

Conference on ‘Malnutrition matters’

Symposium 4: Home parenteral nutrition and intestinal failure clinical network (HIFNET) and parenteral nutrition Management of acute intestinal failure

Keith R. Gardiner

Colorectal Surgical Unit, Royal Victoria Hospital, Grosvenor Road, Belfast BT12 6BA, UK

Intestinal failure (IF) occurs when intestinal absorptive function is inadequate to maintain hydration and nutrition without enteral or parenteral supplements. It has been classified into three types depending on duration of nutrition support and reversibility. Type 1 IF is commonly seen in the peri-operative period as ileus and usually spontaneously resolves within 14 d. Type 2 IF is uncommon and is often associated with an intra-abdominal catastrophe, intestinal resection, sepsis, metabolic disturbances and undernutrition. Type 3 IF is a chronic condition in a metabolically stable patient, which usually requires long-term parenteral nutrition. This paper focuses on Types 1 and 2 IF (or acute IF) that are usually found in surgical wards. The objectives of this paper are to review the incidence, aetiology, prevention, management principles and outcome of acute IF. The paper discusses the resources necessary to manage acute IF, the indications for inter-hospital transfer and the practicalities of how to transfer and receive a patient with acute IF.

Acute intestinal failure: Short bowel: Intestinal fistula

The term intestinal failure (IF) was introduced by Fleming and Remington⁽¹⁾ and defined as a ‘reduction in functioning gut mass below the minimum necessary for adequate digestion and absorption of nutrients’. Initially, this definition was used interchangeably with the need for parenteral nutrition^(2,3). Since that time the definition has been broadened and is now recognised to occur when ‘gastro-intestinal function is inadequate to maintain the nutrition and hydration of the individual without supplements given orally or intravenously’⁽⁴⁾. IF has been sub-classified into three types (Table 1) on the basis of duration and irreversibility^(2,3).

Patients with Types 1 and 2 IF are expected to regain intestinal autonomy and can both be considered as Acute IF⁽⁵⁾. Patients with Acute IF are usually found in surgical wards as these patients usually present on emergency surgical take or in a post-operative setting⁽⁵⁾. The aims of this paper are to review the incidence, aetiology, prevention, management principles and outcome of acute IF.

Type 1 intestinal failure

This is a common condition and is seen most frequently in a post-operative setting after abdominal surgery⁽³⁾ or in association with critical illnesses such as head injury, pneumonia, acute pancreatitis and post-cardiac surgery (Table 2)^(6,7). Post-operative ileus may occur in as many as 15% patients after intestinal resection⁽⁸⁾. The patient should be investigated for underlying cause (electrolyte disturbance, pancreatitis and sepsis) which can be treated⁽⁵⁾. The nutritional intervention for prolonged ileus is parenteral nutrition administered via a peripheral or central venous line.

Multimodal enhanced recovery techniques can reduce the frequency of ileus after abdominal surgery. These techniques allow administration of clear non-particulate oral fluids up to 2 h prior to induction of anaesthesia; avoid the use of mechanical bowel preparation, opiates and nasogastric tubes; aim to prevent Na and water overload;

Abbreviations: CT, computerised tomography; IF, intestinal failure.

Corresponding author: Mr Keith R. Gardiner, fax +44 28 9063 4685, email keith.gardiner@belfasttrust.hscni.net

Table 1. Types of intestinal failure

Types	Duration	Characteristics
1	Short term	Very common, usually self-limiting and often peri-operative. Artificial nutrition support usually necessary for less than 14 d
2	Weeks or months (longer than 28 d)	Usually found in severely ill patients after major bowel resection. Associated septic, metabolic and nutritional complications. Usually fed via the parenteral route
3	Long term	Chronic condition in a metabolically stable patient who requires long-term (months to years) parenteral feeding (candidates for home parenteral nutrition or intestinal transplantation)

Table 2. Categories and causes of Type 1 intestinal failure

Category	Cause
Intestinal obstruction or pseudo-obstruction	Post-operative
	Pseudo-obstruction
	Adhesional
Acute intestinal inflammation	Inflammatory bowel disease
	Radiotherapy
	Chemotherapy
Ileus	Intra-abdominal sepsis
	Acute pancreatitis
	Abdominal trauma
	Multi-organ dysfunction
	Post-operative

and promote early mobilisation and early introduction of diet⁽⁹⁾.

These patients may need short-term administration of parenteral fluid and nutrition support before usually making a full recovery without complication. Such patients are usually managed in surgical wards, although some patients in critical care environments also fit into this category⁽¹⁰⁾.

Type 2 intestinal failure

The incidence of Type 2 IF is unknown. The Department of Health has used a requirement for parenteral nutrition of 28 d or more as a surrogate marker of Type 2 IF. Using this definition, the annual incidence of Type 2 in England in 2008 was estimated to be nine patients per million population⁽¹⁰⁾.

Type 2 IF is most often seen in the setting of an abdominal catastrophe⁽⁵⁾. This may follow an acute event (mesenteric ischaemia, volvulus or trauma) necessitating massive enterectomy or occur as a complication of intestinal surgery (anastomotic leak; inadvertent and unrecognised intestinal injury) and result in enterocutaneous fistula or the need for resection and a proximal stoma^(3,5,11).

The most common underlying diagnoses are surgical complications (42%), Crohn's disease (21%) and mesenteric ischaemia (16%) followed by radiation enteritis, gastrointestinal dysmotility, trauma and malignancy⁽³⁾.

Aetiology and prevention of Type 2 intestinal failure

Post-operative complications

These include anastomotic leakage, intra-abdominal abscesses, intestinal obstruction (adhesions or volvulus) and post-operative intestinal ischaemia. In dealing with these complications, it may be necessary to resect bowel (leaving a permanently short bowel) and/or form a proximal stoma (temporary surgical disruption).

Thompson *et al.*⁽¹²⁾ reported fifty-two patients with post-operative short-bowel syndrome (defined as small bowel length <180 cm) following operations such as colectomy, hysterectomy, appendectomy and gastric bypass. The commonest causes of short bowel in that series were intestinal obstruction (adhesions or volvulus) and post-operative intestinal ischaemia. Patients undergoing resection for ischaemia or volvulus were more likely to have a remnant small bowel length <60 cm⁽¹²⁾. Patients who develop short bowel after surgery for intestinal obstruction usually have had multiple operations⁽¹²⁾.

Thompson *et al.*⁽¹²⁾ proposed using strategies to prevent adhesions, avoiding technical errors and having a lower threshold for suspecting post-operative intestinal ischaemia. Patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (due to hypercoagulable state) and those undergoing prolonged laparoscopy (due to raised intra-abdominal pressure) are at increased risk of post-operative mesenteric ischaemia⁽¹²⁾.

Crohn's disease

Short-bowel syndrome is not a frequent complication of surgery for Crohn's disease. Hurst *et al.*⁽¹³⁾ found that 5% of 464 patients with Crohn's disease undergoing operation had residual intestine less than 180 cm and seven patients required home parenteral nutrition. Post *et al.*⁽¹⁴⁾ reported that short-bowel syndrome resulted in only one of 689 patients with Crohn's disease requiring operation. Where, short-bowel syndrome does complicate Crohn's disease, the most common reason is inadvertent injury of the intestine during laparotomy to deal with post-operative complications⁽¹⁵⁾.

With new strategies, such as stricturoplasty and limited resection for the surgical management of Crohn's disease, it is expected that fewer patients will develop this complication^(13,16). In the hope of lessening the frequency and severity of short-bowel syndrome, the use of non-resectional options such as stricturoplasty has become more common⁽¹⁷⁾. Patients with long-segment disease or multifocal disease would seem most likely to benefit from non-resectional strategies.

Mesenteric ischaemia

Acute mesenteric ischaemia can occur due to mesenteric vein thrombosis or arterial occlusion due to thrombosis or

embolism. Small-bowel ischaemia may also occur due to volvulus and strangulated hernias⁽¹²⁾.

In any patient with arterial or mesenteric thrombosis and bowel ischaemia the initial resection should be conservative and a second-look laparotomy should be planned to prevent resection of a potentially salvageable bowel.

Trauma

Trauma to the small bowel accounts for <1% of all trauma. Penetrating trauma accounts for 67% of small-bowel injuries, with blunt trauma accounting for 33%⁽¹⁸⁾. Of those sustaining injury, 93% require intestinal resection. Intestinal resection after traumatic injury may be caused by trauma to mesenteric vessels and/or bowel wall⁽¹⁸⁾. Direct bowel injury often results in multiple perforations but does not often lead to massive resections. Injuries to the superior mesenteric artery are rare and avulsion injuries of small mesenteric branches are a more common mechanism of injury and are associated with seat belt use⁽¹⁸⁾. Areas of doubtful viability may be preserved and patients should undergo a second-look laparotomy to clearly delineate the need for resection. Appropriate resuscitation is important in preventing ongoing intestinal hypoperfusion and preventing the extension of the resection necessary for ischaemia.

However, trauma is responsible for <10% of patients with short-bowel syndrome⁽¹⁸⁾.

Volvulus

This is a rare condition that can be associated with intestinal malrotation which can present with severe sudden abdominal pain. Unless operated on rapidly to correct the volvulus, it may progress to complete intestinal infarction with the need for sub-total enterectomy and formation of proximal jejunostomy. These patients usually have metabolic disturbances and sepsis in addition to the problems of a high-output proximal permanent stoma.

If at laparotomy, there are areas of doubtful viability, these areas should be preserved and a second-look laparotomy performed in 24 h to re-assess whether the ischaemic bowel is salvageable.

Radiation enteritis

Radiation enteritis can present with recurrent intestinal obstruction, fistulation or progressive weight loss⁽¹⁹⁾. The acute presentations are usually with intestinal obstruction. These patients are often undernourished, septic and have chronic small-bowel obstruction. The progressive weight loss and bowel obstruction can raise concern regarding recurrence of original malignancy (most often gynaecological). Most often it is the distal ileum that is affected by radiation injury and this can be resected without resulting in IF⁽¹⁹⁾. If the radiotherapy has been given post-hysterectomy or if para-aortic nodes have been included in the radiotherapy fields, a more extensive injury may result and surgery to relieve obstruction may result in acute IF.

Management of Type 2 intestinal failure

Patients with Type 2 IF are usually severely ill with systemic sepsis, metabolic disturbances and undernutrition⁽³⁾ and may have open wounds, stomas, fistulas and psychological upset. There is often pre-existing co-morbidity (IHD, diabetes mellitus, hypertension and chronic lung disease).

Management of Type 2 IF may be complex, prolonged, time consuming, expensive and daunting. There are a number of phases in the treatment and recovery of these patients, which have been summarised as the 4Rs: Resuscitation, Restitution, Reconstruction and Rehabilitation⁽²⁰⁾. Successful treatment requires a multi-disciplinary team approach⁽³⁾ and can be greatly aided by a structured management approach⁽³⁾.

Such a structured approach has been described for the management of gastrointestinal fistulae. The group from Salford Royal NHS Trust^(20,21) have described SNAP indicating that management of fistulae requires attention to incising and skin care (S), nutrition (N), anatomy definition (A) and planned procedure (P) for fistula closure in order of priority. Visschers *et al.*⁽²²⁾ have described a similar approach (SOWATS) indicating that management of enterocutaneous fistulas consist of controlling Sepsis (S), Optimisation of nutritional care (O), Wound care (W), assessment of fistula anatomy (A), timing of surgery (T) and surgical strategy (S).

The principles of managing patients with acute IF have been described in the Association of Surgeons Great Britain and Ireland Guidelines on the Management of Patients with Acute Intestinal Failure⁽⁵⁾ and can be summarised as SLOW STUFF: Sepsis (S), Liver dysfunction (L), Obstruction (O), Wound (W), Stoma (S), Talking (T), Undernutrition (U), Fluids and electrolytes (F) and Fistula (F). Most of these issues need to be dealt with during the early phases of Resuscitation and Restitution and many will require ongoing input throughout Rehabilitation and Reconstruction phases of the illness.

Sepsis

Sepsis may be characterised as usual by pyrexia, leucocytosis or raised inflammatory markers (C reactive protein) but may also be more insidious with hyponatraemia, liver dysfunction, jaundice or failure to make progress on nutrition support^(5,20,23). There should be a low threshold for investigation for occult sepsis by computerised tomography (CT) scan in patients with acute IF⁽²⁴⁾ as these patients require resuscitation and urgent elimination of sepsis by either percutaneous radiologically guided drainage or open surgery⁽²⁰⁾. Drainage by CT guidance is effective in managing isolated collections but may be unsuccessful if a collection is fed directly by fistulating gut.

Open surgery is necessary if there are inaccessible or multiple intra-abdominal abscesses or a leaking anastomosis⁽²⁰⁾. If the patient is unstable and hypoalbuminaemic, intestinal suture lines should not be left in continuity⁽²⁰⁾. If there are enterotomies, these should be exteriorised or if repaired, they should be defunctioned proximally by a double-barrelled stoma⁽⁵⁾. Drains may be placed and the

abdominal cavity may be cleaned by lavage. If there is persistent abdominal infection, it may be appropriate to leave the abdomen open (laparostomy)^(5,25).

Intra-abdominal sepsis due to enteric leakage will require either resection of the anastomosis and exteriorisation of the bowel ends, defunctioning of the anastomosis by raising a proximal loop jejunostomy or the creation of a laparostomy that leaves the abdomen open so that enteric contents can drain freely⁽²¹⁾. Patients with a laparostomy usually require to be nursed in an intensive care unit as they often have associated organ dysfunction.

Antibiotics are directed at expected causative organisms, and preferably chosen on the basis of culture results and choice may require close collaboration with microbiologists.

Failure to arrest intra-abdominal sepsis leads to multi-organ dysfunction/failure and results in ineffectiveness of nutrition support⁽³⁾; failure of fistula healing and ultimately patient death⁽²⁶⁾. Adequate management of sepsis is the most important factor that determines the outcome for patients with acute IF^(5,23).

Liver dysfunction

Liver dysfunction is common in patients with acute IF and may relate to sepsis, administration of antibiotics or other drugs, biliary tract disease, cholestasis and overfeeding. Jaundice is most frequently associated with inadequately treated sepsis and requires investigation for sepsis by CT scanning.

Progressive liver dysfunction can result in hepatic fibrosis, portal hypertension and liver failure. Priorities are assessment for sepsis (CT scanning), biliary tract disease (ultrasound; consideration of ursodeoxycholic acid to improve bile excretion), review of medications and review of nutrition support (overfeeding; exploration of enteral feeding via nasogastric tube, stoma or fistula).

Obstruction

It may be difficult to distinguish between post-operative ileus and intestinal obstruction. If there is an onset of crampy abdominal pain and cessation of bowel action after initial opening of bowels in post-operative period, then mechanical obstruction is likely. Failure of water-soluble contrast to reach the colon within 4 h on CT scan is strongly predictive of failure of mechanical obstruction to resolve.

The vast majority of patients (>70%) with early post-operative intestinal obstruction will settle within 7 d on conservative treatment (nasogastric suction and nutrition support)⁽²⁷⁾. Operation may be required if there is evidence of increasing abdominal tenderness, evidence of sepsis or failure to settle. Re-operation for intestinal obstruction in patients with IF is even more hazardous.

Wound

It may be necessary to leave the abdomen open (laparostomy) if there is extensive abdominal contamination (tertiary peritonitis) to facilitate the control of sepsis or

there is a risk of compartment syndrome⁽⁵⁾. If it is possible to safely close the abdomen, leaving it open confers no benefit and increases morbidity⁽²⁸⁾. For other patients, wound infection and fascial dehiscence may result in an open wound or abdomen.

The combination of an open abdomen with an associated enteric fistula (together known as an enteroatmospheric fistula) is particularly difficult to manage and is associated with a much more considerable risk of death⁽²⁹⁾. A variety of techniques have been used to manage the open abdomen including topical negative pressure.

Topical negative pressure may be appropriate where the abdominal wall is intact, but should not be used in open abdominal wounds where intact loops of bowel are exposed, due to risk of inducing fistulation⁽²⁹⁾. There is currently a National Institute of Clinical Excellence audit of the management of open abdomens⁽³⁰⁾.

For open abdomens with associated fistula, wounds are ideally managed with a large Eakin bag with suction catheters placed through the bag to control the effluent and protect the skin⁽⁵⁾. Management of patients with entero-atmospheric fistula is complex and should be referred to a specialist unit.

Stoma

The challenges with stomas in relation to acute IF are the output (high volume, highly irritant), position (created as an emergency and not optimally sited; in wound or in unusual site due to lack of choice) and body contours (previous scars, open wounds and presence of fistula). The consequences can be irritation of the skin, poor adherence of appliances to the skin, heavy stoma bags and frequent dislodgement of the stoma appliance.

Considerable skill and patience are required from the stoma and ward nurses to clean and dry the skin, filling in crevices with stoma paste, using high-output bags and additional reinforcing tapes to provide control of effluent and protection of skin.

Talking

It is essential that there is clear, consistent and well-documented communication between patients and their relatives. This can take multiple meetings, re-explanations and updates on progress to enable appropriate understanding of the range of problems, the priorities for action and the time frame in which recovery could be expected. Rather than talking about an estimated day of discharge, often the thinking is more in terms of the calendar and might refer to an estimated week or month of discharge. In addition to talking to the patient and their family, there needs to be communication with other disciplines (nutrition support, tissue viability, stoma team, pain team, physiotherapy, occupational therapists, psychologists, social worker, other medical disciplines – microbiology, radiology, gastroenterology, vascular surgeons).

This can be a very difficult time for the patient, family and the clinical team. The patient and their family often find it difficult to understand how the clinical condition has

deteriorated to this extent and may persistently look for someone to 'blame'.

Undernutrition

By definition, patients with acute IF require artificial nutritional support. They are unable to absorb sufficient orally administered energy (due to proximal fistula or stoma; or permanent short bowel) at a time when their metabolic demands are increased due to operation(s), procedures and sepsis.

Careful assessment of nutritional status and of requirements is necessary by the dietitian member of the Nutrition Support Team⁽⁵⁾. The options for route of administration of nutrition support are peripheral or central parenteral nutrition or administration of enteral nutrition via the ileal mucous fistula (enteroclysis) or via the distal limb of the fistulous tract (fistuloclysis). Enteral feeding is safer and less expensive than parenteral nutrition but requires at least 75 cm of healthy small bowel distal to the fistula or stoma to offer any prospect of success^(31,32).

Parenteral nutrition is usually administered via a tunneled central line⁽²⁰⁾. It is vital that there is strict adherence to aseptic protocols to prevent line infections and to preserve venous access sites. Parenteral nutrition is also possible via peripheral veins but requires the use of lipid-containing, lower-osmolality solutions to reduce the incidence of thrombophlebitis⁽²⁰⁾. Due to long-term high energy, N and fluid requirements this is rarely practical in patients with Type 2 IF.

Re-introduction of nutrition after a period of starvation carries the risk of development of re-feeding syndrome. It is therefore necessary to initially restore vitamin and minerals and correct any deficits of Mg, K or PO₄; followed by introduction of the feed at a low rate with daily monitoring of fluid and electrolyte balance and blood glucose control. It can be difficult to assess response to nutritional interventions as changes in weight are more often due to fluid shifts and serum micronutrient concentrations are affected by sepsis⁽⁵⁾.

Fluids and electrolyte balance

Fluid and electrolyte losses can be very high (4–6 litres/d) in patients with a proximal enterocutaneous fistula or proximal jejunostomy⁽²⁰⁾. These losses can be particularly difficult to manage in patients with renal impairment. Meticulous records of all fluid losses are essential along with daily measurements of serum biochemistry and urinary Na concentration⁽²⁰⁾.

Treatment is directed at limiting the oral intake of fluid (500–1000 ml/d), substituting rehydration solutions for normal oral fluids, reducing gastrointestinal secretory losses (proton pump inhibitor, octreotide), slowing intestinal transit (loperamide, codeine) and replacing fluid and electrolytes (directed by fluid balance charts and measures of serum and urinary chemistry)⁽²⁰⁾.

Fistula

Enterocutaneous fistulas can be associated with sepsis (requiring assessment by CT scan to identify and drain

associated abscesses), high fluid and electrolyte losses (mentioned earlier) and skin irritation. The fistulas often occur at awkward sites (through old wounds), in association with contour deformity and it can be very difficult to get a satisfactory collection of the fistula effluent. Fistula effluent can cause chemical irritation of the skin and pain. Repeated leaks from appliances can limit patient mobility and rehabilitation as well as being psychologically very distressing^(5,20). Stoma nurses and/or tissue viability nurses can be very helpful in finding a solution to protect the skin that can involve the use of low-grade suction catheter placed through a stoma appliance⁽³³⁾.

It is sometimes necessary to perform a defunctioning loop jejunostomy in the left upper-abdominal quadrant to divert enteric contents from the fistula^(7,23).

Definitive surgery for non-healing enterocutaneous fistulas requires elimination of sepsis⁽²²⁾, restoration of nutrition, delineation of anatomy (by oral and per-fistula contrast studies) and a planned procedure after a period of 3–6 months⁽³⁴⁾ or when there is evidence of reduction of adhesions (softening of abdominal wall, development of hernia or prolapse of fistula)⁽²⁰⁾.

Although recovery of these patients takes a long time (SLOW STUFF) and some interventions are slow to have an effect (restoration of nutrition, management of open abdomen, recovery of disturbed liver function), other actions of the clinical team need to be taken promptly (correction of fluid and electrolyte abnormalities; elimination of sepsis).

Resources and acute intestinal failure

The decision about whether a surgical unit can manage a patient with acute IF is complex and will depend on whether the unit has the necessary expertise and resources (including the ability to share the burden with colleagues), intact relationships with patient and family and acceptable outcomes for the management of such patients.

The resources needed to manage patients with acute IF have been described in the Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland Guidelines on the Surgical Management of Patients with Acute IF⁽⁵⁾ and detail the need for:

- a nominated lead surgeon* (trained in gastrointestinal and IF surgery, a member of an appropriate specialist association, attached to a nutrition support team, attending continuing medical education in IF and nutrition support; and supported by surgical colleagues),
- a surgical unit* with nursing expertise in gastrointestinal surgery, senior surgical trainees, regular morbidity and mortality meetings, audit programme and research interest,
- a fully functional nutrition support team* and
- a hospital* with an 24 h/d emergency theatre, a gastrointestinal theatre team, critical care facilities, acute pain team, imaging department with expertise in interventional radiology and cross-sectional imaging, stomatherapy and tissue viability nursing teams, access to other surgical teams (urological, plastics and gynaecological) and microbiology expertise.

Table 3. Criteria for admission to National Intestinal Failure Units

Criteria	
1	Persistent intestinal failure (beyond 6 weeks) and/or complicated by venous access problems
2	Multiple intestinal fistulas in an open abdomen
3	An intestinal fistula beyond the expertise of the referring unit
4	Total or near total small-bowel enterectomy (<30 cm of residual small bowel)
5	Recurrent venous access problems in patient requiring long-term parenteral nutrition
6	Persistent intra-abdominal sepsis
7	Persistent metabolic complications including renal and hepatic dysfunction
8	Chronic intestinal failure beyond the expertise of the referring unit

When to transfer a patient with acute intestinal failure

The National Specialised Commissioning Advisory Group⁽³⁵⁾ has recognised the difficulty in managing patients with acute IF and have funded two National Intestinal Failure Units (St Mark's, Northwick Park and Salford Royal NHS Trust). Criteria have been defined⁽⁵⁾ to indicate the type of patients that should be referred to these National Units and have been summarised in Table 3.

Most of the time, patients are transferred because their problems exceed the capability of a unit (from medical, psychological or communication reasons) to continue providing care for them.

A decision is necessary as to whether the patient is likely to benefit from the transfer. This decision may be aided by the provision of accurate verbal and written information, by a visit to the sending unit or by transferring the patient for assessment. Transfer for assessment needs to be discussed clearly with the patient and his family to prevent unrealistic expectations in the event that the patient needs to return to original unit.

How not to transfer a patient with acute intestinal failure

Patients with acute IF can sometimes be transferred with one or more volumes of incomplete loose-leaf variety hospital notes, late in the evening (due to difficulty with access to beds or difficulty arranging transport), accompanied by a hand-written, barely legible transfer letter written by a junior medical staff member and by relatives who have poor understanding of the reasons for transfer or the unrealistic expectation that their relative is going to be discharged a new person in 3 d time.

It can be extremely difficult for the receiving unit to find their way through unfamiliar notes, discover all the relevant details of the procedures performed and the results of investigations completed. It can be difficult in retrospect to understand why decisions were made and what explanations have been made to the patient and his family.

How to transfer a patient with acute intestinal failure

Contact with the receiving hospital should be *consultant-to-consultant* with a clear identification of the reason(s) for

transfer and a step-by-step sequence of events leading up to the transfer.

It is helpful if detailed information about the patient is provided *in advance* of the transfer by a senior doctor describing the procedures carried out in time order, findings at operations and operative difficulties encountered; co-morbidity; presence of resistant organisms; description of wounds, stomas or fistulas; active treatments; recent blood microbiological culture and pathology results; fluid balance and nutrition interventions; and the presence of lines and tubes.

The patient should be transferred with a written referral letter, all notes and scans (if it is not possible to view these by web link), up-to-date blood results, accurate fluid balance and drug prescription and with a member of clinical team who can provide a safe and comprehensive handover. It is helpful if the referring consultant can provide a contact number to deal with queries.

Receiving a patient with acute intestinal failure

The receiving unit needs to be clear about what information is required (referral letter, all notes and scans, most recent chemistry; details of drugs, fluid balance and infective status). It is useful for ward nursing staff to obtain details concerning wound problems and current management, mobility and family contact details prior to transfer. The nutrition support team and/or pharmacist can obtain information regarding provision of parenteral nutrition and fluids from the pharmacy in the sending hospital.

It can be helpful for the receiving unit clinician to visit the patient in the transferring unit depending on the geographical distance between units. This offers the opportunity to see the problems first hand and to permit direct discussion with clinical team, patient and relatives.

Outcome

Patients with acute IF can have an in-hospital mortality as high as 13%⁽³⁶⁾. Visschers *et al.*⁽²²⁾ report a mortality rate of 9.6% for management of patients with enterocutaneous fistula. Post-operative morbidity includes recurrent intestinal obstruction, abscesses, fistula, wound infections, incisional hernias and long-term need for parenteral nutrition⁽³⁷⁾.

In addition to mortality, the Association of Surgeons Guidelines on the management of patients with acute IF⁽⁵⁾ have suggested that quality measures for managing patients with acute IF include infection rate in central lines, the rate of unplanned return to theatre, the recurrent fistula rate and the success in discontinuation of artificial nutrition support (after restorative surgery) and the prevention of Type 3 IF and the need for long-term parenteral nutrition^(3,23).

Surgical reconstruction of patients with IF can be very challenging with difficulties in entering the abdominal cavity, dissecting apart dense adhesions without causing enterotomies, dealing with intra-abdominal abscess cavities, performing anastomoses and closing abdomens (e.g. component separation techniques)^(5,20). This Complex Re-Operative Surgery for Intestinal Failure is therefore

delayed for at least 3–6 months until sepsis and acute inflammation are resolved, undernutrition corrected, the patient mobilised (rehabilitation phase), anatomy delineated (contrast studies and cross-sectional imaging) and adhesions lessened (soft abdominal wall, prolapsing stoma or fistula; herniating wound). Re-operation in patients with a frozen abdomen results in intestinal resection in 90% patients⁽³⁷⁾. Inadvertent enterotomy during re-laparotomy is strongly predictive of post-operative complications⁽³⁸⁾. The general principles of reconstructive surgery for IF have been described in the Association of Surgeons Guidelines for the Management of patients with acute IF⁽⁵⁾.

Conclusions

In recent years, there has been considerable progress in the definition of IF and in its sub-classification (Types 1–3). It has been difficult to define the incidence and prevalence of acute IF although an estimated annual incidence of nine patients/million population in England has now been calculated using a surrogate marker (need for parenteral nutrition for 28 d or more). The aetiology of acute IF is most commonly due to post-operative complications, Crohn's disease, mesenteric ischaemia, trauma, volvulus and radiation enteritis. There is now general agreement that the management of acute IF can be aided by the involvement of a multi-disciplinary team and using a structured management approach (SNAP and SOWATS). The resources needed to manage acute IF and clinical indicators of quality of care are now being defined. The indications for referral of patients to a National Intestinal Failure Unit have been published⁽³⁵⁾ and practical advice on how to transfer a patient with acute IF has been provided in this paper.

Acknowledgement

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- Fleming CR & Remington M (1981) Intestinal failure. In *Nutrition and the Surgical Patient*, pp. 219–235 [GL Hill, editor]. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.
- Shaffer J (2002) Intestinal failure- definition and service development. *Clin Nutr* **21**, Suppl. 1, 144–145.
- Lal S, Teubner A & Shaffer JL (2006) Intestinal failure. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **24**, 19–31.
- Jeejeebhoy KN (2005) The etiology and mechanisms of intestinal failure. In *Intestinal Failure and Rehabilitation: A Clinical Guide*, pp. 25–37 [LE Matarese, E Steiger and DL Seidner, editors]. New York: CRC Press.
- Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland (2010) The Surgical Management of Patients with Acute Intestinal Failure. http://www.asgbi.org.uk/en/publications/issues_in_professional_practice.cfm
- Pettigrew RA & Hill GL (1984) Therapeutic nutrition. In *Textbook of Gastroenterology*, pp. 1227–1245 [IAD Bouchier, RN Allan, HJF Hodgson and MRB Keighley, editors]. London: Balliere-Tindall.
- Carlson GL (2001) Surgical causes and management. In *Intestinal Failure*, pp. 39–49 [JMD Nightingale, editor]. London: Greenwich Medical Media.
- Wolff BG, Viscusi ER, Delaney CP *et al.* (2007) Patterns of gastrointestinal recovery after bowel resection and total abdominal hysterectomy: Pooled results from the placebo arm of alvimopan phase III North American clinical trials. *J Am Coll Surg* **205**, 43–51.
- Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland (2009) Guidelines for Implementation of Enhanced Recovery Protocols. http://www.asgbi.org.uk/en/publications/issues_in_professional_practice.cfm
- NHS National Commissioning Group for Highly Specialised Services (2008) Strategic Framework for Intestinal Failure and Home Parenteral Nutrition Services for Adults in England. http://www.specialisedservices.nhs.uk/library/28/Strategic_Framework_for_Intestinal_Failure_and_Home_Parenteral_Nutrition_Services_for_Adults_in_England_1.pdf
- Carlsson GL & Dark P (2010) Acute intestinal failure. *Curr Opin Crit Care* **16**, 347–352.
- Thompson JS, DiBaise JK, Iyer KR *et al.* (2005) Post-operative short bowel syndrome. *J Am Coll Surg* **201**, 85–89.
- Hurst RD, Molinarir M, Chung TP *et al.* (1997) Prospective study of the features, indications and surgical treatment of 513 consecutive patients affected by Crohn's disease. *Surgery* **122**, 661–668.
- Post S, Herfarth C, Bohm FC *et al.* (1996) The impact of disease pattern, surgical management and individual surgeons on the risk for relaparotomy for recurrent Crohn's disease. *Ann Surg* **223**, 253–260.
- Agwunobi AO, Carlson GL, Anderson ID *et al.* (2001) Mechanisms of intestinal failure in Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* **44**, 1834–1837.
- Fazio VW, Galandiuk S, Jagelman DG *et al.* (1989) Strictureplasty in Crohn's disease. *Ann Surg* **210**, 621–625.
- Gardiner KR & Dasari B (2007) Operative management of small Bowel Crohn's disease. *Surg Clin North Am* **87**, 587–610.
- Dabney A, Thompson J, DiBaise J *et al.* (2004) Short bowel syndrome after trauma. *Am J Surg* **188**, 792–795.
- Gidwani AL, Gardiner K & Clarke J (2009) Surgical experience with small bowel damage secondary to pelvic radiotherapy. *Ir J Med Sci* **17**, 13–17.
- Kaushal M & Carlson GL (2004) Management of enterocutaneous fistulas. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* **17**, 79–88.
- Chintapatla S & Scott NA (2002) Intestinal failure in complex gastrointestinal fistulae. *Nutrition* **18**, 991–996.
- Visschers RGJ, Olde Damink SWM, Winkens B *et al.* (2008) Treatment strategies in 135 consecutive patients with enterocutaneous fistulas. *World J Surg* **32**, 445–453.
- Carlsson GL (2003) Surgical management of intestinal failure. *Proc Nutr Soc* **62**, 711–718.
- Gerzof SG & Oates ME (1988) Imaging techniques for infections in the surgical patient. *Surg Clin North Am* **68**, 147–166.
- Mughal MM, Bancewicz J & Irving MH (1986) Laparostomy. A technique for the management of intractable abdominal sepsis. *Br J Surg* **73**, 253–259.
- Soetors PB, Ebeid Am & Fischer JE (1979) Review of 404 patients with gastrointestinal fistula: Impact of parenteral nutrition. *Ann Surg* **190**, 189–202.
- Ellozy SH, Harris MT, Bauer JJ *et al.* (2002) Early post-operative small bowel obstruction: A prospective evaluation in 242 consecutive abdominal operations. *Dis Colon Rectum* **45**, 1214–1217.
- Robeldo FA, Luque-de-Leon E, Suarez R *et al.* (2007) Open versus closed management of the abdomen in the surgical treatment of severe secondary peritonitis: A randomised controlled clinical trial. *Surg Infect* **8**, 63–72.

29. Rao M, Burke D, Finan PJ *et al.* (2007) The use of vacuum-assisted closure of abdominal wounds: A word of caution. *Colorectal Dis* **9**, 266–268.
30. NHS National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (2010) Negative Pressure wound care for the open abdomen. <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG322>
31. Teubner A, Morrison K, Ravishankar HR *et al.* (2004) Fistuloclysis can successfully replace parenteral feeding in the nutritional support of patients with enterocutaneous fistula. *Br J Surg* **91**, 625–631.
32. Levy E, Frileux P, Cugnenc PH *et al.* (1989) High output external fistulae of the small bowel: Management with continuous enteral nutrition. *Br J Surg* **76**, 676–679.
33. Hughes S, Myers A & Carlson G (2001) Care of intestinal stoma and enterocutaneous fistula. In *Intestinal Failure*, pp. 51–63 [JMD Nightingale, editor]. London: Greenwich Medical Media.
34. Scripcariu V, Carlson G, Bancewicz J *et al.* (1994) Reconstructive abdominal operations after laparotomy and multiple repeat laparotomies for severe intra-abdominal infection. *Br J Surg* **81**, 1475–1478.
35. NHS National Specialist Commissioning Advisory Group (2010) Specialised intestinal failure and home parenteral nutrition services (adult). http://www.specialisedservices.nhs.uk/library/26/Specialised_Intestinal_Failure_and_Home_Parenteral_Nutrition_Services_adult.pdf
36. Scott NA, Leinhardt DJ, O'Hanrahan T *et al.* (1991) Spectrum of intestinal failure in a specialised unit. *Lancet* **337**, 471–473.
37. Harris EA, Kelly AW, Pockaj BA *et al.* (2002) Reoperation on the abdomen encased in adhesions. *Am J Surg* **184**, 499–504.
38. Van der Krabben AA, Dijkstra FR, Nieuwenhuijzen M *et al.* (2000) Morbidity and mortality of inadvertent enterotomy during adhesiotomy. *Br J Surg* **87**, 467–471.