

A CONDITION FOR ARTINIAN RINGS TO BE NOETHERIAN

ICHIRO MURASE

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper the word “Artinian (Noetherian) ring” means an associative ring with minimum (maximum) condition on *left* ideals. According to C. Hopkins, an Artinian ring is Noetherian if it contains a left or right identity [3, p. 728]. However we shall consider Artinian rings without the assumption of existence of such an identity, and the theorem of Hopkins will be reproved.

Let A be an Artinian ring, and N its Jacobson radical. As is well known, L. Fuchs proved that A is Noetherian if and only if the additive group of A contains no subgroup of type $C(p^\infty)$ [2, p. 285]. Recently Y. -H. Xǔ obtained another theorem [6, p. 274], and H. Tominaga reproved and restated it as follows: A is Noetherian if and only if the factor module N/AN is finite [5]. We shall investigate relation between these theorems and show that the theorem of Fuchs is connected with that of Xǔ-Tominaga by the following theorem: In case A is nilpotent, A is Noetherian if and only if A is finite. In case A is nonnilpotent, consider an idempotent e of A lifted from the identity of the semisimple ring A/N . Let R_e be the right annihilators of e in A . Then A is Noetherian if and only if R_e is finite. The connection is based on the fact that the additive group of R_e is an Artinian torsion group.

In the way of investigation or as an application we shall get some related theorems which are as follows. First, let A be a nonnilpotent Artinian ring. Then for every left ideal M of A one has $M = AM$ if and only if A contains a left identity. Also for every right ideal M of A one has $M = MA$ if and only if A contains a right identity. Next, if an algebra A over an infinite field is a nonnilpotent Artinian ring, then A contains a left identity. Further, there does not exist an algebra over a field of characteristic 0 which is a nilpotent Artinian ring.

2. Theorem of Xǔ-Tominaga. Let A be an Artinian ring and N its Jacobson radical. We observe the following series.

$$(1) \quad A \supseteq N \supseteq AN \supseteq N^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq AN^{p-1} \supseteq N^p = 0.$$

As is well known, A is Noetherian if and only if this series can be refined to a composition series for the left ideals of A . We begin by reprovng anew the following theorems.

Received May 12, 1977 and in revised form, November 8, 1977.

THEOREM 1. (Xǔ). *An Artinian ring A is Noetherian if and only if $k_i N^i \subseteq AN^i$ for some positive integer k_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \rho - 1$), where $k_i N^i = \{k_i a \mid a \in N^i\}$ and $k_i a = a + a + \dots + a$ (k_i summands).*

THEOREM 2 (Tominaga). *An Artinian ring A is Noetherian if and only if the factor module N/AN is finite.*

Note that the factor module N/AN is considered merely as an additive group, because it is trivial as a left A -module. First we show the equivalence of the conditions in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1. *If an additive Abelian group G of bounded order satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups, then G is finite.*

Proof. As is well known, an additive Abelian group of bounded order is a direct sum of cyclic groups [2, p. 44]. Since G moreover satisfies the minimum condition, G is a direct sum of a finite number of cyclic subgroups. Hence clearly G is finite.

Proof of the equivalence. Assume $kN \subseteq AN$ for some positive integer k . Then the orders of the elements of N/AN is bounded. Moreover the additive group N/AN satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups, because every subgroup of N/AN is a homomorphic image of a left ideal of A and the left ideals of A obey the minimum condition. Hence N/AN is finite by Lemma 1.

Assume conversely that N/AN is finite. Then $kN \subseteq AN$ for some positive integer k . Therefore clearly $kN^i \subseteq AN^i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, \rho - 1$. By the same argument as above, then every N^i/AN^i is finite.

LEMMA 2. *If an Artinian ring A with radical N is Noetherian, then every factor module N^i/AN^i is finite ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \rho - 1$).*

Proof. Let $G = N^i/AN^i$. Then the additive group G satisfies both maximum condition and minimum condition on subgroups. By the maximum condition G is finitely generated. Recall the fundamental theorem of finitely generated Abelian groups. Then by the minimum condition G is a direct sum of a finite number of cyclic subgroups. Hence G is finite.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that A is Noetherian. Then N/AN is finite by Lemma 2.

Assume conversely that N/AN is finite. Then first, every N^i/AN^i is finite, as previously noted. Next, every left A -module AN^i/N^{i+1} is completely reducible. It can be shown by the classical argument as follows.

Let $\bar{A} = A/N$. Then \bar{A} is a semisimple ring. As can be easily seen, the left A -module AN^i/N^{i+1} can be regarded as a unital left \bar{A} -module. Moreover it satisfies the minimum condition on submodules. Hence it is completely reducible.

Therefore the series (1) can be refined to a composition series for the left ideals of A . Hence A is Noetherian.

THEOREM 3. *A nilpotent Artinian ring A is Noetherian if and only if A is finite.*

Proof. By assumption we have $A = N$, and so the series (1) becomes

$$A = N \supset N^2 \supset \cdots \supset N^{\rho-1} \supset N^\rho = 0.$$

If A is Noetherian, then every N^i/N^{i+1} is finite by Lemma 2. Hence A is finite. The converse is trivial.

THEOREM 4 (Hopkins). *If an Artinian ring A contains a left identity, then A is Noetherian.*

Proof. In this case we have $N = AN$. Therefore the series (1) for this case is

$$A \supset N \supset N^2 \supset \cdots \supset N^{\rho-1} \supset N^\rho = 0,$$

because $N^i = AN^i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, \rho - 1$. Hence every N^i/N^{i+1} is completely reducible.

3. A condition for existence of a left (right) identity. Assume that an Artinian ring A is nonnilpotent, and let e be any nonzero idempotent element of A . Then we have the Peirce decompositions:

$$(2) \quad A = Ae + L_e \quad \text{and} \quad A = eA + R_e,$$

where $L_e = \{x \in A \mid xe = 0\}$ and $R_e = \{x \in A \mid ex = 0\}$.

Lift the identity element of A/N to an idempotent of A , and let this idempotent be e . Then both L_e and R_e are contained in the radical N . Therefore we have further

$$(3) \quad N = Ne + L_e \quad \text{and} \quad N = eN + R_e.$$

This idempotent e will be called a *principal idempotent*.

THEOREM 5. *Let A be a nonnilpotent Artinian ring with radical N . Then $N = AN$ if and only if A contains a left identity. Also $N = NA$ if and only if A contains a right identity.*

Proof. Let e be a principal idempotent of A . Then by (2)

$$AN = (eA + R_e)N = eAN + R_eN.$$

Since $eAN = eN$, it can be rewritten as

$$(4) \quad AN = eN + R_eN.$$

Compare this with $N = eN + R_e$. Then it follows that $N = AN$ if and only if $R_e = R_eN$. It implies that

$$R_e = R_eN = R_eN^2 = \cdots = R_eN^\rho = 0.$$

Then $A = eA$, and so e is a left identity of A .

Similarly, $N = NA$ if and only if $L_e = 0$, i.e. e is a right identity.

Clearly this theorem can be restated as follows.

THEOREM 6. *Let A be a nonnilpotent Artinian ring. Then for every left ideal M of A one has $M = AM$ if and only if A contains a left identity. Also, for every right ideal M of A one has $M = MA$ if and only if A contains a right identity.*

4. A condition for Artinian rings to be Noetherian. Let A be a non-nilpotent Artinian ring with radical N , and e be a principal idempotent of A . Consider a mapping $\varphi: R_e \rightarrow N/AN$ defined by

$$x\varphi = x + AN \quad \text{for } x \in R_e.$$

Then the mapping φ yields a homomorphism on the additive group of R_e , and it induces an isomorphism on the factor group $R_e/\text{Ker } \varphi$. Recall (4) and (3). Then it can be easily seen that $\text{Ker } \varphi = R_eN$ and $\text{Im } \varphi = N/AN$. Hence we have

$$(5) \quad N/AN \cong R_e/R_eN.$$

Generally also for $i = 2, 3, \dots, \rho - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^i &= (eN + R_e)N^{i-1} = eN^i + R_eN^{i-1}, \\ (6) \quad AN^i &= (eA + R_e)N^i = eN^i + R_eN^i, \\ N^i/AN^i &\cong R_eN^{i-1}/R_eN^i. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 7. *A nonnilpotent Artinian ring A is Noetherian if and only if R_e is finite.*

Proof. If R_e is finite, then N/AN is finite, as is clear by (5). Therefore A is Noetherian by Theorem 2.

Assume conversely that A is Noetherian. Then N^i/AN^i for every i is finite by Lemma 2. Now consider the series

$$(7) \quad R_e \supset R_eN \supseteq R_eN^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq R_eN^{\rho-1} = 0.$$

Looking through this series from the left to the right, let $R_eN^{j-1} \supset R_eN^j$ be the last proper inclusion. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [R_e: 0] &= [R_e: R_eN][R_eN: R_eN^2] \cdots [R_eN^{j-1}: 0] \\ &= [N: AN][N^2: AN^2] \cdots [N^j: AN^j] \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to the number of elements of R_e , and so R_e is finite.

5. Some properties of R_e .

PROPOSITION 8. *The additive group of R_e satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups.*

Proof. Any module with minimum condition on submodules is said to be Artinian. We claim that R_e is an Artinian module. Consider again (7) and (6).

Then $R_e N^{i-1}/R_e N^i$ is an Artinian module, because N^i/AN^i is so. We first consider $R_e N^{\rho-2}$. Every submodule M of $R_e N^{\rho-2}$ is a left ideal of A , because

$$AM = (Ae + L_e)M = L_e M \subseteq N^\rho = 0.$$

Therefore $R_e N^{\rho-2}$ is an Artinian module. Now, recall the well-known theorem: Let B be a submodule of a module A . Then A is Artinian if and only if B and A/B are Artinian [4, p. 22]. Then it follows at once that every module $R_e N^i$ and R_e are Artinian modules.

Remark. The criterium is applied to \mathbf{Z} -modules. The above proof goes through—by dropping R_e —if $A = N$. That is, if $A = N$, then the additive group of A is Artinian.

PROPOSITION 9. *The additive group of R_e is a torsion group.*

Proof. Let u be a nonzero element of R_e . We claim that $ku = 0$ for some positive integer k .

Consider a set S of left ideals of A generated by a multiple of u . Then by the minimum condition there exists a minimal ideal in S . Let us write it as $(m\mathbf{Z})u + Au$. Then for every positive integer r we have

$$(rm\mathbf{Z})u + Au = (m\mathbf{Z})u + Au.$$

It implies that for some positive integer s we have $mu - (rms)u \in Au$. Let $m_1 = (rs - 1)m$. Then

$$m_1u \in Au = (Ae + L_e)u = L_e u \subseteq N^2.$$

If $m_1u \neq 0$, then we apply the same argument to $u_1 = m_1u$ and find a positive integer m_2 such that

$$m_2u_1 \in Au_1 = (Ae + L_e)u_1 = L_e u_1 \subseteq N^3.$$

By a repetition of this argument we can find a positive integer k such that $ku = 0$.

PROPOSITION 10 (Hopkins [3, p. 727]). *The number of the elements of $R_e e$ is finite.*

Proof. Decompose Ae into a direct sum of indecomposable left ideals. Let it be $Ae = L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_n$. Then we have mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n such that $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$ and $L_i = Ae_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Accordingly

$$R_e e = R_e e_1 + R_e e_2 + \dots + R_e e_n,$$

and $R_e e_i = R_e(e_i A e_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Note that every $e_i A e_i$ is a completely primary ring. Therefore every element of $e_i A e_i$ not belonging to $e_i N e_i$ has a multiplicative inverse [1, p. 97].

We first prove that if $R_e e_i \neq 0$ then $m_i(R_e e_i) = 0$ for some positive integer m_i . We need only show that $m_i e_i = 0$, because then we have $m_i x = m_i(xe_i) = x(m_i e_i) = 0$ for all elements x of $R_e e_i$.

Let u be a nonzero element of $R_e e_i$. Since the additive group of $R_e e_i$ is a torsion group, $mu = 0$ for some positive integer m . If $me_i \neq 0$ and $me_i \notin e_i N e_i$, then there is an element v of $e_i A e_i$ such that $(me_i)v = e_i$. Then

$$u = ue_i = u\{(me_i)v\} = \{u(me_i)\}v = (mu)v = 0,$$

contradictory to the assumption $u \neq 0$. Therefore $me_i = 0$ or $me_i \in e_i N e_i$. But if $me_i \in e_i N e_i$, then $(me_i)^j = m^j e_i = 0$ for some positive integer j . In any case there is a positive integer m_i such that $m_i e_i = 0$.

Therefore we have a positive integer k such that $k(R_e e) = 0$. Hence the additive group of $R_e e$ is of bounded order. Moreover it satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups. Therefore $R_e e$ is finite by Lemma 1.

THEOREM 11 (Hopkins). *If an Artinian ring A contains a right identity, then A is Noetherian.*

Proof. Let e be the right identity. Then e is clearly a principal idempotent. We have $R_e = R_e e$. Hence R_e is finite, and so A is Noetherian by Theorem 7.

6. The theorem of Fuchs.

THEOREM 12 (Szele-Fuchs [2, p. 280]). *If an Artinian ring A is nilpotent, then the additive group of A is an Artinian torsion module.*

Proof. By assumption $A = N$. First, the additive group of N satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups. It is remarked at the end of the proof of Proposition 8. Next, the additive group of N is a torsion group. It can be proved similarly to Proposition 9.

THEOREM 13 (Fuchs). *An Artinian ring A is Noetherian if and only if the additive group of A contains no subgroup of type $C(p^\infty)$.*

Proof 1. The case where A is nilpotent. By Theorem 3, A is Noetherian if and only if A is finite. Therefore we claim that A is finite if and only if A contains no subgroup of type $C(p^\infty)$, i.e. no quasicyclic subgroup.

Recall the theorem of Kuroš which is as follows. The subgroups of an additive Abelian group G satisfy the minimum condition if and only if G is a direct sum of a finite number of quasicyclic and/or cyclic p -groups [2, p. 65].

Note that our additive group A satisfies the minimum condition by Theorem 12. Then the theorem of Kuroš completes the proof.

Proof 2. The case where A is nonnilpotent. Let e be any principal idempotent and consider R_e . Then by Theorem 7, A is Noetherian if and only if R_e is finite. Therefore we claim that R_e is finite if and only if A contains no quasicyclic subgroup.

Assume that A contains no quasicyclic subgroup. Then of course R_e contains no quasicyclic subgroup. By Proposition 8 the additive group of R_e satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups. Hence by the theorem of Kuroš R_e is finite.

Assume conversely that R_e is finite. Then R_e contains no quasicyclic subgroup by the theorem of Kuroš. Here we have to cite the theorem of Fuchs that every quasicyclic subgroup belongs to the annihilator of A [2, p. 281]. Then any quasicyclic subgroup of A must be contained in R_e . However R_e contains no quasicyclic subgroup. Hence A also contains no such subgroup.

7. Application to algebras. In this section we consider an algebra A over a field K merely as a ring. Then a left ideal L of A need not satisfy the condition:

$$(8) \quad \text{if } a \in L \text{ and } \gamma \in K, \text{ then } \gamma a \in L.$$

This condition is imposed upon A only.

THEOREM 14. *Let A be an algebra over any infinite field K . If A is a nonnilpotent Artinian ring, then A contains a left identity.*

Proof. Consider first the case where the characteristic of K is 0. Let e be a principal idempotent of A . Then the additive group of R_e is a torsion group by Proposition 9. However, in this case A clearly contains no torsion element. Hence $R_e = 0$, and so e is a left identity.

Consider next the case where the characteristic of K is $p \neq 0$. Then $pR_e = 0$, i.e. the additive group of R_e is of bounded order. Besides, the additive group R_e satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups. Hence by Lemma 1 R_e is finite.

Suppose $R_e \neq 0$, and let v be a nonzero element of R_e . Let γ be a nonzero element of K . Then $\gamma v \neq 0$ and $\gamma v \in R_e$, because $e(\gamma v) = \gamma(ev) = 0$. Therefore R_e must contain an infinite number of elements. This is a contradiction. Hence $R_e = 0$, and e is a left identity.

THEOREM 15. *Let A be an algebra of finite rank over any infinite field K . Then A is a nonnilpotent Artinian ring if and only if A contains a left identity.*

Proof. Because of Theorem 14, it remains only to prove the “if” part. Assume that A contains a left identity e . Let L be any left ideal of A . Then the condition (8) is necessarily satisfied, because

$$\gamma a = \gamma(ea) = (\gamma e)a \in AL \subseteq L.$$

Therefore L is a left K -module. Since A is a left K -module of finite rank, it is obvious that A satisfies the minimum condition on left ideals.

THEOREM 16. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Then there does not exist an algebra over K which is a nilpotent Artinian ring.*

Proof. Suppose that an algebra A over the field K is a nilpotent Artinian ring. Then the additive group of A is a torsion group by Theorem 12. However, A clearly contains no torsion element. This is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Rings with minimum condition* (University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1944).
2. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups* (Pergamon Press, London, 1960).
3. C. Hopkins, *Rings with minimal condition for left ideals*, *Ann. of Math.* 40 (1939).
4. J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules* (Blaisdell, Waltham, Massachusetts, 1966).
5. H. Tominaga, *On a theorem of Y. -H. Xǔ* (unpublished, University of Okayama, 1976).
6. Y. -H. Xǔ, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the minimal condition for rings to imply the maximal condition*, *Acta Math. Sinica* 18 (1975) (in Chinese).

*Japan Women's University,
Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan*