



Journal of American Studies, 57 (2023), 4, 590
© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press in association with the British Association for American Studies
doi:10.1017/S0021875823000312 First published online 14 July 2023

Wages, Work, and the Industrial Past in Three Contemporary Labor Market Narratives – ERRATUM

JEFFREY GONZALEZ

doi.org/10.1017/S0021875823000269, Published online by Cambridge University Press: 29 June 2023

When this article was originally published in the *Journal of American Studies* it contained an unapproved edit in the line:

The temporal timeline summoned by the often used terms “deindustrialization” or “postindustrialization” both marks contemporary life as beyond a point defined by the hegemony of industrial labor, and, however, implicitly posit that manufacturing labor’s decreasing significance nevertheless still matters in the present.

This sentence should read as follows:

The temporal timeline summoned by the oft-used terms “deindustrialization” or “postindustrialization” both mark contemporary life as beyond a point defined by the hegemony of industrial labor, yet implicitly posit that manufacturing labor’s decreasing significance nevertheless still matters in the present.

This was introduced during production and was unintended by the author. The publisher apologises for this error.

Reference

GONZALEZ, J. (2023). Wages, Work, and the Industrial Past in Three Contemporary Labor Market Narratives. *Journal of American Studies*, 1–27. doi:10.1017/S0021875823000269

Department of English, Montclair State University