

## STUDIES IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

### Information for Contributors

For guidelines and requirements regarding manuscript submission, please consult the *SSLA* website at <http://journals.cambridge.org/sla>. Click on the Journal Information tab which will lead you to Information for Contributors. Potential authors are advised that all manuscripts are internally reviewed for both content and formatting/style in order to determine their suitability for external evaluation.

**Research Article.** These manuscripts may be essays or empirical studies, either of which must be motivated by current theoretical issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design and issues related to the context of learning. Maximum length is 11,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 11,000 word limit).

**Research Report.** These manuscripts are shorter empirical studies motivated by current theoretical issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design. Very often, these are narrowly focused studies or they present part of the results of a larger project in progress. The background and motivation sections are generally shorter than research articles. Maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

**Replication Study.** These manuscripts are shorter empirical studies motivated by a previously published study (not necessarily one published in *SSLA*). The background and motivation sections will necessarily be shorter compared to research articles as the maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

**State-of-the-Scholarship Article.** These manuscripts are essays that review the extant research on a particular theme or theoretical issue, offering a summary of findings and making critical observations on the research to date. Manuscripts in this category typically fall within the 11,000-word limit of regular research articles; however, longer manuscripts may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

**Critical Commentary.** These manuscripts are shorter essays (i.e., non-empirical) motivated by current theory and issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design and issues related to the context of learning. Maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

All manuscripts in all categories are peer reviewed and subject to the same high standards for publication in *SSLA*.

---

# Studies in Second Language Acquisition

## Volume 41 Number 2 May 2019

---

### CRITICAL COMMENTARY AND RESPONSES

<i>Terminology Choice in Generative Acquisition Research: The Case of "Incomplete Acquisition" In Heritage Language Grammars</i> Laura Domínguez, Glyn Hicks, and Roumyana Slabakova	241
<i>Terminology Matters on Theoretical Grounds Too! Coherent Grammars Cannot be Incomplete</i> Fatih Bayram, Tanja Kupisch, Diego Pascual y Cabo, and Jason Rothman	257
<i>A Commentary on Terminology Choice in Generative Acquisition Research: The Case of "Incomplete Grammars" in Heritage Language Acquisition, by Laura Domínguez, Glyn Hicks, and Roumyana Slabakova</i> Ricardo Otheguy	265
<i>The Social Context Contributes to the Incomplete Acquisition of Aspects of Heritage Languages</i> Silvana Montrul and Carmen Silva-Corvalán	269
<i>The (In)Stability of Grammars</i> Michael T. Putnam	275
<i>Incomplete Acquisition from a Usage-Based Perspective: A Response to Domínguez, Hicks, and Slabakova</i> Eve Zyzik	279
<i>Choice of Words Matters, but so Does Scientific Accuracy: Reply to Peer Commentaries</i> Laura Domínguez, Glyn Hicks, and Roumyana Slabakova	283

### RESEARCH ARTICLES

<i>Effects of Massing and Spacing on the Learning of Semantically Related and Unrelated Words</i> Tatsuya Nakata and Yuichi Suzuki	287
<i>Cognitive Aptitudes and L2 Speaking Proficiency: Links Between Llama and Hi-Lab</i> Gisela Granena	313
<i>Rethinking L2 Motivation Research: The 2 X 2 Model of L2 Self-Guides</i> Mostafa Papi, Anna Vitalyevna Bondarenko, Soheil Mansouri, Liying Feng, and Chen Jiang	337
<i>Second Language Anxiety and Achievement: A Meta-Analysis</i> Yasser Teimouri, Julia Goetze, and Luke Plonsky	363
<i>Effects of External and Internal Attentional Manipulations on Second Language Grammar Development: An Eye-Tracking Study</i> Bernard I. Issa and Kara Morgan-Short	389
<i>Social Attitudes and Speech Ratings: Effects of Positive and Negative Bias on Multiage Listeners' Judgments of Second Language Speech</i> Kym Taylor Reid, Pavel Trofimovich, and Mary Grantham O'Brien	419
<i>Processing Instruction and the Effects of Input Modality and Voice Familiarity on the Acquisition of the French Causative Construction</i> Kiwako Ito and Wynne Wong	443

### RESEARCH REPORT

<i>Effects of Proficiency Subskills on Pragmatic Development in L2 Chinese Study Abroad</i> Feng Xiao, Naoko Taguchi, and Shuai Li	469
<i>ERRATUM</i>	485
<i>CORRIGENDUM</i>	487
<i>ERRATUM</i>	489

---

### Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal please go to the journal website at:  
[cambridge.org/sla](http://cambridge.org/sla)



### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS