

# ON $(v, k, \lambda)$ -CONFIGURATIONS WITH $v = 4p^e$

by R. L. McFARLAND

(Received 20 November, 1973)

**1. Introduction.** A  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration, also called a *symmetric balanced incomplete block design*, is an arrangement of  $v$  distinct objects called *points* or *varieties* into  $v$  subsets called *lines* or *blocks* such that each line contains exactly  $k$  points and each pair of distinct lines contains exactly  $\lambda$  points in common. To avoid certain trivial configurations, one assumes that  $0 < \lambda < k < v - 1$ .

In this paper we show that a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration with  $v$  of the form  $4p^e$  where  $p$  is a prime must have the parameters

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (4m^2, 2m^2 - m, m^2 - m), \quad (1)$$

where  $m = \pm p^{\frac{1}{2}e}$ . Hence, in particular,  $e$  must be even. This result was proved for  $p = 2$  by Mann [2, pp. 72–73] or [3, p. 213]. The method of proof can be extended to determine all possible parameter values when  $v$  is of the form  $2^d p^e$  and  $d$  is a small positive integer. We list the possible parameter values for  $v = 2p^e, 8p^e$  and  $16p^e$ .

The ambiguity in the sign of  $m$  in (1) arises from the fact that replacing  $m$  by  $-m$  in (1) yields the parameters of the complementary  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration, that is, the  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration obtained by replacing each line by its complement.

The parameters (1) for arbitrary integral  $m$  are of some interest since the incidence matrix of such a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration yields a Hadamard matrix on replacing each 0 by  $-1$  (see, e.g., Mann [2, p. 71]).

For further general information on  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configurations and Hadamard matrices, see, e.g., Hall [1, Chapters 10 and 14].

**2. Main result.** We shall use the following two well-known facts concerning the parameters of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration (see, e.g., Hall [1, Chapter 10]). First, the parameters are related by

$$k(k-1) = \lambda(v-1). \quad (2)$$

As is customary, set

$$n = k - \lambda. \quad (3)$$

Then (2) can be written as

$$n = k^2 - \lambda v. \quad (4)$$

Second, if  $v$  is even, then  $n$  is a square.

We now show that if the parameters of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration satisfy  $v = 4n$ , then the parameters are of the form (1) for some integer  $m$ , a result first noted by Menon [4, pp. 739–740]. If  $v = 4n$ , then (3) and (4) yield

$$n = k^2 - \lambda v = (n + \lambda)^2 - 4\lambda n = (n - \lambda)^2.$$

Let  $m = n - \lambda$ . Then  $n = m^2$ ,  $\lambda = n - m = m^2 - m$ ,  $k = n + \lambda = 2m^2 - m$  and  $v = 4n = 4m^2$ , as desired. Note that  $m > 0$  if and only if  $k < \frac{1}{2}v$ .

**THEOREM.** *Suppose there exists a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration with  $v$  of the form  $4p^e$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $e$  is a positive integer. Then  $e$  is even and the parameters are of the form*

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (4m^2, 2m^2 - m, m^2 - m),$$

where  $m = \pm p^{\frac{1}{2}e}$ .

*Proof.* Replace the  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration by its complementary configuration if necessary so that

$$k < \frac{1}{2}v. \tag{5}$$

Since  $v = 4p^e$  is even,  $n = k - \lambda$  must be a square, say

$$n = p^{2f}n_1^2,$$

where  $n_1$  is not divisible by  $p$ . First suppose that  $2f \geq e$ . Then (3) and (5) yield

$$p^{2f}n_1^2 = n < k < \frac{1}{2}v = 2p^e. \tag{6}$$

Thus  $1 \leq p^{2f-e}n_1^2 < 2$ , so that  $2f = e$  and  $n_1 = 1$ . Therefore  $v = 4p^{2f} = 4n$  and, as noted above, the parameters (1) result with  $m = p^{\frac{1}{2}e}$ . The complementary parameters with  $k > \frac{1}{2}v$  correspond to  $m = -p^{\frac{1}{2}e}$ .

Now assume that

$$2f < e. \tag{7}$$

We complete the proof by showing that (7) is impossible. Substituting for  $n$  and  $v$  in (4) yields

$$p^{2f}n_1^2 = k^2 - 4p^e\lambda. \tag{8}$$

Hence

$$k = p^f k_1$$

for some integer  $k_1$ . Let

$$\lambda_1 = k_1 - p^f n_1^2. \tag{9}$$

Then

$$\lambda = k - n = p^f k_1 - p^{2f} n_1^2 = p^f \lambda_1.$$

Substituting the above expressions for  $k$  and  $\lambda$  in (8) yields

$$4p^{e-f}\lambda_1 = k_1^2 - n_1^2 = (k_1 + n_1)(k_1 - n_1). \tag{10}$$

Since  $(k_1 + n_1) - (k_1 - n_1) = 2n_1$  and  $p \nmid n_1$ , (10) implies that

$$p^{e-f} \mid k_1 + n_1 \quad \text{or} \quad p^{e-f} \mid k_1 - n_1, \tag{11}$$

even if  $p = 2$ . Now

$$k_1 = k/p^f < \frac{1}{2}v/p^f = 2p^{e-f},$$

$$n_1 = \sqrt{n}/p^f < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}v}/p^f = \sqrt{2}p^{\frac{1}{2}e-f} \leq p^{e-f}. \tag{12}$$

Thus

$$0 < k_1 + n_1 < 3p^{e-f}, \tag{13}$$

$$0 < k_1 - n_1 < 2p^{e-f}. \tag{14}$$

Then (10), (11), (13) and (14) imply that either

$$p^{e-f} = k_1 \pm n_1, \quad 4\lambda_1 = k_1 \mp n_1 \tag{15}$$

or

$$2p^{e-f} = k_1 + n_1, \quad 2\lambda_1 = k_1 - n_1. \tag{16}$$

Suppose that (15) holds. Then eliminating  $k_1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} p^{e-f} \mp n_1 &= k_1 = 4\lambda_1 \pm n_1, \\ p^{e-f} &= 4\lambda_1 \pm 2n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $2 \mid p$ , and so  $p = 2$ . But  $p \nmid n_1$ , so that  $e - f = 1$ . Then (7) implies that  $e = 1$ , so that  $v = 4p^e = 8$ . There are no nontrivial parameter values satisfying (2) with  $v = 8$ . Suppose that (16) holds. First eliminate  $\lambda_1$  using (9) and then eliminate the quantity  $k_1 + n_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 - n_1 &= 2\lambda_1 = 2(k_1 - p^f n_1^2), \\ 2p^f n_1^2 &= k_1 + n_1 = 2p^{e-f}, \\ n_1^2 &= p^{e-2f}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $p \nmid n_1$ , so that  $e = 2f$  in opposition to (7). This completes the proof.

**3. Further results.** As noted previously, Mann [2] or [3] has shown that a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration with  $v$  a power of 2 must have parameters of the form

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (2^{2f+2}, 2^{2f+1} \pm 2^f, 2^{2f} \pm 2^f). \tag{17}$$

G. F. Stahly has pointed out (written communication via a third party) that Mann's proof actually shows (on replacing most occurrences of 2 by  $p$ ) that a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration with  $v$  of the form  $2p^e$ , where  $p$  is a prime, must have  $p = 2$  and hence parameters of the form (17).

The proof of this paper can be extended (straightforward but tedious) to determine all possible parameter values of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration when  $v$  is of the form  $8p^e$  or  $16p^e$ , where  $p$  is a prime. The results are: If  $v$  is of the form  $8p^e$ , then the parameters are of the form (17), or up to complementation the  $(v, k, \lambda)$  parameters are (40, 13, 4) or (56, 11, 2). If  $v$  is of the form  $16p^e$ , then the parameters are of the form (1) with  $m = \pm 2p^{1/2}$  so that  $e$  must be even in this case, or up to complementation the parameters are one of the following.

$v$	$k$	$\lambda$	$n$
112	37	12	25
176	50	14	36
208	46	10	36
400	57	8	49
496	55	6	49
944	369	144	225
976	351	126	225
3888	507	66	441

In proving the theorem we used the inequality  $n < \frac{1}{2}v$  in (6) and (12); in these further calculations it is better to use instead the inequality  $n \leq \frac{1}{4}(v+1)$  at the corresponding steps. This inequality is a consequence of the relation

$$\lambda(2n-1) \leq n^2 - n + \lambda^2 = \lambda(v-2n),$$

in which the inequality is equivalent to  $n - \lambda \leq (n - \lambda)^2$  and the equality follows from (2) and (3).

**Note added in proof.** A proof of G. F. Stahly's result that a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -configuration with  $v$  of the form  $2p^e$ , where  $p$  is a prime, must have  $p = 2$  can be found in J. F. Dillon, *Elementary Hadamard difference sets*, Ph.D. Thesis (University of Maryland, 1974).

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Hall, Jr, *Combinatorial theory* (Waltham, Massachusetts, Blaisdell, 1967).
2. H. B. Mann, *Addition theorems* (New York, Wiley, 1965).
3. H. B. Mann, Difference sets in elementary abelian groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965), 212–219.
4. P. K. Menon, On difference sets whose parameters satisfy a certain relation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 739–745.

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW  
GLASGOW G12 8QW