

## EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

### *Submitting an article, case note or book review*

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Please submit your manuscript through the ScholarOne Manuscripts system by clicking the 'Submit your article' button on our website or by going to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/euconst>.

EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality to enter the review process, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker. Accepted contributions will be further corrected on language before publication, subject to authors' approval. Please prepare your manuscript in a way that ensures your anonymity. All author information can be included on a separate title page. A short abstract in EuConst house style will also be required. At submission, formatting of the main manuscript should conform to the usual standards of European law scholarship in the English language and be globally in line with the EuConst house style. Upon acceptance, authors will be asked to bring their manuscript fully into line with the house style. A style sheet is available on our website.

Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes and book review essays should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

To contact the editors before or during your article or case note submission, please send an email to [euconst@uva.nl](mailto:euconst@uva.nl). Questions about book review essays can be put to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at [books-euconst@uva.nl](mailto:books-euconst@uva.nl). For more information on the EuConst book review section, see our website.

### *Special sections*

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage <[cambridge.org/euconst](http://cambridge.org/euconst)> for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

2022 VOLUME 18 ISSUE 2

## CONTENTS

### Articles

Eduardo GILL-PEDRO – Whose Freedom is it Anyway? The Fundamental Rights of Companies in EU Law – 183

David KENNY and Lauryn MUSGROVE McCANN – Directive Principles, Political Constitutionalism, and Constitutional Culture: the Case of Ireland's failed Directive Principles of Social Policy – 207

Filip HORÁK – Human Dignity in Legal Argumentation: A Functional Perspective – 237

### Case Notes

Stéphanie WATTIER – Ritual Slaughter Case: The Court of Justice and the Belgian Constitutional Court Put Animal Welfare First – 264

Merijn CHAMON and Nathan DE ARRIBA-SELLIER – *FBF*: On the Justiciability of Soft Law and Broadening the Discretion of EU Agencies – ECJ (Grand Chamber) 15 July 2021, Case C-911/19, *Fédération Bancaire Française (FBF) v Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Résolution*, ECLI:EU:C:2021:599 – 286

Perrine CARRÉ and Marc STEIERT – Social Europe without Social Dialogue: Decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union in C-928/19 P *European Federation of Public Service Unions* – 315

Marco FISICARO – Protection of the Rule of Law and 'Competence Creep' via the Budget: The Court of Justice on the Legality of the Conditionality Regulation – ECJ Judgments of 16 February 2022, Cases C-156/21, *Hungary v Parliament and Council* and C-157/21, *Poland v Parliament and Council* – 334

Pieter VAN CLEYNENBREUGEL – *BPost* and *Nordzucker*: Searching for the Essence of *Ne Bis in Idem* in European Union Law – ECJ 22 March 2022, Case C-117/20, *BPost v Autorité belge de la concurrence* Case C-151/20, *Bundeswettbewerbsbehörde v Nordzucker AG e.a.* – 357

Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal please  
go to the journal website at:  
[cambridge.org/euconst](https://www.cambridge.org/euconst)



MIX  
Paper from  
responsible sources  
FSC® C007785

CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS