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Two point sets $H$ and $K$ are said to be strongly separated if there exist two mutually exclusive domains $D_{H}$ and $D_{K}$ containing $H$ and $K$ respectively such that either $\bar{D}_{H}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$ are mutually exclusive or $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ is $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. R. L. Moore has shown [2, Theorem 153, Chapter I] that if $S$ is a normal Moore space and $H$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets then $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated. In this paper it is shown that if $S$ is a Moore space, (1) $H$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets and (2) the closure of the set of all boundary points of $H$ which do not belong to $\bar{K}$ is compact, then $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated. It is further shown that the above proposition does not remain true in every separable space satisfying Moore's Axioms 0-6 if condition (2) of its hypothesis is replaced by either (a) $H$ and $K$ are conditionally compact, there exists a domain containing $H$ whose closure does not intersect $\bar{K}$, and there exists a domain containing $K$ whose closure does not intersect $\bar{H}$ or (b) $H$ is a conditionally compact domain, $\bar{H}$ does not intersect $\bar{K}$, and $K$ is conditionally compact. It is also shown that if $S$ is connected, $M$ separates $H$ from $K$ in $S$ and the boundary of $M$ is compact then some closed subset of $M$ separates $H$ from $K$.

A Moore space is one satisfying Axiom 0 and the first three parts of Axiom 1 of [2]. It is assumed throughout that $S$ is a Moore space. The terms compact and conditionally compact are as in [3] and other definitions and notation are as in [2].

Theorem 1. Suppose $H$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets such that $\bar{H}$ is compact. Then $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated.

Proof. Suppose $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive. Then the conclusion follows from [2, Theorem 16, Chapter I].

Suppose $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are not mutually exclusive. Then $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is compact. With the aid of [2, Theorem 155, Chapter I] it can be shown that there exists a sequence $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}, \ldots$ of domains such that (1) for each $n, D_{n}$ contains $\bar{D}_{n+1}$, (2) $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is the common part of all of the domains of this sequence, (3) every domain that contains $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ contains some domain of this sequence,
(4) $S-\bar{D}_{1}$ contains a point of $H$ and a point of $K$, and (5) there exists a

[^0]sequence $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ of points such that for each $n, P_{n}$ is a point of $H \cdot\left(D_{n}-\bar{D}_{n+1}\right)$.

Let $H_{1}$ denote $\left(\bar{H}+D_{1}\right)-D_{1}$ and, for each positive integer $n$, let $H_{n+1}$ denote $\bar{H} \cdot\left(\bar{D}_{n}-D_{n+1}\right)$. For each $n, P_{n}$ belongs to $H_{n+1}, H_{n}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive and closed, $H_{n}$ is compact, and $H_{n+2}$ lies in $\bar{D}_{n+1}$. Therefore there exists a sequence $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, \ldots$ such that for each $n, E_{n}$ is a domain containing $H_{n}, \bar{E}_{n}$ does not intersect $\bar{K}$, and $\bar{E}_{n+2}$ is a subset of $D_{n}$. Let $E$ denote $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}+\sum \bar{E}_{n} . E$ contains no point of $K$.

Suppose there is a limit point $Q$ of $E$ that does not belong to $E$. There exists a sequence $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, \ldots$ of distinct points of $E$ converging to $Q$. Since for each positive integer $n, Q$ is not a limit point of $\bar{E}_{n}$ and since $Q$ is not a limit point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$, there exists an increasing sequence $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots$ of integers and a subsequence $Q_{1}{ }^{\prime}, Q_{2}{ }^{\prime}, Q_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots$ of the sequence $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, \ldots$ such that $n_{1}>2$ and for each $j, Q_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ is a point of $\bar{E}_{n_{j}} . D_{n_{1}-2}, D_{n_{2}-2}, D_{n_{3}-2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of domains such that $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is their common part, each of them contains the next one and for each $j, Q_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ is a point of $\left(D_{n_{j-2}}\right)-(\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K})$. It follows from [2, Theorem 151, Chapter I] that $Q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+Q_{2}{ }^{\prime}+Q_{3}{ }^{\prime}+\ldots$ has a limit point belonging to $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Since $Q$ is the only limit point of this point set, $Q$ belongs to $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. This involves a contradiction. Hence $E$ is closed. Therefore $S-E$ is a domain $D_{K}$ containing $K$.

There exists a sequence $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, \ldots$ such that for each $n, F_{n}$ is a domain containing $H_{n}$ and $\bar{F}_{n}$ is a subset of $E_{n}$. Let $D_{H}$ denote $\sum F_{n} . D_{H}$ contains $H$.

Suppose $D_{H}$ and $D_{K}$ have some point $P$ in common. For some positive integer $i, P$ is a point of $F_{i}$ and for each positive integer $n, P$ is a point of $S-\left(\bar{E}_{n}+\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}\right)$. Thus $P$ is not a point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ but $P$ belongs to $S-\bar{E}_{i}$. This involves a contradiction. Therefore $D_{H}$ and $D_{K}$ are two mutually exclusive domains containing $H$ and $K$ respectively.

Suppose $X$ is a point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$, then $X$ belongs neither to $H$ nor to $K$ but is a limit point of both of them. $D_{H}$ contains $H$ and $D_{K}$ contains $K$, thus $X$ is a limit point of both $D_{H}$ and $D_{K}$. Therefore $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ contains $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$.

Suppose some point $Y$ of $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ does not belong to $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Since $D_{H}$ and $D_{K}$ are mutually exclusive domains, $Y$ is a limit point of each of them but belongs to neither of them. Suppose $Y$ is a limit point of $F_{n}$ for some positive integer $n . F_{n}$ is a subset of $E_{n}$ and $E_{n}$ is a domain containing $Y$ but containing no point of $D_{K}$. This involves a contradiction, thus $Y$ is not a limit point of $F_{n}$ for any positive integer $n$. There exists an increasing sequence $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots$ of positive integers and a sequence $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \ldots$ of distinct points of $D_{H}$ converging to $Y$ such that $n_{1}>2$ and for each positive integer $j, Y_{j}$ is a point of $F_{n_{j}} . D_{n_{1}-2}, D_{n_{2}-2}, D_{n_{3}-2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of domains such that $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is their common part, each of them contains the next one and for each positive integer $j, Y_{j}$ is a point of $\left(D_{n_{j}-2}\right)-(\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K})$. It follows from [2, Theorem 151 , Chapter I] that the point set $Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}+\ldots$ has a limit point in $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Since $Y$ is the only limit point of this point set, $Y$ belongs to $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Therefore $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $H$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets such that if $M$ denotes the set of all boundary points of $H$ that do not belong to $\bar{K}, \bar{M}$ is compact. Then $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated.

Proof. There are two cases to be considered.
Case 1. Suppose $H$ is a subset of $M$. Since $\bar{M}$ is compact, $\bar{H}$ is compact, and the conclusion of this theorem follows from Theorem 1.

Case 2. Suppose $H$ is not a subset of $M$. Every boundary point of $H$ is a point of $M+\bar{K}$. Since no point of $H$ is a point of $\bar{K}$, there is a point of $H$ which is not a boundary point of $H$. Let $D$ denote the domain $(H+M)-M$. Since $M$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets and $\bar{M}$ is compact, it follows from Theorem 1 that there exist two mutually exclusive domains $D_{M}$ and $D_{K}{ }^{\prime}$ containing $M$ and $K$ respectively such that either $\bar{D}_{M}$ and $\overline{D_{K}}{ }^{\prime}$ are mutually exclusive or $\bar{D}_{M} \cdot \overline{D_{K}}{ }^{\prime}$ is $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{K}$.

Let $D_{K}$ denote $\left(D_{K}^{\prime}+\bar{H}\right)-\bar{H} . D_{M}$ and $D_{K}$ are two domains containing $M$ and $K$ respectively such that either $\bar{D}_{M}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$ are mutually exclusive or $\bar{D}_{M} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ is $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{K}$. Let $D_{H}$ denote the domain $D+D_{M}$.

Suppose $D_{H}$ contains some point $P$ of $D_{K}$. $P$ does not belong to $D$ since $D$ is a subset of $H$ and $D_{K}=D_{K^{\prime}}-\bar{H} \cdot D_{K^{\prime}} . P$ does not belong to $D_{M}$ since $D_{M}$ and $D_{K}{ }^{\prime}$ are mutually exclusive. Therefore, $D_{H}$ does not intersect $D_{K}$.

There are two subcases to be considered.
Case 2A. Suppose that $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive. $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive since $\bar{M}$ is a subset of $\bar{H}$. Thus $\bar{D}_{M}$ and $\overline{D_{K}^{\prime}}$ are mutually exclusive and therefore $\bar{D}_{M}$ does not intersect $\bar{D}_{K}$. Suppose some point $P$ belongs to $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$. Since $D$ and $D_{K}$ are mutually exclusive domains, $P$ is a boundary point of each of them. Since $D$ is a subset of $H$ and no point of $D_{K}$ is a point of $\bar{H}, P$ is a boundary point of $H$ and since $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive, $P$ is not a point of $\bar{K}$. Therefore $P$ is a point of $M$. But $M$ is a subset of $\bar{D}_{M}$. This involves a contradiction. Therefore $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$ are mutually exclusive and since $\bar{D}_{H}=\bar{D}+\bar{D}_{M}$, it follows that $\bar{D}_{H}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$ are mutually exclusive.

Case 2B. Suppose $\bar{H}$ intersects $\bar{K}$ and $P$ is a point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Since $D$ is $(H+M)-M, D_{M}$ contains $M$ and $D+M$ contains $H$, it follows that $\bar{D}_{H}$ contains $\bar{H}$ and therefore $P$ is in $\bar{D}_{H} . K$ is a subset of $D_{K}{ }^{\prime} \cdot(S-\bar{H})$, therefore $\bar{D}_{K}$ contains $\bar{K}$ and $P$ is in $\bar{D}_{K}$. Hence $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is a subset of $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$.

Suppose some point $Q$ of $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ does not belong to $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K} . \bar{D}_{H}$ is $\bar{D}+\bar{D}_{M}$. $\bar{D}_{K}$ is the closure of $\left(D_{K}{ }^{\prime}-\bar{H} \cdot D_{K}{ }^{\prime}\right) . \overline{D_{K}^{\prime}}$ contains $\bar{D}_{K}$ and $Q$ is in $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \overline{D_{K}{ }^{\prime}}$. Therefore $Q$ belongs to either $\bar{D} \cdot \overline{D_{K}{ }^{\prime}}$ or $\bar{D}_{M} \cdot \overline{D_{K}{ }^{\prime}}$. Suppose $Q$ is a point of $\bar{D} \cdot \overline{D_{K}}$. $\bar{H}$ contains $\bar{D}$, thus $Q$ belongs to $\bar{H} . D$ contains no point of $\bar{D}_{K}$, thus $Q$ is a limit point of $D$. If $Q$ is not a limit point of $K, Q$ is a point of $M$ and therefore $Q$ is in either $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ or $\bar{D}_{M}$. Suppose $Q$ is in $\bar{D}_{M} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$. Then $Q$ belongs to $\bar{D}_{M} \cdot \overline{D_{K}}$ and hence to $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{K} . \bar{M}$ is a subset of $\bar{H}$, therefore $Q$ is in $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$.
$\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ contains $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$ and is a subset of it, thus $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$. Therefore either $\bar{D}_{H}$ and $\bar{D}_{K}$ are mutually exclusive or $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is $\bar{D}_{H} \cdot \bar{D}_{K}$.
Theorem 3. If $H$ and $K$ are two mutually separated point sets and one of them has a compact boundary then $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated.
Proof. Suppose $H$ has a compact boundary $\beta$. Suppose $\beta$ is a subset of $\bar{K}$. Since $H$ and $K$ are mutually separated, $H$ is a domain. $S-\bar{H}$ is a domain $D$ containing $K$. $\bar{H}$ contains $\beta$ and since $\bar{D}$ contains $\bar{K}$, it contains $\beta$. Therefore $\beta$ is a subset of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ and $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{D}$. Each point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is in $\beta$, thus $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{D}$ contains $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$. Each point of $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{D}$ is in $\beta$, thus $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{K}$ is $\bar{H} \cdot \bar{D}$ and the domains $H$ and $D$ satisfy the conclusion of this theorem. Now suppose $\beta$ is not a subset of $\bar{K}$. Since $\beta$ is closed and compact, the closure of the set of all points of $\beta$ that do not belong to $\bar{K}$ is compact and it follows from Theorem 2 that $H$ and $K$ are strongly separated.

Theorem 4. If $S$ is connected, the point set $M$ separates the point set $H$ from the point set $K$ in $S$, and the boundary of $M$ is compact, then some closed subset of $M$ separates $H$ from $K$.

Proof. $S-M$ is the sum of two mutually separated point sets $U$ and $V$ containing $H$ and $K$ respectively. Since $S$ is connected, $U$ and $M+V$ are not mutually separated. Since $U$ and $V$ are mutually separated, either $U$ contains a limit point of $M$ or $M$ contains a limit point of $U$, thus the boundary of $U$ exists and is a subset of the boundary of $M$ and hence is compact. It follows from Theorem 3 that there exist two domains $D_{U}$ and $D_{V}$ containing $U$ and $V$ respectively such that either $\bar{D}_{U}$ and $\bar{D}_{V}$ are mutually exclusive or $\bar{D}_{U} \cdot \bar{D}_{V}$ is $\bar{U} \cdot \bar{V} . D_{U}$ and $D_{V}$ are mutually exclusive domains containing $H$ and $K$ respectively and $S$ is connected, therefore $S-\left(D_{U}+D_{V}\right)$ is a closed subset of $M$ which separates $H$ from $K$.
Theorem 5. If the hypothesis of the continuum is true, there exists a separable space which satisfies Moore's Axioms 0 and 1-6 [2] and contains two conditionally compact point sets $H$ and $K$ such that
(1) $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive,
(2) there exists a domain containing $H$ whose closure does not intersect $\bar{K}$ and there exists a domain containing $K$ whose closure does not intersect $\bar{H}$, and
(3) $H$ and $K$ are not strongly separated.

Proof. Let $F$ denote the collection of all nondecreasing infinite sequences of positive integers. Let $M$ denote the set of all points in a Cartesian plane $E$ whose coordinates are positive integers. Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the collection of subsets of $M$ to which $h$ belongs if and only if the points of $h$ are the points of a sequence $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ such that $P_{1}$ is $(1,1)$ and for each positive integer $n$, $P_{n+1}$ is at a distance of 1 from $P_{n}$ and either above it or to the right of it. For each element $h$ of $\mathscr{H}$, let $G_{h}$ denote the collection of all images of $h$ in $M$ under a translation in $E$ throwing $(0,0)$ into $(k,-k)$ for some integer $k$.

If the hypothesis of the continuum is true, it follows from [1, Theorem 1] that there exists an uncountable subcollection $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{H}$ such that
(1) if $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ are two elements of $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$, no point set of $G_{h}$ contains infinitely many points of any one point set of $G_{h^{\prime}}$ and
(2) if $M^{\prime}$ is an infinite subset of $M$, there exists a point set $h$ of $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ such that some element of $G_{h}$ contains infinitely many points of $M^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ denote the collection to which $g$ belongs if and only if $g$ is in $G_{h}$ for some $h$ in $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$. There exists a reversible transformation $T$ from $F$ to $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$. If $A$ and $B$ are two points of $E$, let $A B$ denote the straight line interval having end points $A$ and $B$ and let $L(A B)$ denote its length.

Suppose $P$ is a point $(x, y)$ of $M, k$ is a number between 0 and 1 , and $g$ is an element of $\mathscr{G}$ containing $P$. Let $A_{P}$ and $A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ denote two points of a line having slope -1 and containing $P$ such that (1) $A_{P}$ is above $A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$, (2) $P$ is the midpoint of $A_{P} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$, and (3) the length of this interval is $(x+y)^{-1}$. Let $B_{P}$ and $B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the points of $A_{P} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ which lie in the order $A_{P} B_{P} P B_{P}{ }^{\prime} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ such that $B_{P} B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ is the middle third interval of $A_{P} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$. For some element $h$ of $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$, $g$ is an element of $G_{h}$ and for some positive integer $i, P$ is the $i$ th point of $g$. Let $v$ denote the $i$ th term of the sequence $f$ of $F$ such that $T(f)=h$. Let $C_{P}, D_{P}, E_{P}, E_{P}{ }^{\prime}, D_{P}{ }^{\prime}$, and $C_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the points of $B_{P} B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ in the order indicated from $B_{P}$ to $B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ such that each of the intervals $B_{P} C_{P}, C_{P} D_{P}, D_{P} E_{P}$, $E_{P}{ }^{\prime} D_{P}{ }^{\prime}, D^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, and $C^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ has length $[3(v+6)(x+y)]^{-1}$.

Let $A_{P k}$ denote the point of $A_{P} B_{P}$ such that $L\left(B_{P} A_{P k}\right)=k\left[L\left(A_{P} B_{P}\right)\right]$. Let $C_{P k}$ denote the point of $B_{P} C_{P}$ such that $L\left(B_{P} C_{P k}\right)=k\left[L\left(B_{P} C_{P}\right)\right]$. Let $D_{P k}$ denote the point of $D_{P} E_{P}$ such that $L\left(D_{P} D_{P k}\right)=k\left[L\left(D_{P} E_{P}\right)\right]$. Let $E_{P k}$ denote the point of $P E_{P}$ such that $L\left(P E_{P k}\right)=k\left[L\left(P E_{P}\right)\right]$. Let $A_{P k}{ }^{\prime}$, $C_{P k}{ }^{\prime}, D_{P k}{ }^{\prime}$, and $E_{P k}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the images of $A_{P k}, C_{P k}, D_{P k}$, and $E_{P k}$ respectively under a rotation of $A_{P} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ about $P$ which throws $A_{P}$ into $A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$.

Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ denote the points of $g$. Let $s_{g 0}$ denote $\sum P_{j} P_{j+1}$. Let $t_{g 0}$ denote $\sum B_{P_{j}} B_{P_{j+1}}$ and let $t_{g 0}{ }^{\prime}$ denote $\sum B_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime} B_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime}$. Let $s_{g 1}$ denote

$$
\sum\left(E_{P_{j}} E_{P_{j+1}}+E_{P_{j}}^{\prime} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $s_{g k}, s_{g k^{\prime}}, t_{g k}$, and $t_{g k^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the point sets obtained by substituting $E, D, A$, and $A^{\prime}$ for $X$ and $E^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C$, and $C^{\prime}$ for $Y$ respectively in the expression

$$
\sum\left(X_{P_{j} k} X_{P_{j+1} k}+Y_{P_{j} k} Y_{P_{j+1 k}}\right)
$$

Suppose $g$ is a point set of the collection $\mathscr{G}, k$ is a number between 0 and 1 , $n$ is a positive integer, and $z$ is some one of the symbols $s, t$, and $t^{\prime}$. Let ${ }_{z} R_{g k n}$ denote the set to which $w$ belongs if and only if either
(1) $w$ is $z_{\rho 0}$,
(2) for some number $k^{\prime}$ between 0 and $k$,w is $z_{g k^{\prime}}$, or
(3) $w$ is a point of $E$ which is separated from $(0,0)$ by the sum of the point sets $z_{\rho k}$ and the interval $A_{P} A_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ for the $n$th point $P$ of $g$.

Let ${ }_{u} R_{p k n}$ denote the set to which $w$ belongs if and only if either
(1) $w$ is $s_{91}$,
(2) for some number $k^{\prime}$ between $k$ and 1 , $w$ is either $s_{g k^{\prime}}$ or $s_{g k^{\prime}}$, or
(3) $w$ is a point of $E$ which is separated from $(0,0)$ by the sum of $s_{o k}$, $s_{o k}{ }^{\prime}, D_{P k} E_{P k}$, and $D_{P k}{ }^{\prime} E_{P k}{ }^{\prime}$ for the $n$th point $P$ of $g$.

Suppose $g$ is in $G, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are numbers between 0 and $1, n$ is a positive integer, and $z$ is some one of the symbols $s, s^{\prime}, t$, and $t^{\prime}$. Let ${ }_{2} R_{g k_{1} k_{2} n}$ denote the set to which $w$ belongs if and only if either
(1) for some number $k$ between $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}, w$ is $z_{g k}$ or
(2) $w$ is a point of $E$ which is separated from $(0,0)$ by the sum of $z_{g k_{1}}, z_{g k_{2}}$, and the intervals $X_{P k_{1}} X_{P k_{2}}$ for the $n$th point $P$ of $g$ where $X$ is some one of the symbols $A, C, D, E, A^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$, and $E^{\prime}$.

Let $\Sigma_{1}$ denote a space such that
(1) $X$ is a point in $\Sigma_{1}$ if and only if either $X$ is a point of $E$ or $X$ is $s_{g k}, s_{g k}{ }^{\prime}$, $t_{o k}$, or $t_{g k^{\prime}}$ for some $g$ and $k$ and
(2) $R$ is a region in $\Sigma_{1}$ if and only if either $R$ is the interior of a circle in $E$, $R$ is ${ }_{z} R_{g k n}$ for some $z, g, k$, and $n$, or $R$ is ${ }_{z} R_{g k_{1} k_{2} n}$ for some $z, g, k_{1}, k_{2}$, and $n$.

The space $\Sigma_{1}$ satisfies Axioms 0 and 1-6 and is separable.
Let $H$ denote the point set to which $h$ belongs if and only if for some point $P$ of $M, h$ is $B_{P}$. Let $K$ denote the set of all points $B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$. Let $D_{U}$ denote the point set to which the point $w$ belongs if and only if for some point $P$ of $M, w$ is a point of the interior of a square with center $B_{P}$ and one vertex $P$. Let $D_{V}$ denote a similar set but with $B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ as the center of each square. $D_{U}$ and $D_{V}$ are domains containing $H$ and $K$ respectively. Since $\bar{H}-H$ is the set of all points $t_{g 0}$ of $\Sigma_{1}$ and those points of $\bar{D}_{V}-D_{V}$ which do not lie in $E$ lie in a subset of the set of all points $s_{g k}, s_{g k^{\prime}}$, and $t_{g k}{ }^{\prime}$ and since $E \cdot\left(\bar{D}_{V}-D_{V}\right)$ does not intersect $\bar{H}, \bar{H}$ and $\bar{D}_{V}$ are mutually exclusive. Similarly $\bar{K}$ and $\bar{D}_{U}$ are mutually exclusive.

Suppose $D_{H}$ is a domain containing $H$ and $D_{K}$ is a domain containing $K$. For each point $P$ of $M$, there exists a number $r_{P}$ such that each point of the interval $P B_{P}$ nearer to $B_{P}$ than $r_{P}$ is in $D_{H}$ and each point of the interval $P B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ nearer to $B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ than $r_{P}$ is in $D_{K}$. There exists a sequence $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots$ such that for each positive integer $n, r_{n}<r_{P}$ for every point $P=(x, y)$ of $M$ such that $x+y<n+2$. There exists an increasing sequence of integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \ldots$ such that for each positive integer $n,\left[\left(k_{n}+6\right)(n+1)\right]^{-1}<r_{n}$. This sequence is a member $f$ of the collection $F . T(f)$ is a point set $h$ of the collection $\mathscr{H}$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ denote the points of $h$ and for each positive integer $n$, let $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ denote the abscissa and ordinate respectively of $P_{n} . x_{n}+y_{n}=n+1$ and

$$
L\left(B_{P_{n}} E_{P_{n}}\right)=\left[\left(k_{n}+6\right)(n+1)\right]^{-1}
$$

thus $E_{P_{n}}$ is a point of $D_{H}$. Similarly, $E_{P_{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ belongs to $D_{K}$. Each of the sequences $E_{P_{1}}, E_{P_{2}}, E_{P_{3}}, \ldots$ and $E_{P_{1}}{ }^{\prime}, E_{P_{2}}{ }^{\prime}, E_{P_{3}}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots$ converges to the point $s_{h 1}$. Therefore $\bar{D}_{H}$ intersects $\bar{D}_{K}$.

Theorem 6. If the hypothesis of the continuum is true, there exists a separable
space satisfying Axioms 0 and 1-6 [2] and containing two conditionally compact point sets $H$ and $K$ such that
(1) $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{K}$ are mutually exclusive,
(2) $K$ is a domain, and
(3) $H$ and $K$ are not strongly separated.

Proof. Notation not introduced here will be that of the previous theorem. Suppose $P$ is a point $(x, y)$ of $M, k$ is a number between 0 and 1 , and $g$ is a point set of the collection $\mathscr{G}$ containing $P$. Let $E_{P}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ denote the midpoint of the interval $A_{P}{ }^{\prime} B_{P}{ }^{\prime}$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ denote the points of $g$.

Let $s_{g k}$ denote the point set to which $w$ belongs if and only if for some positive integer $j, w$ is either (1) a point of the straight line interval $E_{P j k} E_{P_{j+1} k}$ or (2) a point of the straight line interval whose endpoints are the point of $P_{j} E_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ whose distance from $P_{j}$ is $k\left[L\left(P_{j} E_{p_{j}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$ and the point of $P_{j+1} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ whose distance from $P_{j+1}$ is $k\left[L\left(P_{j+1} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$. Let $s_{g k}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the point set to which $w$ belongs if and only if for some positive integer $j, w$ is either (1) a point of the straight line interval $D_{P_{j k}} D_{P_{j+1 k}}$ or (2) a point of the straight line interval whose endpoints are the point of $A_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime} E_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ whose distance from $A_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime}$ is $k\left[L\left(A_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime} E_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$ and the point of $A_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ whose distance from $A_{P j+1}{ }^{\prime}$ is $k\left[L\left(A_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$. Let $s_{g 1}$ denote the sum of all the straight line intervals $E_{P_{j}} E_{P_{j+1}}$ and $E_{P_{j}}{ }^{\prime \prime} E_{P_{j+1}}{ }^{\prime \prime} . s_{g 0}, t_{g 0}$, and $t_{g k}$ are as described in the previous theorem.

Let ${ }_{s} R_{g k n},{ }_{t} R_{g k n},{ }_{u} R_{g k n},{ }_{s} R_{g k_{1} k_{2} n},{ }_{s^{\prime}} R_{g k_{1} k_{2} n}$, and ${ }_{t} T_{g k_{1} k_{2} n}$ denote the set described in the preceding theorem taking into account the new definitions of $s_{g k}, s_{g k}{ }^{\prime}$, and $s_{g_{1}}$ in the paragraph above.

Let $\Sigma_{2}$ denote a space such that (1) $X$ is a point in $\Sigma_{2}$ if and only if either $X$ is a point of $E$ or $X$ is $s_{g k}, s_{g k}{ }^{\prime}$, or $t_{g k}$ for some $g$ and $k$ and (2) $R$ is a region in $\Sigma_{2}$ if and only if either $R$ is the interior of a circle in $E, R$ is ${ }_{z} R_{g k n}$ for some $z$, $g$, $k$, and $n$, or $R$ is ${ }_{z} R_{g k_{1 k_{2} n}}$ for some $z, g, k_{1}, k_{2}$, and $n$.

The space $\Sigma_{2}$ is separable and satisfies Axioms 0 and 1-6.
Let $H$ denote the set of all points $B_{P}$. Let $K$ denote the point set to which $k$ belongs if and only if for some point $P$ of $M, k$ is a point of the interior of a square with center $B^{\prime}$ and a vertex at $P$.

Suppose $D_{H}$ is a domain containing $H$. For each point $P$ of $M$, there exists a number $r_{P}$ such that each point of the interval $P B_{P}$ nearer to $B_{P}$ than $r_{P}$ is in $D_{H}$. There exists, as in the preceding example, a point set $h$ of the collection $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ such that if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ denote the points of $h$, then for each positive integer $n, E_{P_{n}}$ is a point of $D_{H}$. But, for each positive integer $n, E_{P_{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is a point of $\bar{K}$. The sequences $E_{P_{1}}, E_{P_{2}}, E_{P_{3}}, \ldots$ and $E_{P_{1}}{ }^{\prime \prime}, E_{P_{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime}, E_{P_{3}}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ converge to the point $s_{h 1}$, thus if $D_{K}$ is a domain containing $K, \bar{D}_{H}$ intersects $\bar{D}_{K}$.
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