

**BEHAVIORAL  
AND  
BRAIN SCIENCES**

**Volume 13  
1990**

Reprinted with the permission of the original publisher  
by  
**Periodicals Service Company**  
Germantown, NY  
2005

**Printed on acid-free paper.**

**This reprint was reproduced from the  
best original edition copy available.**

**NOTE TO THE REPRINT EDITION:**

**In some cases full page advertisements which do not add to  
the scholarly value of this volume have been omitted.  
As a result, some reprinted volumes may have irregular pagination.**

# Contents Volume 13:1 March 1990

<b>Dawkins, M. S.</b> From an animal's point of view: Motivation, fitness, and animal welfare	1
---	---

<b>Precommentary by: Singer, P.</b> The significance of animal suffering	9
--	---

## Open Peer Commentary

<b>Archer, J.</b> Ethological motivational theory as a basis for assessing animal suffering	12	<b>Mendl, M.</b> Developmental experience and the potential for suffering: Does "out of experience" mean "out of mind"?	28
<b>Arluke, A.</b> The significance of seeking the animal's perspective	13	<b>Monaghan, P.</b> Consumer demand: Can we deal with differing priorities?	29
<b>Broom, D. M.</b> The importance of measures of poor welfare	14	<b>Ng, Y.-K.</b> The case for and difficulties in using "demand areas" to measure changes in well-being	30
<b>Burghardt, G. M.</b> Animal suffering, critical anthropomorphism, and reproductive rights	14	<b>Novak, M. A. &amp; Meyer, J. S.</b> Seeking the sources of simian suffering	31
<b>Byrne, R. W.</b> Having the imagination to suffer, and to prevent suffering	15	<b>Rachlin, H.</b> Suffering as a behaviorist views it	32
<b>Chapman, C. R.</b> On the neurobiological basis of suffering	16	<b>Rollin, B. E.</b> Science and value	32
<b>Dantzer, R.</b> Animal suffering: The practical way forward	17	<b>Rowan, A. N.</b> To suffer, or not to suffer? That is the question	33
<b>DeGrazia, D.</b> On Singer: More argument, less prescriptivism	18	<b>Salzen, E. A.</b> Emotion, empathy, and suffering	34
<b>Donnelley, S.</b> Epistemology, ethics, and evolution	18	<b>Sapontzis, S. F.</b> The meaning of speciesism and the forms of animal suffering	35
<b>Dupré, J.</b> The philosophical foundations of animal welfare	19	<b>Segal, E. F.</b> Animal well-being: There are many paths to enlightenment	36
<b>Fox, M. A.</b> Taking the animal's viewpoint seriously	20	<b>Shettleworth, S. J. &amp; Mrosovsky, N.</b> From one subjectivity to another	37
<b>Fraser, A. F.</b> Concepts of suffering in veterinary science	21	<b>Timberlake, W.</b> The attribution of suffering	38
<b>Frey, R. G.</b> Animals, science, and morality	22	<b>Toates, F.</b> Broadening the welfare index	40
<b>Gray, J. A.</b> In defence of speciesism	22	<b>Townsend, A.</b> Pain, suffering, and distress	41
<b>Hughes, B. O. &amp; Petherick, J. C.</b> Experimental investigation of animal suffering	23	<b>Turkkan, J. S.</b> Paradoxical experimental outcomes and animal suffering	42
<b>Jackson, F.</b> Singer's intermediate conclusion	24	<b>Walker, S.</b> Natural and unnatural justice in animal care	43
<b>Jamieson, D.</b> Science and subjective feelings	25	<b>Wall, P. D.</b> Who suffers?	43
<b>Magnus, D. &amp; Thiel, P.</b> Hidden adaptationism	26	<b>Wemelsfelder, F.</b> "Perceived cost" may reveal frustration, but not boredom	44
<b>Magurran, A. E.</b> Obtaining and applying objective criteria in animal welfare	26	<b>Widowski, T.</b> Consumer demand theory and animal welfare: Value and limitations	45
<b>McFarland, D.</b> Suffering by analogy	27		
<b>Mench, J. A. &amp; Stricklin, W. R.</b> Consumer demand theory and social behavior: All chickens are not equal	28	<b>Postcommentary</b>	
		<b>Singer, P.</b> Ethics and animals	45

<b>Schull, J.</b> Are species intelligent?	63
--	----

## Open Peer Commentary

<b>Clayton, P.</b> The ontology of "intelligent species"	75	<b>Leiser, D.</b> Evolution, development, and learning in cognitive science	80
<b>Csányi, V.</b> Are species Gaia's thoughts?	76	<b>Lloyd, E. A.</b> "Intelligent" evolution and neo-Darwinian straw men	81
<b>Dennett, D. C.</b> Teaching an old dog new tricks	76	<b>MacKay, W. A.</b> The way of all matter	82
<b>Derrickson, K. C. &amp; Greenberg, R. S.</b> Unfortunately, scale and time matter	77	<b>Odling-Smee, F. J.</b> Biotic intelligence (BI)?	83
<b>Ghiselin, M. T.</b> Are libraries intelligent?	78	<b>Piattelli-Palmarini, M.</b> Which came first, the egg-problem or the hen-solution?	84
<b>Hendersen, R. W.</b> Species intelligence: Hazards of structural parallels	78	<b>Russell, P. A.</b> "Intelligence" as description and as explanation	86
<b>Johnson, M. H.</b> Similarities and dissimilarities between adaptation and learning	79	<b>Salthe, S. N.</b> Misplaced predicates and misconstrued intelligence	86
<b>Kalat, J. W.</b> Species intelligence: Analogy without homology	80	<b>Silverstein, A.</b> Natural teleology and species intelligence	87

<b>Smith, J. D.</b> Are species intelligent? Look for genetic knowledge structures	89	<b>Thompson, N. S.</b> Why would we ever doubt that species are intelligent?	94
<b>Sterelny, K.</b> Learning, selection, and species	90	<b>Editorial Commentary</b>	94
<b>Sternberg, R. J.</b> Of cockroaches as kings	91	<b>Author's Response</b>	
<b>Strong, G. W.</b> Neo-Lamarckism, or, The rediscovery of culture	92	<b>Schull, J.</b> Are species intelligent?: Not a yes or no question	94
<b>Sumida, B. H.</b> Effective search using Sewall Wright's shifting balance hypothesis	93		

## **Wahlsten, D.** Insensitivity of the analysis of variance to heredity-environment interaction 109

### **Open Peer Commentary**

<b>Bookstein, F. L.</b> An interaction effect is not a measurement	121	<b>Harrington, G. M.</b> Through the ANOVA looking-glass: Distortions of heredity-environment interactions	135
<b>Bullock, D.</b> Methodological heterogeneity and the anachronistic status of ANOVA in psychology	122	<b>Henderson, N. D.</b> Why do gene-environment interactions appear more often in laboratory animal studies than in human behavioral genetic research?	136
<b>Carlier, M. &amp; Marchaland, C.</b> Interaction between genotype and environment: Yes, but who truly demonstrates this kind of interaction?	123	<b>Hirsch, J.</b> A nemesis for heritability estimation	137
<b>Cheverud, J. M.</b> Inheritance and the additive genetic model	124	<b>Kempthorne, O.</b> How does one apply statistical analysis to our understanding of the development of human relationships?	138
<b>Chiszar, D. A. &amp; Gollin, E. S.</b> Additivity, interaction, and developmental good sense	124	<b>Kline, P.</b> Heredity and environment: How important is the interaction?	139
<b>Cicchetti, D. V.</b> On the insensitivity of the ANOVA to interactions: Some suggested simulations	125	<b>Lipp, H.-P.</b> Flechsig's rule and quantitative behavior genetics	139
<b>Crow, J. F.</b> How important is detecting interaction?	126	<b>Maxwell, S. E.</b> Why are interactions so difficult to detect?	140
<b>Crusio, W. E.</b> Estimating heritabilities in quantitative behavior genetics: A station passed	127	<b>McGuffin, P. &amp; Katz, R.</b> Who believes in estimating heritability as an end in itself?	141
<b>Dawes, R. M.</b> Monotone interactions: It's even simpler than that	128	<b>Nyborg, H.</b> Good, bad, and ugly questions about heredity	142
<b>Denenberg, V. H.</b> Effects of correlation on interactions in the analysis of variance	129	<b>Plomin, R.</b> Trying to shoot the messenger for his message	144
<b>Detterman, D. K.</b> Don't kill the ANOVA messenger for bearing bad interaction news	131	<b>Schönemann, P. H.</b> Inherited quality control problems	145
<b>Dudley, R. M.</b> Interaction and dependence prevent estimation	132	<b>van Noordwijk, A. J.</b> Variation in means and in ends	145
<b>Goodall, C.</b> One statistician's perspective	133	<b>Author's Response</b>	
<b>Goodnight, C. J.</b> On the relativity of quantitative genetic variance components	134	<b>Wahlsten, D.</b> Goals and methods: The study of development versus partitioning of variance	146

### **Continuing Commentary**

<b>On Searle, J. R. (1980)</b> Minds, brains, and programs. BBS 3:417–457.			163
<b>MacQueen, K. G.</b> Not a trivial consequence	163	<b>Author's Response</b>	
		<b>Searle, J. R.</b> The causal powers of the brain: The necessity of sufficiency	164
<b>On Plomin, R. &amp; Daniels, D. (1987)</b> Why are children in the same family so different from one another? BBS 10:1–60.			165
<b>Humphreys, L. D.</b> The obvious method of analysis of data is sometimes inadequate	165	<b>Authors' Response</b>	
		<b>Plomin, R.</b> Why are children in the same family so different? Response to commentary by Lloyd D. Humphreys	165

<i>On</i> Skarda, C. A. & Freeman, W. J. (1987) How brains make chaos in order to make sense of the world. BBS 10:161–95.			166
Krieger, D. A putative role for transient local coherence in cognitive function	167	<b>Authors' Response</b> Freeman, W. J. & Skarda, C. A. Chaotic dynamics versus representationalism	167
<i>On</i> Swerdlow, N. R. & Koob, G. F. (1987) Dopamine, schizophrenia, mania, and depression: Toward a unified hypothesis of cortico-striato-pallido-thalamic function. BBS 10:197–245.			168
Wu, J. C., Siegel, B. V., Jr., Haier, R. J. & Buchsbaum, M. S. Testing the Swerdlow/Koob model of schizophrenia pathophysiology using positron emission tomography	169	<b>Authors' Response</b> Swerdlow, N. R. & Koob, G. F. Toward a unified hypothesis of cortico-striato-pallido-thalamus function?	172
Sawaguchi, T. Dysfunction of the dopaminergic modulation of GABAergic circuitry in the prefrontal cortex must be involved in psychoses and movement disorders	170		
<i>On</i> Sperber, D. & Wilson, D. (1987) Précis of <i>Relevance: Communication and Cognition</i> . BBS 10:697–754.			177
Politzer, G. Characterizing spontaneous inferences	177	<b>Authors' Response</b> Sperber, D. & Wilson, D. Spontaneous deduction and mutual knowledge	179
Garnham, A. & Perner, J. Does manifestness solve problems of mutuality?	178		
<i>On</i> Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. (1988) Implications of the “initial brain” concept for brain evolution in Cetacea. BBS 11:75–116.			185
Deacon, T. W. Confusing size-correlated differences with phylogenetic “progression” in brain evolution	185	<b>Authors' Response</b> Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. <i>Allometricks: Confusion about phylogenetic “progression” in brain evolution?</i>	187
<i>On</i> Benbow, C. P. (1988) Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability in intellectually talented preadolescents: Their nature, effects, and possible causes. BBS 11:169–232.			190
Borkowski, J. G. “Small” gender differences on the SAT: A scenario about social origins	190	Rushton, J. P. Sex, ethnicity, and hormones	194
Bouchard, T. J., Jr., & Segal, N. L. Advanced mathematical reasoning ability: A behavioral genetic perspective	191	Hoyenga, K. B. Some of the pathological assumptions in the sciences of gender	194
Boomsma, D. I. Does every smart boy have a smart sister?	192	Eliot, J. Spatial ability: Not enough space to make a sex difference	196
Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L. J. & Graber, J. Issues in the development of mathematical precocity	192	<b>Author's Response</b> Benbow, C. P. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability among the intellectually talented: Further thoughts	196
Richardson, K. Go back to cognitive theory	193		