$  of  $A_p(G)$  is the dual of  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is the completion of  $L^1(G)$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_M$ -norm.  $Q$  is characterized by the following:  $f \in Q$  if and only if there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in PF_p(G)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_{PF_p(G)} < \infty$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i f_i$  on  $MA_p(G)$ . It is also proved that if  $A_p(G)$  is dense in  $MA_p(G)$  in the associated  $w^*$ -topology, then the multiplier norm and  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$ -norm are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

## 1 Introduction and Notation

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group equipped with a fixed left Haar measure  $\lambda$ . If  $G$  is compact, we assume  $\lambda(G) = 1$ . Let  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , be the usual Lebesgue spaces on  $G$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

Suppose that  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . The Herz-Figà-Talamanca algebra  $A_p(G)$  is the space of continuous functions  $u$  which can be represented as

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * \check{g}_n \quad \text{with } f_n \in L^q(G), g_n \in L^p(G), \quad \text{and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_q \|g_n\|_p < \infty,$$

where  $\check{g} \in L^p(G)$  is defined by  $\check{g}(x) = g(x^{-1})$ ,  $x \in G$ . The norm of  $u$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{A_p(G)} = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_q \|g_n\|_p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all the representations of  $u$  above. It is known that  $A_p(G)$  is a subspace of  $C_0(G)$  and, equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  above and the pointwise multiplication is a regular tauberian algebra whose Gelfand spectrum is  $G$ . Furthermore, the algebra  $A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if the group  $G$  is amenable (see Herz [8], Theorem 6). For  $p = 2$ ,  $A_p(G) = A(G)$ , the Fourier algebra of  $G$  (see Eymard [3]). The dual of  $A_p(G)$  is  $PM_p(G)$  and  $PF_p(G)^* =$

Received by the editors July 13, 2002; revised June 17, 2003.

This research is supported by an NSERC grant.

AMS subject classification: 43A07.

Keywords: Locally compact groups, amenable groups, multiplier algebra, Herz algebra.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2004.

$B_p(G)$  is a Banach algebra such that  $A_p(G)$  is dense in the associated  $w^*$ -topology. For the definitions and properties of  $PM_p(G)$  and  $PF_p(G)$ , see Pier [11].

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module of  $PM_p(G)$ . Then the dual Banach space  $X^*$  is also a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module defined by  $\langle uF, f \rangle = \langle F, uf \rangle$  for all  $u \in A_p(G)$ ,  $f \in X$  and  $F \in X^*$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is the multiplier space of  $A_p(G)$  into  $X^*$ , i.e., all bounded linear operators  $\phi: A_p(G) \rightarrow X^*$  such that  $\phi(uv) = u\phi(v)$  for all  $u, v \in A_p(G)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is a Banach space equipped with the multiplier norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$ . We show in this paper that  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is the dual Banach space of  $Q_X$ , where  $Q_X$  is the norm closure of the linear span  $A_p(G)X$  of  $uf$  for all  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$  in the dual of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . We will characterize  $Q_X$  in terms of the elements in  $A_p(G)$  and  $X$  (see Theorem 2.3). In Lau and Losert [9], it is proved that for  $p = 2$ ,  $A_p(G)PM_p(G)$  is closed if and only if  $G$  is amenable. We prove that if  $p = 2$  and  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$  or  $\overline{\ell^1(G)} \subseteq X$ , then  $A_p(G)X$  is closed in  $X$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

The special cases of  $X = PF_p(G)$  and  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$  will be considered. Let  $MA_p(G)$  be the space of pointwise multipliers of  $A_p(G)$  equipped with the multiplier norm  $\|u\|_M = \sup\{\|uv\|_{A_p(G)} : v \in A_p(G), \|v\|_{A_p(G)} \leq 1\}$ , i.e., the space of all continuous functions  $u$  on  $G$  such that the pointwise multiplication  $uv$  defines a bounded operator from  $A_p(G)$  to  $A_p(G)$  for every  $v \in A_p(G)$ . It is obvious that  $A_p(G) \subseteq MA_p(G)$  and  $\|u\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p(G)}$  if  $u \in A_p(G)$ . It will be proved that  $MA_p(G) = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), PF_p(G)^*)$ , which is also equal to the space of multiplier algebra of the Banach algebra  $A_p(G)$ , i.e., all the bounded linear operators  $\phi: A_p(G) \rightarrow A_p(G)$  with  $\phi(uv) = u\phi(v)$  for all  $u$  and  $v$  in  $A_p(G)$ . We show that the predual  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  of  $MA_p(G)$  is equal to the closure of  $L^1(G)$  in  $MA_p(G)^*$  under the multiplier norm, where for  $f \in L^1(G)$ , a continuous linear functional on  $MA_p(G)$  is defined by  $\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_G f(x)\phi(x) dx$  for all  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$ . Thus,  $MA_p(G)$  is a dual Banach space. This result is proved in De Cannière and Haagerup [2] for  $p = 2$  and in Xu [14] for discrete  $G$  (see the comments on page 466 of Granirer and Leinert [6]). Also, an element  $f$  is in its predual  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  if and only if there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in PF_p(G)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)}\|f_i\|_{PF_p(G)} < \infty$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$  on  $MA_p(G)$ . We will investigate that when  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ . We prove that the  $w^*$ -closure  $\overline{A_p(G)}^{w^*}$  of  $A_p(G)$  in  $MA_p(G)$  is also a dual Banach space of the norm closure of  $L^1(G)$  in the dual of  $\overline{A_p(G)}^{w^*}$ . If  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ , then the multiplier norm and the  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$ -norm are equivalent if and only if  $G$  is amenable. We do not have an example of  $G$  for which  $A_p(G)$  is not  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$  even for  $p = 2$ . For the case of  $X = \overline{\ell^1(G)}$ , we have a similar characterization for the predual of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$ . The relation between  $MA_p(G)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$  will be discussed.

For Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denote the space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$  and let  $X^*$  be the conjugate Banach space of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ , the value of  $f$  at  $x$ ,  $f(x)$ , is sometimes denoted by  $\langle f, x \rangle$  or  $\langle x, f \rangle$  in duality. The norm of  $x$  (respectively,  $f$ ) is sometimes written as  $\|x\|_X$  (respectively,  $\|f\|_{X^*}$ ) or  $\|x\|_{X^*}$  (respectively,  $\|f\|_X$ ). The projective tensor product of  $X$  and  $Y$  is the Banach space  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$  such that each tensor  $t$  in  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$  has a representation of the form  $t = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i \otimes y_i$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|x_i\| \|y_i\| \leq \infty$  and the norm of  $t$  in  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$

is the infimum of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$  over all the representations. The most important property of  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$  used in this paper is that the Banach space dual of  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$  can be isometrically identified with  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  by

$$\left\langle T, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \hat{\otimes} y_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle T(x_i), y_i \rangle$$

(see Wojtaszczyk [13] p. 125).

## 2 The Multiplier Space $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module of  $PM_p(G)$ . We will show in this section that  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is a dual Banach space and characterize its predual in terms of elements in  $A_p(G)$  and  $X$ . We will also investigate when  $A_p(G)X$  is closed.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module of  $PM_p(G)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module with respect to the action defined by  $(u\phi)(v) = \phi(uv)$  for  $u, v \in A_p(G)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ ;
- (ii) For every  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$ ,  $uf$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  defined by  $\langle uf, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi(u) \rangle$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  with  $\|uf\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p(G)} \|f\|_X$ .

**Proof** (i) For every  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , we have  $(u\phi)(vw) = \phi(uvw) = v((u\phi)(w))$  for all  $v$  and  $w$  in  $A_p(G)$ . So  $u\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  and  $\|u\phi\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p(G)} \|\phi\|_M$ .

(ii) Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , then

$$|\langle uf, \phi \rangle| = |\langle f, \phi(u) \rangle| \leq \|f\|_X \|\phi(u)\|_X \leq \|f\|_X \|\phi\|_M \|u\|_{A_p(G)}.$$

So  $uf$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)^*$  and  $\|uf\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p(G)} \|f\|_X$ . ■

We denote the linear span of  $\{uf : u \in A_p(G), f \in X\}$  by  $A_p(G)X$ . Then  $A_p(G)X \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)^*$  by Proposition 2.1. Let  $Q_X$  be the norm closure of  $A_p(G)X$  in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)^*$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*) = (Q_X)^*$ .*

**Proof** Define  $J: A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X \rightarrow Q_X$  as follows. For  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \otimes f_i \in A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X$ , where  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in X$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ), we define  $J(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \otimes f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i f_i$ . Then  $J$  is well defined. In fact, it is obvious that  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is a closed subspace of  $(A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X)^* = \mathcal{L}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \otimes f_i = 0$  in  $A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X$ , then

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \otimes f_i, \phi \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{L}(A_p(G), X^*).$$

Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i = 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)^*$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $\|J(\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i \otimes f_i)\|_M \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_X$ . So  $J(\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i \otimes f_i) \in Q_X$  and  $\|J\| \leq 1$ .

We have the adjoint operator  $J^*: (Q_X)^* \rightarrow (A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X)^*$  with  $\|J^*\| \leq 1$ . For every  $\phi \in (Q_X)^*$ , since  $(A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X)^* = \mathcal{L}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , we have  $J^*(\phi): A_p(G) \rightarrow X^*$  is a bounded linear operator. We will show that  $J^*(\phi) \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . In fact, let  $u$  and  $v$  be in  $A_p(G)$ . Then  $J^*(\phi)(u) \in X^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J^*(\phi)(uv), f \rangle &= \langle J^*(\phi), (uv) \otimes f \rangle = \langle \phi, J((uv) \otimes f) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, J(u \otimes (vf)) \rangle = \langle J^*(\phi)(u), vf \rangle \\ &= \langle vJ^*(\phi)(u), f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every  $f \in X$ . Hence  $J^*(\phi)(uv) = vJ^*(\phi)(u)$  for all  $u, v \in A_p(G)$ . Therefore  $J^*(\phi) \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ .

For every  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , it is obvious that  $\phi \in (Q_X)^*$  by duality and it is routine to check that  $J^*(\phi) = \phi$ . Therefore,  $J^*$  is a surjective isometry. ■

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)^*$ . Then  $f \in Q_X$  if and only if there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in X$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_X < \infty$  such that*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i \text{ and } \|f\|_M = \inf \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_X,$$

where the infimum is taken over all the representations of  $f$  above.

**Proof** By definition, each element of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$  as in the theorem above is in  $Q_X$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subspace of  $A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X$  generated by  $(uv) \otimes f - u \otimes (vf)$  for  $u, v \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$ . Then an element  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  if and only if  $\phi = 0$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $I: A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X/\mathcal{S} \rightarrow Q_X$  be defined by

$$I\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i \otimes f_i + \mathcal{S}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i,$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i \otimes f_i \in A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X$ . Then it is clear that  $I$  is well defined and  $\|I\| \leq 1$ . Also, that  $(A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X/\mathcal{S})^* = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*) = Q_X^*$  implies that  $I^*: Q_X^* \rightarrow (A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X/\mathcal{S})^*$  is one-to-one and onto. So  $I$  is surjective (see Rudin [12], Theorem 4.15). This proves the first part of the theorem.

For  $f \in Q_X$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in X$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_X < \infty$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$ . Let  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i \otimes f_i + \mathcal{S}$  be in  $A_p(G) \hat{\otimes} X/\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\phi(\eta) = \phi(f)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , we have  $\|\eta\| \leq 1$ . Thus, there exists  $v_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $h_i \in X$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|v_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|h_i\|_X < 1 + \epsilon$  and  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^\infty v_i \otimes h_i + \mathcal{S}$  by the definition of the quotient norm. Thus,  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty v_i h_i$  on  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . This proves the second part of the theorem. ■

**Proposition 2.4** If  $F \in X^*$ , then  $F$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  by  $F(u) = uF$  for  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $\|F\|_M \leq \|F\|_X$ .

**Proof** Since  $X^*$  is a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module,  $F \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  is well defined and  $|\langle F(u), f \rangle| = |\langle F, uf \rangle| \leq \|F\|_X \|uf\|_X \leq \|F\|_X \|u\|_{A_p(G)} \|f\|_X$  for all  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$ . Thus,  $\|F\|_M \leq \|F\|_X$ . ■

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module and  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$ . Then  $B_p(G)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  with  $\|b\|_M \leq \|b\|_{B_p(G)}$  for  $b \in B_p(G)$ .

**Proof** Let  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $b \in B_p(G)$ , then  $bu \in A_p(G) \subseteq PM_p(G)^*$ . So  $bu \in X^*$ . Since  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$ , we have  $\|bu\|_{PF_p(G)} = \|bu\|_X \leq \|b\|_{PF_p(G)} \|u\|_{A_p(G)}$ . Hence  $\|b\|_M \leq \|b\|_{B_p(G)}$ . ■

We shall investigate the relationship between  $Q_X$  and  $X$ . In Proposition 2.4, we have  $X^* \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  with  $\|\cdot\|_M \leq \|\cdot\|_X$ . Let  $R: (Q_X)^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  be the restriction map. Then  $\|R\| \leq 1$ . If  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $f \in X$ , then it is routine to check that  $R(uf) = uf \in X$ . So  $R(\eta) \in X$  for every  $\eta \in Q_X$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the restriction map  $R: Q_X \rightarrow X$  is onto;
- (ii) the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $X^*$ .

**Proof** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $R$  be onto. Then  $X^*$  is  $\|\cdot\|_M$ -closed in  $(Q_X)^*$  (see Rudin [12], page 103). So the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $X^*$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $X^*$ ,  $R^*$  is one-to-one. That the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $X^*$  implies that  $X^*$  is closed in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . Thus,  $R$  is onto (see Rudin [12] page 103). ■

**Corollary 2.7** Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module of  $PM_p(G)$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ . If  $A_p(G)X$  is closed in  $X$ , then the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ . In particular, if  $p = 2$ ,  $A(G)X$  is closed in  $X$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

**Proof** If  $A_p(G)X$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)X}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $(A_p(G)X)^*$  by Theorem 2.6 if  $X$  is replaced by  $A_p(G)X$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)X}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$  by the condition. If  $G$  is amenable, then  $A_p(G)X$  is closed by the Cohen factorization theorem. If  $p = 2$  and  $A(G)X$  is closed, then that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A(G)$  implies that  $G$  is amenable (see Losert [10] and Remark 1 below). ■

**Remarks** 1. It is proved in Losert [10] that  $\|\cdot\|_{A(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{MA_2(G)}$  are equivalent on  $A(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable. Let  $X$  satisfy the condition in Corollary 2.7. Since  $MA_2(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A(G), X^*)$  and the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{MA_2(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent

on  $A(G)$ , we have that  $\|\cdot\|_{A(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

We do not know whether this result is true for  $p \neq 2$ . So if  $A(G)VN(G)$  is closed, then  $G$  is amenable. This result due to Lau and Losert [9].

2. If  $p = 2$ , let  $C_\delta^*(G)$  denote the  $C^*$  algebra generated by the point measures  $\delta_x$ ,  $x \in G$ , and  $C_\rho^*(G) = PF_p(G)$ . Then both  $C_\delta^*(G)$  and  $C_\rho^*(G)$  are Banach  $A(G)$ -modules and satisfy the condition in Corollary 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then*

- (i) *if  $A_p(G)PM_p(G)$  is norm closed, then  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ ;*
- (ii)  *$A(G)C_\delta^*(G)$  is norm closed if and only if  $G$  is amenable;*
- (iii)  *$A(G)C_\rho^*(G)$  is norm closed if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

**Proof** (i) follows immediately from Corollary 2.7.

(ii) and (iii) are direct consequences of Corollary 2.7 and Losert’s theorem mentioned above (see [10] and Remark 1 above). ■

**Remark** In Xu [14], there is a gap in the proof of the theorem that  $A_p(G)PM_p(G)$  is norm closed if and only if  $G$  is amenable for discrete groups. In fact, the inclusion  $Q_p \subseteq PF_p(G)$  in the proof (see Xu [14], page 3427) is ambiguous since  $Q_p \subseteq MA_p(G)^*$  while  $PF_p(G) \subseteq B_p(G)^*$ . It may happen that an element  $f \in Q_p$  is nonzero on  $MA_p(G)$ , but its restriction  $f$  on  $B_p(G)$  is zero. This is related to the approximation property, *i.e.*, whether  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$  (see Section 3 for  $MA_p(G)$ ). We will discuss this in Proposition 3.7

**Theorem 2.9** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then*

- (i) *if the restriction map  $R: Q_X \rightarrow X$  is one-to-one, then  $X^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , and if  $R$  is onto then  $X^*$  is  $w^*$ -closed in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ .*
- (ii) *if  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$ , then  $R$  is one-to-one and onto if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

**Proof** (i) follows directly from the Corollary of Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.14 in Rudin [12].

(ii) If  $R$  is a bijection, then  $X^* = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ . Since  $1 \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ ,  $1$  is also in  $X^*$ . Since  $PF_p(G) \subseteq X$ , we have  $1$  is in  $PF_p(G)^*$  as well. Thus,  $1 \in B_p(G)$  implies that  $G$  is amenable.

Conversely, let  $G$  be amenable. Then  $A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity  $\{u_\alpha\}$ . For every  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  and  $u \in A_p(G)$ , we have  $\phi(uu_\alpha) = u_\alpha\phi(u)$  converges to  $\phi(u)$  in the norm topology in  $X^*$  since  $\|uu_\alpha - u\|_{A_p(G)} \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, since  $\phi(u_\alpha)$  is bounded in  $X^*$ , let  $F$  be its  $w^*$ -limit. So  $F \in X^*$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $F \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$  and  $F(u) = uF = \lim u\phi(u_\alpha) = \lim u_\alpha\phi(u) = \phi(u)$  in the  $w^*$  topology in  $X^*$ . Thus,  $\phi = F$  is in  $X^*$ . Hence  $X^* = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), X^*)$ , which implies that  $R$  is one-to-one (see Rudin [12], page 99). Also, it is easy to see that  $T^*$  is one-to-one. Thus,  $R$  is onto by Theorem 4.15 in Rudin [12]. ■

### 3 The Case of $X = PF_p(G)$ and $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$

In this section, we will consider the multiplier spaces for the cases of  $X = PF_p(G)$  and  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G))$  be the multiplier algebra of  $A_p(G)$  equipped with the multiplier norm, i.e., the algebra of all bounded linear operators  $\phi$  from  $A_p(G)$  to  $A_p(G)$  with the property  $\phi(uv) = u\phi(v)$  equipped with the operator norm. At first we show that the multipliers defined in three different ways are the same.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then*

$$MA_p(G) = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G)) = \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), PF_p(G)^*).$$

**Proof** Let  $I: MA_p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A_p(G))$  be defined by  $I(u)(v) = uv$  for all  $u \in MA_p(G)$  and  $v \in A_p(G)$ . Then it follows from definition that  $I$  is an isometry. So  $MA_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_p(G))$ . Since  $A_p(G)$  is a subalgebra of  $PF_p(G)^*$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), PF_p(G)^*)$ . Next we show that for every  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), PF_p(G)^*)$ , there exists an  $u \in MA_p(G)$  such that  $\phi = I(u)$ , that is,  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), PF_p(G)^*) \subseteq MA_p(G)$ .

We will define a function  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $G$  as follows. For  $x \in G$ , there is an element  $u \in A_p(G)$  with compact support and  $u(x) = 1$ . Define  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(u)(x)$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(x)$  is independent of  $u$ . In fact, let  $v \in A_p(G)$  be with compact support and  $v(x) = 1$ . Then there is an  $w \in A_p(G)$  such that  $w = 1$  on the supports of  $u$  and  $v$ . So  $\phi(u)(x) = \phi(uw)(x) = u(x)\phi(w)(x) = \phi(w)(x)$ . Similarly,  $\phi(v)(x) = \phi(w)(x)$ . Thus,  $\phi(u)(x) = \phi(v)(x)$ . Let  $x_0 \in G$ . There exists a open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  with compact closure. So there exists  $u \in A_p(G)$  with compact support such that  $u = 1$  on  $U$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(u)(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Since  $\phi(u) \in A_p(G)$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Let  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $x \in G$ . There is an  $v \in A_p(G)$  with compact support such that  $v(x) = 1$ . Then  $\phi(u)(x) = v(x)\phi(u)(x) = \phi(vu)(x) = u(x)\phi(v)(x) = u(x)\tilde{\phi}(x)$ . Thus,  $\phi(u) = \tilde{\phi}u$  in  $A_p(G)$ . By definition,  $\tilde{\phi} \in MA_p(G)$ . Therefore,  $\phi = I(\tilde{\phi})$ . ■

Let  $f \in L^1(G)$ . Define a linear functional on  $MA_p(G)$  by

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int f(x)\phi(x) dx \quad \text{for } \phi \in MA_p(G).$$

Then  $|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \leq \|f\|_1 \|\phi\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \|\phi\|_M$  for every  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$ . So  $f$  is in  $MA_p(G)^*$  and its norm, denoted by  $\|f\|_M$ , is less than or equal to  $\|f\|_1$ . Define

$$Q = \text{the completion of } L^1(G) \text{ with respect to the norm } \|\cdot\|_M.$$

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $Q_{PF_p(G)} = Q$  and so  $MA_p(G) = Q^*$ .*

**Proof** Let  $f \in L^1(G)$  be with compact support. Then there exists  $u \in A_p(G)$  such that  $u = 1$  on the support of  $f$ . So  $f = uf$  is in  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  and  $\langle uf, \phi \rangle = \int_G f(x)\phi(x) dx$  for every  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$ . Thus, there is an isometry between the dense subspace of  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  and a dense subspace of  $(L^1(G), \|\cdot\|_M)$ . Therefore  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  is the completion of  $L^1(G)$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_M$  norm. ■

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group.*

- (i) *Let  $f \in MA_p(G)^*$ . Then  $f \in Q$  if and only if there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in PF_p(G)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_{PF_p(G)} < \infty$  such that*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i \text{ and } \|f\|_M = \inf \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_{PF_p(G)},$$

*where the infimum is taken over all the representations of  $f$  above.*

- (ii)  *$G$  is amenable if and only if for any  $f \in PF_p(G)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $u_i \in A_p(G)$  and  $f_i \in PF_p(G)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A_p(G)} \|f_i\|_{PF_p(G)} < \|f\| + \epsilon$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$  on  $B_p(G)$ .*

**Proof** (i) follows immediately from Theorem 2.3. The condition of (ii) is equivalent to that  $PF_p(G) = Q$  by (i), i.e.,  $B_p(G) = MA_p(G)$ , which is equivalent to that  $G$  is amenable since  $1 \in B_p(G)$ .

**Remarks** (1)  $Q_{PF_p(G)}$  may be considered as an analogue of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$ . But, in general, it is not an algebra under convolution even for  $p = 2$  (see Cowling and Haagerup [1] page 512).

(2) This result is proved in De Cannière and Haagerup [2] for  $p = 2$  and in Xu [14] for discrete groups.

As is well known,  $A_p(G)$  is always  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_p(G)$  (i.e., in  $\sigma(B_p(G), PF_p(G))$ -topology), and  $A(G)$  is dense in  $B(G)$ , the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, in the  $w^*$ -topology if and only if  $G$  is amenable. It is natural to consider whether  $A_p(G)$  is dense in  $MA_p(G)$  with respect the  $w^*$ -topology. To this end, let

$$\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G) = \text{the } w^*\text{-closure of } A_p(G) \text{ in } MA_p(G).$$

A locally compact group  $G$  is said to have the approximation property if there is a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of functions in  $A_p(G)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  in the associated  $w^*$ -topology in  $MA_p(G)$ . We will see that these two concepts are the same in the following.

**Proposition 3.4** *For every locally compact group  $G$ , then  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$  if and only if  $G$  has the approximation property.*

**Proof** If  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ , then  $1 \in \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$  since  $1 \in MA_p(G)$ . So  $G$  has the approximation property.

Conversely, suppose there is a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of functions in  $A_p(G)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. For every  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$ , it is easy to see that  $\phi f \in Q$  for all  $f \in Q$  by the density of  $L^1(G)$  in  $Q$ . So for every  $f \in Q$ , we have  $\langle u_\alpha \phi, f \rangle = \langle u_\alpha, \phi f \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1, \phi f \rangle = \langle \phi, f \rangle$ . Hence the net  $\{\phi u_\alpha\}$  of functions in  $A_p(G)$  converges to  $\phi$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. Therefore,  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ . ■

**Proposition 3.5** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$  is an ideal of  $MA_p(G)$  and is the dual of  $Q^L$ , where  $Q^L$  is the Banach space of the restrictions of elements in  $Q$  to  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$ . Furthermore,  $Q^L$  can be identified with the completion of  $L^1(G)$  with the norm, for  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,

$$\|f\|_L = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_G f(x)\phi(x) dx \right| : \phi \in \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G) \text{ with } \|\phi\|_M \leq 1 \right\}.$$

**Proof** Let  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$  and  $\psi \in \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$ . Then there is a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of functions in  $A_p(G)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow \psi$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. The similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 shows that  $u_\alpha\phi \rightarrow \psi\phi$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. Since each  $u_\alpha\phi$  is in  $A_p(G)$ , we have that  $\psi\phi \in \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$  and  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$  is an ideal of  $MA_p(G)$ .

It is obvious that the identity map  $I: \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G) \rightarrow (Q^L)^*$  is an isometry. Let  $\phi \in (Q^L)^*$  be with norm 1. Since  $Q^L$  is a subspace of  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)^*$ , we extend  $\phi$  to  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)^*$  with the same norm. By the Goldstine's theorem, there is a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  in the unit ball of  $\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow \phi$  in  $\sigma(\overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)^{**}, \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)^*)$  topology. Since  $Q \subseteq \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)^*$ , we have  $\langle u_\alpha, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi, f \rangle$  for every  $f \in Q$ . Hence  $\phi \in \overline{A_p}^{w^*}(G)$ . Therefore,  $I$  is a surjective isometry. The proof of the last statement is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. ■

**Definition** A locally compact group  $G$  is said to be  $p$ -weakly amenable if there exists a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  in  $A_p(G)$  such that  $\{\|u_\alpha\|_M\}$  is bounded and

$$\|u_\alpha a - a\|_{A_p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } a \in A_p(G).$$

**Remark** If  $G$  is an amenable locally compact group, then  $A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity. So  $G$  is necessarily  $p$ -weakly amenable. Conversely, as shown in Furuta [4], for the noncommutative free group  $F_r$  with  $r$  generators, there exists a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  in  $A_p(F_r)$  such that it is bounded in the multiplier norm and  $\|u_\alpha a - a\|_{A_p} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $a \in A_p(F_r)$ . Hence,  $F_r$  is  $p$ -weakly amenable, but not amenable.

**Proposition 3.6** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group.

- (i) If  $G$  is  $p$ -weakly amenable, then  $A_p(G)$  is dense in  $MA_p(G)$  in the  $weak^*$  topology;
- (ii) If  $\beta$  is a positive number and  $\{u \in A_p(G) : \|u\|_M \leq \beta\}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in the unit ball of  $MA_p(G)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -weakly amenable.

**Proof** (i) Let  $u_\alpha$  be a  $\|\cdot\|_M$ -bounded net in  $A_p(G)$  such that  $\|u_\alpha a - a\|_{A_p} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $a \in A_p(G)$ . For every  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$ , then  $u_\alpha\phi$  is a  $\|\cdot\|_M$ -bounded net in  $A_p(G)$  as well. We assume, without loss of generality,  $u_\alpha\phi$  converges in the  $weak^*$  topology by taking subnet if necessary. It is obvious that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  pointwisely. So  $u_\alpha\phi \rightarrow \phi$  pointwisely. Hence  $u_\alpha\phi \rightarrow \phi$  in the  $weak^*$ -topology.

(ii) Since  $\{u \in A_p(G) : \|u\|_M \leq \beta\}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in the unit ball of  $MA_p(G)$  and 1 is in the unit ball, there exists a net  $u_\alpha$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  in the  $weak^*$  topology and  $\|u_\alpha\|_M \leq \beta$  for all  $\alpha$ . Choose a continuous function  $h$  on  $G$  such that  $h(x) \geq 0$  for

all  $x \in G$ ,  $\int_G h(x) dx = 1$  and the support of  $h$  is compact. Define  $u'_\alpha = h * u_\alpha$ . Then  $\|u'_\alpha\|_M \leq \|h\|_1 \|u_\alpha\|_M \leq \beta$  for all  $\alpha$ . We will show that  $\|uu'_\alpha - u\|_{A_p(G)} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $u \in A_p(G)$ . By the boundedness of the net, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $u$  has a compact support. Let  $S = \text{supp}(h)^{-1} \text{supp}(u)$ . Then for  $x \in \text{supp}(u)$  we have

$$u'_\alpha(x) = \int_G h(t)u_\alpha(t^{-1}x) dt = \int_G h(t)(1_S u_\alpha)(t^{-1}x) dt.$$

So  $uu'_\alpha = u(h * (1_S u_\alpha))$ . Similarly,  $u = u(h * 1_S)$ . Next, we show that  $1_S u_\alpha \rightarrow 1_S$  in  $L^q(G)$ . Let  $a \in A_p(G)$  such that  $a = 1$  on  $S$ . For every  $f \in PF_p(G)$ , we have

$$\langle au_\alpha, f \rangle = \langle u_\alpha, af \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1, af \rangle = \langle a, f \rangle$$

since  $uf \in Q$ . Thus  $au_\alpha \rightarrow a$  in the  $\sigma(B_p(G), PF_p(G))$ -topology. So  $au_\alpha \rightarrow a$  in measure with respect to the left Haar measure. Also, that  $au_\alpha$  is bounded in  $A_p(G)$ -norm implies that  $au_\alpha$  is bounded in  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm. So  $1_S u_\alpha \rightarrow 1_S$  in  $L^q(G)$ . Therefore,  $uu'_\alpha$  converges to  $u$  in the  $A_p(G)$ -norm. ■

**Remark** There are examples of locally compact groups such that they are not  $p$ -weakly amenable, but  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$  for  $p = 2$  (see Haagerup and Kraus [7], page 670).

**Proposition 3.7** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then*

- (i) *the restriction map  $R: Q \rightarrow PF_p(G)$  is one-to-one if and only if  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ ;*
- (ii) *the restriction map  $R: Q \rightarrow PF_p(G)$  is onto if and only if the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ , then the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

**Proof** (i) If  $R$  is one-to-one, it follows from Theorem 2.9 that  $PF_p(G)^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ . Since  $A_p(G)$  and  $PF_p(G)^*$  have the same  $w^*$  closure in  $MA_p(G)$  (see the proof of Proposition 3.5),  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ . Conversely, if  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ , let  $R(f) = 0$  for some  $f \in Q$ . Then  $\langle u, f \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \in A_p(G)$ . So  $\langle \phi, f \rangle = 0$  for all  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$  by the density of  $A_p(G)$ . Thus,  $f = 0$ . So  $R$  is one-to-one.

(ii) If  $R$  is onto, then  $PF_p(G)^*$  is norm-closed in  $MA_p(G)$  by Theorem 4.15 in Rudin [12]. So the multiplier norm and the  $A_p(G)$ -norm are equivalent. Conversely, let the multiplier norm and the  $A_p(G)$ -norm be equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ . We will show that the  $B_p(G)$ -norm and the multiplier norm are equivalent on  $B_p(G)$ . In fact, the inclusion map  $i: A_p(G) \rightarrow MA_p(G)$  is bounded and  $A_p(G)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_M$ -closed in  $MA_p(G)$ . By Theorem 4.14 in Rudin [12],  $i^*(MA_p(G)^*)$  is  $w^*$ -closed in  $A_p(G)^*$ . By Theorem 4.14 in Rudin [12] again,  $i^{**}(A_p(G)^{**})$  is norm-closed in  $MA_p(G)^{**}$ . Since  $B_p(G)$  is a norm-closed subspace of  $A_p(G)^{**}$ , the norm on  $B_p(G)$  and the multiplier norm are equivalent. Thus,  $B_p(G)$  is norm-closed in  $MA_p(G)$ . It is clear that  $R^*$  is one-to-one. Therefore,  $R$  is onto by Theorem 4.15 in Rudin [12].

(iii) If  $G$  is amenable, then  $MA_p(G) = \overline{B_p(G)}$  and the multiplier norm and the  $A_p(G)$ -norm are equal. Conversely, let the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_M$  are equivalent on  $A_p(G)$ . If  $A_p(G)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ , then by (ii) and (iii), the restriction map is one-to-one and onto. So  $Q$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $PF_p(G)$ . Thus,  $1 \in MA_p(G) = \overline{B_p(G)}$ . Hence  $G$  is amenable. ■

**Remark** We do not have an example of locally compact group for which  $A_p(G)$  is not  $w^*$ -dense in  $MA_p(G)$ .

If  $x \in G$ , then the point measure  $\delta_x \in PM_p(G)$ . So  $\ell^1(G) \subseteq PM_p(G)$ . We denote the norm closure of  $\ell^1(G)$  in  $PM_p(G)$  by  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$ . Then  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$  is a Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module of  $PM_p(G)$ . Also, every bounded linear functional on  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$  is in  $\ell^\infty(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.8**  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$  consists of functions  $\phi$  in  $\ell^\infty(G)$  such that the pointwise multiplication  $\phi a$  defines a bounded operator from  $A_p(G)$  to  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}^*$ . The predual  $Q_{\overline{\ell^1(G)}}$  as in Theorem 2.2 is equal to the completion of  $\ell^1(G)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum f(x)\phi(x) : \phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*) \text{ with } \|\phi\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore,  $MA_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$ , and the inclusion map from  $MA_p(G)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$  is norm decreasing.

**Proof** If  $\phi$  is in  $\ell^\infty(G)$  such that the pointwise multiplication  $\phi a$  defines a bounded linear operator from  $A_p(G)$  to  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}^*$ , then  $\phi$  is also a multiplier. So it is in  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$ . Conversely, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$ , we define  $\tilde{\phi}$  as follows. For  $x \in G$ , take an  $u \in A_p(G)$  such that  $u(x) = 1$  and  $\text{supp}(u)$  is compact. Define  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(u)(x)$ . Then it is well defined since if there is an  $v \in A_p(G)$  with compact support such that  $v(x) = 1$ . Let  $a_K \in A_p(G)$  satisfy that  $a_K = 1$  on the support of  $u$  and of  $v$ . The  $\phi(u - v)(x) = \phi((u - v)a_K)(x) = (u - v)(x)\phi(a_K)(x) = 0$ . If  $u \in A_p(G)$  and  $x \in G$ , let  $v \in A_p(G)$  be with compact support and  $v(x) = 1$ . Then

$$\phi(u)(x) = v(x)\phi(u)(x) = \phi(vu)(x) = u(x)\phi(v)(x) = u(x)\tilde{\phi}(x).$$

Thus,  $\phi = \tilde{\phi}$  as an element of  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$ .

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$  is the dual of  $Q_{\overline{\ell^1(G)}}$ . If  $f \in \ell^1(G)$  with finite support, then  $\langle \phi, f \rangle = \langle \phi, af \rangle = \langle a\phi, f \rangle = \sum \phi(x)f(x)$ , where  $a \in A_p(G)$  with  $a = 1$  on the support of  $f$ . By the density of the set of finite support elements in  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$ ,  $Q_{\overline{\ell^1(G)}}$  is equal to the completion of  $\ell^1(G)$  with respect to the multiplier norm.

Since  $A_p(G)$  is a subspace of  $PM_p(G)^*$  and  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$  is a subspace of  $PM_p(G)$ , we have  $\phi a \in \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*$  and  $\|\phi a\|_{\overline{\ell^1(G)}^*} \leq \|\phi a\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \|\phi\|_M \|a\|_{A_p(G)}$  for all  $\phi \in MA_p(G)$  and  $a \in A_p(G)$ . Hence the last statement of the theorem is true. ■

**Remark** When  $p = 2$ , then the norms of an element of  $A_p(G)$  on  $PF_p(G)$  and on  $\overline{\ell^1(G)}$  are equal (see Eymard [3]). Hence the inclusion map from  $A_p(G)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(A_p(G), \overline{\ell^1(G)}^*)$  is an isometry.

## References

- [1] M. Cowling and U. Haagerup, *Completely bounded Multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one*. Invent. Math. **96**(1989), 507–549.
- [2] J. De Cannière and U. Haagerup, *Multipliers of the Fourier algebra of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups*. Amer. J. Math. **107**(1984), 455–500.
- [3] P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **92**(1964), 181–236.
- [4] K. Furuta, *Algebras  $A_p$  and  $B_p$  and the amenability of locally compact groups*. Hokkaido Math. J. **20**(1991), 579–591.
- [5] E. E. Granirer, *An application of the Radon Nikodym property in harmonic analysis*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5) **18**(1981), 663–671.
- [6] E. E. Granirer and Leinert, *On some topologies which coincide on the unit sphere of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$  and of the measure algebra  $M(G)$* . Rocky Mountain J. Math. **11**(1981), 459–472.
- [7] U. Haagerup and J. Kraus, *Approximation properties for group  $C^*$ -algebras and group von Neumann algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **344**(1994), 667–699.
- [8] C. Herz, *Harmonic synthesis for subgroups*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble (3) **23**(1973), 91–123.
- [9] A. T. Lau and V. Losert, *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group*. J. Funct. Anal. **112**(1993), 1–30.
- [10] V. Losert, *Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92**(1984), 347–354.
- [11] J. P. Pier, *Amenable locally compact groups*. Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [12] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis (Second Edition)*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [13] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces for analysts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 1991.
- [14] G. Xu, *Amenability and Uniformly continuous Functionals on the Algebras  $A_p(G)$  for Discrete Groups*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (11) **123**(1995), 3425–3429.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Lakehead University  
Thunder Bay, Ontario  
P7E 5E1  
e-mail: tmiao@mail.lakeheadu.ca