

## ON PLAIN LATTICE POINTS WHOSE COORDINATES ARE RECIPROCAL MODULO A PRIME

AKIO FUJII AND YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

**Abstract.** We consider, for a given large prime  $p$ , the problem of covering a square  $[0, p] \times [0, p]$  with discs center at the lattice point  $(x, y)$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  subject to condition  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and with radius  $r$ . We are concerned with the size of  $r$ .

### §1. Introduction

In this paper we consider, for each given large prime  $P$ , the problem of covering a 2-dimensional box  $[0, P] \times [0, P]$  with discs  $C_{(x,y)}(r)$  center at the lattice point  $(x, y)$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  subject to the condition  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$  and with the least possible radius  $r$ . In other words, we wish to determine the infimum  $r(P)$  of  $r$  satisfying

$$\bigcup_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{P}}}^{P-1} C_{(x,y)}(r) \supset [0, P] \times [0, P].$$

When  $r = \sqrt{P}$ , the area of the left-hand side member is roughly  $P^2$ , even if discs do not overlap. Thus it may be too optimistic to expect to have

$$r(P) = \text{constant times } \sqrt{P},$$

and actually for  $P = 5$ ,  $r = \sqrt{5}$  is not large enough, but it would be reasonable to conjecture that

$$r(P) = P^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If this is the case, we may claim that the lattice points  $(x, y)$  with  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$  are “uniformly distributed.” Towards this conjecture, we shall prove the following theorem.

---

Received July 14, 1995.

THEOREM. For  $P \gg 1$ , we have

$$r(P) \ll P^{\frac{3}{4}} \log P.$$

We can generalize the above problem to cover the higher dimensional box  $[0, M] \times [0, M] \times \cdots \times [0, M]$  with the spheres

$$C_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)}(r)$$

center at the lattice point  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  which satisfies  $x_1 \cdots x_N \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ , and with the radius  $r$ , where  $M$  is a natural number. We shall give only a short notice for the generalization in the last section.

We may remark here that a related problem has been treated by Dinaburg and Sinai [1] and Fujii [2].

§2. Proof of Theorem

We shall give the following more general theorem.

THEOREM. Suppose that  $M, K_1, K_2, H_1$  and  $H_2$  are integers satisfying  $M \geq 3, 0 \leq K_1 < K_2 < M, 0 \leq H_1 < H_2 < M, K_2 - K_1 \geq 2$  and  $H_2 - H_1 \geq 2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbf{Z}, xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, K_1 < x \leq K_2, H_1 < y \leq H_2\} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(M)}{M^2} (K_2 - K_1)(H_2 - H_1) \\ & \quad + O(\sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M) \log(K_2 - K_1) \cdot \log(H_2 - H_1)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $O$  does not depend on  $K_1, K_2, H_1, H_2$  and  $M$ ,  $\varphi(M)$  is the Euler function and we put  $\sigma_a(M) = \sum_{d|M} d^a$ .

This implies the following corollary immediately.

COROLLARY. Suppose that  $M$  is a sufficiently large integer  $M$  and

$$\frac{r}{\log r} \gg M^{\frac{5}{4}} \left( \frac{\sigma_0(M) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M)}{\varphi(M)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then we have

$$\bigcup_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M C_{(x,y)}(r) \supset [0, M] \times [0, M].$$

Clearly, we get our theorem in the introduction.

To prove the theorem at the beginning of this section, we introduce the following functions  $\chi_K(x)$  and  $\chi_H(x)$  on the set of integers. They are periodic functions with period  $M$  and satisfying, for  $0 \leq x < M$

$$\chi_K(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } H_1 < x \leq H_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and we put

$$\tilde{\chi}_K(x) = \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_K(y) e\left(\frac{yx}{M}\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{\chi}_H(x) = \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_H(y) e\left(\frac{yx}{M}\right),$$

where  $e(x)$  denotes  $e^{2\pi ix}$ . Then we have

$$\chi_K(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{z=1}^M \tilde{\chi}_K(z) e\left(-\frac{xz}{M}\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{\chi}_K(0) = \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_K(y) = K_2 - K_1.$$

We shall use the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let  $d$  be a natural number satisfying  $d \mid M$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x, M)=d}}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(x)| \ll \frac{M}{d} \log(K_2 - K_1)$$

and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(x)| \ll M \log(K_2 - K_1).$$

*Proof.* For a real number  $a$ , we put

$$\|a\| = \min([a] + 1 - a, a - [a]),$$

where  $[a]$  is the largest integer not exceeding  $a$ .

We shall prove the first inequality. Denoting by  $U$  the left hand side of the first inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x,M)=d}}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(x)| = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x,M)=d}}^{M-1} \left| \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_K(y) e\left(\frac{yx}{M}\right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d} \\ (x, \frac{M}{d})=1}} \left| \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_K(y) e\left(\frac{yx}{d}\right) \right| = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d} \\ (x, \frac{M}{d})=1}} \left| \sum_{K_1 < y \leq K_2} e\left(\frac{yx}{d}\right) \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d} \\ (x, \frac{M}{d})=1}} \min\left(\frac{1}{\|\frac{x}{M/d}\|}, K_2 - K_1\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d} \\ (x, \frac{M}{d})=1, \frac{x}{M/d} \leq \frac{1}{2}}} \min\left(\frac{M}{xd}, K_2 - K_1\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d} \\ (x, \frac{M}{d})=1, \frac{x}{M/d} > \frac{1}{2}}} \min\left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{M/d}}, K_2 - K_1\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d}, (x, \frac{M}{d})=1 \\ \frac{x}{M/d} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{M}{xd} \geq K_2 - K_1}} (K_2 - K_1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M-1}{d}, (x, \frac{M}{d})=1 \\ \frac{x}{M/d} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{M}{xd} < K_2 - K_1}} \frac{M}{xd} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \frac{M}{d} - y \leq \frac{M-1}{d}, (\frac{M}{d} - y, \frac{M}{d})=1 \\ \frac{\frac{M}{d} - y}{M/d} > \frac{1}{2}, \frac{M}{dy} \geq K_2 - K_1}} (K_2 - K_1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \frac{M}{d} - y \leq \frac{M-1}{d}, (\frac{M}{d} - y, \frac{M}{d})=1 \\ \frac{\frac{M}{d} - y}{M/d} > \frac{1}{2}, \frac{M}{dy} < K_2 - K_1}} \frac{M}{dy} \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)}} (K_2 - K_1) + \sum_{\frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)} < x \leq \frac{M}{2d}} \frac{M}{xd}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)} \geq 1$ , then

$$U \ll \frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)}(K_2 - K_1) + \frac{M}{d} \left( \log\left(\frac{M}{2d}\right) - \log\left(\frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)}\right) + 1 \right) \\ \ll \frac{M}{d} + \frac{M}{d} \log\left(\frac{e}{2}(K_2 - K_1)\right) \ll \frac{M}{d} \log(K_2 - K_1).$$

If  $\frac{M}{d(K_2 - K_1)} < 1$ , then

$$U \ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M}{2d}} \frac{M}{xd} \ll \frac{M}{d} (\log\left(\frac{M}{2d}\right) + 1) \ll \frac{M}{d} \log(K_2 - K_1).$$

Thus we get the first inequality.

We can prove the second inequality by modifying the above argument as follows.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(x)| = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq M-1} \left| \sum_{K_1 < y \leq K_2} e\left(\frac{yx}{M}\right) \right| \\ \ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq M-1} \min\left(\frac{1}{\left\| \frac{x}{M} \right\|}, K_2 - K_1\right) \\ \ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M}{(K_2 - K_1)}} (K_2 - K_1) + \sum_{\frac{M}{(K_2 - K_1)} < x \leq \frac{M}{2}} \frac{M}{x} \\ \ll M \log(K_2 - K_1).$$

Thus we have completed the proof of the lemma.

We now proceed to the proof of the theorem.

Putting

$$S = \#\{(x, y) \mid xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, K_1 < x \leq K_2, H_1 < y \leq H_2\},$$

we have

$$S = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \chi_K(x) \chi_H(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \sum_{z_1=1}^M \sum_{z_2=1}^M \tilde{\chi}_K(z_1) e\left(-\frac{xz_1}{M}\right) \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2) e\left(-\frac{yz_2}{M}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \tilde{\chi}_K(0) \tilde{\chi}_H(0) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{M^2} \tilde{\chi}_K(0) \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2) e\left(-\frac{yz_2}{M}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{M^2} \tilde{\chi}_H(0) \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \sum_{z_1=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_K(z_1) e\left(-\frac{xz_1}{M}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M \sum_{z_1=1}^{M-1} \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_K(z_1) \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2) e\left(-\frac{xz_1 + yz_2}{M}\right) \\
 &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4, \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{M^2} \varphi(M)(K_2 - K_1)(H_2 - H_1).$$

$S_2$  is clearly equal to

$$\frac{1}{M^2} (K_2 - K_1) \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2) \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M e\left(-\frac{yz_2}{M}\right).$$

The last partial sum on  $x$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x,M)=1}}^M e\left(-\frac{xz_2}{M}\right) &= \sum_{d|M} \mu(d) \sum_{x=1, d|x}^M e\left(-\frac{xz_2}{M}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{d|M} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq x \leq \frac{M}{d}} e\left(-\frac{xz_2}{M/d}\right) \\
 &= \begin{cases} M \sum_{d|M} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} & \text{if } M/d \mid z_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu(d)$  is the Möbius function. Hence, we get

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{M^2}(K_2 - K_1)M \sum_{d|M} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{z_2=1 \\ M/d|z_2}}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2).$$

The last partial sum on  $z_2$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq \frac{Mz}{d} \leq M} \tilde{\chi}_H\left(\frac{Mz}{d}\right) - \tilde{\chi}_H(0) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq z \leq d} \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_H(y) e\left(\frac{yMz}{dM}\right) - (H_2 - H_1) \\ &= \sum_{y=1}^M \chi_H(y) \sum_{1 \leq z \leq d} e\left(\frac{yz}{d}\right) - (H_2 - H_1) \\ &= d \sum_{\substack{y=1 \\ d|y}}^M \chi_H(y) - (H_2 - H_1) \\ &= d\left(\left[\frac{H_2}{d}\right] - \left[\frac{H_1}{d}\right] + O(1)\right) - (H_2 - H_1) \\ &= d\left(\frac{H_2}{d} - \frac{H_1}{d} + O(1)\right) - (H_2 - H_1) \\ &= O(d). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \frac{1}{M^2}(K_2 - K_1)M \sum_{d|M} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} O(d) \\ &\ll \frac{1}{M^2}(K_2 - K_1)M \sum_{d|M} |\mu(d)| \\ &\ll \sum_{d|M} |\mu(d)| \ll \sigma_0(M). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$S_3 \ll \sigma_0(M).$$

Finally, using the estimate on the Kloosterman sum (cf. p.35 of Hooley

[3], for example), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_4 &= \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{z_1=1}^{M-1} \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_K(z_1) \tilde{\chi}_H(z_2) \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}}^M e\left(-\frac{xz_1 + yz_2}{M}\right) \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{z_1=1}^{M-1} \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(z_1)| |\tilde{\chi}_H(z_2)| \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) (M, z_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{M^2} \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sum_{d|M} \sqrt{d} \left( \sum_{\substack{z_1=1, \\ (z_1, M)=d}}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_K(z_1)| \right) \left( \sum_{z_2=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_H(z_2)| \right) \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{M^2} \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sum_{d|M} \sqrt{d} \frac{M}{d} \log(K_2 - K_1) \cdot M \log(H_2 - H_1) \\
 &\ll \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M) \log(K_2 - K_1) \cdot \log(H_2 - H_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

All of these estimates lead to the theorem at the beginning of this section.

**§3. Concluding remark**

**3-1.** It is clear that our theorem could be refined slightly, if we take care of the condition

$$\left(x, \frac{M}{d}\right) = 1$$

in the process of the proof of our lemma, or by replacing  $(M, z_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  by  $(M, z_1, z_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  in the estimate of  $S_4$ .

**3-2.** To get a higher dimensional analogue of our theorem, it is enough to apply the estimate on the higher dimensional Kloosterman sum due to Deligne.

**3-3.** As a final remark, we mention a slightly different approach.

It is to reduce our problem to the following estimate on the incomplete Kloosterman sum:

$$\sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M)=1}} e\left(-\frac{\bar{x}z}{M}\right) \ll \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sqrt{(z, M)} \cdot \log(K_2 - K_1),$$



where  $2 \leq K_2 - K_1 \leq M$ ,  $z$  is an integer in  $1 \leq z \leq M - 1$  and  $\bar{x}$  satisfies  $\bar{x}x \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ . The above estimate can be obtained by modifying the proof of Lemma 4 on p.36 of Hooley [3].

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(x, y) \mid xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, K_1 < x \leq K_2, H_1 < y \leq H_2\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M) = 1}} \chi_H(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M) = 1}} \sum_{z=1}^M \tilde{\chi}_H(z) e\left(-\frac{\bar{x}z}{M}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M) = 1}} \tilde{\chi}_H(0) + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{z=1}^{M-1} \tilde{\chi}_H(z) \sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M) = 1}} e\left(-\frac{\bar{x}z}{M}\right) \\ &= W_1 + W_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$W_1 = \frac{1}{M^2} \varphi(M)(K_2 - K_1)(H_2 - H_1) + O\left(\frac{H_2 - H_1}{M}\right).$$

Using the above estimate on the incomplete Kloosterman sum and Lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &\ll \frac{1}{M} \sum_{z=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_H(z)| \left| \sum_{\substack{K_1 < x \leq K_2 \\ (x, M) = 1}} e\left(-\frac{\bar{x}z}{M}\right) \right| \\ &\ll \frac{\sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \log(K_2 - K_1)}{M} \sum_{z=1}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_H(z)| \sqrt{(z, M)} \\ &\ll \frac{\sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \log(K_2 - K_1)}{M} \sum_{d|M} \sqrt{d} \sum_{\substack{z=1 \\ (M, z) = d}}^{M-1} |\tilde{\chi}_H(z)| \\ &\ll \sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M) \log(K_2 - K_1) \cdot \log(H_2 - H_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(x, y) \mid xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, K_1 < x \leq K_2, H_1 < y \leq H_2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{M^2} \varphi(M)(K_2 - K_1)(H_2 - H_1) \\ &\quad + O(\sqrt{M} \sigma_0(M) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M) \log(K_2 - K_1) \cdot \log(H_2 - H_1)), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as the assertion in the section 2.

If we assume a strong conjecture on the above incomplete Kloosterman sum, then we can certainly replace  $\frac{3}{4}$  in the theorem in the introduction by a better constant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. I. Dinaburg and Y. G. Sinai, *Statistics of the solutions of the integral equations  $ax - by = \pm 1$* , *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **24** (1990), 165-171.
- [2] A. Fujii, *On a problem of Dinaburg and Sinai*, *Proc. of Japan Academy*, **68 A** (1992), 198-203.
- [3] C. Hooley, *Applications of sieve methods to the theory of numbers*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1976.

Akio Fujii  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Rikkyo University*  
*Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171*  
*Japan*  
fujii@rkmath.rikkyo.ac.jp

Yoshiyuki Kitaoka  
*Graduate School of Polymathematics*  
*Nagoya University*  
*Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01*  
*Japan*  
kitaoka@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp