## A NOTE ON A CLASS OF SLIT CONFORMAL MAPPINGS
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1. Introduction. We denote by $S$ the class of functions, $f(z)$, that are analytic and univalent in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and have the normalization

$$
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \quad(|z|<1)
$$

Of particular interest in studying extremal problems in $S$ is the subclass $M$, consisting of functions that map $U$ onto the complement of a single Jordan arc extending from some finite point to $\infty$ and along which $|w|$ is strictly increasing. Indeed, L. Brickman $[\mathbf{3}]$ has shown that if $f \in S$ is an extreme point of $S$, then $f \in M$. Furthermore, A. Pfluger [9] (see also L. Brickman and D. Wilken [4]) has shown that if $f_{0} \in S$ and

$$
\max _{f \in S} \operatorname{Re} L(f)=\operatorname{Re} L\left(f_{0}\right)
$$

for some non-trivial continuous linear functional on $S$ (i.e., $f_{0}$ is a support point of $S$ ), then $f_{0}$ satisfies the so-called $\pi / 4$ property which implies in particular that $f_{0} \in M$.

Recently, W. Hengartner and G. Schober [5] have shown that extreme points, $f(z)$, of $S$ have the remarkable property that $f(z) / z$ is $1-1$ in $U$. In §3 of this note we generalize this result considerably. We show that for each $f \in M$ there is a family of linear fractional transformations of the disc, $L_{\lambda}(z)(\lambda \geqq 1)$, such that

$$
f_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{f(z)}{z} L_{\lambda}(z) \quad(\lambda \geqq 1)
$$

is $1-1$ and analytic in $U$. If $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty, L_{\lambda}(z) \rightarrow$ constant, and so we obtain as a special case the Hengartner-Schober result. Consideration of the family of functions $\left\{f_{\lambda}(z)\right\}$ enables us to embed each $f \in M$ in an explicit subordination chain (see [10] and [11, chapter 6]). Through an application of C. Pommerenke's version of the Löwner equation, we obtain in $\S 4$ some coefficient relations for functions in $M$ which parallel some recent results of Hengartner and Schober [5] and [6].

Finally, we note that if $f \in M$, then $f$ has a continuous extension to $\gamma=$ $\left\{z: z=e^{i \theta}, 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi\right\}$ as a mapping from $U \cup \gamma$ to the Riemann sphere and $f(\gamma)=$ boundary $f(U)$. We denote by $p$ and $q$ the points on $\gamma$ which correspond under $w=f(z)$ to the finite endpoint of $f(\gamma)$ and $\infty$ respectively.

[^0]2. A univalence criterion. A classical criterion for the univalence of a function $h(z)$ that is analytic in $U$ states that if in addition $h$ is continuous in $U \cup \gamma$ and $h(\gamma)$ is a Jordan curve, then $h$ is $1-1$ in $U$. It would be natural to assume that this result remains true if, rather than being analytic, one allows $f$ to have a simple pole in $U$ (see [8, p. 139] where in fact such a result is stated). However, the function
$$
\pi(z)=\frac{z-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon z} \frac{(1-z)^{2}}{z} \quad(|\epsilon|<1)
$$
shows that this is not the case. Indeed a simple computation shows that $\pi$ is $1-1$ on $\gamma$ but clearly $\pi$ cannot be $1-1$ in $U$ since $\pi(\epsilon)=0=\pi(1)$. A correct generalization of the above univalence criterion was proved by L. A. Aksent'ev [1] (see also [2]). We state a special case of Aksent'ev's theorem in the form we will need it in $\S 3$.

Theorem 1 (Aksent'ev). Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $U$ except for a simple pole at $z_{0} \in U$. Suppose $g(z)$ is continuous in $(U \cup \gamma)-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and $1-1$ on $\gamma$. If the Jordan curve $g(\gamma)$ has negative orientation, then $g$ is $1-1$ in $U$.
3. A family of univalent functions. Before proving the main theorem of this section, we recall some elementary facts concerning linear fractional transformations.

Let $p$ and $q$ be two distinct points on the unit circle. We need to determine the family of linear fractional transformations each of which fixes $p$ and $q$, and has $p$ as a repulsive fixed point and $q$ as an attractive fixed point. For this purpose it is convenient to map $U$ onto the upper half-plane sending $p$ to 0 and $q$ to $\infty$. Such a map is given by

$$
S(z)=A \frac{z-p}{z-q} \quad \text { where } A=\sqrt{q \bar{p}}
$$

with the root chosen so that $\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{q \bar{p}}<0$.
The family of linear fractional transformations that fixes 0 and $\infty$ and preserve the upper half-plane is given by $w \rightarrow \lambda w$ with $\lambda>0$. Such a transformation $(w \rightarrow \lambda w)$ has $w=0$ as a repulsive fixed point and $w=\infty$ as an attractive fixed point if and only if $\lambda \geqq 1$. Thus the family of linear fractional transformations that preserves the disc $U$, fixes $p$ and $q$, and has $p$ and $q$ as repulsive and attractive fixed points respectively is given by

$$
L_{\lambda}(z)=S^{-1}[\lambda S(z)] \quad(\lambda \geqq 1)
$$

A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{p-q \lambda}{p \lambda-q}\left[\frac{z+\frac{p q(\lambda-1)}{p-q \lambda}}{1+\frac{\lambda-1}{q-p \lambda} z}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{p-q \lambda}{p \lambda-q}\right|=\left|\frac{p \bar{q}-\lambda}{\lambda-q \bar{p}}\right|=1, \\
& \left|\frac{p q(\lambda-1)}{p-q \lambda}\right|=\frac{\lambda-1}{|p \bar{q}-\lambda|} \leqq \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda-|p \bar{q}|}=1, \text { and } \\
& {\left[\frac{p q(\lambda-1)}{p-q \lambda}\right]=\frac{\bar{p} \bar{q}(\lambda-1)}{\bar{p}-\bar{q} \lambda}=\frac{\lambda-1}{q-p \lambda},}
\end{aligned}
$$

$L_{\lambda}(z)$ is in the standard form,

$$
L_{\lambda}(z)=A \frac{z+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon^{z} z}
$$

with $|A|=1$ and $|\epsilon|<1$, for linear fractional transformations that preserve $U$.
Let $\gamma_{1}$ be the open arc of $\gamma$ moving counterclockwise from $p$ to $q$ and let $\gamma_{2}$ be the open arc obtained by moving counterclockwise from $q$ to $p$.

Observe that $L_{\lambda}(z)$ moves points on $\gamma_{1}$ in a counterclockwise direction and points on $\gamma_{2}$ in a clockwise direction. Furthermore, if we fix $z_{1}$ on $\gamma_{1}$ (or $\gamma_{2}$ ) and let $\lambda$ increase, it is clear that $\arg L_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right)$ increases on $\gamma_{1}$ and decreases on $\gamma_{2}$.

We now state and prove
Theorem 2. Let $f \in M$ and let $L_{\lambda}(z)$ be given by (3.1) where $p$ and $q$ are the distinct points on the unit circle such that $f(p)$ is the finite endpoint of $f(\gamma)$ and $f(q)=\infty$. Assume also that $\lambda \geqq 1$. Then

$$
f_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{f(z)}{z} L_{\lambda}(z)
$$

is $1-1$ and analytic in $U$.
Proof. The function $f_{\lambda}(z)$ is clearly analytic in $U$. We will show that $f_{\lambda}(z)$ is $1-1$ on $\gamma(\lambda \neq 1)$ and will then apply Theorem 1 to the function $g_{\lambda}(z)=$ $1 / f_{\lambda}(z)$ in order to show that $g_{\lambda}(z)$ (and therefore $f_{\lambda}(z)$ ) is $1-1$ in $U$.

Suppose $f_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right)=f_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)$ with $\left|z_{1}\right|=1=\left|z_{2}\right|$ and $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$, i.e., we assume
(3.2) $f\left(z_{2}\right) / f\left(z_{1}\right)=L_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right) z_{2} / L_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right) z_{1}$.

The right hand side of (3.2) has modulus one and so $\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)\right|=\left|f\left(z_{2}\right)\right|$. But, the monotonic property of $f(\gamma)$ then implies that $f\left(z_{1}\right)=f\left(z_{2}\right)$, that neither $z_{1}$ nor $z_{2}$ can equal $p$ or $q$, and that $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ cannot both lie on the same arc $\gamma_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}$. For definiteness suppose that $z_{1} \in \gamma_{1}$ and $z_{2} \in \gamma_{2}$. Then since $f\left(z_{1}\right)=f\left(z_{2}\right)$, we have from (3.2)

$$
L_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right) z_{2}=L_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right) z_{1}
$$

and so
$\arg z_{2}-\arg z_{1}=\arg L_{\lambda}\left(z_{2}\right)-\arg L_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}\right)$
where we have chosen a branch of arg that is continuous and strictly increasing as $z$ moves in the counterclockwise direction on $\gamma-\{p\}$. However, (3.3) is impossible since $L_{\lambda}(z)$ moves points on $\gamma_{1}$ in a counterclockwise direction and points on $\gamma_{2}$ in a clockwise direction. We have obtained a contradiction to (3.2) and so $f_{\lambda}$ is $1-1$ on $\gamma$.

Next consider $g_{\lambda}(z)$. This function is analytic in $U$ except for a simple pole at $z=p q(1-\lambda) / p-q \lambda$ and is continuous on $\gamma$. Therefore, to show that $g_{\lambda}(z)$ is $1-1$ in $U$ it is enough by Theorem 1 to show that $g_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ is negatively oriented. To see that the Jordan curve $g_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ is negatively oriented, we consider the Jordan curve $\Gamma_{\lambda}=f_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ which passes through the point at $\infty$. We show that for $|\xi|=1, \xi \neq p, q, 0$ and $f(\xi)$ lie in opposite components of $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ and that $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ is negatively oriented with respect to the points $f(\xi)$. We then obtain upon inversion that the plane Jordan curve $g_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ has negative orientation with respect to the points $g(\xi)=1 / f(\xi)$ which lie in its interior. As noted above, an application of Theorem 1 will then complete the proof.

First note that $\left|L_{\lambda}(\xi) / \xi\right|=1$ for $\xi \in \gamma$ and that the end points $f(p)$ and $f(q)$ are fixed under the transformation $w=f_{\lambda}(\xi)$. Also recall that $L_{\lambda}(\xi)$ moves points counterclockwise on $\gamma_{1}$ and clockwise on $\gamma_{2}$. Now for each $\xi \in \gamma$

$$
f_{\lambda}(\xi)=f(\xi) \frac{L_{\lambda}(\xi)}{\xi}
$$

and hence $f_{\lambda}(\xi)$ is obtained from $f(\xi)$ upon multiplication of $f(\xi)$ by the complex number $L_{\lambda}(\xi) / \xi$ whose modulus is one. On $\gamma_{1}$,

$$
0<\arg L_{\lambda}(\xi)-\arg \xi<\arg q-\arg p
$$

and on $\gamma_{2}$,

$$
\arg q-\arg p-2 \pi<\arg L_{\lambda}(\xi)-\arg \xi<0 .
$$

That is, for $\xi$ on $\gamma_{1}$, points $f_{\lambda}(\xi)$ on $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ are obtained by rotating the points $f(\xi)$ in a counterclockwise direction by an amount less than $\arg q-\arg p$, whereas, for $\xi \in \gamma_{2}$ points $f_{\lambda}(\xi)$ on $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ are obtained by rotating the points $f(\xi)$ in a clockwise direction by an amount less than $2 \pi-(\arg q-\arg p)$. It follows that for $|\xi|=1, \xi \neq p, q, f(\xi)$ and 0 are in opposite components of the Jordan curve $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ and that as $\xi$ traverses $\gamma$ starting say from $\xi=p$, the points $f(\xi)$ lie to the right of $f_{\lambda}(\xi)$, i.e., $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ is negatively oriented with respect to the points $f(\xi)$. As noted in the previous paragraph, this fact suffices to complete the proof of the theorem.

Note. For future reference we observe that

$$
\arg L_{\lambda}(\xi)-\arg \xi \quad(\lambda \geqq 1)
$$

is monotonically increasing as a function of $\lambda$ for each fixed $\xi \in \gamma_{1}$ and monotonically decreasing in $\lambda$ for each fixed $\xi \in \gamma_{2}$. It follows that if $1 \leqq \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$, $\mathrm{f}_{\lambda_{1}}(U) \supset f_{\lambda_{2}}(U)$. This observation will be important in the next section.

It follows immediately from the definition of $L_{\lambda}(z)$, (3.1), that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $L_{\lambda}(\xi) \rightarrow q$. This observation establishes the following

Corollary. If $f \in M$, then $f(z) / z$ is $1-1$ in $U$.
As noted above, this result was first proved by Hengartner and Schober [5].
4. Applications. Before applying Theorem 2 we need to recall the definition of a subordination chain [10].

Definition. Let $I$ be a real finite or semi-finite interval. Then $f(z, t), z \in U$, $t \in I$, is called a subordination chain if
(i) $f(z, t)$ is analytic and univalent for $z \in U$ and for each fixed $t \in I$;
(ii) $f^{\prime}(0, t)$ is continuous as a function of $t \in I$; and
(iii) $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I, t_{1} \leqq t_{2}$ implies $f\left(z, t_{1}\right)$ is subordinate to $f\left(z, t_{2}\right)$.

Pommerenke [10] introduced the concept of a subordination chain and used it to develop the following version of a theorem of K. Löwner [7].

Theorem 3. (Pommerenke). Let $f(z, t)$ be a subordination chain on $I$. Then there exists a function $p(z, t)$ analytic in $z$ for $z \in U, t \in I$ with $\operatorname{Re} p(z, t) \geqq 0$ for all $z \in U, t \in I$, measurable for $t \in I$ and such that

$$
\frac{\partial f(z, t)}{\partial t}=z p(z, t) f^{\prime}(z, t)
$$

for each $z \in U$ and almost all $t \in I$.
It should be noted here that we are intentionally not assuming that the subordination chain is normalized, i.e., that $f^{\prime}(0, t)=e^{t}$. For normalized chains one knows that $p(0, t)=1$ for almost all $t \in I$. For general chains, one only has $\operatorname{Re} p(0, t) \geqq 0$ for almost all $t \in I$.

We now show how Theorem 2 enables us to construct an explicit subordination chain for functions in $M$.

As pointed out in the note at the end of Theorem 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{1}}(U) \supset f_{\lambda_{2}}(U) \quad \text { if } 1 \leqq \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing $f_{\lambda}(z)$ with $L_{\lambda}{ }^{-1}(z)$ we obtain

$$
f_{\lambda}\left[L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)\right]=z \frac{f\left(L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)\right)}{L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)}, \quad \text { and } f_{\lambda} \circ L_{\lambda}^{-1}(0)=0
$$

By (4.1)

$$
f_{\lambda_{2}} \circ L_{\lambda_{2}}^{-1}(U) \subset f_{\lambda_{1}} \circ L_{\lambda_{1}}^{-1}(U) \quad\left(1 \leqq \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}\right)
$$

i.e., $f_{\lambda_{2}} \circ L_{\lambda_{2}}{ }^{-1}$ is subordinate to $f_{\lambda_{1}} \circ L_{\lambda_{1}}{ }^{-1}$. Set $t=1 / \lambda$ and define
(4.2) $\hat{f}(z, t)=f_{\lambda} \circ L_{\lambda}{ }^{-1}(z)$.

It follows that $\hat{f}(z, t)$ is a subordination chain. Using the definition of $f_{\lambda}(z)$
we may write (4.2) in the form

$$
\hat{f}(z, t)=\frac{f\left(L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)\right)}{L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)} z \quad(\lambda=1 / t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\lambda}(z)=A(t) \frac{z+\epsilon(t)}{1+\bar{\epsilon}(t) z} \quad(\lambda=1 / t), \\
& A(t)=\frac{q-p t}{q t-p}, \\
& \epsilon(t)=\frac{p q(t-1)}{q-p t}, \quad \text { and } \\
& L_{\lambda}^{-1}(z)=\frac{\bar{A}(t) z-\epsilon(t)}{1-\bar{\epsilon}(t) \bar{A}(t) z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $f(z, t)=\hat{f}(A(t) z, t)$, then $f(z, t)$ is also a subordination chain on $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z, 0) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(A(t) z, t)=\frac{f(p) q}{-p^{2}} z, f(z, 1)=f(z), \quad \text { and } \\
\text { (4.3) } f(z, t) & =\frac{f\left[\frac{z-\epsilon(t)}{1-\bar{\epsilon}(t) z}\right]}{\left[\frac{z-\epsilon(t)}{1-\bar{\epsilon}(t) z}\right]} A(t) z .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Pommerenke's theorem (Theorem 3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f(z, t)}{\partial t}=z p(z, t) f^{\prime}(z, t) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z, t)$ is analytic in $z$ with positive real part for $z \in U$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Computing $\partial f(z, t) / \partial t$ from (4.3), solving for $p(z, t)$ in (4.4), setting $t=1$, and using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(p+q) /(p-q)=0$ we obtain the following property of functions in $M$.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in$ M. Let $p$ and $q$ be defined as in Theorem 2. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re} p(z, 1)=\operatorname{Re} \frac{(z-p)(z-q)}{(p-q) z}\left[1-\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>0
$$

for all $z \in U$.
Corollary. Let $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\ldots \in$ M. Let $p$ and $q$ be defined as in Theorem 2. Then

1) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{a_{2} p q}{p-q}\right)>0$, and
2) $\left|a_{2}(p+q)+2 p q\left(a_{2}{ }^{2}-a_{3}\right)\right| \leqq 2\left|a_{2}\right|$.

Proof. Let $p(z, 1)=p_{0}+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ Since $\operatorname{Re} p(z, 1)>0$, a classical result of Carathéodory says that $\operatorname{Re} p_{0} \geqq 0$ and $\left|p_{n}\right| \leqq 2\left|p_{0}\right|$ for $n \geqq 1$. We can express $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in terms of $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ if we observe that

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}=1-a_{2} z+2\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\ldots
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}=\frac{a_{2} p q}{p-q}, \text { and } \\
& p_{1}=\frac{-a_{2}(p+q)}{(p-q)}-\frac{2 p q\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)}{(p-q)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we multiply $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ by $(p-q)$, the corollary follows. We have been unable to determine whether or not inequalities 1) and 2) are sharp.

Notes. 1) Theorem 4 and the Corollary are remarkably similar to recent results of Hengartner and Schober [5] and [6] which were obtained by different methods. It is hoped that their results may be combined with ours to produce further results showing the strong connection between the coefficients of functions in $M$ on the one hand and the points $p$ and $q$ on the other.
2) If $a_{2}>0$, then inequality 1) yields the interesting relation $\operatorname{Re} q>\operatorname{Re} p$.
3) If $\operatorname{Re}\left(c_{2} p q\right) /(p-q)=0$, then $p(z, 1) \equiv A i$ where $A$ is real and constant. A straightforward integration of (4.4) shows that $f(z)$ must be a polynomial and therefore not in the class $M$. In particular this says that $a_{2} \neq 0$ for any function $f \in M$.
4) We feel that the idea of constructing explicit subordination chains for functions in $M$ (or say for support points of $S$ ) is potentially quite useful and should be explored further.
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