

issue seventeen/eighteen • spring 2008

# Think

philosophy for everyone



The Royal Institute of Philosophy

# Think

**philosophy for everyone**

**issue seventeen/eighteen • Spring 2008**

**A periodical of  
The Royal Institute of Philosophy**

ISSN: 1477-1756

**Cambridge University Press is delighted to announce it will begin publishing *Think: Philosophy for Everyone*, on behalf of the Royal Institute of Philosophy, from the Summer 2008 issue onwards.**

Renewal notices will be sent to current subscribers in due course.

If you are not a current subscriber and would like further information please visit [journals.cambridge.org/thi](http://journals.cambridge.org/thi)

Queries related to subscriptions for 2008 should be addressed to:



Customer Services USA  
Cambridge University Press  
100 Brook Hill Drive  
West Nyack, NY: 10994-2133



+ 1 845-353-7500  
+ 1 800-872-7423



+ 1 845-353-4141



[subscriptions\\_newyork@cambridge.org](mailto:subscriptions_newyork@cambridge.org)



Customer Services Department UK  
Cambridge University Press  
FREEPOST CB27  
The Edinburgh building  
Shaftesbury Road  
Cambridge  
CB2 2RU



+ 44 (0)1223 326070



+ 44 (0) 1223 325150



[journals@cambridge.org](mailto:journals@cambridge.org)

# Contents

Editorial	5
Philosophers and the ritual of marriage <i>Arthur C. Danto</i>	7
The rational inescapability of value objectivism <i>T.J. Mawson</i>	15
The doomsday argument <i>Nick Bostrum</i>	23
<i>Apocalypse Now</i> does <i>The Matrix</i> : Anthropic adventures from doomsday to simulation <i>Alasdair Richmond</i>	29
Whatever; making sense of John Carey <i>D.J. Sheppard</i>	41
Towards a rationalist approach to ethics <i>Dan Strimpel</i>	49
Cartoons and consequences <i>David Benatar</i>	53
Creating a nice for the a-social <i>Mark J. Lovas</i>	59
Passing the time <i>Peter Cave</i>	67
The problem of divine inefficiency <i>Gianluca Di Muzio</i>	75
In defence of four Socratic doctrines <i>Constantine Sandis</i>	85
On defending Socrates <i>James Warren</i>	99
Sandis on defence of four Socratic doctrines <i>John Shand</i>	103
Should atheists be against religion? <i>Tim Crane</i>	109
What do <i>they</i> know? <i>Jonny Blamey</i>	121

Futile definitions <i>John Shand</i>	129
A distance theory of humour <i>Brian Ribeiro</i>	139
Pollyanna goes to the park: A case study for mental causation <i>David A. Norman</i>	149
Thoughts about a solution to the mind-body problem <i>Arnold Zuboff</i>	159
Perfect being ethics <i>Richard E. Creel</i>	173
Relativism: Some reflections <i>Andrew Pyle</i>	187
Should philosophers be consistent? (Part one) <i>Berel Dov Lerner</i>	201
Should philosophers be consistent? (Part two) <i>Berel Dov Lerner</i>	203
Strange stuff indeed <i>Jamie Horder</i>	205
Climate change in 1,000 years <i>James Garvey</i>	211
Thinking tools <i>Stephen Law</i>	219

---

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

**This is a double issue designed to bring the production of THINK back on schedule prior to the switch to the new publisher – Cambridge University Press. This issue comprises two complete issues – 17 and 18 – within a single cover.**

**Issue 19 will now appear on schedule. From the point of view of subscribers the switch to CUP should be seamless, and should require no special action on their part.**

**For all subscription enquiries please contact Cambridge University Press Customer Services Department by telephone + 44 (0) 1223 326070 , by fax + 44 (0) 1223 325150 or by email: [journals@cambridge.org](mailto:journals@cambridge.org)**