A NOTE ON NORMAL MATRICES

R. C. THOMPSON

1. Introduction. Let U_n be an *n*-dimensional unitary space with inner product (u, v). For vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_r \in U_n$, $r \leq n$, let $u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_r$ denote the Grassmann exterior product (4) of the u_i ; it is a vector in U_m where $m = {}_nC_r$. If also $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in U_n$, then $(u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_r, v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r)$ is the determinant of the $r \times r$ matrix $((u_i, v_j))$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. If A is a linear transformation of U_n to itself, the *r*th compound of A is defined by

$$C_{\tau}(A)u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{\tau} = (Au_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (Au_{\tau}).$$

For $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n$, denote by $Q_{k,r}$ the set of all ${}_kC_r$ sequences $\omega = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq k$. For a set of vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in U_n$ set

$$x_{\omega} = x_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{i_r},$$
$$g_{\tau} = g_{\tau}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,\tau}} (C_{\tau}(A) x_{\omega}, x_{\omega}).$$

Let $E_r(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ denote the elementary symmetric function of a_1, \ldots, a_k of degree r and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ denote the characteristic values of the linear transformation A. In (2) it was shown that if A is Hermitian, then

$$\max g_r = E_r(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k),$$

$$\min g_r = E_r(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k),$$

where $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k\}$ and $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k\}$ are certain subsets of $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ and where the max and min are taken over all sets of k orthonormal vectors x_1, \ldots, x_k in U_n . In this note we offer the following generalization of this fact.

THEOREM 1. If A is normal and if x_1, \ldots, x_k are orthonormal vectors in U_n , then $g_\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ lies in the convex hull H_τ of all the complex numbers

$$E_r(\lambda_{j_1},\ldots,\lambda_{j_k}), \qquad \{j_1,\ldots,j_k\} \in Q_{n,k}.$$

In Theorem 2 we identify the complex numbers which are of the form $g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ for orthonormal vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in U_n$.

THEOREM 2. If A is normal, the set of all sums $(Ax_1, x_1) + \ldots + (Ax_k, x_k)$ for orthonormal vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in U_n$ is H_1 .

Received January 19, 1962. This paper presents research completed while the author held a fellowship with the Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress, 1961.

NORMAL MATRICES

We shall give an example to show that the analogue of Theorem 2 for r > 1 is, in general, false.

2. Proofs. Let |X| and ||X|| denote, respectively, the determinant and the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix X. If $\omega = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ and $\tau = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ are in $Q_{n,r}$, then $X[\omega|\tau]$ denotes the submatrix of X which lies in the intersection of rows i_1, \ldots, i_r and columns j_1, \ldots, j_r of X. Set $\sigma(\tau) = j_1 + \ldots + j_r$ and let $Q_{n,s} - \tau$ denote the set of sequences $\{m_1, \ldots, m_s\} \in Q_{n,s}$ for which $\{m_1, \ldots, m_s\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ is empty. If $\omega \in Q_{n,s}$, then ω' will denote the only element of $Q_{n,n-s} - \omega$; ω' contains the integers $1, \ldots, n$ which are not in ω .

LEMMA. Let $B = (b_{i,j}), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be a unitary matrix. Let $\tau = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ be a fixed member of $Q_{n,r}$, and $\mu = \{k + 1, \ldots, n\}$ be a fixed member of $Q_{n,n-k}$. Then, for $1 \leq r \leq k < n$,

(1)
$$\sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,\tau}} ||B[\omega|\tau]||^2 = \sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,n-k}-\tau} ||B[\mu|\rho]||^2.$$

Proof. For $1 \leq s < n$ let γ , δ be two elements of $Q_{n,s}$. Let $B_{i,j}$ denote the cofactor of $b_{i,j}$ in B and let $(B_{i,j})$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix with $B_{i,j}$ in row i and column $j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. For any matrix B (not necessarily unitary) the following identity is known (3, Eq. 8.6):

(2)
$$|(B_{i,j})[\gamma|\delta]| = (-1)^{\sigma(\gamma) + \sigma(\delta)} |B[\gamma'|\delta']| |B|^{s-1}.$$

If B is unitary, then $B_{i,j}/|B| = \bar{b}_{i,j}$, the complex conjugate of $b_{i,j}$. Hence (2) becomes

(3)
$$|B| |\overline{B}[\gamma|\delta]| = (-1)^{\sigma(\gamma) + \sigma(\delta)} |B[\gamma'|\delta']|.$$

Let $C = (c_{i,j}), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where

(4)
$$c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in \mu \text{ and } j \in \tau, \\ b_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, using (3),

$$\sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,r}} ||B[\omega|\tau]||^2 = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,r}} |B[\omega|\tau]| |\bar{B}[\omega|\tau]|$$
$$= |B|^{-1} \sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,r}} (-1)^{\sigma(\omega) + \sigma(\tau)} |B[\omega|\tau]| |B[\omega'|\tau']|.$$

But this expression, apart from the factor $|B|^{-1}$, is just the Laplace expansion of |C| down columns j_1, \ldots, j_r ; the other terms that would normally appear in this Laplace expansion are all zero because of (4). Hence the left member of (1) is just $|B|^{-1}|C|$.

On the other hand, if we expand |C| across rows $k + 1, \ldots, n$ and use (4) and (3),

R. C. THOMPSON

$$|B|^{-1}|C| = |B|^{-1} \sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,n-k}^{-\tau}} (-1)^{\sigma(\mu)+\sigma(\rho)} |B[\mu|\rho]| |B[\mu'|\rho']|$$

=
$$\sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,n-k}^{-\tau}} |B[\mu|\rho]| |\bar{B}[\mu|\rho]|$$

=
$$\sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,n-k}^{-\tau}} ||B[\mu|\rho]||^{2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Throughout the rest of this paper e_1, \ldots, e_n denotes an orthonormal set of characteristic vectors of A belonging to the characteristic values $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, respectively. We are given orthonormal vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in U_n$. If k = n, the result is clear since the vectors x_ω for $\omega \in Q_{n,r}$ form an orthonormal basis in U_m so that $g_r = \text{trace } C_r(A) = E_r(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$. Suppose k < n and choose x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n so that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an orthonormal basis for U_n . Let $B = ((x_i, e_j)), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then B is a unitary matrix. Now

$$x_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{i}, e_{j})e_{j}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$
$$Ax_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}(x_{i}, e_{j})e_{j}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Hence, using the multilinear and alternating properties of the Grassmann product, it follows that if $\tau = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{\tau}\},\$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\omega} &= \sum_{\tau \in Q_{n,\tau}} |B[\omega|\tau]| e_{\tau}, \\ C_{\tau}(A) x_{\omega} &= \sum_{\tau \in Q_{n,\tau}} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_{\tau}} |B[\omega|\tau]| e_{\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

(5)
$$g_{\tau} = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,\tau}} \sum_{\tau \in Q_{n,\tau}} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_r} ||B[\omega|\tau]||^2$$
$$= \sum_{\tau \in Q_{n,\tau}} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_\tau} \sum_{\omega \in Q_{k,\tau}} ||B[\omega|\tau]||^2.$$

For $\rho = \{m_1, \ldots, m_k\} \in Q_{n,k}$, let $h_{\rho} = |B[\mu|\rho']|$, where $\mu = \{k + 1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we claim that

(6)
$$g_{\tau} = \sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,k}} |h_{\rho}|^{2} E_{\tau}(\lambda_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{m_{k}}).$$

To see this, note that the coefficient of

(7) $\lambda_{j_1} \ldots \lambda_{j_r}$

in (6) is

$$\sum_{\rho' \in Q_{n,n-k}-\tau} ||B[\mu|\rho']||^2.$$

By the Lemma, this is the same as the coefficient of (7) in (5).

222

The proof of Theorem 1 will now be complete if we can show that

$$\sum_{\rho \in Q_{n,k}} |h_{\rho}|^2 = 1.$$

This is immediate since the $|B[\mu|\rho']|$ for $\rho' \in Q_{n,n-k}$ are the co-ordinates of the unit vector $x_{k+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_n$ relative to the orthonormal basis $e_{\rho'}$ in the space U_t with $t = {}_n C_{n-k}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since any point $P \in H_1$ may be written as a convex combination of three of the vertices of H_1 and since the vertices of H_1 lie among the numbers

(8)
$$\lambda_{j_1} + \ldots + \lambda_{j_k}, \quad \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\} \in Q_{n,k},$$

it is enough to show that any point P in the convex hull of three of the numbers (8) is of the form $P = g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ for orthonormal vectors

$$x_1,\ldots,x_k\in U_n$$

Suppose we are given three sums (8), say S_1 , S_2 , S_3 . With a proper choice of the notation we may assume that

$$S_{1} = (\lambda_{1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p}) + (\lambda_{p+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q}) + (\lambda_{p+q+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r}) + (\lambda_{w+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+t}),$$

$$S_{2} = (\lambda_{1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p}) + (\lambda_{p+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q}) + (\lambda_{p+q+r+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r+s}) + (\lambda_{w+t+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+t+u}),$$

$$S_{3} = (\lambda_{1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p}) + (\lambda_{p+q+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r})$$

$$+ (\lambda_{p+q+r+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r+s}) + (\lambda_{w+t+u+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+t+u+v}),$$

where, for brevity, we have let w = p + q + r + s. Here some of p, q, r, s, t, u, v may be zero, in which case not all of the types of terms indicated need actually appear. We have

$$(9) p+q+r+t=k,$$

$$(10) p+q+s+u=k,$$

$$(11) p+r+s+v=k.$$

We may suppose that $t \ge u \ge v$. Let α , β , θ be three real numbers with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \theta^2 = 1$. We have to find orthonormal vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in U_n$ such that

$$(Ax_1, x_1) + \ldots + (Ax_k, x_k) = \alpha^2 S_1 + \beta^2 S_2 + \theta^2 S_3.$$

If p > 0, set $x_i = e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then

$$(Ax_1, x_1) + \ldots + (Ax_p, x_p) = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_p.$$

If v > 0, set $x_{p+i} = \alpha e_{w+i} + \beta e_{w+i+i} + \theta e_{w+i+u+i}$ for $1 \le i \le v$. Then $(x_{p+i}, x_{p+i}) = 1$ and

R. C. THOMPSON

 $(Ax_{p+1}, x_{p+1}) + \ldots + (Ax_{p+\nu}, x_{p+\nu}) = \alpha^2(\lambda_{w+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+\nu})$ $+ \beta^2(\lambda_{w+i+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+i+\nu}) + \theta^2(\lambda_{w+i+u+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+i+u+\nu}).$

From (10) and (11) it follows that r = q + (u - v); hence $r \ge u - v$. If u > v, let

 $x_{p+v+i} = \beta e_{w+i+v+i} + (\alpha^2 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{p+q+i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq u-v.$

Then $(x_{p+v+i}, x_{p+v+i}) = 1$ and

 $(Ax_{p+v+1}, x_{p+v+1}) + \ldots + (Ax_{p+u}, x_{p+u}) \\ = \beta^2(\lambda_{w+i+v+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+i+u}) + (\alpha^2 + \theta^2)(\lambda_{p+q+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+u-v}).$

It follows from (9) and (11) that s = q + (t - v). If t > v, define

$$x_{p+u+i} = \alpha e_{w+v+i} + (\beta^2 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{p+q+r+i}$$
 for $1 \le i \le t - v$.

Then $(x_{p+u+i}, x_{p+u+i}) = 1$ and

$$(Ax_{p+u+1}, x_{p+u+1}) + \ldots + (Ax_{p+u+t-v}, x_{p+u+t-v}) \\ = \alpha^2(\lambda_{w+v+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{w+t}) + (\beta^2 + \theta^2)(\lambda_{p+q+r+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r+t-v}).$$

Up to this point p + u + t - v vectors x_i have been constructed; these vectors are automatically orthogonal because, when expressed in terms of the e_i , no two x_i involve the same e_i . There remain k - (p + u + t - v) = 2q vectors x_i to be constructed. Let G be the subspace of U_n spanned by

$$f_1 = e_{p+1}, \dots, f_q = e_{p+q}, \quad f_{q+1} = e_{p+q+u-v+1}, \dots, f_{2q} = e_{p+q+\tau},$$
$$f_{2q+1} = e_{p+q+\tau+1-v+1}, \dots, f_{3q} = e_{p+q+\tau+s};$$

and let $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{3q}$ be the λ_i belonging to f_1, \ldots, f_{3q} . Let $y_i = \theta f_i + \beta f_{q+i} + \alpha f_{2q+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Choose x_{k-2q+1}, \ldots, x_k such that $y_1, \ldots, y_q, x_{k-2q+1}, \ldots, x_k$ is an orthonormal basis of G. Then if we compute the trace of the restriction A_G of A to G we get:

trace
$$A_{g} = \zeta_{1} + \ldots + \zeta_{3q}$$

 $= (Ay_{1}, y_{1}) + \ldots + (Ay_{q}, y_{q}) + (Ax_{k-2q+1}, x_{k-2q+1})$
 $+ \ldots + (Ax_{k}, x_{k})$
 $= \theta^{2}(\zeta_{1} + \ldots + \zeta_{q}) + \beta^{2}(\zeta_{q+1} + \ldots + \zeta_{2q})$
 $+ \alpha^{2}(\zeta_{2q+1} + \ldots + \zeta_{3q}) + (Ax_{k-2q+1}, x_{k-2q+1}) + \ldots + (Ax_{k}, x_{k}).$

Hence we find that

$$(Ax_{k-2q+1}, x_{k-2q+1}) + \ldots + (Ax_k, x_k) = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\lambda_{p+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q}) + (\alpha^2 + \theta^2)(\lambda_{p+q+u-v+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r}) + (\beta^2 + \theta^2)(\lambda_{p+q+r+t-v+1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p+q+r+s}).$$

Then x_1, \ldots, x_k are orthonormal vectors in U_n such that

$$(Ax_1, x_1) + \ldots + (Ax_k, x_k) = \alpha^2 S_1 + \beta^2 S_2 + \theta^2 S_3$$

224

NORMAL MATRICES

We now give an example to show that the set of all numbers $g_r(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ for orthonormal x_1, \ldots, x_k need not be a convex set if r > 1. Let r = k = 2, n = 4, and take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = i = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $p_{i,j} = |B[1, 2|i, j]|$, where B is the matrix $((x_i, e_j))$, $1 \le i \le 2$, $1 \le j \le 4$. Then, from (5),

$$g_2(x_1, x_2) = |p_{1,2}|^2 - |p_{3,4}|^2 + i(|p_{1,3}|^2 + |p_{1,4}|^2 + |p_{2,3}|^2 + |p_{2,4}|^2)$$

Now $g_2(e_1, e_2) = 1$ and $g_2(e_3, e_4) = -1$. If $g_2(x_1, x_2) = 0$, then we must have $|p_{1,2}| = |p_{3,4}|$, $p_{1,3} = p_{1,4} = p_{2,3} = p_{2,4} = 0$. However, it is known (1) that $p_{1,2}p_{3,4} = p_{1,3}p_{2,4} - p_{1,4}p_{2,3}$. Combining these facts, it follows that also $p_{1,2} = p_{3,4} = 0$. This is a contradiction, since

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 4} |p_{i,j}|^2 = (x_1 \land x_2, x_1 \land x_2) = 1$$

if x_1 and x_2 are orthonormal.

References

- 1. W. V. D. Hodge and D. Pedoe, Methods of algebraic geometry, Vol. I (Cambridge, 1947), 309-315.
- 2. M. Marcus, B. N. Moyls, and R. Westwick, Extremal properties of Hermitian matrices: II, Can. J. Math., 11 (1959), 379-382.
- 3. G. B. Price, Some identities in the theory of determinants, Amer. Math. Monthly, 54 (1947), 75-90.
- 4. J. H. M. Wedderburn, Lectures on matrices, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., 17 (1934), Chap. 5.

University of British Columbia