

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN POLAR AND AC OPERATORS

JULIE WILSON

Department of Mathematics, Glasgow Caledonian University, Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow G4 0BA, Scotland

(Received 7 January, 1998)

Abstract. This paper gives examples to show that a polar operator is not necessarily AC and an AC operator is not necessarily polar.

1. Introduction. Well-bounded operators are the building blocks of polar and AC operators. First introduced by Smart in 1960, well-bounded operators were originally studied by Smart and Ringrose [6], [7], [8]. These operators admit a spectral decomposition which is, in some sense, analogous to that for self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space. The spectral decomposition is simplified when we consider well-bounded operators of type (B).

By their definition, well-bounded operators have real spectra. In order to extend the concept of well-boundedness to operators with complex spectra, we consider trigonometrically well-bounded, polar and AC operators. The relevant facts about well-bounded, polar and AC operators are outlined in the next section. For a detailed account of the theory well-bounded operators see [4].

2. Background and notation. Throughout the following X will denote a complex Banach space with dual space X^* and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ will denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators mapping X into itself. Given a compact interval $J = [a, b]$ of the real line, let $BV(J)$ denote the Banach algebra of complex-valued functions of bounded variation on J with norm

$$\|f\|_{BV(J)} = |f(b)| + \text{var}_J f$$

where $\text{var}_J f$ represents the total variation of f on J . Similarly, using \mathbf{T} to represent the unit circle, let $BV(\mathbf{T})$ denote the Banach algebra of complex-valued functions of bounded variation on \mathbf{T} with norm

$$\|f\|_{BV(\mathbf{T})} = |f(1)| + \text{var}_{\mathbf{T}} f,$$

where $\text{var}_{\mathbf{T}} f$ is the total variation of f on \mathbf{T} . Furthermore, the notation $AC(J)$ (respectively $AC(\mathbf{T})$) will denote the closed subalgebra of $BV(J)$ (respectively $BV(\mathbf{T})$) consisting of the absolutely continuous functions on J (respectively \mathbf{T}).

DEFINITION 2.1. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *well-bounded* if there exists a constant K and a compact interval $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\|p(T)\| \leq K \|p\|_{BV(J)},$$

for all polynomials p .

Note that, in this case, the spectrum of T must be a subset of J .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let J be a compact interval of the real line. An $AC(J)$ -functional calculus (respectively an $AC(\mathbf{T})$ -functional calculus) for an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a norm-continuous algebra-homomorphism γ of $AC(J)$ into $\mathcal{B}(X)$ (resp. $AC(\mathbf{T})$ into $\mathcal{B}(X)$) which sends the identity map $v(t) = t$ to T and the function identically 1 to I , the identity operator of $\mathcal{B}(X)$. In addition, γ is said to be weakly compact if, for each $x \in X$, $\gamma(\cdot)x$ is a weakly compact linear mapping of the domain of γ into X .

Since the polynomials are dense in the set of absolutely continuous functions [7, Lemma 10], we can say that an operator T is well-bounded if there exists a compact interval J for which T has an $AC(J)$ -functional calculus.

DEFINITION 2.3. An operator T is said to be *well-bounded of type (B)* if, for some compact interval J , T has a weakly compact $AC(J)$ -functional calculus. (Note that if X is a reflexive space then every well-bounded operator on X is automatically of type (B). See [5, p. 68].)

DEFINITION 2.4. A *spectral family in X* is a projection-valued function $E(\cdot) : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\sup\{\|E(\lambda)\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\} < \infty$;
- (ii) $E(\lambda)E(\mu) = E(\mu)E(\lambda) = E(\min\{\lambda, \mu\})(\lambda, \mu, \in \mathbf{R})$;
- (iii) $E(\cdot)$ is strongly right continuous;
- (iv) $E(\cdot)$ has a strong left-hand limit at each point of \mathbf{R} ;
- (v) $E(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $E(\lambda) \rightarrow I$) in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ (respectively $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$).

NOTE. If $E(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda < a$, and $E(\lambda) = I$ for all $\lambda \geq b$, then $E(\cdot)$ is said to be *concentrated on $[a, b]$* .

If $E(\cdot)$ is a spectral family in X concentrated on $J = [a, b]$ and $f \in BV(J)$, then

$$\int_J^{\oplus} f(\lambda)dE(\lambda) \equiv f(a)E(a) + \int_a^b f(\lambda)dE(\lambda)$$

exists as the strong limit of the Riemann-Stieltjes sums

$$\mathcal{S}(f, u) = f(a)E(a) + \sum_{j=1}^n f(\lambda_j)\{E(\lambda_j) - E(\lambda_{j-1})\},$$

where $u = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition of J . Rearranging the above in the style of integration by parts gives

$$\mathcal{S}(f, u) = f(b)E(b) - \sum_{j=1}^n \{f(\lambda_j) - f(\lambda_{j-1})\}E(\lambda_{j-1}).$$

The following results concerning well-bounded operators maybe found in [4, Part V].

PROPOSITION 2.5. *The mapping*

$$f \rightarrow \int_J^{\oplus} f dE$$

is an identity-preserving algebra homomorphism of $BV(J)$ into $\mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfying

$$\left\| \int_J^{\oplus} f dE \right\| \leq \|f\|_{BV(J)} \sup\{\|E(\lambda)\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

for every $f \in BV(J)$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then T is well-bounded of type (B) if and only if there exists a spectral family $E(\cdot)$ in X such that*

- (i) $E(\cdot)$ is concentrated on a compact interval $[a, b]$, and
- (ii) $T = \int_{[a,b]}^{\oplus} \lambda dE(\lambda)$.

In this case $E(\cdot)$ is uniquely determined and is called the spectral family of T .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be well-bounded of type (B) and let $E(\cdot)$ be its spectral family. Then an operator S commutes with T if and only if S commutes with $E(\lambda)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.*

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be well-bounded of type (B) and let $E(\cdot)$ be its spectral family. Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\{E(\lambda) - E(\lambda^-)\}$ is a projection operator and*

$$\{E(\lambda) - E(\lambda^-)\}X = \{x \in X : Tx = \lambda x\},$$

where $E(\lambda^-)$ denotes the strong limit of $E(s)$ as $s \rightarrow \lambda^-$.

Trigonometrically well-bounded, polar and AC operators all arise from well-bounded operators. Their definitions are given below.

DEFINITION 2.9. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *trigonometrically well-bounded* if there exists a well-bounded operator A of type (B) on X such that $T = e^{iA}$.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *If T is a trigonometrically well-bounded operator on the Banach space X , then there is a unique well-bounded operator A of type (B) on X such that $T = e^{iA}$, $\sigma(A) \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$, and such that $\sigma_p(A)$, the point spectrum of A , does not contain 2π .*

DEFINITION 2.11. The unique operator A in Proposition 2.10 is called the *argument* of T and is denoted by $\arg T$. For more on trigonometrically well-bounded operators see [3].

DEFINITION 2.12. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be a *polar operator* if there exist commuting type (B) well-bounded operators R and A on X such that $T = Re^{iA}$. The following results about polar operators will be required in Section 3.

THEOREM 2.13. See [1, Theorem 1]. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be polar. Then T has a decomposition $T = Re^{iA}$ such that*

- (i) R and A are commuting well-bounded operators of type (B);
- (ii) $\sigma(R) \geq 0$;
- (iii) $F(0)e^{iA} = F(0)$, where $F(\cdot)$ is the spectral family of R ;
- (iv) $\sigma(A) \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$, $2\pi \notin \sigma_p(A)$.

This decomposition is unique and is called the *canonical decomposition* of T .

THEOREM 2.14. See [1, Theorem 3.18(i)]. *Let T be a polar operator with canonical decomposition $T = Re^{iA}$. Then the commutants of T , R and A satisfy the equality $\{T\}' = \{R\}' \cap \{A\}'$.*

Polar operators are discussed further in [1] and [9]. The final definition required is that of an AC operator.

DEFINITION 2.15. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be an *AC operator* if there exist commuting well-bounded operators C and D such that $T = C + iD$. AC operators are studied in [2], from which the following result is taken.

THEOREM 2.16. See [2, Lemma 4]. *Let C and D be commuting well-bounded operators of type (B) on X and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ commute with $C + iD$. Then S commutes with C and D .*

It has been shown [3, Theorem 3.4] that an operator T is trigonometrically well-bounded if and only if there exist commuting well-bounded operators A and B of type (B) such that

$$T = A + iB \tag{1}$$

and

$$A^2 + B^2 = I. \tag{2}$$

With this in mind, it seems natural to pose the following questions.

- (1) If T is polar, do there exist commuting well-bounded operators A and B (of type (B)) such that (1) holds?
- (2) If $T = A + iB$ with A and B commuting well-bounded operators of type (B), does it follow that T is polar?

We shall now give examples to show that the answer to both these questions is negative. We shall use the following definitions and results from [4].

3. Examples. Let $a \in \ell^2$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_n : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ be defined by

$$P_n a = \langle a, y_n \rangle x_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{2n-1} &= e_{2n-1} + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_{i-n+1} e_{2i}, \\
 x_{2n} &= e_{2n}, \\
 y_{2n-1} &= e_{2n-1}, \\
 y_{2n} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-\alpha_{n-i+1}) e_{2i-1} + e_{2n} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}), \\
 \alpha_1 &= 0, \alpha_n = \frac{1}{n \log n}, \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),
 \end{aligned}$$

and ε_n is the element of ℓ^2 with 1 in its n th position and 0 elsewhere. Then each P_n is a projection, $P_n P_m = 0$ whenever $n \neq m$, and $I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$, the series converging in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. See ([4, 18.5]). *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic bounded sequence in \mathbf{R} and, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let P_n be as above. Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and its sum is a well-bounded operator.*

Note that in the proof of 18.4 in [4] it is shown that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n P_{2j} \right\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

We shall use this result in the example below.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \ell^2$, P_n be defined as above, and define sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n+1}{n}$$

and

$$\mu_{2n-1} = \mu_{2n} = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{4n^2 - 1/2}{4n^2 + 2n} \right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In addition, define

$$C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n \text{ and } D = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n P_n.$$

By Proposition 3.1, each series converges strongly in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and C and D are well-bounded operators (of type (B)). Furthermore, since C and D commute, it follows that Ce^{iD} is polar.

Now suppose that $C \cos D$ is well-bounded with spectral family $E(\cdot)$. Then

$$(C \cos D)P_n = (\lambda_n \cos \mu_n)P_n = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right)P_n & \text{when } n \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1/2}{n^2 + 2n}\right)P_n & \text{when } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Fix $x \in \ell^2$ and suppose that n is even. Observe that

$$P_n x \in \left\{ x \in \ell^2 : (C \cos D)x = \left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right)x \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, if

$$(C \cos D)x = \frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}x,$$

then

$$P_m x = \frac{n^2}{n^2 - 1/2}(C \cos D)P_m x$$

and it follows that $P_m x = 0$ if $m \neq n$. Thus

$$\left\{ x \in \ell^2 : (C \cos D)x = \left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right)x \right\} \subseteq P_n X \quad (5)$$

Combining statements (4) and (5), we see that

$$P_n X = \left\{ x \in \ell^2 : (C \cos D)x = \left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right)x \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Also, by Proposition 2.8,

$$P_n X = \left\{ E\left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right) - E\left(\left(\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2}\right)^-\right) \right\} X.$$

Since

$$\frac{n^2 - 1/2}{n^2} < 1$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that $E(1)P_n = P_n$ whenever n is even.

When n is odd, an argument similar to that above shows that

$$P_n X = \left\{ x \in \ell^2 : C \cos Dx = \left(\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1/2}{n^2 + 2n}\right)x \right\}. \quad (7)$$

As

$$\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1/2}{n^2 + 2n} > 1,$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that $E(1)P_n = 0$ for n odd.

Combining even and odd cases, we see that

$$E(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(1)P_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n},$$

with the series converging in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(l^2)$. Since $E(1)$ is bounded, the partial sums of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n}$ must be bounded in norm, giving a contradiction to (3). Hence $C \cos D$ is not well-bounded.

Now suppose that $T = Ce^{iD} = A + iB$, where A and B are commuting well-bounded operators. Since C and D commute with T it follows that $C \cos D$ commutes with T and, by Theorem 2.16, $C \cos D$ commutes with A and B .

Next fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose that $y \in P_n X = \{x \in X : C \cos Dx = \lambda_n \cos \mu_n x\}$. Then

$$Ay = (\lambda_n \cos \mu_n)^{-1} A(C \cos D)y = (\lambda_n \cos \mu_n)^{-1} (C \cos D)Ay$$

and hence $Ay \in P_n X$. A similar argument shows that $P_n X$ is invariant under B . It readily follows that A and B commute with P_n .

Now, since each $P_n X$ is one-dimensional (the $P_n X$ are the eigenspaces of $C \cos D$ corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_n \cos \mu_n$), there exist α_n and $\beta_n \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$A|_{P_n X} = \alpha_n \text{ and } B|_{P_n X} = \beta_n.$$

Thus, on $P_n X$, we have

$$T = Ce^{iD} = \lambda_n \cos \mu_n + i\lambda_n \sin \mu_n$$

and also

$$T = A + iB = \alpha_n + i\beta_n.$$

Equating real and imaginary parts gives $\alpha_n = \lambda_n \cos \mu_n$ and $\beta_n = \lambda_n \sin \mu_n$. Thus $A = C \cos D$ on each $P_n X$ and it follows that $A = C \cos D$. This contradicts the fact that A is well-bounded. Hence T cannot be AC.

An example of an AC operator which is not polar now follows.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = l^2$ and, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let P_n be as in the example above. Now define

$$C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P_n \text{ and } D = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n P_n,$$

where, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n}}$$

and

$$\mu_{2n-1} = \mu_{2n} = \sqrt{\frac{(2n-1) - (4n-2)^{-1}}{2n}}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, C and D are well-bounded operators (of type (B)). Moreover, as C and D commute, $T = C + iD$ is AC.

Now suppose that T is polar with canonical form Re^{iA} . By Theorems 2.14 and 2.16, R and A commute with C and D . It is readily checked that, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $P_nX = \{x \in X : Cx = \lambda_n x\}$, P_nX is invariant under both R and A , and P_n commutes with R and A .

Now, given $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exist r_n and $\theta_n \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$R|_{P_nX} = r_n \text{ and } A|_{P_nX} = \theta_n.$$

Furthermore, on P_nX ,

$$T = Re^{iA} = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$$

and

$$T = C + iD = \lambda_n + i\mu_n.$$

Hence $r_n e^{i\theta_n} = \lambda_n + i\mu_n$ and $r_n = (\lambda_n^2 + \mu_n^2)^{1/2}$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Observe that

$$r_n = \begin{cases} \left(2 - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right)^{1/2} & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ \left(\frac{2n^2 + 2n + 1/2}{n^2 + n}\right)^{1/2} & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

so that $r_n < \sqrt{2}$ if n is even and $r_n > \sqrt{2}$ if n is odd. Notice also that

$$P_nX = \{x \in X : Rx = r_n x\}.$$

If $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral family of R then $E(\sqrt{2})$ is a bounded projection on X and, by Proposition 2.8 and 2.4(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sqrt{2})P_nX &= E(\sqrt{2})\{E(r_n) - E(r_n^-)\}X \\ &= \begin{cases} \{E(r_n) - E(r_n^-)\}X & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$E(\sqrt{2})P_n = \begin{cases} P_n & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$E(\sqrt{2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\sqrt{2})P_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n},$$

where the series converges in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(l^2)$. As $E(\sqrt{2})$ is bounded, the partial sums of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{2n}$, must be bounded in norm. Again we have a contradiction to (3) so that R cannot be well-bounded and T is not polar.

REFERENCES

1. H. Benzinger, E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, Spectral families of projections, semi-groups, and differential operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **275** (1983), 431–475.
2. E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, Absolutely continuous functions of two variables and well-bounded operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **30** (1984), 305–321.
3. E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, AC functions on the circle and spectral families. *J. Operator Theory*, **13** (1985), 33–47.
4. H. R. Dowson, *Spectral theory of linear operators* (Academic Press, 1978).
5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators Part I: General theory* (Interscience, 1957).
6. J. R. Ringrose, On well-bounded operators, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1960), 334–343.
7. J. R. Ringrose, On well-bounded operators II, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **13** (1963), 613–638.
8. D. R. Smart, Conditionally convergent spectral expansions. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1960), 319–333.
9. J. Wilson, *Polar and AC operators, the Hilbert transform, and matrix-weighted shifts*, Ph. D. Thesis (University of Edinburgh, 1997).