COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Laurent family of simple modules over quiver Hecke algebras

Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh and Euiyong Park

Compositio Math. **160** (2024), 1916–1940.

doi:10.1112/S0010437X24007310

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Compositio Math. 160 (2024) 1916–1940
doi:10.1112/S0010437X24007310

Laurent family of simple modules over quiver Hecke algebras

Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh and Euiyong Park

Abstract

We introduce the notions of quasi-Laurent and Laurent families of simple modules over quiver Hecke algebras of arbitrary symmetrizable types. We prove that such a family plays a similar role of a cluster in quantum cluster algebra theory and exhibits a quantum Laurent positivity phenomenon similar to the basis of the quantum unipotent coordinate ring $\mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$, coming from the categorification. Then we show that the families of simple modules categorifying Geiß–Leclerc–Schröer (GLS) clusters are Laurent families by using the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt (PBW) decomposition vector of a simple module X and categorical interpretation of (co)degree of $[X]$. As applications of such $\mathbb{Z}$-vectors, we define several skew-symmetric pairings on arbitrary pairs of simple modules, and investigate the relationships among the pairings and Λ-invariants of R-matrices in the quiver Hecke algebra theory.

Contents

1. Introduction

A cluster algebra and its non-commutative version quantum cluster algebra, were introduced by Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02, BZ05] in an attempt to provide an algebraic and combinatorial framework for investigating the upper global basis of the quantum group.

The quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q$ is a non-commutative $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-subalgebra in the skew field $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})(X_i)_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ generated by the cluster variables, which are obtained from the initial cluster $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ via the sequences of procedures, called *mutations*. Even though mutations involve non-trivial fractions, $\mathscr{A}_q$ is still contained in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}][X_i^{\pm 1}]_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ with amazing reductions of fractions which is referred to as the *quantum Laurent phenomenon* [BZ05]. The famous conjecture, which

Received 28 June 2023, accepted in final form 5 April 2024.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 16D90, 13F60, 81R50, 17B37 (primary).
Keywords: Laurent family, quantum Laurent positivity, quantum cluster algebra, g-vector, R-matrix.

is not completely proved yet at this moment, is the *quantum Laurent positivity* conjecture which asserts that every cluster variable is an element in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[q^{\pm 1/2}][\mathsf{X}_i^{\pm 1}]_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ for any cluster $\{\mathsf{X}_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$. Note that the conjecture is proved in [Dav18] (see also [LS15, GHKK18]) when $\mathscr{A}_q$ is of skew-symmetric type and is widely open when it is of non-skew-symmetric type.

The notion of *monoidal categorification* of (quantum) cluster algebra was introduced by Hernandez and Leclerc in [HL10] (see also [KKKO18]) as the categorical framework for proving the conjecture as follows: a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ with an autofunctor q is a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}_q$, if (a) $\mathbb{A}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} K(\mathcal{C})$ ($\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$) is isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_q$ and (b) the cluster monomials of $\mathscr{A}_q$ are the classes of real simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Once $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}_q$, then the conjecture for $\mathscr{A}_q$ follows since it can be interpreted as the existence of a Jordan–Hölder series of an object. In [KKKO18], it is proved that the category $\mathscr{C}_w$ over *symmetric* quiver Hecke algebra R is a monoidal categorification of the quantum unipotent coordinate ring $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ associated with an element w of the Weyl group W by using the $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant $\Lambda(M,N)$ of a pair of simple objects $M,N\in\mathscr{C}_w$.

For *non-symmetric cases*, the monoidal categorification is still out of reach. We know that $\mathscr{C}_w$ categorifies $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ as an algebra [KL09, KL11, Rou08, Kim12] and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ has a quantum cluster algebra structure [GLS13a, GY17] in *every symmetrizable case*. The quantum cluster algebra structure is skew-symmetric if the corresponding generalized Cartan matrix is symmetric. However, we cannot prove that $\mathscr{C}_w$ is a monoidal categorification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ in *non-symmetric cases* due to the obstacle that we do not know whether every simple module $M\in\mathscr{C}_w$ admits an affinization [KP18] or not. Note that the existence of affinizations guarantees that one can define R-matrices and the $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant $\Lambda(M,N)$.

In this paper, we study the quantum Laurent positivity for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ of not necessarily symmetric type in the view point of the monoidal categorification. More precisely, we show that the basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ corresponding to the simple modules in $\mathscr{C}_w$ exhibits a quantum Laurent positivity phenomenon with respect to any *quasi-Laurent family*, which is a central notion we introduce in this paper and plays the similar role of a cluster in the quantum cluster algebra theory.

The *quasi-Laurent family* (respectively, *Laurent family*) $\mathcal{M}=\{M_j\}_{j\in J}$ consists of mutually commuting affreal simple modules in $\mathscr{C}_w$ satisfying additional conditions (Definition 3.2). Among others, the most important condition is that if a simple module X commutes with all M_j, then there are monomials (i.e. convolution products) $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ in $\{M_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that $X\circ\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$. We say the family $\mathcal{M}$ is *Laurent* if $\mathcal{M}$ is *maximal* in the sense that, if a simple module X commutes with all M_j, then X is isomorphic to a monomial $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ in $\{M_j\}_{j\in J}$.

The main results of this paper are the following.

(A) We show that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}_w$, then the class $[X]$ in the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathscr{C}_w)$ of any simple object X in $\mathscr{C}_w$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial in $\{[M_j]\}_{j\in J}$ whose coefficients belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\pm 1}]$ (Proposition 3.6).
(B) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal seed in $\mathscr{C}_w$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a Laurent family.
(C) In particular, for any reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$ of w, we show that the family $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}} := \{M(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k})\}$ is a Laurent family and, hence, any class $[X]$ of a module X in $\mathscr{C}_w$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial in the unipotent quantum minors $D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k})$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\pm 1}]$. Note that $D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k}) = [M(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k})]$ and we call $\{D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k})\}$ the *GLS seed associated with* $\boldsymbol{i}$ (Proposition 4.5).
(D) We show that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-Laurent family, then the class $[X]$ of a simple module X in $\mathscr{C}_w$ is pointed and copointed with respect to the partial order $\preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}}$. That is, the set of

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

degrees of the monomials appearing in the Laurent expansion of $[X]$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ has a unique maximal element and a unique minimal element with respect to $\preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}}$. We define vectors $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J}$ as the maximal and the minimal element, respectively.

(E) Each quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M}$ also induces new $\mathbb{Z}$-values $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ for *any* pair of simple modules X and Y which coincides with $\Lambda(X,Y)$ provided X,Y commutes and one of them is affreal.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, the positivity result in part (C) is new. We can understand result (A) that a quasi-Laurent family is a generalization of a cluster in the categorical view point, and that the positivity conjecture can be extended to elements corresponding to simple modules in all skew-symmetrizable types.

In [FZ07, Qin17], Fomin-Zelevinsky and Qin defined a pointed (respectively, copointed) element $\mathbf{x}$ in a cluster algebra and its degree $\mathbf{deg}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathsf{K}}$ (respectively, codegree $\mathbf{codeg}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathsf{K}}$) depending on the choice of a seed $\mathcal{S}$ (see also [Qin20] for codegree and [Tra11] for degree elements in a quantum cluster algebra). With a fixed choice of a seed, it is proved in [Tra11] that every cluster monomial is pointed, and in [DWZ10, GHKK18] that cluster monomials are determined by their degrees.

For a given quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M}$ and a simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}_w$, we define vectors $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X), \mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J}$ in Definition 3.7 by using the $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J}_{\geqslant 0}$-vectors in Lemma 3.3 and guaranteeing its well-definedness in Lemma 3.1. We then prove that, for every simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}_w$, the element $[X]$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is (co)pointed with respect to the GLS seed $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and that $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X)$ coincide with $\mathbf{deg}_{\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}([X])$ and $\mathbf{codeg}_{\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}([X])$, respectively.

Utilizing the vectors $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$, we define skew-symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-valued forms $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(-,-)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(-,-)$ on the pairs (X,Y) of simple modules. Then we compare $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ with the $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant $\Lambda(X,Y)$ when the pair of simple module (X,Y) admits the $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant $\Lambda(X,Y)$. It is proved in Proposition 5.3 that $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ give lower bounds of $\Lambda(X,Y)$, and in Proposition 5.4 that $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y) = \Lambda(X,Y)$ when (X,Y) is a commuting pair. Here we would like to emphasize that (1) $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ are defined even for pairs (X,Y) we do not know whether they admit $\Lambda(X,Y)$ or not, and (2) the $\mathbb{Z}$-values $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ *do depend* on the choice of $\mathcal{M}$ as (co)degree does on the one of seeds (Remark 5.5).

This paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we give preliminaries. In § 3, we define the notions of quasi-Laurent and Laurent families, and investigate their properties. Then we define $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$, and prove that $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ determine the isomorphism class of X. In § 4, we prove that $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is Laurent by studying PBW decomposition vectors of simple modules. In § 5, we define the skew-symmetric pairings on pairs of simple modules and investigate the relationships among the pairings and Λ-invariants.

Convention. Throughout this paper, we use the following convention.

(i) For a statement $\mathtt{P}$, we set $\delta(\mathtt{P})$ to be 1 or 0 depending on whether $\mathtt{P}$ is true or not. As a special case, we use the notation $\delta_{i,j} := \delta(i=j)$ (Kronecker's delta).

(ii) For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$[a,b] := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leqslant x \leqslant b\}.$$

We refer to the subset as an *interval* and understand it as an empty set if $a > b$.

(iii) Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family parameterized by an index set J. Then for any $j \in J$, we set

$$(\mathbf{x})_j := x_j.$$

2. Preliminaries

In this preliminary section, we briefly review the basic material of this paper. We refer the reader to [BZ05, FZ07, KL09, Rou08, Kim12, GLS13a, KKKO18, GY17, KiOy21, KP18, KKOP18, KK19, GHKK18] for more details.

2.1 Quantum cluster algebras

Fix a finite index set $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}} \sqcup \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{fr}}$ with a decomposition into the set K_{ex} of exchangeable indices and the set K_{fr} of frozen indices. Let $L = (l_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathsf{K}}$ be a skew-symmetric integer-valued matrix and let q be an indeterminate. We set $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ where $q^{1/2}$ denotes the formal square root of q.

DEFINITION 2.1. We define the *quantum torus* $\mathcal{T}(L)$ to be the $\mathbb{A}$-algebra generated by a finite family of elements $\{X_k^{\pm 1}\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$X_j X_j^{-1} = X_j^{-1} X_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad X_i X_j = q^{l_{ij}} X_j X_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathsf{K}.$$

For $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_i)_{i\in\mathsf{K}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$, we define the element $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(L)$ as

$$X^{\mathbf{a}} = q^{(1/2)\sum_{i>j} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_j l_{ij}} \overrightarrow{\prod_{i\in\mathsf{K}}} X_i^{\mathbf{a}_i}.$$

Here $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i\in\mathsf{K}} X_i^{\mathbf{a}_i} := X_{i_1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}} \cdots X_{i_r}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_r}}$, where $\mathsf{K} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ with a total order $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$. Note that $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ does not depend on the choice of a total order $<$ on K. Then $\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}\}$ forms an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathcal{T}(L)$. Since $\mathcal{T}(L)$ is an Ore domain, it is embedded into the skew field of fractions $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{T}(L))$.

Let $\widetilde{B} = (b_{ij})_{i\in\mathsf{K}, j\in\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}$ be an integer-valued $\mathsf{K}\times\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix whose principal part $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}$ is skew-symmetrizable, i.e. there exists a diagonal matrix D with a positive integer entries such that DB is skew-symmetric. Such a matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is called an *exchange matrix*. We say that a pair (L, B) is *compatible* if

$$\sum_{k\in\mathsf{K}} b_{ki} l_{kj} = d_i \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}} \text{ and } j \in \mathsf{K}$$

for some positive integers $\{d_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}$. We call the triple $\mathcal{S} = (\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}, L, \widetilde{B})$ a *quantum seed* in the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}(L)$ and $\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}$ a *quantum cluster*.

For $k \in \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, the *mutation* $\mu_k(L, \widetilde{B}) := (\mu_k(L), \mu_k(B))$ of a compatible pair $(L, \widetilde{B})$ *in a direction* k is defined in a combinatorial way (see [BZ05]). Note that (i) the pair $(\mu_k(L), \mu_k(B))$ is also compatible with the same positive integers $\{d_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ and (ii) the operation μ_k is an involution, i.e. $\mu_k(\mu_k(L, \widetilde{B})) = (L, \widetilde{B})$. We define an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$-algebras $\mu_k^*\colon \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{T}(\mu_k L)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{T}(L))$ by

$$\mu_k^*(X_j) := \begin{cases} X^{\mathbf{a}'} + X^{\mathbf{a}''} & \text{if } j = k, \\ X_j & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}'_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = k, \\ \max(0, b_{ik}) & \text{if } i \neq k, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a}''_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = k, \\ \max(0, -b_{ik}) & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Then the *mutation* $\mu_k(\mathcal{S})$ *of the quantum seed* $\mathcal{S}$ *in a direction* k is defined to be the triple $(\{X_i\}_{i\neq k} \sqcup \{\mu_k^*(X_k)\}, \mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}))$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For a quantum seed $\mathcal{S} = (\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}, L, \widetilde{B})$, an element in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{T}(L))$ is called a *quantum cluster variable* (respectively, *quantum cluster monomial*) if it is of the form

$$\mu^*_{k_1}\cdots\mu^*_{k_\ell}(X_j) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu^*_{k_1}\cdots\mu^*_{k_\ell}(X^{\mathbf{a}}))$$

for some finite sequence $(k_1,\dots,k_\ell)\in\mathsf{K}^\ell_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ($\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$) and $j\in\mathsf{K}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geqslant 0}$). For a quantum seed $\mathcal{S} = (\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}, L, \widetilde{B})$, the *quantum cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})$ is the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{T}(L))$ generated by all the quantum cluster variables. Note that $\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})\simeq\mathscr{A}_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{S}))$ for any sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of mutations.

The *quantum Laurent phenomenon*, proved by Berenstein and Zelevinsky in [BZ05], says that the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})$ is indeed contained in $\mathcal{T}(L)$.

For a quantum seed $\mathcal{S}$ with a compatible pair $(L,\widetilde{B})$, an element $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}(L)$ is called *pointed* (respectively, *copointed*) if it is of the following form:

$$\mathbf{x} = q^a X^{\mathbf{g}^R} + \sum_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}_{\geqslant 0}\setminus\{0\}} p_{\mathbf{c}} X^{\mathbf{g}^R+\widetilde{B}\mathbf{c}} \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \mathbf{x} = q^a X^{\mathbf{g}^L} + \sum_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}_{\leqslant 0}\setminus\{0\}} p_{\mathbf{c}} X^{\mathbf{g}^L+\widetilde{B}\mathbf{c}}\right) \tag{2.1}$$

for some $a\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{g}^R\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{g}^L\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$) and $p_{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathbb{A}$. In this case, we call $\mathbf{g}^R$ the *degree* (respectively, *codegree*) of the pointed (respectively, copointed) element $\mathbf{x}$ and denote it by $\mathbf{deg}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$ (respectively, $\mathbf{codeg}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$). The degree (respectively, codegree) is often the called *g-vector* (respectively, *dual g-vector*) of $\mathbf{x}$ (see [Qin17, Definition 3.1.4] and [Qin20, Definition 3.1.3]). It is worth remarking that the notion of g-vector (respectively, dual g-vector) *does depend on* the compatible pair $(L,\widetilde{B})$ and, hence, on the seed $\mathcal{S}$. It is proved in [Tra11, Theorem 5.3] that every quantum cluster monomial in $\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})$ is pointed.

We say that an $\mathbb{A}$-algebra R has a *quantum cluster algebra structure* if there exists a quantum seed $\mathcal{S}$ and an $\mathbb{A}$-algebra isomorphism $\Omega:\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})\xrightarrow{\sim}R$. In the case, a *quantum seed of* R refers to the image of a quantum seed in $\mathscr{A}_q(\mathcal{S})$, which is obtained by a sequence of mutations.

2.2 Quantum unipotent coordinate rings

Let I be an index set. A *Cartan datum* $(\mathsf{A},\mathsf{P},\Pi,\mathsf{P}^\vee,\Pi^\vee)$ consists of:

(a) a symmetrizable Cartan matrix $\mathsf{A}=(a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$, i.e. DA is symmetric for a diagonal matrix $\mathsf{D}=\mathrm{diag}(\mathsf{d}_i\mid i\in I)$ with $\mathsf{d}_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$;
(b) a free abelian group P, called the *weight lattice*;
(c) $\Pi=\{\alpha_i\mid i\in I\}\subset\mathsf{P}$, called the set of *simple roots*;
(d) $\Pi^\vee=\{h_i\mid i\in I\}\subset\mathsf{P}^\vee:=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{P},\mathbb{Z})$, called the set of *simple coroots*;
(e) a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on P;

satisfying the standard properties (see [KKKO18, § 1.1] for instance). Here we take $\mathsf{D}=\mathrm{diag}(\mathsf{d}_i\mid i\in I)$ such that $\mathsf{d}_i:=(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ($i\in I$) in this paper.

For $i\in I$, we choose $\varpi_i\in\mathsf{P}$ such that $\langle h_i,\varpi_j\rangle=\delta_{ij}$ for any $j\in I$ and call it the *ith fundamental weight*. The free abelian group $\mathsf{Q}:=\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice* and we set $\mathsf{Q}^+=\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\alpha_i\subset\mathsf{Q}$ and $\mathsf{Q}^-=\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\leqslant 0}\alpha_i\subset\mathsf{Q}$. We denote by Δ the set of *roots* and by $\Delta^\pm$ the set of *positive* roots (respectively, *negative* roots). For $\beta\in\sum_{i\in I}m_i\alpha_i\in\mathsf{Q}^+$, we set $|\beta|:=\sum_{i\in I}m_i$, $\mathrm{supp}(\beta):=\{i\in I\mid m_i\neq 0\}$ and $I^\beta:=\{\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_{|\beta|})\in I^{|\beta|}\mid \alpha_{\nu_1}+\cdots+\alpha_{\nu_{|\beta|}}=\beta\}$. Note that the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{|\beta|}=\langle r_1,\dots,r_{|\beta|}\rangle$ acts on I^β by the place permutations.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac–Moody algebra associated with the Cartan datum $(\mathsf{A},\mathsf{P},\Pi,\mathsf{P}^\vee,\Pi^\vee)$, and W the *Weyl group* of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is generated by the simple reflections $s_i\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathsf{P})$ ($i\in I$) defined by

$s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \alpha_i$ for $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}$. For a sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I^r$, we call it a *reduced sequence* of $w \in \mathsf{W}$ if $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_r}$ is a reduced expression of w. For $w, v \in \mathsf{W}$, we write $w \geqslant v$ if there is a reduced sequence of v which appears in a reduced sequence of w as a subsequence.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}$, we write $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$ if there exists a sequence of real positive roots β_k $(1 \leqslant k \leqslant l)$ such that $\lambda = s_{\beta_l} \cdots s_{\beta_1} \mu$ and $(\beta_k, s_{\beta_{k-1}} \cdots s_{\beta_1} \mu) > 0$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$. When $\Lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{W}\Lambda$ the relation $\lambda \preccurlyeq \mu$ holds if and only if there exist $w, v \in \mathsf{W}$ such that $\lambda = w\Lambda$, $\mu = v\Lambda$ and $v \leqslant w$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum group of $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$, generated by e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ and q^h $(h \in \mathsf{P}^\vee)$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$ generated by $e_i^n/[n]_i!$ $(i \in I,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$, where

$$q_i := q^{\mathsf{d}_i}, \quad [k]_i = \frac{q_i^k - q_i^{-k}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad [k]_i! = \prod_{s=1}^{k} [s]_i.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^-} \mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})_\beta \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})_\beta := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})}(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{-\beta}, \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})),$$

where $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{-\beta}$ denotes the $(-\beta)$-root space of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ also has an algebra structure and is called the *quantum unipotent coordinate ring* of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n})$ the $\mathbb{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ generated by $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathbb{A}$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra with a $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule structure.

For each $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+ := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0} \varpi_i$ and Weyl group elements $w, w' \in \mathsf{W}$, we can define a specific homogeneous element $D(w\lambda, w'\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n})$, called a *unipotent quantum minor* (see, for example, [KKKO18, § 9]).

For $w \in \mathsf{W}$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ the $\mathbb{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n})$ consisting of elements ψ such that $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{|\beta|}} \psi = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+ \setminus w\mathsf{Q}^-$ and $(\nu_{i_1}, \dots, \nu_{i_{|\beta|}}) \in I^\beta$. Then it is an $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra and we call it the *quantum unipotent coordinate ring associated with* w.

For a reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ of $w \in \mathsf{W}$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, define $w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ and $w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{<k} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is generated by the set of unipotent quantum minors $\{D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} \varpi_{i_k}, w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{<k} \varpi_{i_k}) \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r\}$ as an $\mathbb{A}$-algebra.

It is proved in [GLS13a, GY17, KKKO18, Qin20] that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ has a quantum cluster algebra structure, one of whose quantum seeds $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ can be obtained from a reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ of w. To introduce $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$, we need preparations.

Let $\boldsymbol{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ be a sequence of indices in I. For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$ and $j \in I$, we set

$$k_+^{\boldsymbol{j}} := \min(\{u \mid k < u \leqslant l,\ j_u = j_k\} \cup \{l+1\}),$$
$$k_-^{\boldsymbol{j}} := \max(\{u \mid 1 \leqslant u < k,\ j_u = j_k\} \cup \{0\}).$$

We also set

$$k_{\min}^{\boldsymbol{j}} := \min\{u \mid 1 \leqslant u \leqslant k,\ j_u = j_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\max}^{\boldsymbol{j}} := \max\{u \mid k \leqslant u \leqslant l,\ j_u = j_k\}.$$

We sometimes drop ${}^{\boldsymbol{j}}$ in the above notation if there is no danger of confusion.

Take $\mathsf{K} = [1, r]$ as an index set and decompose K into

$$\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{fr}} = \{k \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r,\ k_+^{\boldsymbol{i}} = r+1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}} := \mathsf{K} \setminus \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{fr}}.$$

We define the $\mathbb{Z}$-valued $\mathsf{K} \times \mathsf{K}_{\rm ex}$ matrix $\widetilde{B}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = (b^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{st})_{s \in \mathsf{K}, t \in \mathsf{K}_{\rm ex}}$ and the $\mathbb{Z}$-valued skew-symmetric $\mathsf{K} \times \mathsf{K}$ matrix $L^{\boldsymbol{i}} = (l^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{st})_{s,t \in \mathsf{K}}$ as follows:

$$b^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{st} = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } s = t^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\pm}, \\ -a_{i_s, i_t} & \text{if } s < t < s^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{+} < t^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{+}, \\ a_{i_s, i_t} & \text{if } t < s < t^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{+} < s^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{+}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$l^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{st} = (\varpi_{i_s} - w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant s} \varpi_{i_s}, \varpi_{i_t} + w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant t} \varpi_{i_t}) \quad \text{for } s < t.$$

Then the quantum seed $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}} := \left(\{ q^{c^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k} D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}) \}_{k \in \mathsf{K}}, L^{\boldsymbol{i}}, \widetilde{B}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $c^{\boldsymbol{i}}_s = \frac{1}{4}(\varpi_{i_s} - w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant s} \varpi_{i_s}, \varpi_{i_s} - w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant s} \varpi_{i_s}) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. Note that $(L^{\boldsymbol{i}} \widetilde{B}^{\boldsymbol{i}})_{ab} = -2\mathsf{d}_{i_a} \times \delta_{a,b}$ for $(a,b) \in \mathsf{K} \times \mathsf{K}_{\rm ex}$, $\mathrm{wt}(D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k})) = -\varpi_{i_s} + w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant s} \varpi_{i_s}$, and

$$\left\{ q^{c^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k} D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}) = q^{c^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k} D(w \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}) \mid k \in \mathsf{K}_{\rm fr} \right\}$$

forms the set of frozen variables of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. We call $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ the *GLS seed* (associated with $\boldsymbol{i}$).

We set $\mathcal{D}(w) := \{ q^m D(w\varpi, \varpi) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}/2, \ \varpi \in \mathsf{P}^+ \}$. Then it is well-known that $\mathcal{D}(w)$ consists of q-central elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ and, hence, forms an Ore set. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}^w)$ the quotient ring of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ by the Ore set $\mathcal{D}(w)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}^w)$ has also the quantum cluster algebra structure with the *invertible* frozen variables $\{ q^{c^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k} D(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}) \}_{k \in \mathsf{K}_{\rm fr}}$ in the sense of [BZ05].

2.3 Quiver Hecke algebras and categorifications

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a base field. For $i, j \in I$, we choose polynomials $\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(u,v) \in \mathbf{k}[u,v]$ such that (a) $\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(u,v) = \mathcal{Q}_{j,i}(v,u)$ and (b) each $\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(u,v)$ is of the following form:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(u,v) = \delta(i \neq j) \sum_{p(\alpha_i,\alpha_i) + q(\alpha_j,\alpha_j) = -2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)} t_{i,j;p,q} u^p v^q \quad \text{where } t_{i,j;-a_{i,j},0} \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}.$$

For a Cartan datum $(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{P}, \Pi, \mathsf{P}^{\vee}, \Pi^{\vee})$ and $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$, the *quiver Hecke algebra $R(\beta)$ associated with $(\mathcal{Q}_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$* is the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra over $\mathbf{k}$ generated by the elements

$$\{e(\nu)\}_{\nu \in I^\beta}, \quad \{x_k\}_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant |\beta|}, \quad \{\tau_m\}_{1 \leqslant m < |\beta|}$$

subject to certain defining relations (see [KKOP21, Definition 1.1] for instance). Note that the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $R(\beta)$ is determined by the degrees of following elements:

$$\deg(e(\nu)) = 0, \quad \deg(x_k e(\nu)) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}, \alpha_{\nu_k}), \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(\tau_m e(\nu)) = -(\alpha_{\nu_m}, \alpha_{\nu_{m+1}}).$$

We say that $R(\beta)$ is *symmetric* if $\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(u,v) \in \mathbf{k}[u-v]$ for $i, j \in \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$.

We denote by $R(\beta)$-gmod the category of finite-dimensional graded $R(\beta)$-modules with homomorphisms of degree 0. For $M \in R(\beta)$-gmod, we set $\mathrm{wt}(M) := -\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^-$. Note that there exists the *degree shift functor*, denoted by q, such that $(qM)_n = M_{n-1}$ for $M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k \in R(\beta)$-gmod.

Throughout this paper, we usually deal with graded $R(\beta)$-modules ($\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$) and sometimes skip grading shifts. Thus, we usually say that M is an R-module instead of saying that M is a graded $R(\beta)$-module and $f \colon M \to N$ is a homomorphism if $f \colon q^a M \to N$ is a morphism in

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$R(\beta)$-gmod. We set

$$\mathrm{HOM}_{R(\beta)}(M,N) := \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{HOM}_{R(\beta)}(M,N)_a$$

with $\mathrm{HOM}_{R(\beta)}(M,N)_a := \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)\text{-gmod}}(q^a M, N)_a$. We write $\deg(f) := a$ for an $f \in \mathrm{HOM}_{R(\beta)}(M,N)_a$.

For an $R(\beta)$-module M and an $R(\gamma)$-module N, we can obtain $R(\beta+\gamma)$-module

$$M \circ N := R(\beta+\gamma)e(\beta,\gamma) \underset{R(\beta)\otimes R(\gamma)}{\otimes} (M \otimes N),$$

where $e(\beta,\gamma) := \sum_{\nu\in I^\beta, \nu'\in I^\gamma} e(\nu * \nu') \in R(\beta+\gamma)$. Here $\nu * \nu'$ denotes the concatenation of ν and ν', and $\circ$ is called the *convolution product*. We say that two simple R-modules M and N *strongly commute* if $M \circ N$ is simple. If a simple module M strongly commutes with itself, then M is called *real*. A simple R-module M is said to be *prime* if there are no non-trivial simple R-modules N_1 and N_2 such that $M \simeq N_1 \circ N_2$.

For an $R(\beta)$-module M, the dual space $M^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(M, \mathbf{k})$ admits an $R(\beta)$-module structure via

$$(r \cdot f)(u) = f(\psi(r)u) \quad (r \in R(\beta),\ u \in M,\ f \in M^*).$$

Here ψ denotes the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra anti-involution $R(\beta)$ which fixes the generators of $R(\beta)$. A simple $R(\beta)$-module M is called *self-dual* if $M^* \simeq M$.

Set $R\text{-gmod} := \bigoplus_{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+} R(\beta)\text{-gmod}$. Then the category R-gmod is a monoidal category with the tensor product $\circ$ and the unit object $\mathbf{1} := \mathbf{k} \in R(0)$-gmod. Hence, the Grothendieck group $K(R\text{-gmod})$ has the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-algebra structure derived from $\circ$ and the degree shift functors $q^{\pm 1}$.

For a monoidal abelian subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of R-gmod stable by grading shifts, we set

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathbb{A} \underset{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}{\otimes} K(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $K(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$. For a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of R-gmod, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of the isomorphism classes of self-dual modules in $\mathcal{C}$. Note that $\mathrm{Irr}(R\text{-gmod})$ forms an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(R\text{-gmod})$.

It is proved in [KL09, KL11, Rou08] that there exists an $\mathbb{A}$-algebra isomorphism

$$\Omega\colon \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(R\text{-gmod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}). \tag{2.3}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2 [KKOP18, Proposition 4.1]. *For $\varpi \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu,\zeta \in \mathsf{W}\varpi$ with $\mu \preccurlyeq \zeta$, there exists a self-dual real simple $R(\zeta-\mu)$-module $M(\mu,\zeta)$ such that*

$$\Omega([M(\mu,\zeta)]) = D(\mu,\zeta).$$

Here, $[M(\mu,\zeta)]$ denotes the isomorphism class of $M(\mu,\zeta)$ which is called the determinantal module *associated with $D(\mu,\zeta)$.*

For an $R(\beta)$-module M, we define

$$W(M) := \{\gamma \in \mathsf{Q}^+ \cap (\beta - \mathsf{Q}^+) \mid e(\gamma, \beta-\gamma)M \neq 0\},$$
$$W^*(M) := \{\gamma \in \mathsf{Q}^+ \cap (\beta - \mathsf{Q}^+) \mid e(\beta-\gamma, \gamma)M \neq 0\}.$$

An ordered pair (M,N) of R-modules is called *unmixed* [TW16, Definition 2.5] if

$$W^*(M) \cap W(N) \subset \{0\}.$$

For $w \in \mathsf{W}$, we denote by $\mathscr{C}_w$ the full subcategory of R-gmod whose objects M satisfy $W(M) \subset \mathsf{Q}^+ \cap w\mathsf{Q}^-$. Then the category $\mathscr{C}_w$ is the smallest monoidal abelian category of

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

R-gmod which (i) is stable under taking subquotients, extensions, grading shifts and (ii) contains $\{S_k^{\boldsymbol{i}} := M(w_{\leqslant k}^{\boldsymbol{i}}\varpi_{i_k}, w_{<k}^{\boldsymbol{i}}\varpi_{i_k}) \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r\}$ for any reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$ of w. We call $S_k^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ the kth *cuspidal module associated with* $\boldsymbol{i}$. Defining $\beta_k^{\boldsymbol{i}} := w_{<k}^{\boldsymbol{i}}\alpha_{i_k}$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, one can see that $\{\beta_k^{\boldsymbol{i}} \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r\} = \Delta^+ \cap w\Delta^-$, and $-\mathrm{wt}(S_k^{\boldsymbol{i}}) = \beta_k^{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Then we have [Kim12, § 4]

$$\Omega(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}_w)) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w)).$$

2.4 *R*-matrices and affreal simple modules

For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ and $i \in I$, let

$$\mathfrak{p}_{i,\beta} = \sum_{\eta \in I^\beta} \Bigg(\prod_{a \in [1,|\beta|];\ \eta_a = i} x_a \Bigg) e(\eta) \in \mathcal{Z}(R(\beta)),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(R(\beta))$ denotes the center of $R(\beta)$.

Definition 2.3 [KP18, Definition 2.2]. For an $R(\beta)$-module M, we say that M *admits an affinization* if there exists an $R(\beta)$-module $\widehat{M}$ satisfying the condition: there exists an endomorphism $z_{\widehat{M}}$ of degree $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\widehat{M}/z_{\widehat{M}}\widehat{M} \simeq M$ and:

(i) $\widehat{M}$ is a finitely generated free module over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[z_{\widehat{M}}]$;
(ii) $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\beta}\widehat{M} \neq 0$ for all $i \in I$.

We say that a simple $R(\beta)$-module M is *affreal* if M is real and admits an affinization.

It is known that any $M \in R(\beta)$-gmod admits an affinization if $R(\beta)$ is symmetric. However, when $R(\beta)$ is not symmetric, it is widely open whether an $R(\beta)$-module M admits an affinization or not.

Theorem 2.4 [KKOP21, Theorem 3.26]. *For $\varpi \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu, \zeta \in \mathsf{W}\varpi$ such that $\mu \preccurlyeq \zeta$, the determinantal module $M(\mu, \zeta)$ is affreal.*

Proposition 2.5 [KKKO18, KKOP21]. *Let M and N be simple modules such that one of them is affreal. Then there exists a unique R-module homomorphism $\mathbf{r}_{M,N} \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \circ N, N \circ M) = \mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{r}_{M,N}.$$

We call the homomorphism $\mathbf{r}_{M,N}$ the *R-matrix*.

Definition 2.6. For simple R-modules M and N such that one of them is affreal, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(M,N) &:= \deg(\mathbf{r}_{M,N}),\\
\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N) &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\Lambda(M,N) + \big(\mathrm{wt}(M), \mathrm{wt}(N)\big)\big),\\
\mathfrak{d}(M,N) &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\Lambda(M,N) + \Lambda(N,M)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

It is proved in [KKKO18, KKOP21] that the invariants $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N)$ and $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)$ in Definition 2.6 belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

For simple modules M and N, $M \nabla N$ and $M \Delta N$ denote the head and the socle of $M \circ N$, respectively.

Proposition 2.7 [KKKO15, Lemma 3.1.4] and [KKKO18, Corollary 4.1.2]. *Let M and N be simple R-modules such that one of them is affreal.*

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(i) *The image of* $\mathbf{r}_{M,N}$ *is equal to* $M \nabla N$ *and* $N \Delta M$*.*
(ii) *The head* $M \nabla N$ *and socle* $M \Delta N$ *are simple modules and each of them appears exactly once in the composition series of* $M \circ N$ *(up to a grading shift).*
(iii) *Assume that* N *is affreal.*
 (a) *If a simple subquotient* S *of* $M \circ N$ *is not isomorphic to* $M \nabla N$*, then* $\Lambda(S, N) < \Lambda(M \nabla N, N) = \Lambda(M, N)$*.*
 (b) *If a simple subquotient* S *of* $M \circ N$ *is not isomorphic to* $M \Delta N$*, then* $\Lambda(N, S) < \Lambda(N, M \Delta N) = \Lambda(N, M)$*.*
(iv) *If* M *and* N *are self-dual, then* $q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N)} M \nabla N$ *is a self-dual simple module.*
(v) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
 (a) $M \circ N \simeq N \circ M$ *up to a grading shift;*
 (b) $M \circ N$ *is simple;*
 (c) $\mathfrak{d}(M, N) = 0$*;*
 (d) $M \nabla N \simeq M \Delta N$ *up to a grading shift.*

Proposition 2.8 [KKOP21, Corollary 3.18]. *Let* M *be an affreal simple module. Let* X *be an* R*-module in* R*-gmod. Let* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *and assume that any simple subquotient* S *of* X *satisfies* $\mathfrak{d}(M, S) \leqslant n$*. Then any simple subquotient* N *of* $M \circ X$ *satisfies* $\mathfrak{d}(M, N) < n$*. In particular, any simple subquotient of* $M^{\circ n} \circ X$ *strongly commutes with* M*.*

An ordered sequence of simple modules $\underline{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_r)$ is called *almost affreal* if all L_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$ are affreal except for at most one.

Definition 2.9. An almost affreal sequence $\underline{L}$ of simple modules is called a *normal sequence* if the composition of R-matrices

$$\mathbf{r}_{\underline{L}} := \prod_{1 \leqslant i < k \leqslant r} \mathbf{r}_{L_i, L_k} = (\mathbf{r}_{L_{r-1}, L_r}) \circ \cdots (\mathbf{r}_{L_2, L_r} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{r}_{L_2, L_3}) \circ (\mathbf{r}_{L_1, L_r} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{r}_{L_1, L_2})$$
$$: \ q^{\sum_{1 \leqslant i < k \leqslant r} \Lambda(L_i, L_k)} L_1 \circ \cdots \circ L_r \longrightarrow L_r \circ \cdots \circ L_1 \quad \text{does not vanish.}$$

Lemma 2.10 [KK19, § 2.3] and [KKOP23, § 2.2]. *Let* $\underline{L}$ *be an almost affreal sequence of simple modules. If* $\underline{L}$ *is normal, then the image of* $\mathbf{r}_{\underline{L}}$ *is simple and coincides with the head of* $L_1 \circ \cdots \circ L_r$ *and also with the socle of* $L_r \circ \cdots \circ L_1$*, up to grading shifts.*

Lemma 2.11 [KKKO18, Proposition 3.2.13]. *Let* (A, B, C) *be an almost affreal sequence. Then we have the following:*

(i) $\Lambda(A, B \nabla C) = \Lambda(A, B) + \Lambda(A, C)$ *if* A *and* B *commute;*
(ii) $\Lambda(A \nabla B, C) = \Lambda(A, C) + \Lambda(B, C)$ *if* B *and* C *commute.*

For a given almost affreal sequence $\underline{L}$ of R-modules, the sufficient conditions for $\underline{L}$ being normal are studied in [KK19, KKOP23]. In this paper, we will use the conditions frequently.

2.5 Commuting families

Let J be an index set. We say that a family of affreal simple modules $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j\}_{j \in J}$ in R-gmod is a *commuting family* if

$$M_i \circ M_j \simeq M_j \circ M_i \quad \text{up to a grading shift for any } i, j \in J.$$

For a commuting family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ in R-gmod, let us take $\lambda \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\lambda(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) - \lambda(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i) = \Lambda(M_i, M_j) \quad \text{for any } i, j \in J. \tag{2.4}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Here $\{\mathbf{e}_j \mid j \in J\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus J}$. Then there exists a family $\{\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\oplus J}\}$ of simple modules in $\mathscr{C}_w$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}_\lambda(0) = \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{e}_j) = M_j && \text{for any } j \in J, \\ &\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{a}) \circ \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{b}) \simeq q^{-\lambda(a,b)} \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) && \text{for any } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{J}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We sometimes omit $_\lambda$ for notational simplicity.

Remark 2.12. Note that $\lambda(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \widetilde{\Lambda}(M_i, M_j)$ satisfies condition (2.4). Moreover, if all the M_i are self-dual, then $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathbf{a})$ is self-dual for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{J}$. We usually take this choice of λ.

Definition 2.13. A commuting family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ is called *independent* if $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\oplus J}$ satisfies $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq q^s \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a commuting family. Then it is independent if and only if the set $\{[\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\oplus J}\}$ in $K(R\text{-gmod})$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$.*

2.6 Localization of $\mathscr{C}_w$

Throughout this subsection, we fix $w \in \mathsf{W}$ and set

$$I_w := \{i \in I \mid w\varpi_i \neq \varpi_i\}.$$

For notational simplicity, let us write

$$C_i := M(w\varpi_i, \varpi_i) \in R\text{-gmod} \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

Then $\{\Omega([C_i]) \mid i \in I_w\}$ forms the set of frozen variables of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. For each $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \varpi_i \in \mathsf{P}^+$, we set $C_\mu = M(w\mu, \mu)$, which is a self-dual convolution product $q^c \circ_{i \in I} C_i^{\circ \mu_i}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is proved in [KKOP21, KKOP23, KKOP24a] that there exists a monoidal abelian category $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w = \mathscr{C}_w[C_i^{\circ -1} \mid i \in I]$ with a tensor product $\circ$, a degree shift functor q and a monoidal *exact fully faithful* functor $\Phi_w \colon \mathscr{C}_w \to \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ satisfying the following properties.

(2.6)

- (A) The objects $\Phi_w(C_i)$ are invertible objects in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$; that is, there exists an object $\Phi_w(C_i)^{-1}$ in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ such that $\Phi(C_i) \circ \Phi_w(C_i)^{-1} \simeq 1$ and $\Phi(C_i)^{-1} \circ \Phi(C_i) \simeq 1$.
- (B) The category $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ is universal to $\mathscr{C}_w$ in the following sense: for any monoidal functor $\Psi \colon \mathscr{C}_w \to \mathcal{T}$ to another monoidal category $\mathcal{T}$ in which $\Psi(C_i)$ is invertible for every $i \in I$, there exists a monoidal functor $\Psi' \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w \to \mathcal{T}$ such that $\Psi \simeq \Psi' \circ \Phi_w$. Moreover, Ψ' is unique up to a unique isomorphism.
- (C) There exists a commuting family of simple objects $\{\widetilde{C}_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathsf{P}\}$ such that $\widetilde{C}_\mu \simeq \Phi_w(C_\mu)$ for every $\mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\widetilde{C}_\mu \circ \widetilde{C}_{\mu'} \simeq q^{\mathsf{H}(\mu,\mu')} \widetilde{C}_{\mu+\mu'}$ for every $\mu, \mu' \in \mathsf{P}$. Here H denotes the bilinear form on P given by $\mathsf{H}(\mu, \mu') = (\mu, w\mu' - \mu')$.
- (D) Every simple object in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ is isomorphic to $\Phi_w(S) \circ \widetilde{C}_\mu$ for some simple object $S \in \mathscr{C}_w$ and $\mu \in \mathsf{P}$.

(For the precise properties, see [KKOP21, KKOP23, KKOP24a].)

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 2.15 ([KKOP21] (see also [KKOP23, Remark 3.6])). *There exists an* $\mathbb{A}$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\Omega}\colon \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w) := \mathbb{A} \underset{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}{\otimes} K(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{n}^w) \quad \text{such that} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}_w)} = \Omega.$$

Here $K(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w)$ *denotes the Grothendieck ring of* $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$.

A pair $(\varepsilon\colon X \otimes Y \to \mathbf{1},\ \eta\colon \mathbf{1} \to Y \otimes X)$ of morphisms in a monoidal category with a unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is called an *adjunction* if the following two conditions hold.

(a) The composition $X \simeq X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{X\otimes\eta} X \otimes Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\otimes X} \mathbf{1} \otimes X \simeq X$ is the identity.
(b) The composition $Y \simeq \mathbf{1} \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\eta\otimes Y} Y \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{Y\otimes\varepsilon} Y \otimes \mathbf{1} \simeq Y$ is the identity.

In the case when (ε, η) is an adjunction, we say that X is a *left dual to* Y, Y is a *right dual to* X and (X, Y) is a *dual pair*.

THEOREM 2.16 [KKOP21, KKOP23]. *The monoidal category* $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ *is rigid; i.e. every object of* $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$ *has a right dual and a left dual in* $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$.

2.7 Determinantal modules and monoidal clusters

In this subsection, we denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the category of $\mathscr{C}_w$ or $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$. Recall that

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ has a quantum cluster algebra structure via an isomorphism}$$
$$\Omega = \Omega \text{ or } \widetilde{\Omega}.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ be a reduced sequence of $w \in \mathsf{W}$. For k such that $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, set

$$M_k^{\boldsymbol{i}} = M(w_{\leqslant k}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k})$$

(see Proposition 2.2 for the notation).

PROPOSITION 2.17 [KKOP18, Theorem 4.12]. *Let* $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ *be a reduced sequence of* $w \in \mathsf{W}$. *For* $s < t \in \mathsf{K}$, *we have*

$$-\Lambda(M_s^{\boldsymbol{i}}, M_t^{\boldsymbol{i}}) = (\varpi_{i_s} - w_{\leqslant s}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \varpi_{i_s}, \varpi_{i_t} + w_{\leqslant t}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \varpi_{i_t}) = l_{st}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = (L^{\boldsymbol{i}})_{st}.$$

We say that a commuting family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a *monoidal cluster* if there exists a quantum seed $(\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathsf{K}}, L = (l_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathsf{K}}, \widetilde{B} = (b_{i,j})_{i\in\mathsf{K}, j\in\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}})$ of $\mathscr{A}$ such that

$$X_i = \Omega(q^{1/4(\mathrm{wt}(M_i),\mathrm{wt}(M_i))}[M_i]) \quad \text{and} \quad l_{i,j} = -\Lambda(M_i, M_j).$$

Note that every monoidal seed is independent since the quantum cluster monomials in a cluster are linearly independent over $\mathbb{A}$ by the definition.

With Proposition 2.2 and (2.2), Proposition 2.17 says that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}} := \{M_k^{\boldsymbol{i}}\}_{1\leqslant k\leqslant r} \text{ is a monoidal cluster in } \mathscr{C}_w, \tag{2.7}$$

for any reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ of w. We call $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ the *GLS cluster* (associated with $\boldsymbol{i}$).

3. Quasi-Laurent family and Laurent family

In this section, we introduce the notions of quasi-Laurent families and Laurent families, which allow us to associate two vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^J$ with each simple module.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3.1 Definition

Let J be a finite index set. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a full monoidal subcategory of R-gmod stable by taking subquotients, extensions and grading shifts.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ be an* independent *commuting family of affreal simple objects in $\mathscr{C}$ and X a simple module in $\mathscr{C}$.*

(i) *If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ satisfy $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ and $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}')$ up to grading shifts, then one has $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{a}'$.*

(ii) *If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ satisfy $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \nabla X \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \nabla X \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}')$ up to grading shifts, then one has $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{a}'$.*

Proof. Since the proof are similar, we prove only part (i). We have

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}') \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}) \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \simeq (X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \simeq \mathrm{hd}(X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}))$$
$$\simeq (X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}')) \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}' + \mathbf{a}),$$

and, hence, we have $\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}'$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is independent. □

DEFINITION 3.2. We say that a commuting family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ of affreal simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ is a *quasi-Laurent family* in $\mathscr{C}$ if $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $\mathcal{M}$ is independent; and

(b) if a simple module X commutes with all M_j $(j \in J)$, then there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ such that

$$X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}) \quad \text{up to a grading shift.}$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies part (a) and part (c) below, then we say that $\mathcal{M}$ is a *Laurent family*:

(c) if a simple module X commutes with all M_j $(j \in J)$, then there exists $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $X \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$.

Note that a Laurent family is a quasi-Laurent family.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}$. Then we have the following:*

(i) *for any simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}$, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ up to a grading shift;*

(ii) *for any simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}$, there exist $\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $X \,\Delta\, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}')$ up to a grading shift.*

Proof. Since the proofs are similar, we shall only prove the first statement. Let us take $\mathbf{a}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}_j \gg 0$ for all $j \in J$. Then Proposition 2.8 says that the simple module $Y := X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}^{(1)})$ commutes with all M_j. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-Laurent family, there exists $\mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geq 0}$ such that $Y \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}^{(2)}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$. Hence, by taking $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^{(1)} + \mathbf{a}^{(2)}$, we have

$$X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}),$$

as desired. □

By applying the similar argument as in the lemma above to composition factors of $X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$, we have the following corollary.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}$. Then, for any $X \in \mathscr{C}$, there exist $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, a finite index set S, $c(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{b}(s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$ $(s \in \mathsf{S})$ such that*

$$[X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] = \sum_{s \in \mathsf{S}} q^{c(s)} [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}(s))]. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.5. The above corollary says that for every module X in $\mathscr{C}$ and a quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$, the isomorphism class $[X]$ of X in $K(\mathscr{C})$ can be expressed as an element in the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}][[M_j]^{\pm 1} \mid j \in J]$ with positive coefficients.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Assume that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C})$ has a quantum cluster algebra structure, and let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_k \mid k \in \mathsf{K}\}$ be a monoidal cluster in $\mathscr{C}$. Then the commuting family $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-Laurent family. In particular, the isomorphism class $[X]$ of X in $K(\mathscr{C})$ can be expressed as an element in the Laurent polynomial $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}][\ [M_j] \mid j \in J]$ with positive coefficients.*

If, moreover, every $[M_k]$ is prime in $K(\mathscr{C})|_{q=1}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a Laurent family.

Note that if $K(\mathscr{C})|_{q=1}$ is factorial, then every $[M_k]$ is prime in $K(\mathscr{C})|_{q=1}$ (see [GLS13b]).

Proof. Let X be a simple module in $\mathscr{C}$ commuting with all M_k $(k \in \mathsf{K})$. The quantum Laurent phenomenon states that there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that

$$[X] = \frac{\sum_{s \in \mathsf{S}} c(s) [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}^{(s)})]}{[\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})]} \iff [X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] = \sum_{s \in \mathsf{S}} c(s) [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}^{(s)})] \tag{3.2}$$

for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, $\mathbf{b}^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geqslant 0}$ and $c(s) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$. Since $X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$ is simple, the right-hand side of (3.2) must coincide with $q^c \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c})$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-Laurent family.

Let us show that $\mathcal{M}$ is a Laurent family. If $X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$, then we have $\mathbf{a}_k \leqslant \mathbf{b}_k$ for all k, since each $[M_k]$ is a prime element of $K(\mathscr{C})|_{q=1}$. Hence, setting $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$, we have $X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c}) \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$, which implies that $X \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c})$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}$ is a Laurent family. $\square$

DEFINITION 3.7. For a simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}$ and a quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$, take $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$ and $X \Delta \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}') \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}')$ up to grading shifts. Then we define

$$\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X) := \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X) := \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}.$$

Remark 3.8.

(1) For a quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ are well-defined by Lemma 3.1.
(2) For a reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$ of w and its quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$, we write $\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ instead of $\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}$, respectively.
(3) The map $\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for the quasi-Laurent family $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ can be extended to the set $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w)$ of the isomorphism classes of self-dual simples in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$.

The following lemma can be proved by the same arguments in [KK19].

LEMMA 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}$ and X a simple module in $\mathscr{C}$.*

(i) *If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$ satisfy $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$), then we have*

$$X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}) \ (\text{respectively, } X \Delta \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})) \text{ up to a grading shift.}$$

(ii) *For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) = \mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X) + \mathbf{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X \Delta \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) = \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X) + \mathbf{a}.$$

(iii) *The maps $\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ from $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathscr{C})$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{J}$ are injective.*

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

4. PBW decomposition vector and GLS seed

In this section, we recall the PBW basis, and we investigate the relationship between the g-vectors and the PBW decomposition vectors.

4.1 PBW decomposition vector

Let us take $w \in \mathsf{W}$ and its reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$. Recall the following.

(a) We take an index set $\mathsf{K} = [1, r]$ with a decomposition

$$\mathsf{K}_{\rm ex} \sqcup \mathsf{K}_{\rm fr} \quad \text{where } \mathsf{K}_{\rm ex} = \{k \in \mathsf{K} \mid k_+ \leqslant r\}.$$

(b) For each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, we set $\beta^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k \in \Delta^+ \cap w\Delta^-$ and define simple modules $S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k = M(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k}, w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{<k}\varpi_{i_k})$ and $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k = M(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant k}\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k})$. Note that

$$M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k \simeq S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{k_-} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}} := \{M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k \mid k \in \mathsf{K}\} \text{ forms a commuting family.} \tag{4.1}$$

For any $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_k)_{1\leqslant k \leqslant r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geqslant 0}$, the convolution product

$$P_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) := q^{(1/2)\sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{a}_k(\mathbf{a}_k - 1)\mathsf{d}_{i_k}} {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{a}_r} \circ \cdots \circ {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_1}^{\circ \mathbf{a}_1}$$

has a self-dual simple head. Conversely, every self-dual simple module in $\mathscr{C}_w$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{hd}(P_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}))$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$ in a unique way (see [McN15, Theorem 3.1] and [TW16, Theorem 2.19]). We call $\{P_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}\}$ the *PBW basis of $\mathscr{C}_w$ associated with $\boldsymbol{i}$*.

For a simple module X such that $X \simeq \mathrm{hd}(P_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}))$, we set

$$\mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X) := \mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r).$$

The following lemma says that the operation $\mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(- \nabla \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}))$ on the set of simple modules behaves very nicely, where $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined in (2.5).

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [KK19, Lemma 3.11 and Proposition 3.14]). *For $M = \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$ and a simple module X, we have*

$$\mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X \nabla M) = \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X) + \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(M).$$

In particular, $\mathbf{c} := \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\big(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a})\big)$ is given by $\mathbf{c}_k = \sum_j \mathbf{a}_j$ where j ranges over $j \in [1, r]$ such that $j \geqslant k$ and $\boldsymbol{i}_j = \boldsymbol{i}_k$.

Proof. It is enough to show it when $M = M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k$. Note that

$$X \simeq \mathrm{hd}\left(\underset{1\leqslant k\leqslant r}{\overset{\to}{\circ}} {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_k}\right) = {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \nabla Y,$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_r) = \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X)$, $Y \simeq \mathrm{hd}\left(\underset{1\leqslant k\leqslant r-1}{\overset{\to}{\circ}} {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_k}\right)$ and $\underset{p\leqslant k\leqslant q}{\overset{\to}{\circ}} X_k$ denotes the ordered convolution product $X_q \circ X_{q-1} \circ \cdots X_{p+1} \circ X_p$ for X_k in R-gmod.

If $r > k$, then $({S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r}, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k)$ is unmixed and, hence, ${S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \circ Y \circ M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k$ has a simple head. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k &\simeq \mathrm{hd}({S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \circ Y \circ M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k) \\ &\simeq {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \nabla (Y \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k) \simeq {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \nabla \mathrm{hd}\left(\underset{1\leqslant k\leqslant r-1}{\overset{\to}{\circ}} {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(Y) + \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k)$ by induction on r. Thus, our assertion follows in this case.

If $r = k$, then $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r$ commutes with all the objects of $\mathscr{C}_w$ and, hence, we have

$$X \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r \simeq \mathrm{hd}({S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \circ M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_r \circ {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{r-1}}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots {S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_1}^{\circ \mathbf{n}_1})$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \circ M_r^{\boldsymbol{i}}) \nabla Y \simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{n}_r} \circ (S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}} \nabla M_{r_-}^{\boldsymbol{i}})) \nabla Y$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{n}_r+1} \nabla M_{r_-}^{\boldsymbol{i}}) \nabla Y \simeq S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{n}_r+1} \nabla (M_{r_-}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \circ Y),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the commutativity of $M_{r_-}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and Y. Then our assertion follows from the induction hypothesis. □

The lemma above gives a direct proof of the following corollary although it follows immediately from Proposition 3.6.

Corollary 4.2. *For any reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$ for w, the commuting family $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is independent.*

The following proposition is proved in [KK19, Proposition 2.11] for symmetric quiver Hecke algebra and the same proof also works for the general case.

Proposition 4.3. *For any $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r)$, $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathsf{K}}$, the ordered sequence*

$$(S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{a}_r}, (S_{r-1}^{\boldsymbol{i}})^{\circ \mathbf{a}_{r-1}}, \dots, S_1^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{a}_1}, M_1^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{b}_1}, M_2^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{b}_2}, \dots, M_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{b}_r})$$

is a normal sequence.

The statement and proof of following proposition are the same as [KK19, Proposition 3.14] even though [KK19] dealt only with symmetric quiver Hecke algebras. Here we repeat it in order to show relations between explicit $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$-vectors associated with a simple module X in $\mathscr{C}_w$ for the readers' convenience.

Proposition 4.4. *For a simple module X in $\mathscr{C}_w$ or $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathsf{K}}$ such that*

$$X \nabla \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{b}) \quad \text{up to a grading shift.}$$

Proof. In this proof, we sometimes drop $^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for notational simplicity. It is enough to consider when $X \in \mathscr{C}_w$ by part (D) in (2.6). Note that there exists a unique $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathsf{K}}$ such that

$$X \simeq \mathrm{hd}(P_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{c})) \simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ \cdots \circ S_1^{\boldsymbol{i}\circ \mathbf{c}_1}).$$

Set $\mathbf{c}_+ := \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{c}_{k_+} \mathbf{e}_k = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{K}} \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{e}_{k_-}$, where $\{\mathbf{e}_j \mid j \in \mathsf{K}\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{K}} \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{e}_j$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{c}_+) \simeq M_{1_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \circ \cdots \circ M_{r_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r}$. By (4.1) and Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X \nabla \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{c}_+) &\simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ S_{r-1}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \circ \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{c}_+)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{hd}(S_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ S_{r-1}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_2^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2} \circ S_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \circ M_{1_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \circ M_{2_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2} \circ \cdots \circ M_{r_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r}) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{hd}((S_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ S_{r-1}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_2^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2}) \circ (S_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \nabla M_{1_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1}) \circ (M_{2_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2} \circ \cdots \circ M_{r_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r})) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{hd}((S_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ S_{r-1}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2}) \circ M_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1} \circ (M_{2_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2} \circ \cdots \circ M_{r_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r})) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{hd}((S_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ S_{r-1}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_2^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2}) \circ (M_{2_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_2} \circ \cdots \circ M_{r_-}^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r}) \circ M_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1}) \\
&\simeq \cdots \simeq \mathrm{hd}(M_r^{\circ \mathbf{c}_r} \circ \cdots \circ M_1^{\circ \mathbf{c}_1}) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{c}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies our assertion. □

As seen by the proof of the above proposition and Proposition 3.6, we have the following.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

PROPOSITION 4.5. *The commuting family $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is a Laurent family. Moreover, for a simple module M, two vectors $\mathbf{a} = \mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(M)$ and $\mathbf{g} := \mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^R(M)$ are related by*

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{a}_{k_+}, \quad \mathbf{a}_k = \sum_{j;j \geqslant k,\ \boldsymbol{i}_j = \boldsymbol{i}_k} \mathbf{g}_j,$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{r+1} = 0$.

The following corollary can be proved by the same arguments in [KK19].

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let $\boldsymbol{i}$ be a reduced sequence of w.*

(i) *For a dual pair of simples (L, R) in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w$, we have*

$$\mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^R(L) + \mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^L(R) = 0.$$

(ii) *The maps $\mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^R, \mathbf{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^L \colon \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_w) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$ are bijective.*

5. Skew-symmetric pairings

In this section, we study skew-symmetric pairings induced by the $\mathbb{Z}$-vectors associated with simple modules.

5.1 Skew-symmetric pairing associated with a quasi-Laurent family

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a full monoidal subcategory of R-gmod stable by taking subquotients, extensions and grading shifts, and let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}$ labeled by a finite index set J.

For $X, Y \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathscr{C})$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X,Y) &:= \sum_{a,b \in J} (\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X))_a (\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(Y))_b\, \Lambda(M_a, M_b) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(X,Y) &:= \sum_{a,b \in J} (\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(X))_a (\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(Y))_b\, \Lambda(M_a, M_b). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The following lemma immediately follows from Lemma 3.9.

LEMMA 5.1. *For $M = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^J$ and $X, Y \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathscr{C})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X \nabla M, Y) &= \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X,Y) + \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(M,Y), \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X,Y) = -\mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(Y,X), \\ \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(X \,\Delta\, M, Y) &= \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(X,Y) + \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(M,Y), \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(X,Y) = -\mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(Y,X). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let X be a simple module in $\mathscr{C}$. Then for any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$, we have*

$$\text{(i) } \Lambda(X, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c})) = \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c})) \quad \textit{and} \quad \text{(ii) } \Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c}), X) = \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^L(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c}), X).$$

Proof. If X is also of the form $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{d})$, it is obvious. Set $Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{c})$.

(i) Note that there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^J$ such that $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(X,Y) + \Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y) = \Lambda(X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y) &= \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y) \\ &= \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(X,Y) + \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y) = \mathrm{G}_{\mathcal{M}}^R(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}), Y)$, our assertion follows.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(ii) Similarly, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \nabla X \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) + \Lambda(Y, X) = \Lambda(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \nabla X) &= \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \nabla X) \\ &= \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) + \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, X).\end{aligned}$$

Then our assertion follows from the fact that $\Lambda(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) = \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}))$. □

PROPOSITION 5.3. *For any simple modules X, Y in $\mathscr{C}$ such that one of them is affreal, we have*

$$\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y), \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) \leqslant \Lambda(X, Y).$$

Proof. Since the proofs are similar, we will consider the case of $\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Take $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{J}_{\geq 0}$ such that $Y \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) + \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) &= \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) \\ &= \Lambda(X, Y \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) \leqslant \Lambda(X, Y) + \Lambda(X, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})) \\ &= \Lambda(X, Y) + \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})),\end{aligned}$$

which yields our assertion. Here, the inequality follows from [KKKO18, Proposition 3.2.10]. □

PROPOSITION 5.4. *If simple modules X and Y in $\mathscr{C}$ commute and one of them is affreal, then we have*

$$\Lambda(X, Y) = \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) = \mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y).$$

Proof. Since the proofs are similar, we will only give the proof for $\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$. By the preceding proposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \big(\Lambda(X, Y) + \Lambda(Y, X)\big) - \big(\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) + \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, X)\big) \\ &= \big(\Lambda(X, Y) - \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)\big) + \big(\Lambda(Y, X) - \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, X)\big) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\Lambda(X, Y) - \mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) = 0$. □

Remark 5.5. The two invariants $\mathrm{G}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$ are different in general and depend on the choice of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let w_0 be the longest element of finite type A_2. For a reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (1, 2, 1)$ of w_0, we have

$$\{S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_1 = \langle 1 \rangle, S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_2 = \langle 12 \rangle, S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_3 = \langle 2 \rangle\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = \{M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_1 = \langle 1 \rangle, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_2 = \langle 12 \rangle, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_3 = \langle 21 \rangle\}, \tag{5.2}$$

while

$$\{S^{\boldsymbol{j}}_1 = \langle 2 \rangle, S^{\boldsymbol{j}}_2 = \langle 21 \rangle, S^{\boldsymbol{j}}_3 = \langle 1 \rangle\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{j}} = \{M^{\boldsymbol{j}}_1 = \langle 2 \rangle, M^{\boldsymbol{j}}_2 = \langle 21 \rangle, M^{\boldsymbol{j}}_3 = \langle 12 \rangle\} \tag{5.3}$$

for the other reduced sequence $\boldsymbol{j} = (2, 1, 2)$ of w_0. Here $\langle k \rangle$ $(k = 1, 2)$ is a one-dimensional $R(\alpha_k)$-module, and $\langle 12 \rangle$ and $\langle 21 \rangle$ are one-dimensional $R(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$-modules (see [KKK18] for more details on these modules).

Since A_2 is symmetric, $\mathcal{M}' := \mu_1(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}})$ is also a Laurent family given as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}' = \{M'_1 = \mu_1(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_1) \simeq \langle 2 \rangle, M'_2 = M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_2 \simeq \langle 12 \rangle, M'_3 = M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_3 \simeq \langle 21 \rangle\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{j}}$ (up to an index permutation). We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 1 \rangle) = \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 1 \rangle) = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 2 \rangle) = (-1, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 2 \rangle) = (-1, 1, 0), \\ &\mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 1 \rangle) = (-1, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 1 \rangle) = (-1, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{g}^{R}_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 2 \rangle) = \mathbf{g}^{L}_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 2 \rangle) = (1, 0, 0),\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and

$$\Lambda(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=\Lambda(\langle 2\rangle,\langle 1\rangle)=1,\quad \Lambda(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 12\rangle)=1,$$
$$\Lambda(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 21\rangle)=-1,\quad \Lambda(\langle 12\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1,\quad \Lambda(\langle 21\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=-1.$$

Note that $\Lambda(X,X)=0$ for an affreal simple module X. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1\times\Lambda(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 21\rangle)=-1, && \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1\times\Lambda(\langle 12\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1,\\ &\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1\times\Lambda(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 12\rangle)=1, && \mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}'}(\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=1\times\Lambda(\langle 21\rangle,\langle 2\rangle)=-1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for a non-commuting pair of simple modules (X,Y) in $\mathscr{C}$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-values $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{G}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X,Y)$ *do depend on* the choice of a quasi-Laurent commuting family $\mathcal{M}$.

5.2 Skew-symmetric pairing associated with the GLS cluster

Let $w\in\mathsf{W}$ and $\boldsymbol{i}=(i_1,\dots,i_r)$ a reduced sequence of w. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ be the associated GLS cluster. For such a Laurent family, we can define $\mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}$ in terms of PBW decompositions.

We define a skew-symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-valued map $\lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}\colon[1,r]\times[1,r]\to\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{a,b}:=(-1)^{\delta(a>b)}\delta(a\neq b)(\beta^{\boldsymbol{i}}_a,\beta^{\boldsymbol{i}}_b) \tag{5.4}$$

for $1\leqslant a,b\leqslant r$.

Remark 5.6. The skew-symmetric map $\lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ in (5.4) is known when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type and $\boldsymbol{i}$ is *adapted to a Q-datum* (see [HL15, Proposition 3.2], [FO21, Proposition 5.21] and [KaOh23, Theorem 5.4]).

Let us recall the notion of $\boldsymbol{i}$-box and an affreal simple module $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a,b]$ in $\mathscr{C}_w$ for an $\boldsymbol{i}$-box $[a,b]$, which are introduced in [KKOP24b].

(a) For $1\leqslant a\leqslant b\leqslant r$ such that $i_a=i_b$, we call an interval $[a,b]$ an *$\boldsymbol{i}$-box*.
(b) For an $\boldsymbol{i}$-box $[a,b]$, we set $[a,b]_{\boldsymbol{i}}:=\{u\mid a\leqslant u\leqslant b,\ i_a=i_u\}$.
(c) For an $\boldsymbol{i}$-box $[a,b]$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a,b]:=M(w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\leqslant b}\varpi_{i_a},w^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{<a}\varpi_{i_a})&\simeq\mathrm{hd}\Big(\mathop{\overset{\to}{\circ}}_{u\in[a,b]_{\boldsymbol{i}}}S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_u\Big)\\ &\simeq S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_b\nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a,b_-]\simeq M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_+,b]\nabla S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_a,\end{aligned}$$

up to grading shifts. In particular, $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k=M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[k_{\min},k]$ and $S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_k=M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[k,k]$.

Note that $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a,b]$ is an affreal simple module in $\mathscr{C}_w$.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *For $\boldsymbol{i}$-boxes $[x,y]$ and $[x',y']$ in an interval $[1,r]$, assume that*

$$\text{(a)}\quad x>x'_-\quad\text{or}\quad\text{(b)}\quad y_+>y'. \tag{5.5}$$

Then we have

$$\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x,y],M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y'])=\sum_{u\in[x,y]_{\boldsymbol{i}},\ v\in[x',y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}}\lambda_{u,v}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Since the proof is similar, we shall give only the proof of case (a). Let us divide into sub-cases as below.

(i) $[x=y>x'_-]$ If $x>x'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x,M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y'])&=\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x,M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x'_+,y']\nabla S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x'})\\ &\underset{(1)}{=}\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x,M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x'_+,y'])+\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x,S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x'})=\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x,M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x'_+,y'])+\lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x,x'}.\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Here $\underset{(1)}{=}$ holds by [KKOP23, Proposition 2.12] and the fact that $(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x, S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x'})$ is an unmixed pair. Then by the induction hypothesis on $|[x', y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}|$, we have

$$\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) = \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x,x'} + \sum_{v \in [x'_+, y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x,v} = \sum_{v \in [x', y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x,v},$$

as we desired.

Now, the remainder of case (i) can be described as follows:

$$x'_- < x = y \leqslant x' \leqslant y'.$$

Since $S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x$ commutes with $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']$ and $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'_-]$ by [KKOP21, Proposition 3.27],

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) &= -\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'], S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x) = -\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{y'} \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'_-], S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x) \\ &= -\Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{y'}, S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x) - \Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'_-], S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x) \\ &= (\beta_{y'}, \beta_x) + \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'_-]) = \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{x,y'} + \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_x, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'_-]),\end{aligned}$$

then our assertion follows from the induction hypothesis on $|\,[x', y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}|$.

(ii) $[x < y]$ Assume first that $y > y'$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) &= \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_y \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y_-], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) \\ &= \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_y, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) + \Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y_-], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_y, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y'])$ is an unmixed pair. Then, by induction on $|[x, y]_{\boldsymbol{i}}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) &= \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_y \nabla M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y_-], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) \\ &= \sum_{v \in [x', y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{y,v} + \sum_{u \in [x, y_-]_{\boldsymbol{i}};\ v \in [x', y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{u,v},\end{aligned}$$

which yields our assertion for this case.

Now let us assume that $y \leqslant y'$. Then we have

$$x'_- < x < y \leqslant y'.$$

Then for any $u \in [x, y]_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, $S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_u$ commutes with $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']$ by [KKOP21, Proposition 3.27]. By [KKKO18, Proposition 3.2.13], we have

$$\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x, y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']) = \sum_{u \in [x, y]_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \Lambda(S^{\boldsymbol{i}}_u, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x', y']).$$

Then our assertion follows from case (i). □

We say that $\boldsymbol{i}$-boxes $[a_1, b_1]$ and $[a_2, b_2]$ *commute* if we have either

$$(a_1)_- < a_2 \leqslant b_2 < (b_1)_+ \quad \text{or} \quad (a_2)_- < a_1 \leqslant b_1 < (b_2)_+.$$

The following corollary is proved in [KKOP24b, Theorem 4.21] in the quantum affine case.

Corollary 5.8. *For commuting $\boldsymbol{i}$-boxes $[a_1, b_1]$ and $[a_2, b_2]$, the modules $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_1, b_1]$ and $M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_2, b_2]$ commute.*

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. By Proposition 5.7, we have

$$\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_1,b_1], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_2,b_2]) = \sum_{\substack{u\in[a_1,b_1]_{\boldsymbol{i}}\\ v\in[a_2,b_2]_{\boldsymbol{i}}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{u,v} = -\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_2,b_2], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_1,b_1]),$$

which implies $\mathfrak{d}(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_1,b_1], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[a_2,b_2]) = 0$. Thus, our assertion follows from Proposition 2.7(v). □

Proposition 5.9. *For a commuting pair $(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x,y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y'])$, (5.6) holds.*

Proof. If the $\boldsymbol{i}$-boxes $[x,y]$ and $[x',y']$ satisfy (5.5), our assertion holds. Thus, it is enough to consider when $x \leqslant x'_-$ and $y_+ \leqslant y'$. Since they commute,

$$\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x,y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y']) = -\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y'], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x,y]).$$

If $x' > x_-$ or $y'_+ > y$, Proposition 5.7 says that

$$\Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x,y], M^{\boldsymbol{i}}[x',y']) = -\sum_{u\in[x,y]_{\boldsymbol{i}};\ v\in[x',y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{v,u} = \sum_{u\in[x,y]_{\boldsymbol{i}};\ v\in[x',y']_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{u,v},$$

which implies the assertion. Thus, we may assume that $x' \leqslant x_-$. However, in this case, we have

$$x' \leqslant x_- \leqslant x \leqslant x'_-,$$

which yields a contradiction. □

Let us define the skew-symmetric pairing $\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathscr{C}_w)$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) := \sum_{1\leqslant a,b\leqslant r} (\mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X))_a (\mathrm{PBW}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(Y))_b\ \lambda^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{a,b}. \tag{5.7}$$

The following lemma follows from Lemma 4.1 and (5.7).

Lemma 5.10. *For $M = \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X \nabla M, Y) = \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) + \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(M,Y) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) = -\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(Y,X).$$

Proposition 5.11. *For any simple X, Y in $\mathscr{C}_w$, we have*

$$\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X,Y).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of simple modules Y in $\mathscr{C}_w$ such that $\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X,Y)$ for any simple $X \in \mathscr{C}_w$, and let $\mathcal{S}'$ be the set of simple modules Y in $\mathscr{C}_w$ such that $\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}),Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}),Y)$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$. By Proposition 5.9, we have

$$\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_s, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_t) = \Lambda(M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_s, M^{\boldsymbol{i}}_t) \quad \text{for any } s,t \in \mathsf{K}.$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{S}'$ by Lemma 5.10. Now, let us show $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$. Let $Y \in \mathcal{S}'$. For any simple X, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\geq 0}$ such that $X \nabla \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{b})$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) + \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}),Y) &\underset{(1)}{=} \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{b}),Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{b}),Y)\\ &\underset{(2)}{=} \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X,Y) + \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}),Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X,Y) + \mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}),Y).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\underset{(1)}{=}$ follows from Lemma 5.10 and $\underset{(2)}{=}$ follows from Lemma 5.1. Hence, we have $\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(X,Y)$. Thus, we have proved $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Since $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{S}'$, we have $\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{S}$, which implies that

$$\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(Y, \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a})) = \mathrm{G}^R_{\mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}}(Y, \mathcal{M}^{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{a}))$$

for any simple Y. Hence any simple Y belongs to $\mathcal{S}'$ and hence to $\mathcal{S}$. □

5.3 Degree and codegree

In this subsection, we see the relationship between $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$) and the degree (respectively, codegree) in the (quantum) cluster algebra theory. For a commuting family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ labeled by a finite index set J, let us recall the preorder $\preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ given in [KK19, § 3.3] (see also [Qin17, Definition 3.1.1]):

$$\mathbf{b}' \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \begin{aligned}&(1)\ \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b})) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}')),\\ &(2)\ \Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}'), M_j) \leqslant \Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}), M_j) \text{ for all } j \in J.\end{aligned}$$

The preorder $\preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be extended to the one on $\mathbb{Z}^J$ as follows: for $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{Z}^J$,

$$\mathbf{b}' \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b} \text{ if } \mathbf{b}' + \mathbf{a} \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a} \text{ for some } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0} \text{ such that } \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}' + \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}.$$

We write $\mathbf{b}' \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}$, if $\mathbf{b}' \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}$ holds but $\mathbf{b} \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}'$ does not hold. Hence, $\mathbf{b}' \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\mathbf{b}' \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}$ and there exists $j \in J$ such that $\Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}), M_j) < \Lambda(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}'), M_j)$.

Lemma 5.12 (cf. [KK19, Lemma 3.6]). *Let X be a simple module and $\mathcal{M} = \{M_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a quasi-Laurent family in $\mathscr{C}$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, S, $c(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{b}(s) \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geqslant 0}$ ($s \in \mathsf{S}$) be as in (3.1). Then we have the following.*

(i) *There exists a unique $s_0 \in \mathsf{S}$ such that $X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq q^{c(s_0)}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}(s_0))$. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{b}(s) \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}(s_0)$ for any $s \in \mathsf{S} \setminus \{s_0\}$.*
(ii) *There exists a unique $s_1 \in \mathsf{S}$ such that $X \Delta \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq q^{c(s_1)}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}(s_1))$. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{b}(s_1) \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}(s)$ for any $s \in \mathsf{S} \setminus \{s_1\}$.*
(iii) *If $s_0 = s_1$, then $\mathsf{S} = \{s_0\}$ and $X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{b}(s_0))$.*
(iv) *If $s_0 \neq s_1$ and there exists no $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$ such that $\mathbf{b}(s_1) - \mathbf{a} \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{c} \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}(s_0) - \mathbf{a}$, then*

$$[X \circ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] = [X \nabla \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] + [X \Delta \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a})] \quad \text{in } K(\mathscr{C}_w).$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.7 and (3.1). □

The following proposition is proved for symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and can be extended to general quiver Hecke algebras using almost the same argument:

Proposition 5.13 [KK19, Proposition 3.3]. *For a monoidal cluster $\mathcal{M} = \{M_k \mid k \in \mathsf{K}\}$ associated with a quantum seed $(\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}, L, \widetilde{B})$,*

$$\mathbf{b}' \preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b} \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}' = \widetilde{B}\underline{v} \textit{ for some } \underline{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}}_{\geq 0}.$$

In particular, the relation $\preccurlyeq_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an order on $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{K}}$.

Corollary 5.14. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_k \mid k \in \mathsf{K}\}$ be a monoidal cluster associated with a quantum seed $\mathcal{S} = (\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathsf{K}}, L, B)$. Then $[X]$ is $\mathcal{T}(L)$-pointed and $\mathcal{T}(L)$-copointed for any simple module $X \in \mathscr{C}_w$.*

Remark 5.15. For a monoidal cluster $\mathcal{M}$ associated with a quantum seed $\mathcal{S}$ and a simple module $M \in \mathscr{C}$, the above corollary says that $\mathbf{g}^R_{\mathcal{M}}(M)$ and $\mathbf{g}^L_{\mathcal{M}}(M)$ coincide with the degree and codegree of $[M] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathscr{C}) \simeq \mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{S})$, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgements

The second, third and fourth authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of RIMS (Kyoto University) during their visit in 2023. The authors would like to thank the referee for reading our manuscript carefully and making constructive comments.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Financial support

The research of M. Kashiwara was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 23K20206, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The research of M. Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2022R1F1A1076214 and NRF-2020R1A5A1016126). The research of S.-j. Oh was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2022R1A2C1004045). The research of E. Park was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT) (RS-2023-00273425 and NRF-2020R1A5A1016126).

Journal information

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

References

BZ05 A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Quantum cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), 405–455; MR 2146350.

Dav18 B. Davison, *Positivity for quantum cluster algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), 157–219.

DWZ10 H. Derksen, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: applications to cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 749–790; MR 2629987.

FZ02 S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529; MR 1887642.

FZ07 S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), 112–164; MR 2295199.

FO21 R. Fujita and S.-j. Oh, *Q-data and representation theory of untwisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **384** (2021), 1351–1407; MR 4259388.

GLS13a C. Geiss, B. Leclerc and J. Schröer, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), 337–397; MR 3090232.

GLS13b C. Geiss, B. Leclerc and J. Schröer, *Factorial cluster algebras*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 249–274.

GY17 K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov, *Quantum cluster algebra structures on quantum nilpotent algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **247** (2017); MR 3633289.

GHKK18 M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel and M. Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), 497–608; MR 3758151.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press

HL10 D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 265–341; MR 2682185.

HL15 D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Quantum Grothendieck rings and derived Hall algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 77–126; MR 3331727.

KKK18 S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras*, Invent. Math. **211** (2018), 591–685.

KKKO15 S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim and S.-j. Oh, *Simplicity of heads and socles of tensor products*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), 377–396.

KKKO18 S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim and S.-j. Oh, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), 349–426; MR 3758148.

KK19 M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Laurent phenomenon and simple modules of quiver Hecke algebras*, Compos. Math. **155** (2019), 2263–2295; MR 4016058.

KKOP18 M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Monoidal categories associated with strata of flag manifolds*, Adv. Math. **328** (2018), 959–1009.

KKOP21 M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Localizations for quiver Hecke algebras*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **17** (2021), 1465–1548.

KKOP23 M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Localizations for quiver hecke algebras II*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **127** (2023), 1134–1184.

KKOP24a M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Affinizations, R-matrices and reflection functors*, Adv. Math. **443** (2024), 109598.

KKOP24b M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Monoidal categorification and quantum affine algebras II*, Invent. Math. **236** (2024), 837–924.

KaOh23 M. Kashiwara and S.-j. Oh, *The (q, t)-Cartan matrix specialized at $q = 1$ and its applications*, Math. Z. **303** (2023), article 42.

KP18 M. Kashiwara and E. Park, *Affinizations and R-matrices for quiver Hecke algebras*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), 1161–1193.

KL09 M. Khovanov and A. D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

KL11 M. Khovanov and A. D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.

Kim12 Y. Kimura, *Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), 277–331; MR 2914878.

KiOy21 Y. Kimura and H. Oya, *Twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells and dual canonical bases*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2021** (2021), 6772–6847.

LS15 K. Lee and R. Schiffler, *Positivity for cluster algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), 73–125.

McN15 P. J. McNamara, *Finite dimensional representations of Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras I: finite type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **707** (2015), 103–124.

Qin20 F. Qin, *Dual canonical bases and quantum cluster algebras*, Preprint (2020), arXiv:2003.13674.

Qin17 F. Qin, *Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorification conjectures*, Duke Math. J. **166** (2017), 2337–2442; MR 3694569.

Rou08 R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, Preprint (2008), arXiv:0812.5023.

TW16 P. Tingley and B. Webster, *Mirković–Vilonen polytopes and Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), 1648–1696.

Tra11 T. Tran, *F-polynomials in quantum cluster algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011), 1025–1061; MR 2844755.

Masaki Kashiwara masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp
Kyoto University Institute for Advanced Study, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

and

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, South Korea

Myungho Kim mkim@khu.ac.kr
Department of Mathematics, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 02447, South Korea

Se-jin Oh sejin092@gmail.com
Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, South Korea

Euiyong Park epark@uos.ac.kr
Department of Mathematics, University of Seoul, Seoul 02504, South Korea

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X24007310 Published online by Cambridge University Press