Public Health Nutrition

Systematic Review

Association between overweight/obesity and iron deficiency anaemia among women of reproductive age: a systematic review

Qonita Rachmah^{1,2,}*®, Prasenjit Mondal¹®, Hai Phung¹® and Faruk Ahmed^{1,2} ¹Public Health, School of Medicine and Dentistry, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia: ²Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Submitted 2 December 2023: Final revision received 8 May 2024: Accepted 4 June 2024

Abstract

Objective: Numerous studies have examined the relationship between overweight/obesity and iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) across diverse population groups, but a definitive link has not been clearly determined. This systematic review examined the association between overweight/obesity and IDA in women of reproductive age (WRA).

Design: The initial search was performed in the CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, SCOPUS and Web of Science databases. The studies included should report at least one Fe status with/without an inflammatory marker, using the BMI to define overweight/obesity. Only baseline data were extracted for longitudinal studies. Setting: Global.

Participant: Pregnant or non-pregnant women aged 18–50 years.

Results: In total, twenty-seven papers were included (twelve addressing pregnant women and fifteen addressing non-pregnant women). Overall, most of the studies reported no association between overweight/obesity and Hb concentration. However, a positive association was reported more frequently in pregnant women. The association between overweight/obesity and serum ferritin concentrations was mixed. Most of the studies on non-pregnant women reported a positive association. Only a few studies measured hepcidin and inflammatory markers, and the majority revealed an increased level among overweight/obese WRA. Among pregnant women, overweight/obesity was positively associated with anaemia and IDA but negatively associated with iron deficiency (ID). Meanwhile, overweight/ obese non-pregnant women were positively associated with anaemia, ID and IDA. Conclusions: Overweight/obesity was associated with a decreased prevalence of anaemia and IDA but an increased prevalence of ID, while its association with several Fe markers was inconclusive. Further studies integrating the assessment of various Fe markers, inflammatory markers and hepcidin are needed.

Keywords Anaemia Hepcidin Iron status Obesity Women of reproductive age

Overweight/obesity (OWT/OB) and anaemia have globally emerged as major nutritional problems in low- and middle-income countries. The prevalence of overweight/ obesity is 34 %, which has doubled in the past three decades to 1·5 billion overweight/obese adults worldwide. The prevalence of overweight/obesity is higher in women than men (1) . An alarming trend has also been observed in the Southeast Asia region, where overweight/obesity escalated from 18.9% in 2006 to 26.6% in 2016^{[\(2](#page-13-0)–[4](#page-14-0))}. Simultaneously, the latest data in 2019 reported that anaemia appears to afflict half a billion women of reproductive age (WRA, 15–49 years), affecting 32 million pregnant women and 539 million non-pregnant women. Southeast Asia is one of the most affected regions, with an estimated 244 million women being affected^{([5](#page-14-0))}. Anaemia in WRA causes fatigue and lower productivity, and more

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

^{*}Corresponding author: Email qonita.rachmah@griffithuni.edu.au

In most low- and middle-income countries, more than half of anaemia cases are iron deficiency anaemia (IDA), which is a consequence of iron deficiency $(ID)^{(12,13)}$ $(ID)^{(12,13)}$ $(ID)^{(12,13)}$. Additionally, the double burden of malnutrition in terms of the co-existence of overweight/obesity and anaemia is a significant public health problem in low- and middleincome countries^{$(14,15)$ $(14,15)$}. In susceptible population groups such as women, overweight/obesity and IDA may have a more negative impact on health than each of these disorders alone^{(16)}. A study conducted with nationally representative data from fifty-two low- and middle-income countries in 2022 reported a prevalence of concurrent overweight/obesity and anaemia among 12.4% of WRA^{([17](#page-14-0))} Studies have reported that ID may be precipitated by overweight/obesity in non-pregnant WRA^{([18](#page-14-0))} as well as pregnant ones^{(19) (19) (19)}. This may be attributed to adiposityinduced low-grade inflammation due to cytokine production, which increases hepcidin synthesis and leads to a lower Fe absorption rate and lower Fe bioavailability^{([20](#page-14-0)–[22](#page-14-0))}. Thus, overweight/obese individuals are more likely to have IDA compared to individuals with normal weight. However, current literature has reported contrasting results; some studies revealed that OWT/OB was associated with ID, lower serum ferritin and lower serum Fe^{[\(23](#page-14-0)–[25](#page-14-0))}, while others did not find any association^{([26](#page-14-0)–[28](#page-14-0))}. Hence, there is a need to explore the existing evidence systematically to identify the association between overweight/obesity and IDA.

Only one systematic review published in 2011 examined the relationship between overweight/obesity and Fe status. It reported a reduced TS% but increased Hb and ferritin concentrations[\(29\)](#page-14-0) in overweight/obese individuals, which is contrary to the existing evidence regarding overweight/ obesity and IDA relationship^{([30](#page-14-0))}. However, the review included studies involving a wide range of population groups, including WRA, postmenopausal women, male and bariatric surgery patients. It is well known that the physiological differences between various population groups can influence the Fe biomarker levels and, thus, the reported association. Further, they failed to adjust serum ferritin concentration for inflammation and explain the hepcidin-induced inflammation among obese individuals due to a few studies that included hepcidin and inflammation markers. Filling these gaps is crucial for future studies in concluding the association between overweight/obesity and IDA, which will allow early prevention of IDA in this population. Thus, the present systematic review examined

the current evidence on how overweight/obesity impacts Fe status among the specific population group of WRA.

Methods

Study selection

Studies that reported an association between overweight/ obesity and Fe status or anaemia in pregnant and/or nonpregnant WRA were included in this systematic review. With the inclusion criteria applied, this systematic review only included studies involving pregnant women and/or non-pregnant WRA (18–50 years), reporting at least one Fe status marker (Hb, serum Fe, serum ferritin, transferrin saturation (TS%) and soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR)), with or without hepcidin or inflammation marker (C-reactive protein (CRP), alpha(1)-acid glycoprotein (AGP), IL-6 and leptin), using BMI as the criteria to define overweight/obesity, and being published in English with available full texts. In detail, BMI cut-offs are used to classify nutritional status, which is defined using WHO classification, either global or Asian classification, depending on the study population. Anaemia was defined using WHO cut-offs for pregnant (Hb <110 g/l) and nonpregnant women (Hb <120 $g/D^{(12)}$ $g/D^{(12)}$ $g/D^{(12)}$. Furthermore, we refrained from predefining any specific cut-off points for ID due to variations observed in different studies.

However, this systematic review did not include studies involving obese patients who had undergone bariatric surgery and participants with co-morbidities (diabetes, metabolic syndrome, hypertension and liver diseases), non-original articles (literature/systematic reviews, metaanalyses, comments, short communications and editorial letters), being unpublished and being accessible for abstract only.

Search strategy

A literature search was performed in the following electronic databases: SCOPUS (Griffith University Library; [https://www-scopus-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/\)](https://www-scopus-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/), Medline (Ovid; [https://ovidsp-dc1-ovid-com.libraryproxy.](https://ovidsp-dc1-ovid-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/) [griffith.edu.au/](https://ovidsp-dc1-ovid-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/)), CINAHL (EBSCO host; [https://web-p](https://web-p-ebscohost-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/ehost/search)[ebscohost-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/ehost/search\)](https://web-p-ebscohost-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/ehost/search), Embase [\(https://www-embase-com.libraryproxy.griffith.](https://www-embase-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/search/) [edu.au/search/](https://www-embase-com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/search/)) and Web of Science ([https://www.](https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/basic-search) [webofscience.com/wos/woscc/basic-search\)](https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/basic-search). The following search terms used were (anaemia OR anaemia OR irondeficient* OR iron status OR nutritional biomarker) and (obes* OR overweight OR BMI OR nutritional status), and (women OR women of reproductive age OR women in reproductive age OR female OR pregnant women) (see online supplementary material, Supplementary [1\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794). To identify potential publications, we restricted our search

Fig. 1 PRISMA diagram of the literature search process. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; WRA, women of reproductive age.

to articles written in English, but there was no restriction on the research type. Figure 1 shows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) diagram of the literature search (31) .

Data extraction

The next step was title/abstract screening, where we included papers meeting the eligibility criteria. This was followed by full-text screening by two independent researchers (QR and PM). Any discrepancies arising at this final screening stage were resolved through consensus building. The researchers engaged in thorough discussions to identify the root causes of discrepancies, allowed for exploring different perspectives and facilitated the alignment of interpretations. In cases where consensus could not be reached immediately, a third reviewer (FA) with expertise in the field was consulted to provide additional insights and facilitate resolution.

Data extracted from each study consisted of journal identity (title, first author, year and country of study), study methodology (study design, sample size and calculation, exposure and outcome measurements, and statistical analysis), participant characteristics (age, pregnancy status, BMI and other overweight/obesity diagnoses), and means and standard deviations (or medians and interquartile ranges) of haematological markers (Hb, MCV, MCH, MCHC and erythrocytes count), Fe markers (serum ferritin, serum Fe, serum hepcidin, TS%, sTfR and total iron-binding capacity) or inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6, leptin and AGP) if available. In comparison to cross-sectional studies, we only extracted baseline data from the longitudinal cohort and interventional studies.

Quality assessment of the studies

A critical appraisal of the quality of the selected research papers was performed using the Joanna Briggs Institute's (JBI) critical appraisal tools for quantitative research^{([32](#page-14-0))} and an additional quality checklist for epidemiological studies previously used in another systematic review^{[\(29](#page-14-0))}. Considering the variation of study design of included studies in this systematic review, JBI was appropriate to use as it covers the assessment of studies with a wide range of

study designs and is considerably the newest validated tool for quality assessment^{(33)}. The following screening questions used to check for quality: were was the study population sufficiently described?; were the sampling frame and technique sufficiently described?; how was the sample size determined?; were the participants' inclusion and exclusion criteria appropriate?; were exposures and outcomes (anthropometric and haematological) measured using appropriate methods?; were important confounders accounted for by exclusion, data separation or statistical adjustment?; was the statistical analysis sufficiently described? For the case–control studies, an additional criterion 'Were case and control groups correctly defined?' was employed. Each paper was assigned one score if it met the criteria described above. The maximum score based on the quality assessment was 6. See online supplementary material, Supplementary Tables [1](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794) (for pregnant women) and 2 (for non-pregnant women) present the final quality assessments.

Results

Studies included in the review

Figure [1](#page-2-0) presents a PRISMA diagram summarising the literature search process. We used a combination of keywords to achieve a more robust search (see online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. [S1](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794)). Our initial search of four electronic databases yielded 14 476 articles involving pregnant and non-pregnant women. After removing duplicates using automation tools (Covidence; [https://covidence.org/\)](https://covidence.org/), 9870 papers remained for title and/or abstract screening. After screening the full texts by applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, twelve original articles addressing pregnant women and fifteen original articles involving non-pregnant women were included in the analysis.

Characteristics of the included studies

Table [1](#page-4-0) shows the characteristics of the studies included in this systematic review. Among studies involving pregnant women, there were two cross-sectional^{$(23,40)$ $(23,40)$}, two case-control^{[\(34,39](#page-14-0))}, seven prospective cohorts^{([21](#page-14-0),[24,35](#page-14-0)-[38,41](#page-14-0))} and one retrospective cohort study^{([22\)](#page-14-0)}. Of the twelve studies, three articles recruited participants in their early pregnancy period (n 3; 25 %)^{[\(21](#page-14-0),[23](#page-14-0),[38\)](#page-14-0)}, four articles examined participants in the second or third trimester^{$(34,36,39,41)$ $(34,36,39,41)$ $(34,36,39,41)$ $(34,36,39,41)$ $(34,36,39,41)$}, four articles examined the participants throughout the pregnancy $(24,35,37,40)$ $(24,35,37,40)$ $(24,35,37,40)$ $(24,35,37,40)$ and one article recruited participants at the onset of IDA at any time point during the pregnancy^{[\(22](#page-14-0))}. Pre-pregnancy BMI was used to measure overweight/ obesity in pregnant women, except in Abbas et al.'s study, which used early-pregnancy $BMI^{(23)}$ $BMI^{(23)}$ $BMI^{(23)}$. Other three studies also reported gestational weight gain^{$(22,36,41)$ $(22,36,41)$ $(22,36,41)$ $(22,36,41)$} as an additional weight indicator during pregnancy. In terms of measured outcomes, each study reported different

combinations of markers. To measure Fe status in pregnant women population, concentrations of ferritin were most frequently reported (*n* 8, $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$ $(57\%)^{(21,23,24,35,36,39-41)}$, followed by Hb $(n\ 6, 50.0\%)^{(23,24,35-38)}$ and serum Fe $(n\ 5,$ 41·7 %)([24](#page-14-0),[34,35,40](#page-14-0),[41](#page-14-0)). Serum transferrin receptor (sTfR) was reported in three studies along with Hb and ferritin^{([35](#page-14-0),[36,41\)](#page-14-0)}. Few studies reported TS% $(n, 3)$ ^{([24](#page-14-0),[34,41\)](#page-14-0)}. In terms of inflammatory markers, CRP level was the most commonly used marker, which was employed in three studies^{$(34-36)$ $(34-36)$ $(34-36)$}, followed by IL-6 in two studies^{$(34,35)$ $(34,35)$ $(34,35)$ $(34,35)$} and leptin in one study^{[\(35\)](#page-14-0)}. Flores-Quijano *et al.* measured IL-6 and leptin apart from CRP levels^{(35) (35)}. Hepcidin was only measured by five out of twelve reviewed studies^{$(24,34,35,39,41)$ $(24,34,35,39,41)$ $(24,34,35,39,41)$ $(24,34,35,39,41)$}. Almost all studies $(n 11, 92\%)$ compared the overweight/obesity group with the non-overweight/obesity group in the analysis^{[\(21](#page-14-0)–[24,34](#page-14-0)–[37,39](#page-14-0)–[41](#page-14-0))}; only one study did not report a non-obese control group and rather categorised the BMI into tertiles^{(38)}. Based on the methodological quality assessment, the overall study quality was moderate. Most studies $(n 10)$ met at least four out of six quality indicators (see online supplementary material, Supplementary Table [1\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794). Scores ranged from four to six, with a mean score of 4·7. One study that did not sufficiently describe the statistical analysis as a quality assessment indicator was excluded from the analysis.

We also found that four studies (33 %) did not consider any confounders, such as maternal characteristics, dietary intake, supplement use or the presence of infectious diseases, in their analysis, which might have affected the reported results^{$(23,34,39,41)$ $(23,34,39,41)$ $(23,34,39,41)$ $(23,34,39,41)$ $(23,34,39,41)$}. In the studies that considered the effect of confounding variables in the statistical analysis, the most frequently considered confounders were maternal age, gestational age and socio-economic sta-tus^{[\(21,22](#page-14-0),[24,35](#page-14-0)–[38,40\)](#page-14-0)}. Tan *et al.*'s study reported the most comprehensive list of identified confounders, including maternal age, race, education, local citizens, area of residence, annual family income, multiple gestations, parity, gestational age at the time of the survey, egg intake per week, meat intake per week, smoking before pregnancy, nausea and/or vomiting during pregnancy, multivitamin supplementation, Ca supplementation and multiple gestational co-morbidities^{(22) (22) (22)}. However, their study failed to include Fe intake, birth spacing, infections and inflammatory disorders. Despite Fe supplementation being a well-known confounder, three of the twelve reviewed studies controlled this variable^{[\(22,24](#page-14-0),[36\)](#page-14-0)}.

In non-pregnant populations, fifteen studies were included^{$(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$ $(18,25-28,30,42-50)$}. The majority of the studies used cross-sectional designs $(n \ 13, \ 86 \cdot 7 \%)^{(18,25,26,28,42,44-51)}$ $(n \ 13, \ 86 \cdot 7 \%)^{(18,25,26,28,42,44-51)}$. Among the remaining two studies, one was an interven-tional study (43) and the other was a cohort study^{([27](#page-14-0))}. In terms of Fe markers, Hb level was the most frequently reported marker used in non-pregnant women $(n \ 12;$ 80 %)^{([18](#page-14-0),[25](#page-14-0)–[28,42](#page-14-0)–[44,](#page-14-0)[46,47](#page-15-0),[50,51\)](#page-15-0)}, followed by serum ferritin (*n* 9, $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$ $(60.0\%)^{(18,25-28,43,44,46,50)}$, TS% $(n\ \ 6,\ \ 40\%)^{(25-27,43,46,51)}$ $(n\ \ 6,\ \ 40\%)^{(25-27,43,46,51)}$ serum/plasma Fe $(n\ 5, \ 33.3\%)^{(25,43,44,46,51)}$ and sTfR

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$

Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies

Table 1 Continued

Q Rachmah

et al.

K

 \circ

Table 1 Continued

Obesity and iron deficiency anaemia

O

 \mathbf{z}

 ∞

Table 1 Continued

Table 1 Continued

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794 Published online by Cambridge University Press <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794>Published online by Cambridge University Press

 \circ

Obesity and iron deficiency anaemia

3

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794>Published online by Cambridge University Press

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794 Published online by Cambridge University Press

AGP, alpha(1)-acid glycoprotein; OWT, overweight; OB, obesity/obese; NW, normal weight; CRP, C-reactive protein; Lp, leptin; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglob concentration; sTfR, soluble transferrin receptor; SF, serum ferritin; TS%, transferrin saturation; TIBC, total iron-binding capacity; IDA, iron deficiency anaemia; HH, household; DHS, Demographic Health Survey; MUAC, mid-NPNL, non-pregnant non-lactating; VLED, very low energy diet; DMPA, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; IUD, intra-uterine device; OCP, oral contraceptive pill.

Public Health Nutrition

Obesity and iron deficiency anaemia 11

 $(n\,4,\,26\cdot7\,\%)^{(18,25,27,50)}$ $(n\,4,\,26\cdot7\,\%)^{(18,25,27,50)}$ $(n\,4,\,26\cdot7\,\%)^{(18,25,27,50)}$ $(n\,4,\,26\cdot7\,\%)^{(18,25,27,50)}$ $(n\,4,\,26\cdot7\,\%)^{(18,25,27,50)}$. For inflammatory markers, most studies used CRP level (*n* 6, 40 %)^{([18,25,26](#page-14-0),[28](#page-14-0),[50,51\)](#page-15-0)}, followed by AGP (*n* 1, 6·7 %)^{[\(50\)](#page-15-0)} and TNF- α (TNF- α) (*n* 1, 6·7 %)^{([27](#page-14-0))}. Hepcidin level was only measured by two out of fifteen studies $(13.3\%)^{(18,25)}$ $(13.3\%)^{(18,25)}$ $(13.3\%)^{(18,25)}$. Among the studies conducted on non-pregnant women, only 73 % of the studies met at least four quality assessment indicators. In addition, 40 % of the studies did not consider the effects of any potential confounders in their statistical analyses, which might have introduced significant bias while concluding the find-ings^{[\(18,41](#page-14-0),[42,44,](#page-14-0)[47](#page-15-0),[48](#page-15-0))}. Studies conducted by Fricker et al ^{[\(46\)](#page-15-0)} and Nainggolan et $al^{(49)}$ $al^{(49)}$ $al^{(49)}$ measured sex, menopausal status, oral contraceptive use, blood donation, Fe treatment/ drugs, amenorrhoea, chronic disease, education level, physical activity, consumption of fruits and vegetables, and the presence of infectious or non-communicable diseases as confounders; however, both failed to account for dietary intake (Fe intake, Fe enhancers and inhibitors) and supplement use. Overall, quality scores ranged from 2 to 6, with a mean score of 4·1. Studies with a quality score of less than 2 usually did not report outcome measurements, statistical analysis or sampling procedures, and those studies were excluded from the analysis (see online supplementary material, Supplementary Table [2](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794)).

Outcomes in pregnant women participants

Summaries of the extracted data for the pregnant women are presented in see online supplementary material, Supplementary Table [3](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794). The prevalence of overweight/ obesity among pregnant women in this review ranged from 10·8 % to 69·8 %[\(21](#page-14-0)–[24](#page-14-0),[36,37,40\)](#page-14-0). In comparison, anaemia prevalence ranged from 12·7 % to 57·7 %([23](#page-14-0),[37\)](#page-14-0). Various Fe markers were reported in the reviewed studies, as previously mentioned. Out of six studies that measured Hb, three reported a significant positive association between overweight/obesity and Hb levels, $(24,36,38)$ $(24,36,38)$ $(24,36,38)$ while the others found no association. Three (out of five) reported a decrease in serum $Fe^{(21,35,40)}$ $Fe^{(21,35,40)}$ $Fe^{(21,35,40)}$ $Fe^{(21,35,40)}$ $Fe^{(21,35,40)}$ among overweight/obese pregnant women, while the other studies demonstrated no association. $(34,41)$ $(34,41)$. One out of three studies showed a decrease in TS%^{([24](#page-14-0))}, while the others (n 2) showed no association^{$(34,41)$}. One study (out of seven) revealed a decrease in serum ferritin^{[\(23\)](#page-14-0)} among overweight/ obese individuals, one showed an increase in serum ferritin^{(24) (24) (24)} and the other five studies did not report any association^{$(21,35,36,39,40)$ $(21,35,36,39,40)$ $(21,35,36,39,40)$}. Among the seven studies that measured serum ferritin, only two measured inflammatory markers[\(35,36](#page-14-0)). However, they did not adjust serum ferritin levels for the presence of inflammation. Lastly, one (out of three) study reported a significant increase in sTfR levels,[\(36\)](#page-14-0) while the other two did not report any difference^{[\(35,41](#page-14-0))}.

Only three studies measured CRP, and all of them reported an increased level in overweight/obese pregnant women^{[\(21,34](#page-14-0),[35](#page-14-0))}. An increase in leptin and IL-6 levels was also found by Flores-Quijano et $al^{(35)}$ $al^{(35)}$ $al^{(35)}$. However, one study reported that there was no difference in IL-6 levels between overweight/obese and normal weight (34) (34) (34) . Moreover, four out of five studies found significantly higher hepcidin in overweight/obese pregnant women compared to normal weight $(24,34,35,41)$ $(24,34,35,41)$ $(24,34,35,41)$, while another one failed to find a significant association^{([39](#page-14-0))}. In pregnant women, overweight/obesity was found to be associated with a significantly higher proportion of ID in two studies^{$(23,24)$ $(23,24)$}. Despite this, out of the five studies that compared the proportion of anaemia between overweight/obesity and normal weight, four reported a lower proportion of anaemia among overweight/obese women^{$(23,24,37,38)$ $(23,24,37,38)$ $(23,24,37,38)$ $(23,24,37,38)$ $(23,24,37,38)$}, while one reported no difference^{(36)}. Among three studies that measured IDA, two found a lower prevalence of IDA among overweight/obese women^{$(22,24)$}, while the other found no association between overweight/obesity and $IDA^{(23)}$ $IDA^{(23)}$ $IDA^{(23)}$.

A retrospective cohort study in China found that underweight women had a higher risk of IDA compared to normal-weight women. Although the risk was lower in women with obesity, the higher rate of gestational weight gain was associated with a higher risk of $IDA⁽²²⁾$ $IDA⁽²²⁾$ $IDA⁽²²⁾$. Abbas et $al^{(23)}$ $al^{(23)}$ $al^{(23)}$ in their study found a relationship between obesity and ID among pregnant women, where obese pregnant women had significantly lower serum ferritin levels $(P=0.010)$, and thus, had a higher proportion of ID $(P = 0.015)$ than their counterparts. Surprisingly, the same study also reported a lower prevalence of anaemia $(P < 0.001)$ in overweight/obese women without any significant difference in Hb concentration. In conclusion, overweight/obesity in pregnant women studies were generally associated with higher levels of Hb, hepcidin and inflammatory markers, and lower serum Fe, but not associated with the serum ferritin, serum TS% and sTfR. This resulted in a lower proportion of anaemia and IDA in overweight/obese pregnant women.

Outcomes in non-pregnant women participants

The extracted data for non-pregnant women are summarised in see online supplementary material, Supplementary Table [4](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794). Prevalence of overweight/obesity ranged from 25·3 % to 70·78 %[\(18,27](#page-14-0),[28,44](#page-14-0)[,45,47](#page-15-0),[48,50,51](#page-15-0)). Anaemia prevalence in non-pregnant women ranged from 10·0 % to $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$ $22.3\,\%^{(25,28,44,48,49)}$. Many studies used Hb as a proxy parameter to measure Fe status, and none of them demonstrated a significant decrease in Hb concentration among overweight/obese non-pregnant women. Instead, three studies reported inverse results, in which Hb levels increased among overweight/obese compared to normalweight non-pregnant women^{$(25,28,46)$ $(25,28,46)$ $(25,28,46)$ $(25,28,46)$}. Seven out of twelve studies that measured Hb did not show any association between Hb and overweight/obesity^{([18,25](#page-14-0)–[27,42](#page-14-0),[47,51\)](#page-15-0)}, three found an increase of Hb among overweight/obese women^{$(28, 44, 46)$ $(28, 44, 46)$ $(28, 44, 46)$} and the rest $(n \ 2)$ did not test the association([48,50\)](#page-15-0). Out of nine studies that measured ferritin[\(18,25](#page-14-0)–[28](#page-14-0),[43,44](#page-14-0)[,46](#page-15-0),[50](#page-15-0)), six studies revealed a positive association between overweight/obesity and serum ferritin levels([18](#page-14-0),[25,28,43](#page-14-0),[44](#page-14-0)[,46\)](#page-15-0). Among those six studies, three did not report any inflammatory marker $(43,44,46)$ $(43,44,46)$ $(43,44,46)$ $(43,44,46)$, while others that measured inflammatory markers did not adjust ferritin for the presence of inflammation^{$(18,25,28)$ $(18,25,28)$ $(18,25,28)$ $(18,25,28)$ $(18,25,28)$}. Only one study adjusted ferritin levels for inflammation status, which reported lower ferritin was associated with central adiposity as indicated by waist circumference $(OR = 0.59)$ $(0.38-0.91)$ but not with BMI^{([50](#page-15-0))}.

A few other studies have used serum Fe $(n 5)$, TS% $(n\ 6)$ and sTfR $(n\ 4)$ to measure Fe status. One study reported a decrease in serum Fe concentration in overweight/obese subjects^{(25)}, while two reported the opposite^{$(43,51)$ $(43,51)$ $(43,51)$} and two did not find any association^{$(44,46)$ $(44,46)$ $(44,46)$}. Higher serum TS% in overweight/obese non-pregnant women was only seen in one study^{([43](#page-14-0))} out of six, whereas two reported lower serum TS%^{([25,26\)](#page-14-0)}. The rest of the studies (*n* 3) did not find any difference^{$(27,46,51)$ $(27,46,51)$ $(27,46,51)$ $(27,46,51)$ $(27,46,51)$}. Of the four studies that measured sTfR, one found an increase in sTfR among overweight/obese women (18) , two showed no association^{$(25,27)$ $(25,27)$} and one did not compare sTfR between the groups^{([50](#page-15-0))}. Herter *et al.* in their study reported a higher hepcidin level in overweight/obese non-pregnant women^{[\(18\)](#page-14-0)}, while the other study reported no associa-tion^{[\(25\)](#page-14-0)}. Higher CRP was reported among overweight/ obese subjects in all six studies([18,25,26,28](#page-14-0),[50,51\)](#page-15-0). Six out of seven studies assessing anaemia reported a lower proportion of anaemia among obese women^{$(28,44,45,47-49)$ $(28,44,45,47-49)$ $(28,44,45,47-49)$ $(28,44,45,47-49)$ $(28,44,45,47-49)$ $(28,44,45,47-49)$}, while the other reported no difference in the anaemia proportion^{(50)}. ID was measured in four studies, two of which reported a lower prevalence of ID among overweight/ obese women^{$(27,44)$ $(27,44)$}, one of which reported a higher prevalence^{[\(51\)](#page-15-0)} and one of which found no association^{[\(28](#page-14-0))}. IDA was reported in only one study in which a lower prevalence of IDA was found among obese women (44) .

In a study among non-pregnant Cuban, anaemia was associated with ID (OR: 3·02 (1·82–5·03)) and erythropoietic deficiency (sTfr >8·3 μg/ml) (OR: 5·62 (3·03–10·39)), but not with overweight (OR:0·80 (0·57–1·12)), central adiposity (OR:0·80 (0·57–1·12)) and inflammation (OR: 1.00 $(0.65-1.54)$ ^{(50)}. The study also reported that overweight/obesity was correlated with elevated CRP levels (OR: 3·06 (1·89–4·94)) rather than with AGP levels (OR: 1·80 $(1.05-3.08)$ ^{(50) (50)}. In conclusion, studies among nonpregnant women revealed that overweight/obesity was generally associated with higher ferritin and serum Fe levels but not with Hb, sTfR, TS% and total iron-binding capacity concentrations. An increased level of CRP, AGP and hepcidin among obese non-pregnant women were also reported in most of the studies. Overweight/obese non-pregnant women also had a lower prevalence of anaemia and IDA, but the association with ID was mixed.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this systematic review is the first study addressing literature reporting the association of overweight/obesity with Fe status and anaemia in WRA, including pregnant women. Overall, the majority of the studies (pregnant and non-pregnant women) have demonstrated a significant inverse association between overweight/obesity and the prevalence of anaemia and IDA. On the other hand, there was a higher prevalence of ID among overweight/obese women. When looking at the individual Fe biomarkers to assess Fe status in the included studies, overweight/obesity was positively associated with the concentrations of serum ferritin, hepcidin and other inflammation markers. Still, it was negatively associated with serum Fe. Overall, no association was found between sTfR, TS% and Hb in WRA.

Although serum ferritin is the most reliable and commonly used biomarker for ID at the population $level⁽⁵²⁾$ $level⁽⁵²⁾$ $level⁽⁵²⁾$, it is also an acute-phase protein that increases (irrespective of Fe status) in the presence of subclinical infection or inflammation, including chronic inflammation caused by excessive body fat. Of note, earlier studies have demonstrated a correlation between increased serum ferritin and CRP levels, a metric for measuring systemic inflammation, particularly during the start of an infection or inflammatory response^{$(18,53,54)$ $(18,53,54)$ $(18,53,54)$ $(18,53,54)$}. Thus, higher serum ferritin among overweight/obese women, reported in this review, does not necessarily reflect an actual increase in Fe storage but could be the result of low-grade, chronic inflammation associated with overweight/obesity^{[\(29](#page-14-0),[55](#page-15-0),[56\)](#page-15-0)}. To assess Fe status using serum ferritin levels, adjustment for subclinical infection/inflammation is required using inflammatory markers such as CRP and $AGP^{(57,58)}$ $AGP^{(57,58)}$ $AGP^{(57,58)}$. Although nine of twenty-seven primary studies measured the CRP levels, only one study adjusted the serum ferritin concentration for high CRP levels^{(50) (50)}. This study revealed that ID was not associated with being overweight but associated with central obesity as indicated by waist circumference^{[\(50\)](#page-15-0)}. Adiposity was associated with inflammation as indicated by increased CRP and $AGP^{(50)}$ $AGP^{(50)}$ $AGP^{(50)}$. Hence, future studies exploring the association between overweight/obesity and Fe status should consider assessing Fe biomarkers along with inflammatory markers to adjust for subclinical infection or inflammation while assessing Fe status.

sTfR is an Fe-binding protein crucial for transporting Fe to the target tissues^{(59)}. Thus, an increase in sTfR reflects insufficient Fe stores and indicates Fe-deficient erythropoiesis. Among six studies that examined the association between overweight/obesity and $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$ $STfR^{(18,25,27,35,36,41)}$, two reported a significant increase in sTfR among overweight/ obese pregnant and non-pregnant women^{$(18,36)$ $(18,36)$}. It is noteworthy that, unlike serum ferritin, sTfR remains unaffected by inflammation and thus remains a more reliable marker of Fe storage if inflammation markers are not measured^{(52) (52)}. Therefore, the studies that found

Obesity and iron deficiency anaemia 13

overweight/obesity is associated with lower Fe storage (higher sTfR) support the known pathway of overweight/ obesity and IDA. However, the majority of the studies found no association between overweight/obesity and sTfR levels. Karl et al. explained that a certain critical level of body fat in overweight/obese individuals may contribute to an increase in sTfR and support the overweight/obese– IDA relationship $^{(27)}$ $^{(27)}$ $^{(27)}$.

Decreased serum Fe was observed among overweight/ obese pregnant and non-pregnant women in four studies^{$(21,25,35,40)$ $(21,25,35,40)$ $(21,25,35,40)$ $(21,25,35,40)$ $(21,25,35,40)$} implying less Fe accessible^{(35) (35) (35)}. Moreover, decreased serum Fe could be explained by the increased inflammation among the overweight/obese population. When inflammatory cytokines are released, Fe is more readily taken up and retained by reticuloendothelial system cells, preventing it from being transported to the bone marrow for erythropoiesis^{[\(60\)](#page-15-0)}. Alternatively, decreased serum Fe could also be explained by haemodilution in overweight/obese women, which can occur due to an increase in total blood volume $^{(61)}$ $^{(61)}$ $^{(61)}$. During transport, Fe is bound to transferrin, and the level of transferrin bound to Fe (or TS%) can be considered as an indicator of circulating $Fe⁽⁶²⁾$ $Fe⁽⁶²⁾$ $Fe⁽⁶²⁾$. During the second and third stages of ID, TS% decreased along with serum Fe and ferritin. Overall, only a few studies reported a lower TS% among overweight/obese WRA $(24-26)$ $(24-26)$ $(24-26)$. The pathway responsible for the decrease in TS% among overweight/obese might be attributable to impaired Fe absorption, which is consistent with persistently present low-grade inflammation and hepcidin elevation among overweight/obese individuals([18](#page-14-0)[,63\)](#page-15-0).

Hepcidin is one of the most significant markers of Fe status, which plays a role in Fe metabolism (64) . Hepcidin controls the amount of Fe in the blood by binding to ferroprotein, which causes ferroprotein to be internalised and degraded and prevents cellular Fe transfer into plasma([65](#page-15-0)). Ferroprotein is an Fe exporter on the surface of absorptive intestinal enterocytes, hepatocytes, macrophages and placental cells, all of which release Fe into the plasma([65](#page-15-0)). Hepcidin also delays the release of recycled Fe from macrophages to the periphery and the mobilisation of Fe from the liver or spleen reserves^{$(66,67)$ $(66,67)$}. During the second and third stages of ID, hepcidin release is decreased as a feedback mechanism, especially during erythropoiesis, to ensure Fe availability for Hb synthesis^{(64) (64) (64)}. This is also observed during pregnancy to meet the increased Fe requirements of the mother and the fetus irrespective of overweight/obesity([65](#page-15-0)). In addition, hepcidin is also produced in small amounts by adipose tissue and is higher in overweight/obese individuals^{[\(68\)](#page-15-0)}, which could also be attributed to inflammation related to overweight/obesity through the JAK/STAT pathway^{([30](#page-14-0))}. This phenomenon was also seen in all the included studies $(n 4)$ that measured hepcidin as they showed an association between overweight/obesity and hepcidin^{$(18,24,34,35)$ $(18,24,34,35)$ $(18,24,34,35)$ $(18,24,34,35)$ $(18,24,34,35)$}. This means overweight/obesity and hepcidin contribute to the mechanism during the Fe-deficient stage. Supporting this finding, a study in Taiwanese pregnant women proved that hepcidin levels were significantly higher in obese pregnant women until third trimester regardless of Fe status^{(24) (24) (24)}. Thus, the result of this review emphasises the need for hepcidin measurement in overweight/obese and IDA association.

An association between overweight/obesity and IDA is hypothetically attributed to adiposity-induced low-grade inflammation due to cytokine production^{(20)}. Elevated inflammatory cytokines such as CRP, AGP and IL-6 levels have also been reported among pregnant women with overweight/obesity compared to their counterparts([34](#page-14-0)–[36](#page-14-0)), as well as in overweight/obese non-pregnant women[\(18,25](#page-14-0),[26,28,](#page-14-0)[50,51](#page-15-0)). Acute-phase proteins such as CRP and AGP increase during infection or inflammation. They are often used collectively with markers of Fe status, such as serum ferritin, to help interpret the results^{(59)}. CRP was the most frequently reported inflammation marker in the reviewed papers and levels aligned with obesity-related inflammation. Additionally, a relationship between CRP and ferritin in overweight/obese women found in one of the included studies (18) (18) (18) , as well as the association between IL-6 and ferritin^{[\(35\)](#page-14-0)}, strengthen the proposed pathway, which explicitly explains the role of adiposity in the relationship between inflammation and increased ferritin due to adiposity^{[\(29\)](#page-14-0)}.

Hb level is the key indicator to define anaemia, which occurs when Hb concentration is less than a specified cutoff point based on gender, age, physiological status, smoking habits and altitude^{(12) (12)}. Based on the known pathway of overweight/obese-related IDA, the Hb level should be decreased due to inhibited Fe absorption^{$(20,67)$ $(20,67)$ $(20,67)$}. Several studies used Hb as a proxy indicator to define IDA. However, the majority of the studies failed to find an association between overweight/obesity and Hb levels; although in pregnant women, most of the studies reported a higher Hb among overweight/obese individuals $(24,36,38)$ $(24,36,38)$ $(24,36,38)$. None of the studies that reported increased Hb explained their findings. In a separate report, it was explained that obese people were more likely to have co-morbid conditions such as persistent tissue hypoxia because of sleep apnoea causing polycythaemia and increasing $Hb^{(29)}$ $Hb^{(29)}$ $Hb^{(29)}$. Moreover, higher Hb may also be mediated by higher Fe intake in overweight/obese women (69) . Unfortunately, this systematic review failed to confirm the assumption since none of our included studies measured Fe intake among WRA. Menzie et al., in their research, reported higher heme-iron and animal protein intake but lower vitamin C and Ca intake among obese adults than non-obese counterparts[\(70\)](#page-15-0). However, dietary differences were not associated with the inverse association between obesity and low serum Fe.

Even though most of the included studies measured Hb or ferritin as Fe status markers, very few categorised these variables into anaemia, ID or IDA and compared overweight/obesity and normal weight WRA. Overall, most of

the studies that compared these parameters reported a lower prevalence of anaemia and IDA in overweight/obese WRA. On the other hand, ID was higher among overweight/obese WRA in most of the studies, including studies in pregnant women. The differences in results between different studies could be due to using different markers to define ID, such as unadjusted ferritin^{$(23,27,44)$ $(23,27,44)$ $(23,27,44)$ $(23,27,44)$}, TS%^{$(24,27,44,51)$ $(24,27,44,51)$ $(24,27,44,51)$ $(24,27,44,51)$ $(24,27,44,51)$ $(24,27,44,51)$}, serum $Fe^{(51)}$ $Fe^{(51)}$ $Fe^{(51)}$, total iron-binding capacity^{[\(51\)](#page-15-0)}, Red Cell Distribution Width $(RDW)^{(27)}$ $(RDW)^{(27)}$ $(RDW)^{(27)}$ or a combination of these. Additionally, confounding factors such as age, education, menstrual loss and dietary intake, as well as parity and gestational age^{(71) (71) (71)} in pregnant women, were not considered in many included studies. Moreover, the small sample sizes may have contributed to these inconclusive results. The degree to which overweight/obesity-related inflammation alters Fe metabolism is yet unknown; thereby, it is challenging to come to a uniform conclusion regarding the association between overweight/obesity and IDA in WRA. Measuring inflammatory markers with hepcidin and Fe status markers is crucial to understanding the relationship between overweight/obesity and IDA. However, only a few studies (four out of twenty-seven) simultaneously measured Fe status, hepcidin and inflammation markers, limiting our ability to discuss the results and draw conclusions.

Despite some limitations in this review, including a limited measure of confounding factors, dietary intake assessment and a limited number of studies that adjust ferritin for inflammation, this review has several strengths. This review included the broader use of Fe status markers, including hepcidin and various inflammation markers (CRP, AGP and IL-6), which were not addressed much in the previous systematic review. Moreover, this review also explored the association in a specific population group, such as WRA aged 18–50 years, which added to the body of knowledge in the field.

Conclusion

Despite the limitations of the selected articles in reporting Fe status markers altogether with hepcidin and inflammatory markers to substantiate the relation between overweight/obesity and IDA, this review demonstrates that overweight/obese WRA tend to exhibit a lower prevalence of anaemia and IDA but a higher prevalence of ID. We also demonstrated overweight/obese WRA had higher hepcidin and inflammatory markers, but there was no significant overall association between Hb, sTfR and TS% with overweight/obesity. Nevertheless, this systematic review captures the lack of well-designed studies to explain the association between overweight/obesity and IDA among WRA, including relatively few longitudinal studies. Our review underscores the urgent need for further studies to be carried out more comprehensively, considering a set of Fe markers, hepcidin and inflammation markers, as well as potential confounders, particularly dietary Fe intake or Fe supplementation, that could highly influence the association between overweight/obesity and IDA.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Pusat Layanan Pembiayaan Pendidikan (PUSLAPDIK) Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology, Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) Ministry of Finance, Indonesia, and Universitas Airlangga for funding this study. The authors also thank Heather Monro-Allison of the Griffith University Library for her assistance in the literature search.

Financial support

This study is supported by Pusat Layanan Pembiayaan Pendidikan (PUSLAPDIK) Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology and Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) Ministry of Finance, Indonesia, and Universitas Airlangga.

Conflict of interest

None.

Authorship

Q.R., F.A. and H.P. contributed to conceptualising the project. Q.R. and P.M. performed article screening, data extraction and interpretation. Q.R. wrote the drafts of the document. P.M., F.A. and H.P. revised the document. All authors contributed to the final approval of the version to be published.

Ethics of human subject participation

Not applicable.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980024001794>

References

- 1. Seidell JC & Halberstadt J (2015) The global burden of obesity and the challenges of prevention. Ann Nutr Metab 66, 7–12.
- Tham KW, Abdul Ghani R, Cua SC et al. (2023) Obesity in South and Southeast Asia—a new consensus on care and management. Obes Rev 24, e13520.
- 3. Chooi YC, Ding C & Magkos F (2019) The epidemiology of obesity. Metab 92, 6–10.
- WHO (2017) Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity Among Adults. Geneva: WHO.
- 5. WHO (2019) Global health metrics. Anaemia–level 1 impairment. Lancet 393, R2.
- 6. Mawani M, Ali SA, Bano G et al. (2016) Iron deficiency anemia among women of reproductive age, an important public health problem: situation analysis. Reprod Syst Sex Disord 5, 1.
- 7. Nguyen PH, Gonzalez-Casanova I, Nguyen H et al. (2015) Multicausal etiology of anemia among women of reproductive age in Vietnam. Eur J Clin Nutr 69, 107–113.
- 8. Viteri FE (1994) The consequences of iron deficiency and anaemia in pregnancy on maternal health, the foetus and the infant. SCN News 11, 14–18.
- 9. Abu-Ouf NM & Jan MM (2015) The impact of maternal iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia on child's health. Saudi Med J 36, 146.
- 10. Zimmermann MB & Hurrell RF (2007) Nutritional iron deficiency. Lancet 370, 511–520.
- 11. García OP, Long KZ & Rosado JL (2009) Impact of micronutrient deficiencies on obesity. Nutr Rev 67, 559–572.
- 12. WHO (2023) Anaemia. Geneva: WHO.
13. Turawa E. Awotiwon O. Dhansay Turawa E, Awotiwon O, Dhansay MA et al. (2021) Prevalence of anaemia, iron deficiency, and iron deficiency anaemia in women of reproductive age and children under 5 years of age in south africa (1997–2021): a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18, 12799.
- 14. Wells JC, Sawaya AL, Wibaek R et al. (2020) The double burden of malnutrition: aetiological pathways and consequences for health. *Lancet* 395, 75–88.
- 15. Popkin BM, Corvalan C & Grummer-Strawn LM (2020) Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. Lancet 395, 65–74.
- 16. Irache A, Anjorin SS, Caleyachetty R et al. (2023) Trends in the intraindividual double burden of overweight/obesity and anemia among adult women living in 33 low-and middleincome countries: a secondary analysis of demographic and health surveys from 2000 to 2019. *J Nutr* **153**, 1111–1121.
- 17. Irache A, Gill P & Caleyachetty R (2022) The co-occurrence of overweight/obesity and anaemia among adult women, adolescent girls and children living in fifty-two low-and middle-income countries. Public Health Nutr 25, 1595–1606.
- Herter-Aeberli I, Thankachan P, Bose B et al. (2016) Increased risk of iron deficiency and reduced iron absorption but no difference in zinc, vitamin A or B-vitamin status in obese women in India. Eur J Nutr 55, 2411–2421.
- 19. Ahmed F (2022) Micronutrients and pregnancy. Nutrients 14, 585.
- 20. Wawer AA, Hodyl NA, Fairweather-Tait S et al. (2021) Are pregnant women who are living with overweight or obesity at greater risk of developing iron deficiency/anaemia? Nutrients 13, 1572.
- 21. Scholing JM, Olthof MR, Jonker FA et al. (2018) Association between pre-pregnancy weight status and maternal micronutrient status in early pregnancy. Public Health Nutr 21, 2046–2055.
- 22. Tan J, Qi Y-N, He G-L et al. (2018) Association between maternal weight indicators and iron deficiency anemia during pregnancy: a cohort study. Chin Med J 131, 2566– 2574.
- 23. Abbas W, Adam I, Rayis DA et al. (2017) A higher rate of iron deficiency in obese pregnant sudanese women. Open Access Maced J Med Sci 5, 285-289.
- 24. Mayasari NR, Hu T-Y, Chao JC et al. (2021) Associations of the pre-pregnancy weight status with anaemia and the erythropoiesis-related micronutrient status. Public Health Nutr 24, 6247–6257.
- 25. Cheng HL, Bryant CE, Rooney KB et al. (2013) Iron, hepcidin and inflammatory status of young healthy overweight and obese women in Australia. PLoS One 8, e68675.
- 26. Jordaan E, Van den Berg V, Van Rooyen F et al. (2020) Obesity is associated with anaemia and iron deficiency indicators among women in the rural free State, South Africa. S Afr J Clin Nutr 33, 72-78.
- 27. Karl JP, Lieberman HR, Cable SJ et al. (2009) Poor iron status is not associated with overweight or overfat in non-obese pre-menopausal women. *J Am Coll Nutr* 28, 37-42.
- 28. Kordas K, Centeno ZYF, Pachón H et al. (2013) Being overweight or obese is associated with lower prevalence of anemia among Colombian women of reproductive age. J Nutr 143, 175–181.
- 29. Cheng H, Bryant C, Cook R et al. (2012) The relationship between obesity and hypoferraemia in adults: a systematic review. Obes Rev **13**, 150-161.
- 30. Cepeda-Lopez AC, Aeberli I & Zimmermann MB (2010) Does obesity increase risk for iron deficiency? A review of the literature and the potential mechanisms. Int J for Vitam Nutr Res 80, 263.
- 31. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM et al. (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372, n71.
- 32. Barker TH, Stone JC, Sears K et al. (2023) Revising the JBI quantitative critical appraisal tools to improve their applicability: an overview of methods and the development process. JBI Evidence Synth 21, 478–493.
- 33. Ma L-L, Wang Y-Y, Yang Z-H et al. (2020) Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? Mil Med Res 7, 1–11.
- 34. Dao MC, Sen S, Iyer C et al. (2013) Obesity during pregnancy and fetal iron status: is Hepcidin the link? J Perinatol 33 , 177–181.
- 35. Flores-Quijano ME, Vega-Sánchez R, Tolentino-Dolores MC et al. (2019) Obesity is associated with changes in iron nutrition status and its homeostatic regulation in pregnancy. Nutrients 11, 693.
- 36. Jones AD, Zhao G, Jiang Y et al. (2016) Maternal obesity during pregnancy is negatively associated with maternal and neonatal iron status. Eur J Clin Nutr 70, 918–924.
- 37. Liabsuetrakul T & Helminths SS-t Group MHW (2011) Is international or Asian criteria-based body mass index associated with maternal anaemia, low birthweight, and neonatal fron status. *Eur J Chn Nutr* 70, 918–924.
Liabsuetrakul T & Helminths SS-t Group MHW (2011) Is
international or Asian criteria-based body mass index
associated with maternal anaemia, low birthweight, and
preterm study. J Health Popul Nutr 29, 218-228.
- 38. Mocking M, Savitri AI, Uiterwaal CS et al. (2018) Does body mass index early in pregnancy influence the risk of maternal anaemia? An observational study in Indonesian and Ghanaian women. BMC Public Health 18, 1–9.
- 39. Rahma H, Lumbanraja SN & Lubis Z (2018) Hepcidin and feritin levels in obese pregnant women and normal body weight before pregnancy. Indones J Med 3, 22-26.
- Shin D, Lee KW & Song WO (2016) Pre-pregnancy weight status is associated with diet quality and nutritional biomarkers during pregnancy. Nutrients 8, 162.
- 41. Garcia-Valdes L, Campoy C, Hayes H et al. (2015) The impact of maternal obesity on iron status, placental transferrin receptor expression and hepcidin expression in human pregnancy. Int J Obes 39, 571-578.
- Rad HA, Sefidgar SAA, Tamadoni A et al. (2019) Obesity and iron-deficiency anemia in women of reproductive age in northern Iran. *J Educ Health Promot* 8, 115.
- Beard J, Borel M & Peterson FJ (1997) Changes in iron status during weight loss with very-low-energy diets. Am J Clin Nutr 66, 104-110.
- 44. Chang J-S, Chen Y-C, Owaga E et al. (2014) Interactive effects of dietary fat/carbohydrate ratio and body mass index

Public Health Nutrition

on iron deficiency anemia among Taiwanese women. Nutrients 6, 3929–3941.

- 45. Eckhardt CL, Torheim L, Monterrubio E et al. (2008) The overlap of overweight and anaemia among women in three countries undergoing the nutrition transition. Eur J Clin Nutr 62, 238–246.
- 46. Fricker J, Le Moel G & Apfelbaum M (1990) Obesity and iron status in menstruating women. Am J Clin Nutr 52, 863-866.
- 47. Hiremath RN, Kumar M, Huchchannavar R et al. (2023) Obesity and visceral fat: indicators for anemia among household women visiting a health camp on world obesity day. Clin Epidemiol Global Health 20, 101255.
- 48. Hisa K, Haruna M, Hikita N et al. (2019) Prevalence of and factors related to anemia among Japanese adult women: secondary data analysis using health check-up database. Sci Reb 9, 1–8.
- 49. Nainggolan O, Hapsari D, Titaley CR et al. (2022) The relationship of body mass index and mid-upper arm circumference with anemia in non-pregnant women aged 19–49 years in Indonesia: analysis of 2018 basic health research data. Plos One 17, e0264685.
- 50. Pita-Rodríguez GM, Basabe-Tuero B, Díaz-Sánchez ME et al. (2023) Prevalence of anemia and iron deficiency in women of reproductive age in cuba and associated factors. Int J Environ Res Public Health 20, 5110.
- 51. Cepeda-Lopez AC, Osendarp SJ, Melse-Boonstra A et al. (2011) Sharply higher rates of iron deficiency in obese Mexican women and children are predicted by obesityrelated inflammation rather than by differences in dietary iron intake. Am J Clin Nutr 93, 975–983.
- 52. WHO (2007) Assessing the Iron Status of Populations: Report of a Joint World Health Organization/ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Technical Consultation on the Assessment of Iron Status at the Population Level. Geneva: WHO.
- Fitzsimons E & Brock J (2001) The anaemia of chronic disease: remains hard to distinguish from iron deficiency anaemia in some cases. BMJ 322, 811–812.
- Baynes R, Bezwoda W, Bothwell T et al. (1986) The nonimmune inflammatory response: serial changes in plasma iron, iron-binding capacity, lactoferrin, ferritin and C-reactive protein. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 46, 695-704.
- 55. Moisidis-Tesch CM & Shulman LP (2022) Iron deficiency in women's health: new insights into diagnosis and treatment. Adv Ther 39, 2438-2451.
- 56. England J, Ward SM & Down M (1976) Microcytosis, anisocytosis and the red cell indices in iron deficiency. Br J Haematol 34, 589-597.
- 57. Namaste SM, Rohner F, Huang J et al. (2017) Adjusting ferritin concentrations for inflammation: biomarkers

reflecting inflammation and nutritional determinants of anemia (BRINDA) project. Am J Clin Nutr 106, 359S–371S.

- WHO (2020b) WHO Guideline on Use of Ferritin Concentrations to Assess Iron Status in Populations. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 59. Clucas D & Biggs B (2022) Interpretation of biomarkers and diagnosis of nutritional anaemias. In Nutritional Anemia, 2nd ed. [CD Karakochuk, MB Zimmermann, D Moretti and K Kraemer, editors]. FL, USA: Springer.
- 60. Pfeiffer CM & Looker AC (2017) Laboratory methodologies for indicators of iron status: strengths, limitations, and analytical challenges. Am J Clin Nutr 106, 1606S–1614S.
- 61. Cepeda-Lopez AC, Zimmermann MB, Wussler S et al. (2019) Greater blood volume and Hb mass in obese women quantified by the carbon monoxide-rebreathing method affects interpretation of iron biomarkers and iron requirements. *Int J Obes* 43, 999-1008.
- 62. Brugnara C (2019) Laboratory assessment of iron status. In Nutritional Anemia: Scientific Principles, Clinical Practice, and Public Health [RT Means Jr., editor]. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 62. Brugnara C (2019) Laboratory assessment of iron status. In
 *Nutritional Anemia: Scientific Principles, Clinical Practice,

and Public Health* [RT Means Jr., editor]. Cambridge, UK:

Cambridge University Press.

63. Ga
- absorption and iron recycling by macrophages. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 18, 171–182.
- Nemeth E & Ganz T (2023) Hepcidin and iron in health and disease. Ann Rev Med 74, 261–277.
- Nemeth E, Tuttle MS, Powelson J et al. (2004) Hepcidin regulates cellular iron efflux by binding to ferroportin and inducing its internalization. Sci 306, 2090-2093.
- 66. Alshwaiyat NM, Ahmad A, Wan Hassan WMR et al. (2021) Association between obesity and iron deficiency. Exp Ther Med 22, 1–7.
- 67. Tussing-Humphreys L, Pustacioglu C, Nemeth E et al. (2012) Rethinking iron regulation and assessment in iron deficiency, anemia of chronic disease, and obesity: introducing hepcidin. J Academy Nutr Diet 112, 391-400.
- 68. Reichert CO, da Cunha J, Levy D et al. (2017) Hepcidin: homeostasis and diseases related to iron metabolism. Acta Haematol 137, 220-236.
- 69. Qin Y, Melse-Boonstra A, Pan X et al. (2013) Anemia in relation to body mass index and waist circumference among Chinese women. Nutr J 12, 1–3.
- 70. Menzie CM, Yanoff LB, Denkinger BI et al. (2008) Obesityrelated hypoferremia is not explained by differences in reported intake of heme and nonheme iron or intake of dietary factors that can affect iron absorption. J Am Diet Assoc 108, 145–148.
- 71. Karakochuk CD, Zimmermann MB, Moretti D et al. (2021) Nutritional Anemia, 2nd ed. Switzerland: Humana Press.