1978). For such provision to achieve savings in the use of hospital beds, psychiatric teams would require ready access to the non-hospital units. In practice this would mean these facilities becoming operationally part of the psychiatric service. More day care, including a day hospital capable of managing acutely disturbed patients and some expansion in community psychiatric nursing manpower, would also be required.

Interestingly, our survey did not provide evidence that a crisis hostel, recently promoted as a valuable alternative to psychiatric admission, would produce a significant saving in bed usage. A study of the reasons surrounding a series of consecutive admissions is required to provide estimates of the potential demand for such a unit and the other alternatives to admission that might be developed.

We do not believe that it would be either desirable or feasible to run a psychiatric service with the levels of in-patient bed provision advocated in some planning documents.⁶ Attempts to make drastic cuts in bed numbers without the phased introduction of community-based alternatives would lead to an unacceptably low standard of care for patients who would be rapidly cycled through an untherapeutic in-patient facility. There are undoubted positive indications for hospital admission, extending beyond the management of dangerousness, severe psychosis and psychiatric illnesses complicated by significant medical problems.7 These include the assessment and reassessment of diagnosis and level of functioning, refinements in drug management and the implementation of treatment programmes that are difficult to provide outside hospital and, most importantly, respite care when the burden of caring

becomes too great for families and community support systems. The need for in-patient care will be greater in areas such as Camberwell where social deprivation makes the support that can be offered by the natural community that much more tenuous, and the conditions to which patients must return after an episode of illness that much worse than the norm.

REFERENCES

- ¹WING, J. K. (ed) (1982) Long-term community care: experience in a London borough. *Psychological Medicine Monograph* Supplement No 2.
- ²CAMBERWELL HEALTH AUTHORITY (1986) Local People in Mind. A discussion document.
- ³DHSS (1985) Mental Illness: Policies for Prevention, Treatment, Rehabilitation and Care. London: Department of Health & Social Security.
- ⁴GREER, S. & LIDDELL, D. W. (1972) The department of psychological medicine at King's College Hospital. In *Evaluating a Community Psychiatric Service*. (eds. J. K. Wing & A. M. Hailey) Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- ⁵HOULT, J. (1986) Community care of the acutely mentally ill. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **149**, 137–144.
- 6SOUTH EAST THAMES REGIONAL HEALTH AUTHORITY (1985) Regional Mental Health Strategy 1985-1994. Bexhill-on-Sea: SETRHA.
- STEIN, L. I. & TEST, M. A. (1980) Alternative to mental hospital treatment. I. Conceptual model, treatment program and clinical evaluation. Archives of General Psychiatry, 37, 392-397.
- ⁸TALBOT, J. A. & GLICK, I. D. (1986) The in-patient care of the chronically mentally ill. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 12, 129-140.

New Publications

Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Behavioral Neurology, A Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, is being published quarterly. Suitable articles for editorial consideration are welcomed and should be sent to Michael Alan Taylor, MD, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Sciences, University of Health Sciences, The Chicago Medical School, 3333 Green Bay Road, North Chicago, IL 60064, USA. Subscription details are available from: Subscription Department, Raven Press, 1185 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, USA.

Good Practices in Mental Health in Richmond, Surrey is a 71 page report giving summaries of 27 projects that illustrate examples of good ideas and practices in mental health services in the district, together with a list of other support services. It is available from Mrs Caroline Walker, 45 Nassau Road, London SW13, price £1.50 per copy, plus 50p postage and packing; cheques should be made payable to RABMIND.

14 Prince's Gate: Home of the Royal College of Practitioners traces the story of the College building from the early development of the site in the 18th century through to the present day and is published by the College to commemorate the 25th anniversary of its ownership of 14 Prince's Gate. It is available from the Central Sales Office, Royal College of General Practitioners, 14 Prince's Gate, Hyde Park, London SW7 1PU, price £8.50 including postage. Cheques should be made payable to RCGP Enterprises Ltd. There is also a special offer whereby this publication and A History of the Royal College of General Practitioners (£10.00) can be bought together for £16.00.

Minor Tranquillisers: Hard Facts, Hard Choices is now available in eight languages: Bengali, Cantonese, English, Greek, Hindi, Punjabi, Turkish and Urdu. It is available from MIND's Mail Order Service, 24–32 Stephenson Way, London NW1; price, including postage and packing, 25p each, £2 for 10, £18 for 100. Cheques should be made payable to MIND.