Comment

The relatively low response rate (25 per cent) and the skewed distribution of responses, with the predominance of teaching hospital trainees, casts doubt as to how representative the respondents are of trainees in the Southern Division. In addition, the majority of the respondents were interested in and engaged in research. Whilst reassuring in one way, it tells us nothing about the majority of trainees, who did not reply. One can only speculate, but the respondents may be a self-selected group interested in research, and therefore motivated to reply to the questionnaire in order to assist the 'research' of others.

Previous articles^{1,2} have drawn attention to the importance of adequate constructive help for trainees new to research. There is a suggestion that this study supports this view with the majority of junior trainees reporting inadequate supervision and almost a quarter unable to arrange it. It was also of note that junior trainees were perhaps less able to determine the availability and adequacy of research facilities, as they rated these as less satisfactory than did senior trainees. This may also reflect the relative inadequacy of supervision and guidance for their stage of development.

Although most trainees were based in teaching hospitals, there was, no evidence that placement in one conferred an advantage, as amongst junior trainees there was no great difference between the proportions involved in research.

In summary, the finding that the majority of the trainees who replied were involved in research is superficially reassuring but leads to questions about the poor response rate and whether this is related to lack of interest or involvement in research by the majority of trainees in the Division. The perceived lack of 'adequate' supervision by junior trainees may reflect a situation in which the supervision offered is inappropriate for the needs of the trainees.

J. A. HOLLYMAN M. T. ABOU-SALEH

St George's Hospital Medical School London SW17

REFERENCES

SZMUKLER, G. (1981) Tinker, trainer, savoir-faire: The trainee and research. Bulletin of the Royal College of Psychiatrists, 5, 47-48

2GOLDBERG, D. (1981) Encouraging psychiatric trainees to undertake research. Bulletin of the Royal College of Psychiatrists, 5, 87-88.

The validity of the Membership Examination

DEAR SIRS

Following the recent results of the Membership Examination, I hope that the newly elected members of the Collegiate Trainees' Committee will look first at this method of testing those they represent.

The point is not that it was a fundamental error to model the examination on the MRCP, which has so often seemed to reward robust self-confidence (justified or otherwise), familiarity with the obscure and simple luck. The point is not that most postgraduate exams confuse a high rate of failure with a

high rate of discrimination, although many will doubt the reliability of a test which had previously failed this year's Laughlin Prize winner and which has now failed the candidate who has just won the Gaskell Medal. Nor is it that the use of videotaping should have before now led to the abandonment of the anachronistic reliance on live (and therefore varied and unpredictable) interviews. And it is not that suitability for higher clinical training cannot be assessed in circumstances as removed from normal clinical practice.

The point is simply that all these things are apparent to the most naive observer of human behaviour and should have been clear to psychiatrists long ago.

LOUIS APPLEBY

Maudsley Hospital
Denmark Hill, London SE5

DEAR SIRS

In the course of a teaching session during a Journal Club Meeting with trainees, I had a very interesting and controversial argument about the need for taking an ECG as a requirement for investigations for lithium therapy and the frequency of monitoring of serum lithium levels for a stabilized patient on lithium therapy.

The trainees gave different answers, quoting from different authoritative textbooks. Now, as the multiple choice questions require specific answers and the marking system takes account of these specific answers only, it is crucial for the trainee to answer correctly if he or she is to obtain positive marks. As we all know, the state of knowledge in the field of psychiatry is still in flux and there are as many possible answers as there are questions, so the validity of the multiple choice question becomes questionable and consequently that of the examination. Naturally, the loser in such a situation is the trainee who is taking such an examination.

Perhaps the Examining Body of the College ought to review seriously the 'multiple choice question' type of examination and make appropriate amendments in the written part of the examinations.

T. HARI SINGH

Hensol Hospital, Pontyclun, Mid-Glamorgan

Deinstitutionalization in the USA

DEAR SIRS

Dr Fagin is surely right to draw our attention to the lessons that we in Britain may learn from the experience of the deinstitutionalization movement (bandwagon?) in the United States (Bulletin, June 1985, 9, 112–114). It should not be forgotten that in England and Wales at least there has been a very substantial run-down in the in-patient psychiatric population, albeit less precipitate than that which has occurred in America.

Several additional points emerge from the American literature that may be useful as we contemplate the large scale closure of mental hospitals and their replacement by networks of 'community-based' services. Firstly, mental hospitals serve the needs of the most severely handicapped patients in ways that the out-patient care available in Community Mental Health Centres cannot. In addition to specific psychiatric treatment, mental hospital residents received housing, food, money, general medical care and work and social activities. People with chronic impairments will require active long-term support and advocacy aimed at meeting these needs if they are to avoid living an isolated and marginal existence similar to that in the back wards of the worst hospitals.

Secondly, when deinstitutionalization is pushed to its limit by eliminating mental hospital backup, a small proportion of patients remain who cannot adequately be catered for by the full range of acute psychiatric treatments and community alternatives to hospitalization. These patients tend to accumulate inappropriately in the district general hospital in-patient unit. They include some elderly demented patients with severe behavioural disturbance; the mentally handicapped mentally ill; those with brain damage and assaultative behaviour: and a small number of psychotic patients who are either dangerous to themselves or others or behave in socially unacceptable ways. Specialized units should be set up for these patient groups.

Thirdly, economic pressures have prompted many American States to encourage the development of profit-making private facilities for the care of long-term patients at the expense of public psychiatric services. The quality of care provided in such facilities is extremely difficult to monitor, and their emergence adds to the fragmentation of an already complex system.³

Fourthly, the Community Mental Health Centre Movement, which was initially a central component in the process of deinstitutionalization, proved to be based on misguided premises about the nature of psychiatric disorder and over-optimistic assertions about the efficacy of treatment. CMHCs have proved extremely vulnerable to changes in funding policy, and have by and large failed to provide for the most severely disturbed and disabled patients.⁴

Finally, although the American experience has thrown up a number of extremely innovative model programmes for the management of the long-term mentally ill within the community, the generalizability of the available research evidence is dubious. Model programmes have not provided blueprints for a national pattern of services, at least partly because of inadequate funding.

If we are to develop new patterns of psychiatric services in Britain, we must do so in the light of local conditions, evaluating the developments as we go along. This is of course far from an original insight.

FRANK HOLLOWAY

King's College Hospital London SE5

REFERENCES

¹BORUS, J. F. (1981) Deinstitutionalization of the chronically mentally ill. New England Journal of Medicine, **305**, 339-342.

²GUDEMAN, J. E. & SHORE, M. F. (1984) Beyond deinstitutionalization: A new class of facilities for the mentally ill. New England Journal of Medicine, 311, 832-836.

³MOLLICA, R. F. (1983) From asylum to community: The threatened disintegration of public psychiatry. New England Journal of Medicine, 308, 367-373. BACKRACH, L. L. (1982) Assessment outlines in community support systems: Results, problems and limitations. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 8, 39-60.

5WING, J. K. (1972) Principles of evaluation. In Evaluating a Community Psychiatric Service (eds. J. K. Wing and A. M. Hailey). Oxford University Press.

Countertransference-apathy

DEAR SIRS

May I draw attention to the addition of a comma in my letter (Bulletin, May 1985, 9, 105-106) which seems to be a typographical error. Though the sentence still makes sense, perhaps more sense than I intended, no break was intended between 'countertransference' and 'apathy'. One of the major problems therapists face in relationships with the most damaged of personalities is, I submit, countertransference-apathy, if I may hyphenate.

GEOFF LAYTON

Stratford Road Day Centre Birmingham

On Bryon Gysin

DEAR SIRS

On the coffee table of a psychiatrist friend I saw the May 1985 issue of your *Bulletin*. Glancing over the contents listing I was amazed to see the name of Bryon Gysin. All the more so in that your Scribe's Column made no reference to Gysin (*Bulletin*, May 1985, 9, 101–102).

It seems that you expected your readers to be familiar with the name though, in my experience, Gysin is a little known figure and where he is known at all, it is among the cut-up conspirators. And I would not have expected to find the membership of the Royal College of Psychiatrists among that company.

Gysin has always been an interesting figure for me and I would like to know how your readers would know of him. Has your *Bulletin* had an article on him? Please solve the mystery.

Graham Dawes

15 Milton House Elmington Estate, London SE5

[This letter was shown to Ezra, who replied: 'I must congratulate Graham Dawes on recognizing that my verse was produced by the William Burroughs cut-up technique and not by the College computer, as many members have heretofore believed. The reason for the title was that the dream was produced using the methodology of Gysin rather than that of Freud. Until recently, as a follower of Adler, I have not dreamed at all.'—Eds.]

A Limerick

At a meeting of poets and shrinks I certainly had a few drinks On this auspicious day I really can't say Which of them had the most kinks.

Anon