

ON ω -LIMIT SETS OF AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS
IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL BANACH SPACES

GERD HERZOG

We prove that each Polish space is homeomorphic to the ω -limit set of a bounded solution of an autonomous equation $x' = f(x)$ in $l^2 \times c_0$, in which f is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, let $f : E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function and let $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow E$ be a solution of $x' = f(x)$. A point $\xi \in E$ is called ω -limit point of x if there is a sequence $(t_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in $[t_0, \infty)$ with $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x(t_k) \rightarrow \xi$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The ω -limit set of x is defined by

$$\omega(x) := \{\xi \in E : \xi \text{ is } \omega\text{-limit point of } x\}.$$

The set $\omega(x)$ is closed. If $\dim E < \infty$ and if x is bounded then $\omega(x)$ is compact and connected (see for example [3, p.145]). If x is unbounded, then $\omega(x)$ can be disconnected (see for example [8, p.343]). The topological structure of ω -limit sets depends on the space dimension. For $\dim E = 1$ any solution of $x' = f(x)$ is monotone. Hence we have $\omega(x) = \emptyset$ or $\omega(x) = \{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)\}$. For $\dim E = 2$ the Poincaré–Bendixson Theory (see for example [3, p.144]) gives some information on what $\omega(x)$ can look like. For $\dim E \geq 3$ less is known. Numerical plots of bounded solutions, for example of the Lorentz–equation (see for example [9, p.205]), indicate that ω -limit sets can look quite weird. Moreover ω -limit sets with topologically complicated structure are known (see for example [5] and the references given there). In infinite dimensions the ω -limit set of a bounded solution can be empty or can be disconnected and/or not compact [6]. In [2] it is proved that given a closed separable set $P \subset E$ then there exists an autonomous system with continuous right hand side in $\mathbb{R} \times E$ and a solution x of this system such that $\omega(x) = \{1\} \times P$ (in [2] limit sets are defined for $t \rightarrow 1^-$ instead of $t \rightarrow \infty$ which is essentially equivalent; the right hand sides of the differential equations constructed in [2] are in general not Lipschitz continuous on bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times E$).

Received February 28, 2000

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Dr. Roland Lemmert for helpful discussions improving the paper.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/00 \$A2.00+0.00.

In this paper we shall prove that there is almost no topological restriction for ω -limit sets in a certain infinite dimensional Banach space and for right hand sides which are Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets. If E is separable, since $\omega(x)$ is closed we have that $\omega(x)$ is a Polish space. On the other hand we shall prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let $E = l^2(\mathbb{N}) \times c_0(\mathbb{N})$ and let P be a Polish space. Then there exists a function $f : E \rightarrow E$ with the following properties:*

1. *f is Lipschitz continuous on each bounded subset of E .*
2. *There is a bounded solution $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow E$ of $x' = f(x)$ such that $\omega(x)$ is homeomorphic to P .*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In the sequel $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm on $c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Moreover let (\cdot, \cdot) denote the standard inner product in $l^2(\mathbb{N})$.

STEP 1. (REDUCTION OF THE PROBLEM). We shall only consider the case $P \neq \emptyset$. It is known [7] that each Polish space is homeomorphic to a closed subset of the unit sphere $\{\xi \in c_0(\mathbb{N}) : \|\xi\|_\infty = 1\}$. Since the unit spheres of two separable infinite dimensional Banach spaces are homeomorphic (see [1, p.188]) we can assume without loss of generality that P is a closed subset of $\{\xi \in l^2(\mathbb{N}) : \|\xi\|_2 = 1\}$.

The differential equation will be constructed in the space

$$F = \mathbb{R}^6 \times (l^2(\mathbb{N}))^2 \times (c_0(\mathbb{N}))^2$$

which is isomorphic to E .

STEP 2. (THE UNIT SPHERE OF $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ IS A LIMIT SET). Let $S : l^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{N})$ denote the shift operator $S((x_n)) = (x_{n+1})$.

The operator $\exp(S)$ is hypercyclic (that is, it has dense orbits, see for example [4]) hence there exists $\xi_0 \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\{\exp(tS)\xi_0 : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in $l^2(\mathbb{N})$. Now $h(t) := (\exp(tS)\xi_0) / \|\exp(tS)\xi_0\|_2, t \geq 0$ solves

$$h' = Sh - (h, Sh)h,$$

and $\omega(h) = \{\xi \in l^2(\mathbb{N}) : \|\xi\|_2 = 1\}$. Moreover there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|h(t) - h(s)\|_2 \leq L|t - s|, t, s \geq 0$.

STEP 3. (THE SYSTEM AND THE SOLUTION). In the sequel let $A : c_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N})$ denote the linear operator $A((x_n)) = (x_n/n)$ and let $\eta \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$ denote the sequence $\eta = (1/n)$.

We consider the following differential equation (D) in F .

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'_1 &= -u_1^2 \\
 u'_2 &= -u_1 u_2^2 \\
 u'_3 &= -u_1 u_3^2 \\
 u'_4 &= u_1 (\text{dist}(P, w) - \text{dist}(P, v)) \\
 u'_5 &= -u_1 u_6 (\text{dist}(P, w) - \text{dist}(P, v)) \\
 u'_6 &= u_1 u_5 (\text{dist}(P, w) - \text{dist}(P, v)) \\
 v' &= u_1 u_2 (Sv - (v, Sv)v) \\
 w' &= u_1 u_3 (Sw - (w, Sw)w) \\
 x' &= (\text{dist}(P, w) - \text{dist}(P, v))y + u_6 \eta - Ax \\
 y' &= -u_1^2 (\text{dist}(P, w) - \text{dist}(P, v))x + u_1 u_5 \eta - u_1 y - Ay.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously the right hand side of (D) is Lipschitz continuous on bounded subsets of F , and for $t_0 \geq e$ the following function $z = (u, v, w, x, y) : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow F$ is a bounded solution of (D), as can be verified by differentiation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1(t) &= 1/t, & u_2(t) &= 1/\log(t), & u_3(t) &= 1/(1 + \log(t)), \\
 u_4(t) &= \int_{\log(t)}^{1+\log(t)} \text{dist}(P, h(\log(s))) ds, & u_5(t) &= \cos(u_4(t)), \\
 u_6(t) &= \sin(u_4(t)), & v(t) &= h(\log(\log(t))), & w(t) &= h(\log(1 + \log(t))), \\
 x(t) &= (x_n(t)) = \sin(u_4(t)) \left((1 - \exp(-t/n)) \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \\
 y(t) &= (y_n(t)) = \frac{\cos(u_4(t))}{t} \left((1 - \exp(-t/n)) \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}.
 \end{aligned}$$

STEP 4. (THE ω -LIMIT SET). Let $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_v, c_w, c_x, c_y)$ be a limit point of z , and $(t_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a corresponding sequence such that $z(t_k) \rightarrow c$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\|c_w\|_2 = \|c_v\|_2 = 1$. Obviously $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ and $c_y = 0$. Moreover, by the mean value theorem

$$\|w(t) - v(t)\|_2 \leq L |\log(1 + \log(t)) - \log(\log(t))| \leq \frac{L}{\log(t)}.$$

Hence $c_w = c_v$. Since $\text{dist}(P, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|u_4(t_k) - \text{dist}(P, c_v)| \\
 &= \left| \int_{\log(t_k)}^{1+\log(t_k)} (\text{dist}(P, h(\log(s))) - \text{dist}(P, c_v)) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\log(t_k)}^{1+\log(t_k)} \|h(\log(s)) - h(\log(\log(t_k))) + h(\log(\log(t_k))) - c_v\|_2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{\log(t_k)}^{1+\log(t_k)} L\left(\log(s) - \log(\log(t_k))\right) ds + \|v(t_k) - c_v\|_2 \\ &\leq L\left(\log(1 + \log(t_k)) - \log(\log(t_k))\right) + \|v(t_k) - c_v\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $c_4 = \text{dist}(P, c_v)$. Next, $\text{dist}(P, \xi) \in [0, 2]$ for $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$, hence $u_4(t) \in [0, 2]$. Therefore $(x(t_k))$ cannot converge in c_0 in the case that $c_4 \neq 0$. For this reason we have $c_4 = 0$ (in particular $c_v \in P$) and from this we obtain $c_5 = 1$, $c_6 = 0$ and $c_x = 0$. All together $c = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, c_v, c_v, 0, 0)$ which means that

$$\omega(z) \subset Q := \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, p, p, 0, 0) : p \in P\}.$$

Now, let $p \in P$. According to Step 2. there is a sequence $(t_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $h(\log(\log(t_k))) \rightarrow p$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $v(t_k), w(t_k) \rightarrow p$ and $u_4(t_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $z(t_k) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, p, p, 0, 0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, we have $\omega(z) = Q$ and Q is obviously homeomorphic to P . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1975).
- [2] B.M. Garay, ' ω -limit sets in Banach spaces', in *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, Differential Equations and its Application **62** (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), pp. 139–158.
- [3] P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, 1964).
- [4] G. Herzog and C. Schmoeger, 'On operators T such that $f(T)$ is hypercyclic', *Studia Math.* **108** (1994), 209–216.
- [5] M.W. Hirsch, 'The dynamical system approach to differential equations', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1984), 1–64.
- [6] E. Horst, 'Differential equations in Banach spaces: five examples', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **46** (1986), 440–444.
- [7] R. Kaufmann, 'Note on differential equations in Banach spaces', *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **34** (1997), 141–144.
- [8] V.V. Nemytskii and V.V. Stepanov, *Qualitative theory of differential equations* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960).
- [9] F. Verhulst, *Nonlinear differential equations and dynamical systems* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990).

Mathematisches Institut I
 Universität Karlsruhe
 D-76128 Karlsruhe
 Germany
 e-mail: gerd.herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de