

## A KRONECKER-TYPE THEOREM FOR COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

BY  
C. J. SMYTH

**ABSTRACT.** We give a classification result for “extreme-monic” polynomials in several variables having measure 1. The result implies a recent several-variable generalization, by D. W. Boyd, of Kronecker’s classical theorem (that all zeros of a monic integral polynomial, with non-zero constant term and measure 1, are roots of unity).

**Introduction.** For a monic polynomial  $P(z)$  with integer coefficients and  $P(0) \neq 0$ , the classical Kronecker theorem [4] states that if all zeros of  $P(z)$  lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ , they are all roots of unity.

In this paper we generalize (Theorem 1) to several variables the following result: if  $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  is monic with  $|P(0)| = 1$  and measure (defined below) 1, then all zeros of  $P$  lie on  $|z| = 1$ . This result is an immediate consequence of equation (1) below. In more than one variable, however, the result is somewhat deeper, since, for instance, it enables Boyd’s [1, Theorem 1] recent several-variable generalization of Kronecker’s theorem to be derived from it as a corollary (Corollary 1). This theorem had strengthened an earlier result of the same type by Montgomery and Schinzel [6, Theorem 2].

The method of this paper is based on a correspondence between a polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}] = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  and a certain convex set  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We show that under suitable conditions the faces of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  correspond to factors of  $F$ . This fact is used as a basis for an induction argument.

I would like to thank Prof. David Boyd for useful discussions on this subject, including the suggested form for the definition of an extreme-monic polynomial. Some ideas in this paper were suggested by a paper of Lawton [5].

This work was supported by an NSERC grant while the author was visiting The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.

**Definitions and results.** For  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} a(\mathbf{j}) z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ , we define a body  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be the convex hull of the  $\mathbf{j} \in J$  with  $a(\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$  (Clarke [2] called  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  the *exponent polytope* of  $F$ ). For  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ , the measure  $M(F)$  is

$$\exp \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |F(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n})| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n \right].$$

Received by the editors December 5, 1979 and, in revised form, April 23, 1980

By Jensen’s Theorem,

$$(1) \quad M\left(a_0 \prod_{i=1}^m (z - \alpha_i)\right) = |a_0| \prod_{i=1}^m \max(|\alpha_i|, 1)$$

for polynomials in one variable  $z$ .

A one-variable polynomial  $P(z)$  is said to be unit-monic if it is monic with  $|P(0)| = 1$ . More generally,  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$  is said to be *extreme-monic* if  $|a(\mathbf{j})| = 1$  for all extreme points  $\mathbf{j}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$ . In a similar manner to Boyd [1], we define a polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$  to be *extended unit-monic* (resp. *extended cyclotomic*) if it is of the form  $F(\mathbf{z}) = z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_n^{b_n} P(z_1^{v_1} \cdots z_n^{v_n})$ , where  $P$  is a unit-monic (resp. cyclotomic) polynomial in one variable, the  $v_i$  are integers and the  $b_i$  are chosen minimally such that  $F(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

Our main result is

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ . Then  $F$  is extreme-monic with  $M(F) = 1$  iff  $F$  is a product of  $\rho z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials. Here  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are integers, and  $|\rho| = 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.** (Boyd [1]). *Let  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{z}]$ . Then  $M(F) = 1$  iff  $F$  is a product of  $\pm z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_n^{d_n}$  and extended cyclotomic polynomials.*

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *For any  $k$ -dimensional face  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  ( $0 \leq k < n$ ), we have  $M(F) \geq M(F(\mathcal{C}'))$ . Here  $F(\mathcal{C}') = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}'} a(\mathbf{j}) z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n}$ .*

In particular ( $k = 0$ )

**COROLLARY 2.**  $M(F) \geq |a(\mathbf{j})|$  for every extreme point  $\mathbf{j}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$ .

**Auxiliary results.** For the proof, we need the corollary to the following

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$  be closed convex polyhedra in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2 = \{\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{j}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}_i (i = 1, 2)\}$ . Then*

(i) *Every extreme point of  $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2$  can be expressed as a sum  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}_i (i = 1, 2)$ , in only one way. Further such  $\mathbf{j}^{(i)}$  are extreme points of  $\mathcal{C}_i (i = 1, 2)$ .*

(ii) *For every extreme point  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  there is an extreme point  $\mathbf{j}^{(2)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_2$  such that  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}$  is an extreme point of  $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2$ .*

The lemma is essentially Theorem 15 of [3].

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $F_0 = F_1 F_2$ , where  $F_0, F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ , and  $F_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}_i} a_i(\mathbf{j}) z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} (i = 0, 1, 2)$ . Then*

(i)  $\mathcal{C}(F_1 F_2) = \mathcal{C}(F_1) + \mathcal{C}(F_2)$

(ii) *if any two of the  $F_i$  are extreme monic, so is the third.*

**Proof.** Clearly  $\mathcal{C}(F_1F_2) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(F_1) + \mathcal{C}(F_2)$ . Since the  $a_i(\mathbf{j})$  are non-zero for  $\mathbf{j} \in J$ , Lemma 1 (i) shows that any extreme point of  $\mathcal{C}(F_1) + \mathcal{C}(F_2)$  is uniquely expressible in the form  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}$ , for some extreme points  $\mathbf{j}^{(i)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Hence

$$(2) \quad a_0(\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}) = a_1(\mathbf{j}^{(1)})a_2(\mathbf{j}^{(2)})$$

so that  $a_0(\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}) \neq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}(F_1F_2)$ . Thus all extreme points of  $\mathcal{C}(F_1) + \mathcal{C}(F_2)$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}(F_1F_2)$ , which proves (i).

From (2) we see that  $F_0$  is extreme monic if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are. Now suppose that  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are extreme monic. Then Lemma 1 (i), shows that for each extreme point  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F_1)$  there is an extreme point  $\mathbf{j}^{(2)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F_2)$  such that  $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} + \mathbf{j}^{(2)}$  is an extreme point of  $\mathcal{C}(F_0)$ , so that (2) again holds. Hence  $|a_2(\mathbf{j}^{(2)})| = 1$  and  $F_1$  is also extreme-monic.

**Proof of the Theorems.** Take  $F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} a(\mathbf{j})z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ , consider a  $k$ -dimensional face  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$ , for some  $k : 0 \leq k < n$ , and choose a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}$  containing  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Since  $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  can be chosen so that it has a normal vector  $\mathbf{v}_1 = (v_{11}, v_{21}, \dots, v_{n1})$ , where the  $v_{i1}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are coprime integers. We can then find, by a classical result of Hermite, a square matrix  $V = (v_{il})$  with integral entries, determinant 1 and first column  $\mathbf{v}_1^T$ . Hence we can change variables by defining new variables  $w_l$  ( $l = 1, \dots, n$ ) by  $z_i = \prod_{l=1}^n w_l^{v_{il}}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and then putting  $G(\mathbf{w}) = F(\mathbf{z})$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . Then since  $\prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{j_i} = \prod_{l=1}^n w_l^{\sum_{i=1}^n j_i v_{il}}$ ,  $G(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in K} a(\mathbf{k}V^{-1})w_1^{k_1} \cdots w_n^{k_n}$ , where  $K = \{\mathbf{j}V \mid \mathbf{j} \in J\}$ .

With these new variables  $\mathbf{w}$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  to be the convex hull of the  $\mathbf{k} \in K$  with  $a(\mathbf{k}V^{-1}) \neq 0$ . Now, for some integer  $m$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{j} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n v_{i1}j_i = m\}$ . So the face  $\mathcal{C}'(G) = \{\mathbf{j}V \mid \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{C}'\}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  is in the hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}V = \{\mathbf{k} = jV \mid \sum v_{i1}j_i = m\} = \{\mathbf{k} \mid k_1 = m\}$ .

We now write  $G(\mathbf{w})$  as a sum of terms  $G_l(w_2, \dots, w_n)w_1^l$ , where the  $G_l(w_2, \dots, w_n)$  are polynomials in  $w_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, w_n^{\pm 1}$ , and  $l$  runs over a finite set of integers either (i) all  $\leq m$ , or (ii) all  $\geq m$ . By replacing  $w_1$  by  $w_1^{-1}$ , if necessary, we may assume that (i) occurs, with  $L$  the least value of  $l$ . Then

$$G(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^L \{G_m w_1^{m-L} + G_{m-1} w_1^{m-L-1} + \cdots + G_L\} \\ = w_1^L G_m \{w_1^{m-L} + (G_{m-1}/G_m) w_1^{m-L-1} + \cdots + (G_L/G_m)\} \\ \text{for } G_m \neq 0 = w_1^L G_m H \text{ say,}$$

where  $H$  is a rational function of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ .

Now  $\log M(F) = 1/(2\pi)n \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |F(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n})| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n$ . On changing variables with the transformation  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)V$ , with Jacobian  $\det V = 1$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \log M(F) = \log M(G) = \log M(G_m) + \log M(H).$$

Now  $\log M(H) = 1/(2\pi)n \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n \int_0^{2\pi} \log |H| d\theta_1 \geq 0$ , by Jensen's Theorem. So  $\log M(F) \geq \log M(G_m)$ . On applying the above transformation to  $F(\mathcal{C}')$  (as defined in the statement of Theorem 2), we see that  $M(F(\mathcal{C}')) = M(G_m)$ , and  $\log M(F) \geq \log M(F(\mathcal{C}'))$ . This proves Theorem 2.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, first note that it is trivial in one direction—i.e. if  $f$  is a product of  $\rho z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials, then  $M(F) = 1$ , by Jensen's Theorem, and  $F$  is extreme-monic, by Corollary 3. We therefore assume that  $F$  is extreme-monic with  $M(F) = 1$ , and have to prove that  $F$  is a product of  $\rho z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials.

We use double induction on the number of variables  $n$  of  $F$  and the number  $r$  of irreducible factors of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$  (excluding trivial factors  $\rho z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$ ). The result is clearly true if  $n = 1$ , or if  $r = 0$ , in which case  $F = a(\mathbf{j})z_1^{j_1} \dots z_n^{j_n}$  for some single point  $\mathbf{j}$ . We now assume the truth of the result for all  $n' < n$  and  $r' < r$ , where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $F$  be a polynomial in  $n$  variables with  $r$  irreducible factors. The main step in the proof is to show that for the polynomial  $G$ , as defined earlier, the rational function  $H$  is in fact a polynomial in  $w_1, w_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, w_n^{\pm 1}$ . To show this, first note that as  $F$  is extreme monic,  $G$  is extreme monic, and hence  $G_m$  is extreme-monic, as  $\mathcal{C}(G_m)$  is a face of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . Now  $1 = M(G) \geq M(G_m) \geq 1$ , so that  $M(G_m) = 1$ . As  $G_m$  is a function of  $w_2, \dots, w_n$ , the induction hypothesis therefore shows that  $G_m$  is a product of  $\rho w_2^{d_2} \dots w_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials. However, we can also ensure that  $G_m$  is not just of the form  $\rho w_2^{d_2} \dots w_n^{d_n}$ , but does in fact contain extended unit-monic factors. To do this it is simply necessary to choose the face  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  so that it contains at least two points of  $J$ , but is not the whole of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$ . This is always possible if the points of  $\mathcal{C}'$  do not lie on a single line. However, we can assume this, for if the points of  $\mathcal{C}'$  were collinear, we could, by a change of variables, express  $F$  as a product of a monomial and a polynomial in one variable. This would mean that we could take  $n = 1$ , while we are assuming  $n \geq 2$ .

We have from (3) that

$$(4) \quad 0 = \log M(G_m) = \log M(H).$$

We can now show that

LEMMA 2. *Under our previous assumptions,  $H$  is a polynomial.*

**Proof.** Assume  $H$  is not a polynomial. Then  $G_m$  does not divide some  $G_k$ . Since  $G_m$  is extended unit monic we can choose a factor of  $G_m$  of the form  $w_2^{a_2} \dots w_n^{a_n} - \alpha$ , with  $|\alpha| = 1$ , which also does not divide  $G_k$ . Further, since  $w_2^{a_2} \dots w_n^{a_n} - \alpha = \prod_{j=1}^h (w_2^{a_2/h} \dots w_n^{a_n/h} - e^{2\pi i j/h} \alpha^{1/h})$  where  $h = (a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , we can assume that  $h = 1$ . We then change variables, keeping  $w_1$  fixed, so that  $w_2^{a_2} \dots w_n^{a_n}$  becomes a new variable. Assuming that this has already been done, we are now able to assume that  $G_m$  has a factor  $w_2 - \alpha$  not dividing  $G_k$ .

Now, writing  $G_k$  in the form  $(w_2 - \alpha)A + B$ , where  $B \neq 0$  is a polynomial in  $w_3^{\pm 1}, \dots, w_n^{\pm 1}$ , it is clear that we can choose an  $(n-2)$ -dimensional point  $(w_3^*, \dots, w_n^*)$  with  $|w_i^*| = 1$  ( $i = 3, \dots, n$ ) and  $B(w_3^*, \dots, w_n^*) \neq 0$ . Then there will be a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $(\alpha, w_3^*, \dots, w_n^*)$  on the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit torus such that

$$(5) \quad |G_k/G_m| > \binom{m-2}{k-2} + 1$$

on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Now (5) is impossible if all zeros of  $H$ , as a polynomial in  $w_1$ , lie in  $|w_1| \leq 1$ . Hence there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |H| d\theta_1 > \varepsilon$  for any  $(w_2, \dots, w_n)$  fixed in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |H| d\theta_1 \geq 0$  for any fixed  $(w_2, \dots, w_n)$  not necessarily in  $\mathcal{N}$ , this implies that  $\log M(H) > \varepsilon/(2\pi)n \times ((n-1)$ -dimensional measure of  $\mathcal{N}) > 0$ . This contradicts (4), so proves the lemma.

We have thus achieved a polynomial factorization  $G = G_m H$  of  $G$ , where  $G_m$  is a function of  $w_2, \dots, w_n$ , with at least one extended unit-monic factor. Hence  $H$  has fewer than  $r$  irreducible factors, which by the induction hypothesis implies that  $H$  is a product of  $\rho w_1^{d_1} \cdots w_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials. Thus the same is true for  $G$ , and hence, on changing variables,  $F$  is a product of  $\rho z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_n^{d_n}$  and extended unit-monic polynomials.

**Proof of Corollary 1.** Let  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$  and  $M(F) = 1$ . Then for any extreme point  $\mathbf{j}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F)$ ,  $|a(\mathbf{j})| \leq 1$  by Corollary 2. Hence  $a(\mathbf{j}) = \pm 1$ , so that  $F$  is extreme-monic. Thus from Theorem 1,  $F$  can be written in the form  $F(\mathbf{z}) = \pm z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_n^{d_n} \prod_{s=1}^S (z_1^{\lambda_{s1}} z_2^{\lambda_{s2}} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_{sn}} - \theta_s)$ , where  $|\theta_s| = 1$  ( $s = 1, \dots, S$ ). To show that in fact its roots are roots of unity, we proceed as follows, making use of polynomials of the type  $F(z^{r_1}, \dots, z^{r_n})$ , used in [6]. We take a supporting hyperplane  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i j_i = m > 0$ , with the  $r_i$  integers, meeting  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  in precisely one point, an extreme point. We can also assume that none of the vectors  $(\lambda_{s1}, \dots, \lambda_{sn})$  are parallel to the hyperplane, so that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{si} r_i \neq 0$  ( $s = 1, \dots, S$ ). Then either  $F(z^{r_1}, \dots, z^{r_n})$  or  $F(z^{-r_1}, \dots, z^{-r_n})$  is of the form  $\pm z^k P(z)$  for some  $k$  and some monic polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ , where  $P(z)$  is of the form  $\prod_{s=1}^S (z^{k_s} - \theta_s^{\varepsilon_s})$ , with all  $k_s > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_s = \pm 1$ . Hence the  $\theta_s$  are all roots of unity, by Kronecker's classical Theorem.

We see that in the above proof of Corollary 1, the fact that  $F$  has integer coefficients is used in two places: (i) to show that  $a(\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$  and  $|a(\mathbf{j})| \leq 1$  implies  $a(\mathbf{j}) = \pm 1$  for extreme points  $\mathbf{j}$ , and (ii) so that Kronecker's original one-variable result can be applied.

REFERENCES

1. D. W. Boyd, *Kronecker's theorem and Lehmer's problem for polynomials in several variables*, (to appear).
2. B. L. Clarke, *Asymptotes and intercepts of real-power polynomial surfaces from the geometry of the exponent polytope*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **35** (1978), 755-786.
3. P. J. Kelly and M. L. Weiss, *Geometry and Convexity* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979).

4. L. Kronecker, *Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Coefficienten*, J. reine angew. Math. **53** (1857), 173–175.
5. W. Lawton, *Asymptotic properties of roots of polynomials – preliminary report*, Proceedings 7th National Mathematics Conference, Dept. Math. Azarabadegan Univ., Tabriz, 1976.
6. H. L. Montgomery and A. Schinzel, *Some arithmetic properties of polynomials in several variables*, in Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications, A. Baker and D. W. Masser (editors) (Academic Press 1977).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JAMES COOK UNIVERSITY OF NORTH QUEENSLAND  
TOWNSVILLE, QLD AUSTRALIA