# AN EXTENSION OF MEYER'S THEOREM ON INDEFINITE TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS 

BURTON W. JONES

1. Introduction. Let $f$ be a ternary quadratic form whose matrix $F$ has integral elements with g.c.d. 1, that is, an improperly or properly primitive form according as all diagonal elements are even or not. Let $d$ be the determinant of $f$ (denoted by $|f|), \Omega$ the g.c.d. of the 2 -rowed minors of $F$. Then $d=\Omega^{2} \Delta$ determines an integer $\Delta$. Two forms $f$ in the same genus have the same invariants $\Omega, \Delta, d$. The form whose matrix is adj $F / \Omega$ is called the reciprocal form of $f$. A theorem of Meyer, as extended by Dickson [1], who completely reworked Meyer's inadequate proof, is the following:

Theorem 1. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two properly or improperly primitive indefinite ternary quadratic forms in the same genus, they are equivalent if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Omega, \Delta) \leqslant 2, \Omega \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4), \Delta \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meyer [3] also gave the number of classes in a genus of ternary indefinite forms in terms of sets of quadratic characters with respect to the primes common to $\Omega$ and $\Delta$, but his proofs are obscure. Siegel recently showed the author that the forms

$$
f=x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{2}^{2}+64 x_{3}^{2}, \quad g=\left(2 x_{1}+x_{3}\right)^{2}-2 x_{2}^{2}+16 x_{3}^{2}
$$

are in the same genus but are not equivalent since the latter represents no perfect square whose factors are all congruent to $1(\bmod 8)$. It is the purpose of this article to give a large set of genera of one class whose invariants are not relatively prime.

Let $p$ be an odd prime factor common to $\Omega$ and $\Delta$. It is well known [2, Theorem 25] that for $k$ arbitrary, $f$ is equivalent to a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0} \equiv a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+p^{2} a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+p a_{3} x_{3}^{2}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right), \quad\left(a_{1}, p\right)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the transformation $K: x_{1}=p y_{1}, x_{2}=y_{2}, x_{3}=y_{3}$, takes $f_{0}$ into $p g$ where $g$ is a form whose matrix has integral elements and

$$
g \equiv p a_{1} y_{1}^{2}+p a_{2} y_{2}^{2}+a_{3} y_{3}^{2}\left(\bmod p^{k-1}\right)
$$

We call $g$ the related or $p$-related form of $f$ and shall prove
Theorem 2. If a form $g$ above is in a genus of one class, if $p^{3}$ does not divide $|g|$, and if there is an integer $q$, prime to $p$ and satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $|q|$ is an odd prime or double an odd prime;
(ii) $-q$ is represented by the reciprocal form of $g$;
(iii) every solution of the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-q y^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is congruent $(\bmod p)$ to a solution of the Pell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-q y^{2}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the form $f$ is in a genus of one class.
Notice that (ii) imposes only congruence conditions on $q$ and that $q$ must be double a prime if the reciprocal of $g$ is improperly primitive.

Theorems 1 and 2 then imply
Corollary 1. There is only one class in the genus of a (properly or improperly) primitive form $f$ if
(i) $\Omega \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4), \Delta \neq 0(\bmod 4)$;
(ii) for any odd prime factor $p$ dividing both $\Omega$ and $\Delta$, it is true that $p^{3}$ does not divide $|g|$ and there exists a $q$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.
The conditions of Theorem 2 will be further considered in $\S 4$.
2. Equivalence of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ implies that of $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. We consider $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ two primitive forms of the same genus. Then [2, Theorem 40] we may assume $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ congruent modulo an arbitrary power of $p$. Suppose $U=\left(u_{i j}\right)$ is a unimodular transformation (determinant $\pm 1$, integral elements) taking $f_{1}$ into $f_{2}$, then

$$
K^{-1} U K=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{11} & u_{12} p^{-1} & u_{13} p^{-1} \\
p u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\
p u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is unimodular if $u_{12} \equiv u_{13} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and takes $g_{1}$ into $g_{2}$. Now $U$ takes $f_{1}$ into $f_{2}$, both of the form (2), which implies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}\left(u_{11} x_{1}+u_{12} x_{2}+u_{13} x_{3}\right)^{2}+p a_{3}\left(u_{31} x_{1}+u_{32} x_{2}+u_{33} x_{3}\right)^{2} \\
\equiv a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+p a_{3} x_{3}^{2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies

$$
a_{1} u_{12}^{2} \equiv a_{1} u_{13}^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

which, since $\left(a_{1}, p\right)=1$, implies $u_{12} \equiv u_{13} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ which completes our proof that $f_{1} \cong f_{2}$ implies $g_{1} \cong g_{2}$ where $\cong$ is the sign for equivalence. Hence the number of classes in the genus of $f$ is not less than the number of classes in the genus of $g$.
3. Conditions under which $g_{1} \cong g_{2}$ implies $f_{1} \cong f_{2}$. As above, we may assume $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ congruent modulo $p^{k}$. Now let the unimodular transformation $U=\left(u_{i j}\right)$ take $g_{1}$ into $g_{2}$. Then $K U K^{-1}$ takes $f_{1}$ into $f_{2}$,

$$
K_{U} K^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{lrr}
u_{11} & p u_{12} & p u_{13} \\
u_{21} p^{-1} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\
u_{31} p^{-1} & u_{32} & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and we need $u_{21} \equiv u_{31} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. But

$$
a_{3}\left(u_{31} x_{1}+u_{32} x_{2}+u_{33} x_{3}\right)^{2} \equiv a_{3} x_{3}^{2}(\bmod p)
$$

follows from that fact that $U$ takes $g_{1}$ into $g_{2}$ and $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are both in form $\bmod p^{k-1}$ given above. This implies $u_{31} \equiv u_{32} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ since $a_{3} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ would imply $p^{3}$ a divisor of $|g|$ contrary to hypothesis. It remains to make $u_{21} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. This we do by showing that under certain circumstances we can find an automorph $P$ of $g$ such that the last two elements of the first column of $P U$ are divisible by $p$.

Write $G$, the matrix of $g$, in the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p B & p b_{1} \\
p b_{1}^{T} & b
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{rl}
p B & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod p^{k-1}\right)
$$

Since, under the conditions of Theorem 2, the reciprocal form of $g$ represents $-q\left(\bmod p^{k-1}\right)$ we may take $|B|=-q$. Let the unimodular transformation $U$ taking $g_{1}$ into $g_{2}$ be written

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{0} & u_{1} \\
u_{2} & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $u_{2}=\left(u_{31}, u_{32}\right) \equiv(0,0)(\bmod p)$. We shall first prove
Lemma 1. If $B$ has an automorph $A$ such that
(i) $(A \mp I) \mathrm{B}^{-1}$ is integral for proper choice of $\pm$,
(ii) $A \equiv U_{0}(\bmod p)$,
then an integral $1 \times 2$ matrix $w$ may be determined so that

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & w \\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and hence $P^{-1}$ are integral automorphs of $G$ and

$$
P^{-1} U \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & \pm u_{33}
\end{array}\right](\bmod p)
$$

In order to prove this, we need to make $P^{T} G P=G$, that is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A^{T} p B A & p A^{T} B w \pm p A^{T} b_{1}  \tag{5}\\
p w^{T} B A \pm p b_{1}^{T} A & p w^{T} B w \pm p b_{1}^{T} w \pm p w w^{T} b_{1}+b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p B & p b_{1} \\
p b_{1}^{T} & b
\end{array}\right] .
$$

But $A^{T} B A=B$ and, if we can determine an integral $w$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{T} B w \pm A^{T} b_{1}=b_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$|P|= \pm 1$ with $|B| \neq 0$ implies that $b$ is equal to the corresponding member in the left-hand matrix of (5). However (6) is equivalent to

$$
B A^{-1} w=\mp\left(A^{T} \mp I\right) b_{1},
$$

or

$$
w=\mp A B^{-1}\left(A^{T} \mp I\right) b_{1}=\mp(I \mp A) B^{-1} b_{1}=(A \mp I) B^{-1} b_{1} .
$$

Hence $w$ is integral if condition (i) of the Lemma holds. Furthermore, $b_{1} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ implies $w \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

If, in addition, condition (ii) holds, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{-1} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A^{-1} & \mp A^{-1} w \\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right](\bmod p), \\
P^{-1} U & \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cr}
A^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{0} & u_{1} \\
0 & u_{33}
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & A^{-1} u_{1} \\
0 & \pm u_{33}
\end{array}\right](\bmod p),
\end{aligned}
$$

and our proof is complete. That is, we can, under the conditions of Lemma 1, find a transformation $U$ taking $g_{1}$ into $g_{2}$ for which $u_{21} \equiv u_{31} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. In other words, $g_{1} \cong g_{2}$ implies $f_{1} \cong f_{2}$.

It may easily be verified that

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lr}
t-b u & -c u  \tag{7}\\
a u & t+b u
\end{array}\right]
$$

is an automorph of $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}$, the form whose matrix is $B$, if $t, u$ is a solution of $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$, where $-q=a c-b^{2}$. We prove

Lemma 2. Condition (i) of Lemma 1 holds if $A$ is expressed in form (7) with $t \equiv \pm 1(\bmod q)$.

To prove this, note that

$$
(A \mp I) B^{-1}=-q^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c(t \mp 1) & q u-b(t \mp 1) \\
-q u-b(t \mp 1) & a(t \mp 1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is integral if $t \equiv \pm 1(\bmod q)$. Notice that any solution of $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$ satisfies the condition if $q$ is an odd prime or double an odd prime.

Now, as may be shown in the same way as one establishes the automorphs of a binary form,

$$
U_{0}^{T} B U_{0} \equiv B(\bmod p)
$$

implies, for $p$ an odd prime,

$$
U_{0} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lr}
t^{\prime}-b u^{\prime} & -c u^{\prime} \\
a u^{\prime} & t^{\prime}+b u^{\prime}
\end{array}\right](\bmod p)
$$

where $t^{\prime 2}-q u^{\prime 2} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Hence if there is a solution $t, u$ of the Pell equation $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$ such that $t \equiv t^{\prime}(\bmod p)$ we have $q u^{2} \equiv q u^{\prime 2}(\bmod p)$ and thus by proper choice of sign of $u^{\prime}$ we have $A \equiv U_{0}(\bmod p)$. We have proved

Lemma 3. If for every solution $t^{\prime}, u^{\prime}$ of the congruence $x^{2}-q y^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ there is a solution $t, u$ of the Pell equation $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$ such that $t \equiv t^{\prime}(\bmod p)$, condition (ii) of Lemma 1 holds.

These three lemmas establish Theorem 2. We now consider in more detail the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2 and investigate the permissible values of $p$ and $q$.
4. Modifications of the conditions of Theorem 2. Consider first the condition that $-q$ be represented by a ternary quadratic form $h$ whose determinant is prime to $q$. We shall prove

Theorem 3. If $h$ is an indefinite ternary form satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, it represents $-q$ with $(q,|h|) \leqslant 2$ if and only if it represents $-q$ in $R(2)$, the ring of 2-adic integers, and in $R(r)$ for every odd prime factor of $\Omega$, that is, if $h \equiv-q(\bmod r)$ is solvable for every such $r$.

We know from Corollary 44b of [2] that if $h$ represents $-q$ in $R(r)$ for $r=\infty$ and every prime factor, $r$, of $2|h| q$, there is a form $h^{\prime}$ in the genus of $h$ which represents $-q$. But our Theorem 1 implies that $h^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $h$ which therefore represents $-q$ if $h^{\prime}$ does. Since $h$ is indefinite it represents $-q$ in the field of reals. It remains to show that $h$ represents $-q$ in $R(r)$ for $r$ an odd prime factor of $q|h|$. If $r=q$ or $\frac{1}{2} q$, Corollary 34b of [2] gives the desired result. Now for any odd prime $r$ we may consider

$$
h \equiv a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{3} x_{3}^{2} \quad\left(\bmod r^{2}\right)
$$

First, if $a_{1} a_{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod r)$, then

$$
a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2} \equiv-q \quad\left(\bmod r^{2}\right)
$$

solvable shows that $h$ represents $-q$ in $R(r)$. Second, two of $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are divisible by $r$ if and only if $r$ divides $\Omega$. Suppose $a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod r)$. Then $h=-q$ is solvable in $R(r)$ if and only if $h \equiv-q(\bmod r)$ is solvable [2, Theorem 9a]. This completes the proof.

Since $g$ is a ternary form $\operatorname{adj}(\operatorname{adj} G)=d G$ where $d=|G|$. If $\Omega$ is the g.c.d. of the $2 \times 2$ minors of $G$ it divides all elements of $d G$, and $g$ primitive implies $d=\Omega^{2} \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is an integer. Furthermore, $d$ is the g.c.d. of all elements of $\operatorname{adj}(\operatorname{adj} G)$ and hence of all 2 -rowed minors of adj $G$. This implies that $\Delta$ is the g.c.d. of the 2 -rowed minors of the matrix of the reciprocal form of $g$. Hence we have

Theorem 4. Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime and $f$ a primitive form for which $\Omega \equiv \Delta \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, neither $\Omega$ nor $\Delta$ being divisible by 4 or $p^{2}$, and $g$ its $p$-related form. Then the reciprocal form of $g$ represents $-q$ if and only if it represents it in $R(r)$ for all prime divisors $r$ of $2 \Delta / p$.

This has the effect of imposing on $-q$ certain conditions modulo powers of 2 and $\bmod r$ for odd prime factors of $\Delta / p$.

Corollary. Condition (ii) of Theorem 2 may be replaced by the conditions of Theorem 4.

Now let us consider further the condition (iii) of Theorem 2. It may be shown that the number of solutions of the congruence (3) is

$$
p-(q \mid p)
$$

The number of solutions with $y=0$ is 2 , with $x=0$ is $1+(-q \mid p)$. Hence the number of solutions with neither $x$ nor $y$ zero is

$$
p-(q \mid p)-(-q \mid p)-3
$$

and the number of distinct pairs of solutions $x^{2}, y^{2}$ with neither zero is one fourth of this number. Hence the number of distinct $(\bmod p)$ pairs $x^{2}, y^{2}$ of solutions is

$$
M=\frac{1}{4}\{p-(q \mid p)+(-q \mid p)+3\}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\frac{1}{4}(p+3) \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\
& M=\frac{1}{4}(p+1) \text { if } p \equiv-1(\bmod 4) \text { and }(q \mid p)=1, \\
& M=\frac{1}{4}(p+5) \text { if } p \equiv-1(\bmod 4) \text { and }(q \mid p)=-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

First we consider two special cases. Suppose $p=3$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then there is only one pair of solutions of the congruence, namely, $x^{2} \equiv 1, y^{2} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$, and hence condition (iii) of Theorem 2 holds. Then from Theorem 4 and Corollary 1 we prove

Theorem 5. An indefinite primitive ternary quadratic form $f$ is in a genus of one class provided
(i) $(\Omega, \Delta)$ divides 6 ,
(ii) $\Omega \not \equiv 0 \not \equiv \Delta(\bmod 4)$,
(iii) $|f| \not \equiv 0(\bmod 81)$.

To prove this we need merely show the existence of a prime or double a prime $q$ with $(q \mid 3)=1$ and satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4 . This means that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and satisfies certain congruence conditions modulo powers of $r$ where $r$ is a prime factor of $2 \Delta / 3$. Dirichlet's theorem shows that such a $q$ exists provided that these conditions are consistent and the conditions of the theorem imply that $\Delta / 3$ is not divisible by 3 . This completes the proof.

Furthermore, for $p=3,(q \mid 3)=1$, condition (iii) of Theorem 2 holds even if $q$ is negative and $g$ a positive form. Thus we have

Theorem 6. For $p=3$, a positive ternary quadratic form $f$ is in a genus of only one class if its 3 -related form $g$ is, and if $|f| \not \equiv 0(\bmod 81)$.

Two examples are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f=x^{2}+18 y^{2}+3 z^{2}, & g=3 x^{2}+6 y^{2}+z^{2} \\
f=x^{2}+18 y^{2}+6 z^{2}, & g=3 x^{2}+6 y^{2}+2 z^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Group theoretic considerations lead to another special case of interest. Let $T, U$ be the fundamental solution of $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$. It is well known that all solutions are given by

$$
t_{n}+u_{n} \sqrt{ } q= \pm(T+U \sqrt{ } q)^{n}
$$

for integral powers of $n$. Hence under this law of combination, the solutions
$(\bmod p)$ of the Pell equation form a multiplicative group $H_{p}$ which must be a subgroup of the multiplicative group of solutions of the congruence $(\bmod p)$. Hence $s$, the order of $H_{p}$, is a divisor of $2 u=p-(q \mid p)$. Condition (iii) of Theorem 2 will be met if and only if $s=2 u$. Now $s$ must be even since $(t, u)$, a solution of the Pell equation, implies that $(-t, u)$ is a solution and $(0, u)$, a solution, implies that $(0,-u)$ is. Hence $s=2 s^{\prime}$. But $s>2$ unless, for the fundamental solution, $U \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and, with this exception, $u$ a prime would imply $s^{\prime}=u$ and $s=2 u$. Hence, if for proper choice of $\operatorname{sign} \frac{1}{2}(p \pm 1)$ is a prime, condition (iii) of Theorem 2 holds and $q$ may be chosen to satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) unless $U \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for the fundamental solution of the Pell equation.

To consider the general case we notice again that any solution $t, u$ of $x^{2}-q y^{2}=1$ is expressible in the form

$$
t_{r}+u_{r} \sqrt{ } q= \pm(T+U \sqrt{ } q)^{r}
$$

where $T, U$ is the fundamental solution. Now

$$
t_{r}+u_{r} \sqrt{ } q \equiv t_{s}+u_{s} \sqrt{ } q(\bmod p)
$$

implies

$$
t_{r}-u_{r} \sqrt{ } q \equiv t_{s}-u_{s} \sqrt{ } q(\bmod p)
$$

where if $(q \mid p)=-1$ by such a congruence we mean that corresponding parts are congruent and if $(q \mid p)=1$ we replace $\sqrt{ } q$ by a solution of $q \equiv r^{2}(\bmod p)$. Hence $t_{r} \equiv t_{s}$, since $p$ is odd and thus $u_{r} \equiv u_{s}$.

First, if $(q \mid p)=1$, there are $p-1$ solutions of the congruence and $\pm(T+U \sqrt{ } q)^{k}$ yields all solutions if and only if one of the following holds:
(a) $\omega=T+U \sqrt{ } q$ is a primitive root $(\bmod p)$.
(b) $\omega$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(\bmod p)$ and no power of $\omega$ is congruent to -1 $(\bmod p)$.
We can show that condition (b) may be replaced by
( $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right) \omega$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(\bmod p)$ and $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
Suppose $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then $\omega$ belonging to $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ would imply $\omega^{t} \equiv-1$ $(\bmod p)$ for $t=\frac{1}{4}(p-1)$. On the other hand, if $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \omega^{t} \equiv-1$ $(\bmod p)$ would imply $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ divides $2 t$ and since the former is odd it must divide $t$. This would make it impossible for $\omega$ to belong to $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$.

Second, if $(q \mid p)=-1$ there are $p+1$ solutions of the congruence and $\pm(T+U \sqrt{ } q)^{k}$ yields all solutions if and only if one of the following holds:
(a) $\omega$ belongs to $p+1(\bmod p)$.
(b) $\omega$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(\bmod p)$ and no power of $\omega$ is congruent to -1 $(\bmod p)$.
As above, we may replace condition (b) by
$\left(b^{\prime}\right) \omega$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(\bmod p)$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
5. Examples. We consider $p=5$ and $p=7$, giving explicit conditions for primes $q$ or doubles of primes $q$ satisfying condition (iii) of Theorem 2 and append a short table of values.

$$
p=5
$$

Case 1. Suppose $(q \mid p)=1$. The primitive roots $(\bmod 5)$ are 2 and 3. Let $a^{2} \equiv q(\bmod 5)$ and have

$$
T^{2}-a^{2} U^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 5), T-a U \equiv \pm 2(\bmod 5)
$$

imply

$$
T+a U \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 5)
$$

and hence

$$
T \equiv 0(\bmod 5)
$$

is the necessary and sufficient condition for (iii) of Theorem 2 , since $T^{2} \equiv-1$ $(\bmod 5)$ would imply $a^{2} U^{2} \equiv-2(\bmod 5)$ which is impossible.

Case 2. Suppose $(q \mid p)=-1$. Since $p+1 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ we want $\omega \not \equiv \pm 1$ $(\bmod 5)$ and $\omega^{3} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$. Now

$$
\omega^{2}=T^{2}+q U^{2}+2 U T \sqrt{ } q \equiv 1(\bmod 5)
$$

only if $U T \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$. But $T \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ would imply $-q U^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ which would deny $(q \mid p)=-1$. Hence $U \equiv 0(\bmod 5), T \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$ which must be excluded. Thus the necessary and sufficient condition for (iii) is

$$
T \equiv \pm 2(\bmod 5)
$$

We can include both case 1 and 2 by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \equiv 0, \pm 2(\bmod 5) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prime and double prime values of $q$ less than 50 for which (8) holds are:

$$
3,6,7,11,14,17,19,22,31,34,37,38,43,46,47
$$

In terms of our general results this means that $\Omega$ and $\Delta$ may have a common factor 5 if the negative of one of the numbers in the table is represented by the reciprocal form of $g$.

$$
p=7
$$

Case 1. Suppose $(q \mid p)=1$. The primitive roots $(\bmod 7)$ are 3 and 5. Here we want $\omega^{3} \equiv \pm 1$ and $\omega \not \equiv \pm 1$, all congruences being (mod 7). Suppose $T+a U \equiv \pm 1$; then $T \equiv \pm 1$ which is excluded. Similarly it is easily shown that $T \equiv 0$ and $T \equiv \pm 2$ are impossible. Hence a necessary and sufficient condition for (iii) is

$$
T \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 7)
$$

Case 2. Suppose $(q \mid p)=-1$. Then $\omega$ must belong to $8(\bmod 7)$, that is, $\omega^{2} \not \equiv \pm 1$. But

$$
(T+U \sqrt{ } q)^{2}=T^{2}+U^{2} q+2 T U \sqrt{ } q \equiv \pm 1
$$

imply $T U \equiv 0$. Thus $U \equiv 0$ and $T^{2} \equiv 1$ or $T \equiv 0$ and $q U^{2} \equiv \pm 1$ both of which are excluded. But $T^{2} \equiv 9$ is impossible. We include both cases in

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3(\bmod 7) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prime and double prime values of $q$ less than 50 for which (9) holds are:

$$
3,5,6,10,11,13,17,19,23,26,37,38,41,43,46 .
$$

Extensions of the results of this paper are being considered by the author and his students.
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