BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. MOS 28A05, 28A10

VOL. 6 (1972), 349-353.

A note on the countable chain condition and sigma-finiteness of measures

K.P.S. Bhaskara Rao and M. Bhaskara Rao

The objectives of this paper are the following:

(1) to show that a theorem of Ficker is incorrect;

(2) to show that a stronger version of Ficker's Theorem is valid for a certain class of measures;

(3) characterize all σ-algebras on which every measure is a countable sum of finite measures.

1. Introduction, notation and definitions

A measure μ is an extended real valued, nonnegative, countably additive function defined either on a σ-algebra A of subsets of a set X or on a boolean σ-algebra B vanishing at the empty set $\emptyset$ or the zero element of B. Ficker [1, p. 242] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM (*). *Let* μ *be a measure on a* σ*-algebra* A *of* X *and* N *denote the collection of all sets in* A *of* μ*-measure zero. Then* $A - N$ *satisfies countable chain condition* (CCC) *if and only if* μ *can be written as a countable sum of finite measures.*

We give an example to show that this Theorem (*) is incorrect.

2. Example

Let B be a boolean σ-algebra satisfying CCC such that there is no strictly positive, finite measure on B. For example, one can take the

Received 16 November 1971. The authors are grateful to Dr Ashok Maitra for useful discussions they had with him on this paper.

boolean σ-algebra of all Borel subsets of the real line modulo first category Borel sets. Let X be the Stone space of B, $\mathcal{A}$ the Baire σ-algebra on X and I the collection of all first category Baire subsets of X. By Loomis' Theorem (see, for example, [2, p. 102]), the quotient boolean σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}/I$ and B are σ-isomorphic. Since I is a σ-ideal, the function μ defined by the formula, $\mu(A) = 0$ if $A \in I$, $\mu(A) = \infty$ if $A \in \mathcal{A} - I$, is a measure on $\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}/I$ satisfies CCC and so $\mathcal{A} - I$ satisfies CCC. If Ficker's Theorem (*) were to be true, we can write μ as a countable sum of finite measures on $\mathcal{A}$ which implies that μ is equivalent to a finite measure λ on $\mathcal{A}$. Since I is precisely the collection of all λ-null sets, we have a strictly positive finite measure on $\mathcal{A}/I$. But this is a contradiction.

3. Semi-finite measures

A measure μ on a σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of X is said to be semi-finite if $F \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu(F) = \infty$ implies there exists $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that E is contained in F and $0 < \mu(E) < \infty$. For a measure μ on $\mathcal{A}$, there are two definitions of μ-atoms.

(I) A set A in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a μ-atom if

(i) $\mu(A) > 0$ and

(ii) $B \in \mathcal{A}$, B contained in A implies $\mu(B) = 0$ or $= \mu(A)$.

(II) A set A in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a μ-atom if

(i) $\mu(A) > 0$ and

(ii) $B \in \mathcal{A}$, B contained in A implies $\mu(B) = 0$ or $\mu(A-B) = 0$.

These definitions are not equivalent. It is easy to construct an example. However, when μ is semi-finite these two definitions are equivalent. Ficker [1] adopted definition (II) in the course of his proof of the Theorem (*). Under this definition, his Lemma 3 [1, p. 239] is not correct. However, if μ is semi-finite all his proofs are valid and hence for such a class of measures his Theorem (*) is true.

Here we prove a stronger version of his Theorem (*) directly for semi-finite measures.

THEOREM 1. *Let* μ *be a semi-finite measure on a* σ*-algebra* $\mathcal{A}$ *of*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700044610 Published online by Cambridge University Press

X. *Let* N *denote the collection of sets of* μ*-measure zero. Then* $A - N$ *satisfies* CCC *if and only if* μ *is* σ*-finite.*

Proof. If μ is σ-finite, it is obvious that $A - N$ satisfies CCC. Conversely, if $\mu(X) < \infty$, there is nothing to prove. If $\mu(X) = \infty$, choose A_1 in A such that $0 < \mu(A_1) < \infty$. Choose A_2 in A such that A_2 is contained in $X - A_1$ and $0 < \mu(A_2) < \infty$. Thus we can find a sequence of disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ in A such that each $A_i \in A - N$ and $\mu(A_i) < \infty$. If $\mu\left(X - \bigcup_{i\geq 1} A_i\right) < \infty$, then we have a decomposition of X which implies that μ is σ-finite. If $\mu\left(X - \bigcup_{i\geq 1} A_i\right) = \infty$, choose A_ω in A such that A_ω is contained in $X - \bigcup_{i\geq 1} A_i$ and $0 < \mu(A_\omega) < \infty$, where ω is the first countable ordinal. Continue this process. Since $A - N$ satisfies CCC, there exists a countable ordinal α such that $\mu\left(X - \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} A_\beta\right) < \infty$. This implies that μ is σ-finite.

4. Some characterizations

Let A be a σ-algebra on a set X. A set A in A is said to be an atom of A if

(i) $A \neq \emptyset$ and

(ii) B in A, B contained in A implies $B = \emptyset$ or $= A$.

A σ-algebra A on X is said to be atomless if there are no atoms of A.

The following result is known. See Remark 11 of [3, p. 203]. For completeness sake, we give a proof of this result.

PROPOSITION. *Let* A *be a* σ*-algebra on a set* X. A *is atomless if and only if every nonempty set in* A *contains* $\aleph_1$ *disjoint nonempty sets in* A.

Proof. Let A in A be nonempty. Fix $x \in A$. Find A_1 in A such that $x \notin A_1$, $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and A_1 is contained in A. Choose A_2 in A such that $x \notin A_2$, $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ and A_2 is contained in $A - A_1$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700044610 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Continuing this process, we obtain a family $A_\alpha : \alpha < \Omega$ of nonempty disjoint sets contained in A, where Ω is the first uncountable ordinal. The converse part is trivial.

THEOREM 2. *Let* A *be a* σ*-algebra on a set* X *. The following statements are all true:*

(i) A *satisfies* CCC *if and only if* A *is isomorphic to the power set, that is, the class of all subsets, of some countable (finite or infinite) set;*

(ii) there exists a strictly positive finite measure on A *if and only if* A *is isomorphic to the power set of some countable set;*

(iii) every measure on A *can be written as a countable sum of finite measures if and only if* A *is isomorphic to the power set of some countable set;*

(iv) every measure on A *is equivalent to a finite measure if and only if* A *is isomorphic to the power set of some countable set.*

Proof. A proof of *(i)* can be obtained using the Proposition proved earlier. Since A satisfies CCC , the number of atoms of A is countable. From X remove all atoms of A . In view of the Proposition the remaining part is empty. The proofs of *(ii)*, *(iii)* and *(iv)* are easy.

Professor Ashok Maitra suggested an alternative proof of *(i)*. Since A satisfies CCC , it is complete as a boolean algebra. For x in X , the infimum of all sets in A containing x is an atom of A . This implies that A is atomic. Again by CCC , the number of atoms of A is at most countable.

References

[1] V. Ficker, "On the equivalence of a countable disjoint class of sets of positive measure and a weaker condition than total σ-finiteness of measures", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 1 (1969), 237-243.

[2] Paul R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey; Toronto; New York; London; 1963).

[3] B.V. Rao, "On Borel structures", *Colloq. Math.* 21 (1970), 199-204.

Research and Training School,
Indian Statistical Institute,
Calcutta,
India.