

# OVALS IN A FINITE PROJECTIVE PLANE

BENIAMINO SEGRE

1. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a finite projective plane (8, §17), i.e. a projective space of dimension 2 over a Galois field  $\gamma$ . We suppose that  $\gamma$  has characteristic  $p \neq 2$ , hence order  $q = p^h$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $h$  is a positive integer. It is well known that every straight line and every non-singular conic of  $\mathfrak{P}$  then contains  $q + 1$  points exactly.

Using the term *oval* to designate any set of  $q + 1$  distinct points of  $\mathfrak{P}$  no three of which are collinear, we shall prove the following theorem, already surmised by Järnefelt and Kustaanheimo (3) (deemed "implausible" in Math. Rev., 14 (1953), p. 1008):

**THEOREM I.** *If  $p \neq 2$ , every oval of  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a conic (i.e., can be represented by an equation of the second degree).*

This result fills up a gap in the finite congruence axiomatics set up by Kustaanheimo (4), and has important implications if we accept the idea, advanced by Järnefelt (2), of a possible connection between the physical world and the geometry of a finite linear space (cf. also 1, 5, 6, 7).

2. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote any given oval of  $\mathfrak{P}$ , and  $B$  be an arbitrary point of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a *tangent* at  $B$ , uniquely defined as the line of  $\mathfrak{P}$  which contains  $B$  and no other point of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; moreover, no three tangents of  $\mathcal{C}$  meet at a point (7, Theorem 3). We begin by proving

**THEOREM II.** *Every inscribed triangle of  $\mathcal{C}$  and its circumscribed triangle are perspective.*

It is not restrictive to identify the given inscribed triangle with the triangle of reference for homogeneous coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ :

$$A_1:(1, 0, 0), \quad A_2:(0, 1, 0), \quad A_3:(0, 0, 1);$$

then we may denote by

$$a_1 : x_2 = k_1 x_3, \quad a_2 : x_3 = k_2 x_1, \quad a_3 : x_1 = k_3 x_2$$

the tangents of  $\mathcal{C}$  at  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  respectively, where  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  are three non-zero elements of the field  $\gamma$ . If  $B:(c_1, c_2, c_3)$  is any of the  $q - 2$  points of  $\mathcal{C}$  distinct from  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , then  $c_1 c_2 c_3 \neq 0$ ; moreover, the lines  $A_1 B, A_2 B, A_3 B$  have equations of the form

$$x_2 = \lambda_1 x_3, \quad x_3 = \lambda_2 x_1, \quad x_1 = \lambda_3 x_2,$$

---

Received November 30, 1954.

where the coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  are distinct from  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  respectively, as well as from zero. Since these coefficients are given precisely by

$$\lambda_1 = c_2 c_3^{-1}, \quad \lambda_2 = c_3 c_1^{-1}, \quad \lambda_3 = c_1 c_2^{-1},$$

they satisfy the equation

$$(1) \quad \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1.$$

Conversely, if  $\lambda_1$  denotes any of the  $q-2$  elements of  $\gamma$  distinct from zero and from  $k_1$ , the line  $x_2 = \lambda_1 x_3$  meets  $\mathcal{C}$  at  $A_1$  and at a further point,  $B$  say, distinct from  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ ; hence the coefficients  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3$  in the equations  $x_3 = \lambda_2 x_1, x_1 = \lambda_3 x_2$  of the lines  $A_2 B, A_3 B$  are functions of  $\lambda_1$ , connected by (1), which take once each of the non-zero values of  $\gamma$  distinct from  $k_2, k_3$  respectively. On multiplying the  $q-2$  equations (1) thus obtained, we see that

$$\Pi^3 = k_1 k_2 k_3,$$

where  $\Pi$  denotes the product of the  $q-1$  non-zero elements of  $\gamma$ ; whence

$$(2) \quad k_1 k_2 k_3 = -1,$$

as it is well known (8, §59) that  $\Pi = -1$ .

From the equation (2), Theorem II follows at once. In fact the points

$$a_2 \cdot a_3 : (k_3, 1, k_2 k_3), \quad a_3 \cdot a_1 : (k_3 k_1, k_1, 1), \quad a_1 \cdot a_2 : (1, k_1 k_2, k_2)$$

are joined to  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  respectively by the lines:

$$x_3 = k_2 k_3 x_2, \quad x_1 = k_3 k_1 x_3, \quad x_2 = k_1 k_2 x_1;$$

by virtue of (2), these lines concur at the point  $K:(1, k_1 k_2, -k_2)$ , which is therefore a centre of perspective of the triangles  $A_1 A_2 A_3$  and  $a_1 a_2 a_3$ .

3. We can now prove Theorem I. For this purpose we use the notation of §2, assuming, as it is not restrictive, that  $K$  coincides with the unit point  $(1, 1, 1)$ ; this is tantamount to supposing

$$k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = -1.$$

If  $B:(c_1, c_2, c_3)$  is any of the  $q-2$  points of  $\mathcal{C}$  distinct from  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , we denote by

$$b : b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 = 0$$

the tangent of  $\mathcal{C}$  at it. This line contains  $B$ , but none of the points  $A_1, A_2, A_3, a_2 \cdot a_3, a_3 \cdot a_1, a_1 \cdot a_2$ ; hence, if we put

$$\beta_1 = b_1 - b_2 - b_3, \quad \beta_2 = -b_1 + b_2 - b_3, \quad \beta_3 = -b_1 - b_2 + b_3,$$

we have

$$(3) \quad b_1 c_1 + b_2 c_2 + b_3 c_3 = 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad b_1 b_2 b_3 \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \neq 0.$$

By virtue of Theorem II, the triangles  $BA_2A_3$  and  $ba_2a_3$  are perspective; this—as is immediately seen—is expressed algebraically by the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_3 - c_2 & c_1 + c_3 & -c_1 - c_2 \\ b_1 - b_3 & b_2 & 0 \\ b_1 - b_2 & 0 & b_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e., on suppressing the non-zero factor  $\beta_1$ :

$$b_2(c_1 + c_2) = b_3(c_1 + c_3).$$

Likewise, the consideration of the inscribed triangles  $BA_3A_1$ ,  $BA_1A_2$  and their circumscribed triangles gives:

$$b_3(c_2 + c_3) = b_1(c_2 + c_1), \quad b_1(c_3 + c_1) = b_2(c_3 + c_2).$$

The last three equations imply:

$$b_1 : b_2 : b_3 = (c_2 + c_3) : (c_3 + c_1) : (c_1 + c_2);$$

hence from (3), using also (4) and the hypothesis  $p \neq 2$ , we deduce the equality

$$c_2 c_3 + c_3 c_1 + c_1 c_2 = 0.$$

This equality means that each of the  $q-2$  points  $B$  lies on the conic

$$x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1 + x_1 x_2 = 0.$$

Since this conic obviously contains in addition the three points  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , and its points are precisely  $q+1$  in number, thus  $\mathcal{C}$  must coincide with it, which proves Theorem I.

4. We remark, in conclusion, that Theorem I does not hold on a finite plane of characteristic  $p = 2$ , if  $q > 4$ . For, as it is well known, the  $q+1$  tangents of a non-singular conic then meet at a point; this point and  $q$  of the  $q+1$  points of the conic constitute an oval, which, however, is clearly not a conic.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Järnefelt, *A plane geometry with a finite number of elements*, Verröf. Finnischen Geodatischen Inst., 36 (1949), 71–80.
2. ———, *Reflections on a finite approximation to Euclidean geometry*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae (A, I), 96 (1951), 43 pp.
3. G. Järnefelt and P. Kustaanheimo, *An observation on finite geometries*, Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress, Trondheim (1949), 166–182.
4. P. Kustaanheimo, *A note on a finite approximation of the Euclidean plane geometry*, Soc. Sci. Fenn. Comm. Phys. Math., 15, n. 19 (1950), 11 pp.
5. ———, *On the fundamental prime of a finite world*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae (A, I), 129 (1952), 7 pp.
6. P. Kustaanheimo and B. Qvist, *On differentiation in Galois fields*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae (A, I), 137 (1952), 12 pp.
7. B. Qvist, *Some remarks concerning curves of the second degree in a finite plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae (A, I), 134 (1952), 27 pp.
8. B. Segre, *Lezioni di geometria moderna*, vol. 1 (Bologna, 1948).

*University of Rome*