https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100016336 Published online by Cambridge University Press

WORLD POLITICS

Vol. XXXII · April 1980 · No. 3

CONTENTS

International Regimes: Problems of Concept Formation	Oran R. Young	331
Why Collaborate? Issue-Linkage and International Regimes	Ernst B. Haas	357
Review Articles		
Clio on the Couch	H.N.Hirsch	406
Theories of Revolution: The Third Generation	Jack A. Goldstone	425
Multinational Corporations and Politics in Developing Countries	H. Jeffrey Leonard	454
The Contributors		ii
Abstracts		iii

THE CONTRIBUTORS

ORAN R. Young is Professor of Government and Politics at the University of Maryland. His most recent book is *Compliance and Public Authority*, A Theory with International Applications (1979). He is currently at work on studies dealing with social choice, compliance, and property rights.

ERNST B. Haas is Robson Research Professor of Government at the University of California, Berkeley. His latest book is *Scientists and World Order: The Uses of Technical Knowledge in International Organizations* (1977, with Mary Pat Williams and Don Babai). He has recently been working on the interaction between changing knowledge and changing social goals in influencing the character of international relations. His current project is a study of regional and global regime construction for the regulation of technology transfers to Latin America.

H. N. Hirsch is Assistant Professor of Government at Harvard. His biography of Felix Frankfurter will be published soon.

JACK A. GOLDSTONE is a graduate student in the Department of Sociology at Harvard University. His dissertation will be entitled "Quantitative Studies of Revolutions."

H. JEFFREY LEONARD is a Ph.D. candidate in Politics at Princeton University, and an associate with the Conservation Foundation in Washington, D.C. He is the co-author of Conservation and Development: Managing Growth at the State Level in the United States and West Germany (forthcoming, 1980), and is currently doing research on environmental issues and industrial development in rapidly industrializing countries.

The appearance of the code at the bottom of the first page of an article in this journal (serial) indicates the copyright owner's consent that copies of the article may be made for personal or internal use, or for the personal or internal use of specific clients. This consent is given on the condition, however, that the copier pay the stated per-copy fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., P. O. Box 765, Schenectady, N.Y. 12301, for copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale.

Erratum: World Politics, XXXII, No. 3 (April 1980) This page replaces the first page of Abstracts.

ABSTRACTS

International Regimes:
PROBLEMS OF CONCEPT FORMATION

By O. R. YOUNG

Although we live in a world of international regimes, the scholarly literature on them remains rudimentary, especially in analytic terms. This essay examines the proposition that all international regimes are social institutions, even though there is great variation among them. Among other things, this suggests that regimes are dependent upon the maintenance of convergent expectations among actors; formalization is not a necessary condition for the effective operation of regimes; and regimes are always created rather than discovered. A conceptual framework and a research agenda for the comparative study of international regimes, as laid out in this essay, would guide studies of specific regimes and improve our ability to reach general conclusions about this fundamental, yet poorly understood, international phenomenon.

WHY COLLABORATE?
ISSUE-LINKAGE AND INTERNATIONAL REGIMES

By E. B. HAAS

Why do nations create institutionalized modes of multilateral collaboration? How can common interests develop in the face of inequalities in power and asymmetries in interdependence? The author explores the role of knowledge in the definition of political objectives and interests. The systematic interplay of changing knowledge and changing objectives results in the redefinition of "issues" and the practice of "issue linkage." The dynamics of issue-linkage, in turn, tell us something about international regimes for the management of progressively more complex issue areas. An ideal-typical "regime" is described, theoretically applicable to all types of issues. Since the cognitive attributes of the actors who set up such a regime cannot be expected to remain stable, this concept of a "regime" can illuminate discussion and analysis, but cannot be expected to provide a clear model for desirable policy. However, it can illustrate the options open to policy makers wishing to choose a mode of collaboration. Regimes dealing with money, the oceans, and technology transfer are used for illustrative purposes.

CLIO ON THE COUCH

By H. N. HIRSCH

An analysis of six recent works of psychohistory. A scheme is presented for classifying such works. Four different types of psychohistory are discussed; this typology is offered as a means of understanding and controlling the methodological difficulties inherent in the genre.

THEORIES OF REVOLUTIONS: THE THIRD GENERATION

By J. A. GOLDSTONE

The work of Ted Robert Gurr, Chalmers Johnson, Neil Smelser, Samuel P. Huntington, and Charles Tilly has dominated the recent study of revolutions. However, Jeffrey Paige, Ellen Kay Trimberger, S. N. Eisenstadt, and Theda Skocpol have lately produced theories of revolution that are far better grounded historically than those in earlier works. Five major points were neglected by earlier theorists: (1) the variable goals and structures of states; (2) the systematic intrusion of international pressures on the domestic political and economic organization of societies; (3) the structure of peasant communities; (4) the coherence or weakness of the armed forces; and (5) the variables affecting elite behavior. Starting from these points, Paige, Trimberger, Eisenstadt, and Skocpol have produced analyses of the causes and outcomes of a variety of revolutions. Yet significant challenges to the theory of revolutions—such as extending the range of cases analyzed, clarifying the grounds of peasant behavior, and tying theoretical analysis to demographic data—still remain.

MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND POLITICS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

By H. J. LEONARD

Recently, much attention has been focused on the influence that multinational corporations (MNCs) have on the politics of developing countries. Even setting aside past legacies of sinister corporate conduct, most students of politics agree that MNCs are potentially important actors—for better or for worse—in a country's political development because they can influence the rate, direction, and beneficiaries of change. Most case studies begin with the assumption that the political impact resulting from the presence of MNCs in developing countries is primarily a function of the international economic system. However, all but one of the books under review focus on the domestic political system in individual host countries as the independent variable rather than on the international economic system. Taken together, these studies make a strong case that the analysis of the MNC and its impact on politics in developing countries must place heavy emphasis on the particular political circumstances prevailing in each country. The wants, needs, and motivations of key domestic political actors—many of whom do not behave in the manner predicted by either Liberal or Marxist world modelsappear to be of fundamental importance in determining how MNCs affect a developing country's politics, and whether that country can counter any potentially adverse political impacts that may accompany MNCs.