

Table 1 D₂ dopamine receptor binding indices in striatum, thalamus and temporal cortex, and the ratios of temporal/striatal (temporo-striatal) and thalamic/striatal (thalamo-striatal) binding indices in patients taking traditional and atypical antipsychotics (data from Xiberas *et al*, 2001)

Drug	Binding index (%)			Temporo-striatal index	Thalamo-striatal index
	Striatum	Thalamus	Temporal cortex		
Haloperidol 3 mg	66.6	91.2	88.3	1.33	1.37
Risperidone 6 mg	67	92.2	92.2	1.38	1.38
Amisulpride 1000 mg	61.5	69.9	87.8	1.43	1.14
Olanzapine 20 mg	69.6	91.9	91.8	1.32	1.32
Clozapine 200 mg	45.9	79	90.1	1.96	1.72

blockade in temporal cortex caused by atypical antipsychotics (Pilowsky *et al*, 1997; Bigliani *et al*, 2000).

Looking at the data from Xiberas *et al* (2001), we came to different conclusions. Using equipotent doses of antipsychotics (doses which lead to the same occupation of D₂ receptors in the striatum), no differences in thalamo-striatal and temporo-striatal indices between typical and atypical antipsychotics could be shown (Table 1). We suggest that atypical antipsychotics do not exert special temporal lobe or limbic selectivity. The selectivity depends more on the dose than on the type of antipsychotic (typical *v.* atypical). This is in agreement with Nyberg & Farde (2000) and Geddes *et al* (2000), who argue that non-equipotent doses can partly explain differences between classical and novel antipsychotics.

Bigliani, V., Mulligan, R. S., Acton, P. D., et al (2000) Striatal and temporal cortical D₂/D₃ receptor occupancy by olanzapine and sertindole *in vivo*: a [123I]epidepride single photon emission tomography (SPET) study. *Psychopharmacology*, **150**, 132–140.

Geddes, J., Freemantle, N., Harrison, P., et al (2000) Atypical antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: systematic overview and meta-regression analysis. *BMJ*, **321**, 1371–1376.

Nyberg, S. & Farde, L. (2000) Non-equipotent doses partly explain differences among antipsychotics – implications of PET studies. *Psychopharmacology*, **148**, 22–23.

Pilowsky, L. S., Mulligan, R. S., Acton, P. D., et al (1997) Limbic selectivity of clozapine. *Lancet*, **350**, 490–491.

Xiberas, X., Martinot, J. L., Mallet, L., et al (2001) Extrastriatal and striatal D₂ dopamine receptor blockade with haloperidol or new antipsychotic drugs in patients with schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **179**, 503–508.

M. Kopeček, C. Höschl, T. Hájek Psychiatric Centre Prague, Ústavní 91, 181 03 Praha 8–Bohnice, Czech Republic

Authors' reply: We thank Dr Kopeček *et al* for their interest in our paper (Xiberas *et al*, 2001b). They conclude that atypical antipsychotics do not exert special temporal or limbic selectivity, which depends instead on drug dosages. First, we believe that generalisations drawn from data obtained from five patients, each one treated with a different antipsychotic drug, are not sound, because of intersubject variability. For instance, should Dr Kopeček *et al* have considered plasma drug concentrations and patient H2 of our article, their conclusion would have been modified. In our article, we drew conclusions from the statistical comparisons of [⁷⁶Br]-FLB457 measures obtained with positron emission tomography (PET) in subgroups of patients, receiving the usual dosage recommended by the pharmaceutical firms for each antipsychotic drug, for treating psychotic episodes.

Second, we have already reported the importance of dosage when interpreting neuroimaging measures of regional D₂ dopamine receptor blockade by antipsychotic drugs (Xiberas *et al*, 2001a). Inspection of the table that Kopeček *et al* draw from our article suggests that for a striatal D₂ receptor binding index approaching 65–70%, the atypical antipsychotics induce extrastriatal/striatal indices comparable with that induced by the lowest oral dosage of haloperidol reported. This is consistent with our previous publication (Xiberas *et al*, 2001a) where we specifically highlighted the dose-dependence of extrastriatal/striatal D₂ blockade, from a study in a larger sample of patients treated with an atypical antipsychotic. We demonstrated that plasma concentrations were more accurately related than daily oral doses to the different regional binding profiles determined with PET. Clearly, two

binding profiles could be distinguished depending on the plasma concentration of the drug: low striatal binding associated with marked extrastriatal binding for low plasma concentrations, or marked binding in both striatal and extrastriatal regions for higher plasma concentrations. This may be applicable to both atypical and typical compounds, if very low doses of typical neuroleptics (i.e. below the recommended therapeutic dose range) are considered, but this is a speculation. Therefore, having previously highlighted the effect of dosage (Xiberas *et al*, 2001a), we chose to highlight in our second article (Xiberas *et al*, 2001b) that, at plasma concentrations obtained in actual clinical practice, and compared with haloperidol, various atypical antipsychotic drugs have a regional binding profile that is higher in mesocorticolimbic regions than in striatum.

Declaration of interest

Our team is funded by a donation from the INSERM. Also X.X. was partly funded by grants from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale and the Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique.

Xiberas, X., Martinot, J. L., Mallet, L., et al (2001a) *In vivo* extrastriatal and striatal D₂ dopamine receptor blockade by amisulpride in schizophrenia. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, **21**, 207–214.

—, —, —, et al (2001b) Extrastriatal and striatal D₂ dopamine receptor blockade with haloperidol or new antipsychotic drugs in patients with schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **179**, 503–508.

J. L. Martinot, X. Xiberas, E. Artiges, C. Loc'h, B. Mazière, M. L. Paillère INSERM U334 and Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, Service Hospitalier Frédéric Joliot, 4 Place Gl. Leclerc, 91401 Orsay, France

Measuring amygdala volume

Chance *et al* (2002) described volumetric measurement of the amygdala and found few differences between normal and schizophrenia post-mortem samples. This fails to confirm published magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data on hundreds of individuals which have been systematically reviewed and analysed (Wright *et al*, 2000). Chance *et al* (2002) report mean absolute volumes (643 mm³ for nine men and 612 mm³ for nine women) that are much smaller than those reported in MRI studies. They go on to speculate on the reasons for this discrepancy and point

to 'limitations' in both MRI and meta-analysis. The authors are right to highlight the problem of anatomical definition of the amygdala *in vivo* and how other imaging parameters may obscure (or reveal) laterality effects and differences between subject groups. However, they are wrong to blame meta-analysis. Systematic review and meta-analysis of MRI data is a powerful means of quantifying the precise effects that are the subject of speculation by Chance and colleagues.

We have recently carried out just such a review of the normal human amygdala (Brierley *et al*, 2002). Some 39 studies and 51 data-sets met our inclusion criteria, allowing comparison of 1491 amygdala pairs. The weighted mean volumes (95% CI) for the left and right amygdala were 1726.7 mm³ (35.1) and 1691.7 mm³ (37.2), respectively. The range was from 1050 to 3880 mm³. This variance is clearly worrying. We were able to examine systematically some of the causes of this and found that most imaging parameters, such as slice thickness and plane of orientation, were not particularly influential. Study size had a weak but significant effect, with larger studies tending to give smaller volumes. Anatomical definition was the most important variable. Studies which employed the Watson criteria (Watson *et al*, 1992) gave significantly larger volumes than the remainder. Gender differences persisted (male greater than female) even in studies which attempted to control for intracranial volume. Laterality effects were not significant.

The ease of obtaining high-resolution anatomical brain images afforded by modern MRI on large samples of individuals across the life span means that MRI should be taken as the gold standard on regional volumetrics rather than post-mortem samples with all their attendant deficiencies. However, in order to exploit the advantages of MRI, researchers must pay particular attention to reliability of anatomical definitions. We have proposed that Watson's criteria be adopted generally and have recommended some minor improvements (Brierley *et al*, 2002).

Brierley, B., Shaw, P. & David, A. S. (2002) The human amygdala: a systematic review and meta-analysis of volumetric MRI. *Brain Research Reviews*, in press.

Chance, S. A., Esiri, M. M. & Crow, T. J. (2002) Amygdala volume in schizophrenia: post-mortem study and review of magnetic resonance imaging findings. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **180**, 331–338.

Watson, C., Andermann, F., Gloor, P., et al (1992) Anatomic basis of amygdaloid and hippocampal volume measurement by magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurology*, **42**, 1743–1750.

Wright, I. C., Rabe-Hesketh, S., Woodruff, P. W. R., et al (2000) Meta-analysis of regional brain volumes in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **157**, 16–25.

A. S. David, B. Brierley, P. Shaw Section of Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, DeCrespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK

Authors' reply: We agree with David *et al* that the key problem, which we have highlighted, in *in vivo* MRI studies of the amygdala, is anatomical definition. The ability to define anatomical boundaries at the cellular level means that post-mortem samples set the gold standard for anatomical delineation. Indeed, the generally smaller volumes of the amygdala (uncorrected for tissue shrinkage in Chance *et al*, 2002) reported in post-mortem studies are indicative of more conservative estimates when the precise boundaries can be seen. This is consistent with Brierley *et al*'s (2002) conclusion in their meta-analysis that anatomical definition is the most prominent contributor to variance in MRI volume estimates of the amygdala.

Our criticism is not of meta-analysis *per se*, but of the inclusion of some studies, which owing to low scan resolution use only very approximate anatomical definitions. Particularly problematic in schizophrenia is the use of landmarks, which may be systematically shifted with respect to the boundary of the amygdala, owing to other changes in the temporal lobe. While MRI studies have the obvious advantages of an *in vivo* assessment and larger sample size, post-mortem studies are also important as a check on the possibility of systematic bias which may enter the MRI literature (Walker *et al*, 2002).

We agree with the importance of consensus criteria for anatomical definitions which take full advantage of the improvements in up-to-date MRI visualisation. Our paper concludes with some references to studies attempting to tackle this issue for the amygdala, to which the paper of Brierley *et al* (2002) should be added.

Brierley, B., Shaw, P. & David, A. S. (2002) The human amygdala: a systematic review and meta-analysis of volumetric MRI. *Brain Research Reviews*, in press.

Chance, S. A., Esiri, M. M. & Crow, T. J. (2002) Amygdala volume in schizophrenia: post-mortem study

and review of magnetic resonance imaging findings. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **180**, 331–338.

Walker, M. A., Highley, J. R., Esiri, M. M., et al (2002) Estimated neuronal populations and volumes of the hippocampus and its subfields in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **159**, 821–828.

S. A. Chance, M. M. Esiri, T. J. Crow Schizophrenia Research Group, Gibson Building, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford OX2 6HE, UK

Phenomenology of acute confusional states

I read with great interest the paper by Dr Fleminger (2002) on delirium, and the relevant controversy raised by Dr Philpott regarding to whom should be attributed the first description of hypoactive delirious states (Philpott, 2002). May I suggest that this initial description was made around one century earlier than mentioned by both authors. In fact, as early as 1892 the French alienist Philippe Chaslin borrowed the term of '*confusion mentale primitive*' from a previous description proposed by Delasiauve during the 1850s. He was probably one of the first authors who gathered under a unified entity what was previously described under separate clinical features as psychosis post-influenza, post-acute diseases, post-fever and epilepsy (Chaslin, 1892). He also clearly noticed its similarity with what Lasague had described earlier as *delirium tremens*, in which perceptual disturbances were considered as a dream-like experience (Lasague, 1881). In his later monograph, Chaslin describes the acute confusional state as 'an acute brain disorder, consecutive to an organic significant disease, with cognitive impairment associated with delusions, hallucinations, psychomotor agitation, or reciprocally, with psychomotor retardation and inertia' (Chaslin, 1895). Despite this very early description of what has since been called hyperactive and hypoactive subtypes of delirium, there have been very few attempts to test the validity and the relevance of these subtypes. To our knowledge, at this time only one empirical exploration of what are the constitutive symptoms of each dimension has been proposed (Camus *et al*, 2000). We would like to add, concerning what Fleminger cites as possible psychological consequences of confusional experience, that another French alienist described 'permanent ideations' and 'chronic delusional states' following the post-dream-like confusional experience (Regis, 1911). We agree with