# SOME RESULTS ON WEAK COVERING CONDITIONS

RAYMOND F. GITTINGS

1. Introduction. A space X is called *countably metacompact* (countably paracompact) if every countable open cover has a point finite (locally finite) open refinement. According to Hodel [5], a space X is called *countably sub-paracompact* if every countable open cover has a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement. It is well-known (see Mansfield [10] and Dowker [4]) that in normal spaces all of the preceding notions are equivalent. Also, according to Hodel [5], a countably subparacompact space is countably metacompact and the reverse implication is false.

In Section 2 we define in a natural way the concept of a countably  $\theta$ -refinable space and show that these spaces turn out to be exactly the countably metacompact spaces. In Section 3 we discuss  $w\Delta$ -spaces and show that every  $w\Delta$ space is countably metacompact but not necessarily countably paracompact nor countably subparacompact. This result is compared with Ishii's result on wM-spaces in [7]. Finally, in Section 4 we give a new characterization of countably subparacompact spaces using  $\sigma$ -cushioned refinements.

Unless otherwise stated, no separation axioms are assumed; however normal spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$ . The set of positive integers is denoted by N.

**2.** Countably  $\theta$ -refinable spaces. Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a collection in a space X and let  $x \in X$ . We mean by  $\operatorname{ord}(x, \mathscr{U})$ , the number of members of  $\mathscr{U}$  which contain x.

A space X is  $\theta$ -refinable [13] if, for every open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of X, there is a sequence  $\{\mathscr{G}_n : n \in N\}$  of open refinements of  $\mathscr{U}$  such that, if  $x \in X$ , there is an  $n(x) \in N$  such that  $\operatorname{ord}(x, \mathscr{G}_{n(x)})$  is finite. Such a sequence is called a  $\theta$ -refinement of  $\mathscr{U}$ .

Definition 2.1. A space X is called *countably*  $\theta$ -refinable if every countable open cover has a  $\theta$ -refinement.

Clearly every countably metacompact space is countably  $\theta$ -refinable and, as the following result shows, the reverse implication is also valid.

THEOREM 2.2. For a space X, the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) X is countably metacompact.

(b) X is countably  $\theta$ -refinable.

(c) If  $\{F_n : n \in N\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X with

Received March 19, 1973 and in revised form, November 29, 1973.

 $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ , there is a sequence  $\{G_n : n \in N\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in X such that  $G_n \supset F_n$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ .

(d) If  $\{F_n : n \in N\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ , there is a sequence  $\{U_n : n \in N\}$  of open sets in X such that  $U_n \supset F_n$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is obvious.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose X is countably  $\theta$ -refinable and let  $\{F_n : n \in N\}$  be a decreasing sequence of closed sets such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathscr{U} = \{X - F_n : n \in N\}$ . Then  $\mathscr{U}$  is a countable open cover of X and hence has a  $\theta$ -refinement  $\{\mathscr{V}_n : n \in N\}$ . Put  $G_{nj} = \operatorname{St}(F_n, \mathscr{V}_j)$  and  $G_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{nj}$ . Then each  $G_n$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and  $G_n \supset F_n$ . We assert that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ . If not, there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ . Choose  $j_0$  such that  $\operatorname{ord}(x, \mathscr{V}_{j_0}) < \infty$ , say  $\operatorname{ord}(x, \mathscr{V}_{j_0}) = k$ . Then there are sets  $V_1, \ldots, V_k \in \mathscr{V}_{j_0}$  such that  $x \in V_i$  and  $x \notin V \in \mathscr{V}_{j_0}$  for  $V \neq V_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Now, for each i, there is an  $n_i \in N$  such that  $V_i \subset X - F_{n_i}$ . If we put  $n = \max\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ , then  $V_i \subset X - F_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . But  $x \in G_{nj_0}$  and thus there exists a  $V \in \mathscr{V}_{j_0}$  with  $x \in V$  and  $V \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $x \in V, V = V_i$  for some  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  and thus  $V \subset X - F_n$  which is a contradiction.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Let  $\{F_n : n \in N\}$  be a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X. By (b) there is a sequence  $\{G_n : n \in N\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets satisfying  $G_n \supset F_n$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ . Put  $G_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{nj}$  where each  $G_{nj}$  is open in X. For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$U_n = \bigcap \{G_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Then clearly each  $U_n$  is open,  $U_n \supset F_n$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \emptyset$ . (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is due to Ishikawa [8].

 $(\mathbf{u}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{a})$  is due to Isinkawa [**b**].

Since every  $\theta$ -refinable space is countably  $\theta$ -refinable, we have:

COROLLARY 2.3. Every  $\theta$ -refinable space is countably metacompact.

It is interesting to note that although the concepts of  $\theta$ -refinability and metacompactness are equivalent when restricted to countable open covers this equivalence does not hold in general. Clearly every metacompact space is  $\theta$ -refinable but there are many examples of non-metacompact,  $\theta$ -refinable spaces. In fact, Bing's Example H is a normal subparacompact space (and thus a  $\theta$ -refinable space) which is not metacompact. This example was noted by Burke in [3].

3.  $w\Delta$ -spaces and weak covering conditions. Let X be a space and  $\{\mathscr{U}_n : n \in N\}$  a sequence of open covers of X subject to one of the following conditions:

(A) If  $x_n \in \text{St}(x, \mathscr{U}_n)$  for n = 1, 2, ..., then the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  has a cluster point.

### **RAYMOND F. GITTINGS**

(B) If  $x_n \in \text{St}^2(x, \mathscr{U}_n)$  for n = 1, 2, ..., then the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  has a cluster point.

(C) If  $x_n \in \text{St}(x, \mathscr{U}_n)$  for n = 1, 2, ..., then x is a cluster point of the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$ .

A space is called a  $w\Delta$ -space [1] if it satisfies (A) and a wM-space [7] if it satisfies (B). Clearly (C) is an equivalent formulation of developable spaces. It is immediate that every wM-space and every developable space is a  $w\Delta$ -space.

In [7] Ishii proved the following:

THEOREM 3.1. (1) Every wM-space is countably paracompact.

(2) Every normal wM-space is collectionwise normal and countably paracompact.

In this section we show that a  $w\Delta$ -space is countably metacompact but not necessarily countably paracompact. In light of Theorem 3.1 the following question seems interesting.

Question 3.2. Is there an example of a normal  $w\Delta$ -space which is not collectionwise normal?

THEOREM 3.3. Every  $w\Delta$ -space is countably metacompact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\mathscr{U}_n : n \in N\}$  be a sequence of open covers of X satisfying condition (A). We may assume  $\mathscr{U}_{n+1} < \mathscr{U}_n$  for all  $n \in N$ . Let  $\{F_n : n \in N\}$  be a decreasing collection of closed subsets of x such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ . For each  $n \in N$ , put  $G_n = \operatorname{St}(F_n, \mathscr{U}_n)$ . Now clearly  $G_n \supset F_n$  and each  $G_n$  is open in X. By Theorem 2.2 (d), we need only show  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$ . So assume there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ . But then, for each  $n \in N$ , there exists  $U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$  such that  $x \in U_n$  and  $U_n \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$ . For each n, choose  $x_n \in U_n \cap F_n$ . Then  $x_n \in \operatorname{St}(x, \mathscr{U}_n)$  and thus the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  has a cluster point  $x_0$ . But  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and this is a contradiction.

We remark that the referee has informed us that Hodel [6] has recently shown that every  $\beta$ -space is countably metacompact. Clearly every  $w\Delta$ -space is a  $\beta$ -space.

Since, as was noted in the introduction, every normal countably metacompact space is countably paracompact, we have:

COROLLARY 3.4. Every normal  $w\Delta$ -space is countably paracompact.

*Example* 3.5. A  $w\Delta$ -space which is not countably paracompact:

Let  $\omega$  be the first infinite ordinal and  $\Omega$  the first uncountable ordinal. Let

 $X = [0, \omega] \times [0, \Omega] - (\omega, \Omega).$ Ishii showed that X is a  $w\Delta$ -space; but Shiraki [12] proved that X is not countably paracompact. We also note that, according to Theorem 3.1, X is not a wM-space.

*Example* 3.6. A countably compact  $T_2$ -space (thus both a *wM*-space and a *w* $\Delta$ -space) which is not countably subparacompact: Let  $R = [0, \Omega], S =$ 

 $[0, \Omega)$  and  $X = R \times S$ . Then X is clearly a countably compact T<sub>2</sub>-space; Kramer [9] has shown that this space is not countably subparacompact. In fact, if we let  $H = \{(x, \Omega) : x \in S\}$  and  $K = \{(x, x) : x \in S\}$  we have disjoint closed subsets of X. It can be shown that  $\{X - H, X - K\}$  is an open cover which has no countable closed refinement. It follows from Theorem 4.1 (iv) that X is not countably subparacompact.

**4.** A new characterization of countably subparacompact spaces. Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a cover of a space X. A cover  $\mathscr{U}$  is said to be a *cushioned refinement* of  $\mathscr{B}$  if to each  $U \in \mathscr{U}$  we can assign a  $B(U) \in \mathscr{B}$  such that

 $\overline{\bigcup \{U: U \in \mathscr{U}'\}} \subset \bigcup \{B(U): U \in \mathscr{U}'\}$ 

for every subcollection  $\mathscr{U}'$  of  $\mathscr{U}$ .

In [3] Burke asks the following question: Is X subparacompact if every open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -cushioned refinement? Although this question seems to remain open we show the corresponding result holds for countably subparacompact spaces.

THEOREM 4.1. For a space X, the following are equivalent.

(i) Every countable open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement (i.e., X is countably subparacompact).

(ii) Every countable open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement.

(iii) Every countable open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving closed refinement.

(iv) Every countable open cover of X has a countable closed refinement.

(v) Every countable open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -cushioned refinement.

*Proof.* That (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) is obvious, as is (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Thus it suffices to show that (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Suppose  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  is any countable open cover of X and let  $\mathscr{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{F}_n$  be a  $\sigma$ -cushioned refinement of  $\mathscr{U}$ . Then, for each *n*, there exists a mapping  $\phi_n : \mathscr{F}_n \to \mathscr{U}$  such that if  $F \in \mathscr{F}_n, \phi_n(F) \in \mathscr{U}, F \subset \phi_n(F)$  and

 $\overline{\bigcup \{F: F \in \mathscr{F}_n'\}} \subset \bigcup \{\phi_n(F): F \in \mathscr{F}_n'\}$ 

for any  $\mathscr{F}_n' \subset \mathscr{F}_n$ . Define

 $G_{ij} = \bigcup \{F: F \in \mathscr{F}_i, \phi_i(F) = U_j\}.$ 

Since  $\mathscr{F}_i$  is a cushioned refinement,  $\overline{G_{ij}} \subset U_j$ . Thus  $\{\overline{G_{ij}}: i = 1, 2, \ldots, j = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  is a countable closed refinement of  $\mathscr{U}$  and the proof is complete.

We remark that the equivalence of (i) - (iv) is not new although (v) seems to be a new characterization. In fact, the equivalence of (i) - (iii) appears in [11] and the equivalence of (i) - (iv) is stated in [9].

Definition 4.2. A space X is called countably  $\sigma$ -paracompact if given a countable open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of X, there is a sequence  $\{\mathscr{U}_n : n \in N\}$  of open covers of X such that, if  $x \in X$ , there is an  $n(x) \in N$  and  $U \in \mathscr{U}$  with  $\operatorname{St}(x, \mathscr{U}_{n(x)}) \subset U$ .

## **RAYMOND F. GITTINGS**

Kramer [9] introduced countably  $\sigma$ -paracompact spaces and proved the following.

THEOREM 4.3. A space X is countably subparacompact if and only if X is countably  $\sigma$ -paracompact.

It is worth noting that Burke [2] obtained the equivalence of (i), (ii), (iii) and Definition 4.2 for arbitrary open covers (i.e. for subparacompact spaces).

#### References

- 1. Carlos J. R. Borges, On metrizability of topological spaces, Can. J. Math. 20 (1968), 795-804.
- 2. D. K. Burke, On subparacompact spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 655-663.
- 4. C. H. Dowker, On countably paracompact spaces, Can. J. Math 3 (1951), 219-224.
- 5. R. E. Hodel, A note on subparacompact spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), 842-845.
- 6. —— Spaces defined by sequences of open covers which guarantee that certain sequences have cluster points, Duke Math. J. 39 (1972), 253-262.
- 7. T. Ishii, On wM-spaces. I, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 5-10.
- 8. F. Ishikawa, On countably paracompact spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. 31 (1955), 686-687.
- 9. T. R. Kramer, Countably subparacompact spaces (to appear).
- 10. M. J. Mansfield, On countably paracompact normal spaces, Can. J. Math. 9 (1957), 443-449.
- M. K. Singal and P. Jain, On subparacompact and countably subparacompact spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 5 (1971), 289-304.
- 12. T. Shiraki, A note on M-spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. 43 (1967), 873-875.
- J. M. Worrell, Jr., and H. H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable spaces*, Can. J. Math. 17 (1965), 820–830.

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, New York

## 1156