### **SECTION 9: OPTIONAL SAMPLES**

#### 9.1 INTRODUCTION

After the first and main phase of FIRI, which focused on routinely measured materials, an optional series of samples were also made available to participating laboratories. This second list included archaeological samples, mammoth tusks, and modern cellulose. Not all samples were available in sufficient quantity for radiometric measurement (in particular, the mammoth tusks). The samples are briefly described in Table 9.1 below.

 Table 9.1
 Description of optional samples

Sample	Description
Κ	Cambridge cellulose
L	Dogee Barrow wood
М	whole peat
Ν	mammoth tusk
0	mammoth tusk
Р	mammoth tusk

### 9.2 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

For Sample K, the dendro-age is known. Sample M had been previously pretested and came from the same site as Sample E. The Dogee Barrow site had been extensively dated, as had the mammoth tusks.

Sample K came from a tree that was planted around AD 1722 and material corresponding to the period AD 1820–1880 (a relatively flat area on the calibration curve) provided the sample. It has been homogeneity tested (approximately 98 pMC).

The 3 mammoth tusks had been previously dated with results for Sample N (T-13440,  $28,075 \pm 255$  and LU-3983,  $29,170 \pm 340$ ), Sample O (Lu-4170, age  $39,320 \pm 960$ ), and Sample P (Lu-1967, age  $12,820 \pm 60$ ).

Sample L came from the burial mound of Dogee Barrow, grave 8 (the Tuva king barrows). The approximate age was 2300–2400 BP.

Only a limited number of laboratories measured the optional samples and the summary statistics are shown below (the full table of results is given in Appendix 2).

Sample	Ν	Mean	Median	StDev	Minimum	Maximum	Q1	Q3
K	6	126.2	76.5	104	40	310	58	220
L	10	2505	2500	123	2386	2790	2406	2548
М	15	11,139	11,120	191	10,710	11,413	11,070	11,300
Ν	5	28,100	28,574	1177	26,000	28,746	27,265	28,698
0	5	37,815	37,980	2143	34,700	40,504	35,910	39,639
Р	5	12,558	12,600	151	12,300	12,696	12,443	12,653
L M N O P	10 15 5 5 5	2303 11,139 28,100 37,815 12,558	2300 11,120 28,574 37,980 12,600	123 191 1177 2143 151	2380 10,710 26,000 34,700 12,300	2790 11,413 28,746 40,504 12,696	11,070 27,265 35,910 12,443	11, 28, 39, 12,

Table 9.2 Descriptive statistics for the optional samples (in yr BP)

# $284 \quad E M Scott et al.$

Table 9.5 Descriptive statistics for the optional samples (in pive)									
Sample	Ν	Mean	Median	StDev	Minimum	Maximum	Q1	Q3	
K	7	98.737	99.1	1.407	96.2	100.482	97.7	99.727	
L	8	73.514	73.644	0.752	72.333	74.29	72.918	74.203	
М	11	24.849	24.79	0.603	24.14	26.3	24.4	25.16	
Ν	5	3.048	2.85	0.477	2.79	3.9	2.81	3.385	
0	5	0.922	0.88	0.243	0.65	1.3	0.725	1.14	
Р	5	20.94	20.84	0.385	20.59	21.6	20.695	21.235	

Table 9.3 Descriptive statistics for the optional samples (in pMC)

## 9.2.1 Comments

We can see that the results are in general agreement with the previous dating results and the knownage dendro date. No further analysis of the results for these materials has been undertaken. Given the small number of results, consensus values have not been calculated, but there still remains a sufficient archive to allow laboratories to measure these materials as part of their in-house QA procedures.