# Recalibration of the $uvby\beta$ photometry-based distance scale of OB-type stars using the revised *Hipparcos* parallaxes

## A. K. Dambis

Sternberg Astronomical Institute, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Universitetskii pr. 13, Moscow, 119992 Russia email: mirage@sai.msu.ru

Abstract. The absolute visual and infrared magnitudes of moderately evolved OB-type stars are directly calibrated as a function of Stroemgren four-color and H $\beta$  photometric indices using the trigonometric parallaxes from the new reduction of *Hipparcos* data. The resulting calibrations have an average accuracy of ~ 0.4 mag and, when applied to members of young open clusters, yield distances that are fairly consistent with those determined using the  $M_V - (B - V)$  zero-age main-sequence fitting procedure.

Keywords. stars: distances, stars: luminosities, supergiants, stars: kinematics

### 1. Introduction

The dramatic improvement in the accuracy of trigonometric parallaxes of bright OBtype stars provided by the new reduction of the *Hipparcos* data (van Leeuwen 2007) allows recalibration of the absolute magnitudes of close-to-main-sequence blue stars from scratch, without having to resort to open cluster membership probabilities. We derive the trigonometric-parallax-based V- and K-band absolute-magnitude calibrations for moderately evolved O–B9-type stars. We use these calibrations to redetermine the distances to a number of young open clusters and associations and study the kinematic behavior of the young stellar population in the extended solar neighborhood.

#### 2. Calibration

Our working sample consists of 458 OB-type stars with accurate parallaxes ( $\sigma_{\pi}/\pi \leq 0.1$ ) in the revised *Hipparcos* catalog (van Leeuwen 2007) and complete, homogenized  $uvby\beta$  data available from the catalog of Hauck & Mermilliod (1998). (All known binaries and emission-line stars have been excluded.) We calibrate the absolute magnitudes of these stars by fitting them to a function of the photometric indices  $c_0$  and  $\beta$  in the Stroemgren system, which depend mostly on temperature and luminosity, respectively. The parameter  $c_0$  is the dereddened index  $c_1 = (u - v) - (v - b)$ , and the  $\beta$  index characterizes the strength of the H $\beta$  line in the stellar spectrum. Fig. 1 shows the  $c_0-\beta$  diagram for the sample stars. The solid line shows the locus of the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) and the vertical bar,  $\Delta\beta = \beta - \beta_{ZAMS}(c_0)$ , the vertical offset of the star's  $\beta$  index from the ZAMS locus. The latter quantity characterizes the degree of the star's evolution away from the ZAMS. Fig. 2 shows the  $\beta-\Delta\beta$  diagram for the same stars.

We computed the trigonometric parallax-based absolute magnitudes,

$$M_V = V_0 + 5\log\pi - 10$$



Figure 1.  $\beta$ - $c_0$  diagram for 458 OB-type stars with revised Hipparcos parallaxes, published  $uvby\beta$  data, and characterized by fractional errors  $\sigma_{\pi}/\pi \leq 0.1$ . The  $\beta$  and  $c_0$  indices are mostly luminosity- and temperature-dependent, respectively.  $\Delta\beta$  is the vertical distance of the star from the ZAMS locus.



Figure 2.  $\Delta\beta$ - $c_0$  diagram for 458 OB-type stars with revised Hipparcos parallaxes, published  $uvby\beta$  data, and characterized by fractional errors  $\sigma_{\pi}/\pi \leq 0.1$ .

and

$$M_K = K_0 + 5\log \pi - 10$$

for our sample stars.  $V_0$  and  $K_0$  are the dereddened apparent magnitudes and  $\pi$  is the revised *Hipparcos* trigonometric parallax. The corresponding smoothed contour maps are shown in Figs 3 and 4. We subsequently fitted the individual, derived absolute magnitudes with polynomials in  $\beta$  and  $\Delta\beta$  to derive the revised calibrations,

$$M_V = +0.18 + 10\Delta\beta + 9.6(\beta - 2.75) - 62(\beta - 2.75)^2 -32(\beta - 2.75)\Delta\beta + 123(\beta - 2.75)^3 \pm 0.40$$
(2.1)

A. K. Dambis



**Figure 3.** Dependence of the absolute magnitude  $M_V$  on  $\beta$  and  $\Delta\beta$ .



**Figure 4.** Dependence of the absolute magnitude  $M_K$  on  $\beta$  and  $\Delta\beta$ .

and

$$M_K = +0.48 + 12\Delta\beta + 7.0(\beta - 2.75) - 55(\beta - 2.75)^2 -38(\beta - 2.75)\Delta\beta + 120(\beta - 2.75)^3 \pm 0.39.$$
(2.2)

To test our calibration, we used Eq. (2.1) to compute the absolute V-band magnitudes of OB-type members with published  $uvby\beta$  photometry in several young open clusters and estimated the corresponding average photometric distance moduli. The results are summarized in Table 1. The table's columns include the cluster name, the average distance modulus based on Eq. (2.1), and the  $M_V - (B - V)$  ZAMS-fitting-based distance modulus from the catalog of Dambis (1999).

Finally, we compute a rotation-curve solution based on published radial velocities (Barbier-Brossat & Figon 2000), revised *Hipparcos* proper motions, and photometric distances to 810 OB-type stars with radial-velocity errors  $\leq 10$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. The inferred kinematic parameters (average heliocentric velocity components  $U_0, V_0$ , and  $W_0$ ; velocity-dispersion tensor components  $\sigma_U$ ,  $\sigma_V$ , and  $\sigma_W$ ; angular rotation velocity,  $\Omega_0$ ; Oort's constant A; and the second derivative of the angular rotation velocity,  $\Omega''$ ), are listed in Table 2.

Cluster	$(m - M)_0$	$(m - M)_0$			
	(mag)	(mag; Dambis 1999)			
NGC 869	$11.25 \pm 0.12$	11.36			
NGC 884	$11.61 \pm 0.09$	11.50			
NGC $2244$	$10.71 \pm 0.08$	10.77			
NGC 2362	$10.72 \pm 0.15$	10.53			
NGC 3293	$12.30 \pm 0.10$	11.67			
NGC 4755	$11.31\pm0.10$	11.38			
NGC 6231	$10.96 \pm 0.10$	10.74			
$IC \ 4665$	$7.60 \pm 0.10$	7.57			
IC 4724	$8.91\pm0.11$	8.62			
$\langle \Delta(m$	$(-M)_0\rangle = +0$	$0.13 \pm 0.08 \text{ mag}$			

Table 1. Distances to several young open clusters determined using the revised calibration,Eq. (2.1).

 Table 2. Rotation-curve solution for OB-type stars with distances based on the revised calibration, Eq. (2.1).

$U_0$	$V_0$	$W_0$	$\sigma_U$	$\sigma_V$	$\sigma_W$	$\Omega_0$	A	$\Omega^{\prime\prime}$
$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	$(\rm km \ s^{-1}$	$(\rm km \ s^{-1}$	$(\rm km \ s^{-1}$					
						$kpc^{-1}$ )	$\rm kpc^{-1})$	$\mathrm{kpc}^{-3}$ )
-8.4	-12.8	-8.0	16.3	11.9	5.9	26.3	13.5	+1.75
$\pm 0.6$	$\pm 0.5$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.4$	$\pm 0.3$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 1.2$	$\pm 0.7$	$\pm 0.24$

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grants 10-02-00489-a and 11-02-00608-a).

#### References

Barbier-Brossat, M. & Figon, P. 2000, A&A,142, 217

Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., & van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (CDS catalog II/246)

Dambis, A. K. 1999, Astron. Lett., 25, 10

Hauck, B. & Mermilliod, J.-C. 1998, A&A, 129, 431

van Leeuwen, F. 2007,  $A \ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}A},\,474,\,653$ 

Zacharias, N., Finch, C. T., Girard, T. M., Henden, A., Bartlett, J. L., Monet, D. G., & Zacharias, M. I. 2012, The fourth U.S. Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC4) (CDS catalog I/322)