

teremia.¹ We have recently traced similar episodes of bacteremia to cracked Swan-Ganz catheter hubs and wish to alert the medical community to this previously unreported nosocomial problem.

During October and November 1981, two patients with congestive heart failure and one with upper GI bleeding had Swan-Ganz catheters inserted for monitoring left atrial pressures and measuring cardiac outputs. Within two to four days after insertion of the catheters, each of the patients developed bacteremia. Bacteremias were caused by *Serratia marcescens* in two cases and by *Staphylococcus aureus* in one. Careful review of their case records and discussions with the nursing staff revealed that cracks in the Swan-Ganz catheter hubs of all three patients had been detected 24 to 48 hours prior to the bacteremic episodes. Furthermore, on numerous occasions the catheters had been improperly disconnected to inject infusate for cardiac output measurements. Eventually each of the catheters was removed and returned to the manufacturer for analysis.

These three cases illustrate the potential of Swan-Ganz catheters for serving as a source of nosocomial bacteremia and suggest the need for careful daily inspection of such devices. If structural defects are observed the defective systems should be removed as quickly as possible to prevent hospital-acquired bacteremia.

REFERENCE

1. Maki DG, Goldmann DA, Rhame FS: Infection control in intravenous therapy. *Ann Intern Med* 1973; 79:867-887.

Beverly Gray, R.N.
Infection Control Nurse
VA Medical Center
Dallas, Texas

Laundry Chute Cleaning Recommendations

To the Editor:

Pursuant to the reply in the "Letters to the Editor" column in the March/April 1982 issue of *Infection Control* regarding the cleaning of laundry and trash chutes, my staff and I would like to comment.

The Pennsylvania Department of

Environmental Resources in 1968 implemented a comprehensive program in environmental sanitation in hospitals. Our staff of Hospital Consultants, Sanitarians with advanced degrees in Public Health and Environmental Health, performed surveys and provided consultation on environmental problems within the hospitals. In 1978, because of budgetary problems, the hospital program was dropped. The Hospital Consultants were then assigned to the Nursing Home Program where similar services were provided.

We have always strongly recommended the need for a programmed cleaning and maintenance schedule for laundry chutes within medical care facilities. Even though all linens should be bagged before they are deposited in a chute, lint is generated and adheres to the side walls and door openings on the chute. If the laundry is wet or soiled, bacteria can readily be disseminated through the bag and attach itself to the lint. The resulting air movement via piston action as bagged linen is dropped down the chute could result in the spread of microorganisms throughout the facility. Because of these conditions, we recommend cleaning of chutes on a monthly basis, or more frequent, if necessary.

As for method of cleaning, we, too, have suggested the lowering of a small person on a rope to physically scrub the chute. A more effective procedure, however, is the use of a rotating spray head on a hose with high water pressure which can be lowered down the chute. A combination detergent-disinfectant administered by a hose proportionator built into the system is also recommended. Furthermore, a nozzle at the top of the chute which can be turned on after the detergent-disinfectant has been sprayed into the chute would be ideal. A floor drain in the collection room at the chute discharge area is necessary with this type of system.

Another means of controlling the potential spread of contaminated air and lint is the installation of a small exhaust fan at the top of the chute, which, in effect, creates a negative pressure in the chute.

The ultimate solution, as indicated in your article, is to exclude the use of chutes. Not only will it eliminate the

need for cleaning, but it will remove a potential safety and fire hazard and a potential spreader of contaminated air.

Kenneth W. Hoeh, M.S.E.H.
Chief

Institutions and Shelter Section
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Environmental Resources
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Gastrointestinal Colonization

To the Editor:

Christensen et al state in their March/April 1982 article, "Epidemic *Serratia marcescens* in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: Importance of the Gastrointestinal Tract as a Reservoir"¹ that "although adult gastrointestinal colonization is occasionally reported, it is not considered epidemiologically significant." This is in conflict with our reported experience.²

In our study, conducted in a Veterans Administration Hospital, we prospectively sampled the stools from 57 patients hospitalized on wards involved in an outbreak of multiply-resistant *Serratia marcescens*. We were able to demonstrate that five of these patients had become colonized and that three of these later developed extra-intestinal colonization and/or infection which included urinary tract and wound infections.

Thus, it is clear that despite the investigations referenced by Christensen et al, adult gastrointestinal colonization is epidemiologically significant, i.e., the fecal reservoir in adults is as important for nosocomially acquired *Serratia marcescens* infections as it is for the other *Enterobacteriaceae*.

REFERENCES

1. Christensen GD, Korones SB, Reed L, et al: Epidemic *Serratia marcescens* in a neonatal intensive care unit: Importance of the gastrointestinal tract as a reservoir. *Infect Control* 1982; 3:127-133.
2. Jones SR, Amon M, Falvey C, et al: *Serratia marcescens* colonizing of the gut. *Lancet* 1978; 1:1105.

Stephen R. Jones, M.D.
Chief-Department of Medicine
Consultant-Infectious Diseases
Good Samaritan Hospital & Medical Center
Portland, Oregon