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#### Abstract

This paper studies conditions on an analytic function that imply it belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, the set of multipliers of the family of functions given by $f(z)=$ $\int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}} d \mu(\zeta)(|z|<1)$ where $\mu$ is a complex Borel measure on the unit circle and $\alpha>0$. There are two main theorems. The first asserts that if $0<\alpha<1$ and $\sup _{|\zeta|=1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(r \zeta)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r<\infty$ then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. The second asserts that if $0<\alpha \leq 1, f \in H^{\infty}$ and $\sup _{t} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-2 f\left(e^{i t}\right)+f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right)\right|}{s^{2-\alpha}} d s<\infty$ then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. The conditions in these theorems are shown to relate to a number of smoothness conditions on the unit circle for a function analytic in the open unit disk and continuous in its closure.


1. Introduction. Let $\Delta=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\Gamma=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|=1\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of complex-valued Borel measures on $\Gamma$, and let $\|\mu\|$ denote the total variation of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. For $\alpha>0$ let $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ denote the set of functions $f$ for which there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}} d \mu(\zeta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<1$. The power function in (1) is the principal branch. $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm defined by $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}=\inf \|\mu\|$, where $\mu$ varies over the subset of $\mathcal{M}$ for which (1) holds.

A function $f$ is called a multiplier of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ provided that $f g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ for every $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ denote the set of multipliers of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ then the map $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ defined by $g \longmapsto f g$ is a bounded linear operator. $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is a Banach space with the natural norm defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}}=\sup \left\{\|f g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}: g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha},\|g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq 1\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in conditions on an analytic function which imply the function belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. The main result in this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and let dA denote two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Iff $\in H^{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}(f) \equiv \sup _{|S|=1} \iint_{\Delta} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)^{\alpha-1}}{|z-\zeta|^{\alpha}} d A(z)<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Received by the editors August 29, 1995.
AMS subject classification: 30E20, 30D50.
(c) Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.
then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. There is a positive constant A depending only on $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \leq A\left(I_{\alpha}(f)+\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all such functions $f$.
Theorem 1 gives a broad sufficient condition for membership in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ when $0<\alpha<1$. It implies a number of other results which primarily deal with radial variations and which relate to Lipschitz and Zygmund types of smoothness on $\Gamma$.

For $\alpha>0$ each function in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ has finite radial variations. In fact there is a constant $A$ depending only on $\alpha$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(r \zeta)\right| d r \leq A\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\zeta|=1$ [4, Theorem 2.6; 7, p. 14]. Since $\sup _{|\zeta|=1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(r \zeta)\right| d r<\infty$ we infer that $f \in H^{\infty}$ and $f(\zeta) \equiv \lim _{r \rightarrow 1-} f(r \zeta)$ exists for all $\zeta \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 2 is stated below and it shows that the boundedness of a certain weighted radial variation of $f$ implies $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. It holds for $0<\alpha<1$ and will be proved as a simple consequence of Theorem 1 .

THEOREM 2. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and suppose that the function $f$ is analytic in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha}(f) \equiv \sup _{|\zeta|=1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(r \zeta)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. There is a positive constant A depending only on $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \leq A\left(J_{\alpha}(f)+\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all such functions $f$.
Since (6) implies that $\sup _{|\zeta|=1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(r \zeta)\right| d r<\infty$, the assumptions of Theorem 2 imply that $f \in H^{\infty}$ and $f(\zeta)$ exists for all $\zeta \in \Gamma$. In fact these assumptions imply that $f$ extends continuously to $\bar{\Delta}$ and on $\Gamma$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $1-\alpha$. This was proved by Richard O'Neil in [6]. The result of O'Neil can be stated in the following way. Let $0<\beta<1$ and let $F:[-\pi, \pi] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a periodic function with period $2 \pi$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $F$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\beta$ is that there is a positive constant $A$ (depending on $F$ ) such that $|u(r, t)-F(t)| \leq A(1-r)^{\beta}$ for $0 \leq r<1$ and $|t| \leq \pi$, where $u(r, t)$ is the harmonic extension of $F$ to $\Delta$. O'Neil's result is applicable because the assumptions in Theorem 2 imply that $\left|f\left(r e^{i t}\right)-f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \leq A(1-r)^{1-\alpha}$ for some constant $A$.

Theorem 2 directly relates to a number of earlier results about $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. Theorem A stated below was proved in [1,3] and Theorem B was proved in [3].

THEOREM A. If $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right|<\infty$ for some $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$.

THEOREM B. Suppose that the functionf is analytic in $\Delta$ and continuous in $\bar{\Delta}$. Iff $\left(e^{i t}\right)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha$ and $0<\alpha<1$ then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$ for $\beta>1-\alpha$.

It is easy to show that the assumptions in Theorem A as well as those in Theorem B imply (6). Thus Theorem 2 also yields Theorem A and Theorem B. In general the applicability of Theorem 2 derives from the fact that (6) relates to a number of other conditions.

Theorem 3, which is stated below, concerns second differences. For each function $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and for each pair of real numbers $t$ and $s$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f ; t, s)=f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-2 f\left(e^{i t}\right)+f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 3. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and suppose that $f \in H^{\infty}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha}(f) \equiv \sup _{t} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|D(f ; t, s)|}{s^{2-\alpha}} d s<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. There is a positive constant A depending only on $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \leq A\left(K_{\alpha}(f)+\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all such functions $f$.
When $0<\alpha<1$ Theorem 3 is proved as a consequence of Theorem 2. When $\alpha=1$ our argument depends on using Toeplitz operators.

We recall some facts about Toeplitz operators. Let $P$ denote the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\Gamma)$ onto $H^{2}$ defined by $P(h)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ where $h(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n} e^{i n t} \in L^{2}(\Gamma)$. For $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ the Toeplitz operator with symbol $\phi$ is the operator on $H^{2}$ defined by $T_{\phi}(g)=P(\phi g)$. The duality between the disk algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ shows that when $T_{\bar{f}}$ is restricted to $\mathcal{A}$ it gives the multiplication operator on $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ described earlier. Hence $f \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ if and only if $T_{\bar{f}}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{A}$. Also we have $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}=\left\|T_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\|T_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{H^{\infty}}$ and $T_{\bar{f}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\bar{f}}(h)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)} h(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Toeplitz operators have been used by authors studying $\mathcal{M}_{1}$, especially in [7].
Theorem 3 extends the following result proved for $\alpha=1$ in [8] and for $0<\alpha<1$ in [3].

THEOREM C. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and assume that $f \in H^{\infty}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|}{|s|^{2-\alpha}} d s<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$.
We thank Fedor Nazarov for his remarks in [5], where a number of detailed comments are made about this paper. Nazarov suggested the present formulation of Theorem 1. He
gave a different proof of this result beginning with the Cauchy-Green formula and he showed alternative ways to deduce a number of results about $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. One of the new facts which he proved is that if $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$ then $I_{\beta}(f)<\infty$ for each $\beta$ such that $\alpha<\beta \leq 1$. Nazarov gives credit to E. M. Dynkin for the main ideas described in [5].
2. Preliminary Lemmas. This section consists of seven lemmas which are used later on. Lemmas 1-4 are easy to prove but we do not include the arguments here. Lemma 5 is in [4] and Lemma 6 is in [3]. Lemma 7 is known and a proof depends on the Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

Lemma 1. If $z=r e^{i t}, 0 \leq r<1$ and $|t| \leq \pi$, then $|1-z| \geq \frac{1}{\pi}|t|$.
Lemma 2. Let $\alpha>1$. There is a positive constant A depending only on $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varphi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\left|1-r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha}} d t \leq \frac{A}{\left|1-r e^{i \varphi}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\varphi<\pi$ and $0 \leq r<1$.
Lemma 2 implies the known estimate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\left|1-r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha}} d t \leq \frac{A}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<1$ and $\alpha>1$, where the constant $A$ depends only on $\alpha$.
Lemma 3. Let $\alpha>1$. There is a positive constant $B$ depending only on $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{\left|1-\rho e^{i \varphi}\right|^{\alpha}} d \rho \leq \frac{B}{\left|1-r e^{i \varphi}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<1$ and $|\varphi| \leq \pi$.
LEMMA 4. Let $\beta>-1$ and let $\gamma \geq \beta+1$. There is a positive constant $C$ depending only on $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\beta, \gamma) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\beta}}{\left|1-r e^{i t}\right|^{\gamma+1}} d r \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\gamma-\beta}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<|t| \leq \pi$.
LEMMA 5. Let $\alpha>0$ and assume that the function $f$ is analytic in $\Delta$. Then $f \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ if and only if $f(z) \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ for $|\zeta|=1$ and there is a constant $A$ such that $\left\|f(z) \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq$ A for $|\zeta|=1$. Moreover, we have $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}}=\sup _{|\zeta|=1}\left\|f(z) \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}$.

LEMMA 6. Let $\alpha>0$ and assume that the function $f$ is analytic in $\Delta$. If

$$
L_{\alpha}(f) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r<\infty
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$. There is a constant A depending only on $\alpha$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq|f(0)|+A L_{\alpha}(f)$ for all such functions $f$.

LEMMA 7. Let $\alpha>0$ and suppose that $f_{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ and $f_{n}(z) \longrightarrow f(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $z$ in $\Delta$. If there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq M$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq M$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. Since $f \in H^{\infty}$ implies the uniform bound $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{H}}{1-|z|^{2}}$ and $d A(z)=r d r d t\left(z=r e^{i t}\right)$, Theorem 1 is equivalent to showing that if $f \in H^{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)=\sup _{|\zeta|=1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)^{\alpha-1}}{|z-\zeta|^{\alpha}} d r d t<\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \leq A\left(I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)+\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ depends only on $\alpha$.
Let $0<\alpha<1$ and suppose that $f \in H^{\infty}$ and (17) holds. By considering the functions $f_{n}(z)=f\left(r_{n} z\right)$ where $0<r_{n}<1$ and $r_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we can assume that $f$ is analytic in $\bar{\Delta}$. This is a consequence of Lemmas 5 and 7 .

Let $|\zeta|=1$. Then

$$
f(z) \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}}=\frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}}+g_{\zeta}(z)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\zeta}(z)=\frac{f(z)-f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{\alpha}}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}=|f(\zeta)| \leq\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}$, Lemma 5 implies that it suffices to show that $g_{\zeta} \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{\zeta}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \leq A\left(I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)+\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\zeta|=1$, where $A$ depends only on $\alpha$. Because of Lemma 6 , this follows if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|g_{\zeta}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \leq B I_{\alpha}^{*}(f) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\zeta|=1$, and $B$ depends only on $\alpha$.
From (19) we obtain

$$
g_{\zeta}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha}}+\alpha \bar{\zeta} \frac{f(z)-f\left(e^{i t}\right)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha+1}}+\alpha \bar{\zeta} \frac{f\left(e^{i t}\right)-f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{\alpha+1}}
$$

where $z=r e^{i t}(0 \leq r<1,|t| \leq \pi)$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(\zeta) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \leq C I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)  \tag{22}\\
Q(\zeta) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(r e^{i t}\right)-f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \leq D I_{\alpha}^{*}(f) \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\zeta) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i t}\right)-f(\zeta)\right|}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \leq E I_{\alpha}^{*}(f) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\zeta|=1$ and $C, D$ and $E$ depend only on $\alpha$.
Clearly we have $P(\zeta) \leq I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)$ for $|\zeta|=1$. To estimate $Q(\zeta)$ note that $\left|f\left(r e^{i t}\right)-f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \leq$ $\int_{r}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right| d \rho$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(\zeta) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right|}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d \rho d t d r \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha+1}} d r\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right| d \rho\right\} d t \\
& \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{1}{\left|1-\bar{\zeta} r e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha+1}} d r(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right| d \rho\right\} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3 yields

$$
Q(\zeta) \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{B}{\left|1-\rho \bar{\zeta} e^{i t}\right|^{\alpha}}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right| d \rho d t
$$

and hence $Q(\zeta) \leq B I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)$ for $|\zeta|=1$.
Let $\zeta=e^{i \eta}(-\pi<\eta \leq \pi)$. Using periodicity we can write $R(\zeta)=S(\zeta)+T(\zeta)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\zeta)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\eta-\pi}^{\eta} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i t}\right)-f\left(e^{i \eta}\right)\right|}{\left|1-r e^{i(t-\eta)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\zeta)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\eta}^{\eta+\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i t}\right)-f\left(e^{i \eta}\right)\right|}{\left|1-r e^{i(t-\eta)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d t d r \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T(\zeta)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i(s+\eta)}\right)-f\left(e^{i \eta}\right)\right|}{\mid 1-r e^{i s} \alpha^{\alpha+1}}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d s d r$. Since $\left|f\left(e^{i(s+\eta)}\right)-f\left(e^{i \eta}\right)\right| \leq$ $\int_{\eta}^{\eta+s}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| d \varphi$ for $0<s \leq 2 \pi$ this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\zeta) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\eta}^{\eta+s} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{\alpha+1}} d \varphi d s d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\eta+\pi} \int_{\varphi-\eta}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{\alpha+1}} d s d \varphi\right\} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (13) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\zeta) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\eta}^{\eta+\pi} \frac{A\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i(\varphi-\eta)}\right|^{\alpha}} d \varphi d r \\
& \leq A \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i \varphi} \bar{\zeta}\right|^{\alpha}} d \varphi d r \\
& \leq A I_{\alpha}^{*}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

The same estimate also can be obtained for $S(\zeta)$.
4. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and suppose that the function $f$ is analytic in $\Delta$ and satisfies (6). As noted earlier this implies $f \in H^{\infty}$.

Let $|\zeta|=1$ and $\operatorname{set} \zeta=e^{i \eta}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|r e^{i t}-\zeta\right|^{\alpha}} d t d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\eta-\pi}^{\eta+\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|r e^{i t}-e^{i \eta}\right|^{\alpha}} d t d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i(s+\eta)}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{\alpha}} d s d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence Lemma 1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|r e^{i t}-\zeta\right|^{\alpha}} d t d r \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\pi^{\alpha}}{|s|^{\alpha}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i(s+\eta)}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d s d r \\
& =\pi^{\alpha} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{|s|^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i(s+\eta)}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r\right\} d s \\
& \leq \pi^{\alpha} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{|s|^{\alpha}} J_{\alpha}(f) d s \\
& =\frac{2 \pi}{1-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $I_{\alpha}(f) \leq \frac{2 \pi}{1-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)<\infty$. Therefore Theorem 1 implies $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. Also (4) yields (7).
5. Proof of Theorem 3. We first prove Theorem 3 when $0<\alpha<1$. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and suppose that $f \in H^{\infty}$ and (9) is satisfied.

Since $f \in H^{\infty}$ the Poisson formula gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(r e^{i t}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(r, s-t) f\left(e^{i s}\right) d s \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r, s)=\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos s+r^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $0 \leq r<1,|s| \leq \pi$ ). By differentiating (27) with respect to $r$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i t} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q(r, s-t) f\left(e^{i s}\right) d s \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(r, s)=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \cos s-2 r}{\left(1-2 r \cos s+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q$ is an even function of $s$, has period $2 \pi$ and $\int_{0}^{\pi} Q(r, s) d s=0$. Hence (29) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i t} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q(r, s)\left\{f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right)\right\} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} Q(r, s)\left\{f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right)\right\} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} Q(r, s)\left\{f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-2 f\left(e^{i t}\right)+f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right)\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}|Q(r, s)||D(f ; t, s)| d s \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(1+r^{2}\right) \cos s-2 r=(1-r)^{2}-2\left(1+r^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{s}{2}$ and $1-2 r \cos s+r^{2}=\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{2}$, we have $|Q(r, s)| \leq \frac{(1-r)^{2}+s^{2}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{4}}$. Hence (31) yields

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} F(s)|D(f ; t, s)| d s+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} G(s)|D(f ; t, s)| d s
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{4}} d r \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=s^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\left|1-r e^{i s}\right|^{4}} d r \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 implies that $F(s) \leq \frac{B}{s^{2-\alpha}}$ and $G(s) \leq \frac{C}{s^{2-\alpha}}$ for $0<s \leq \pi$, where $B$ and $C$ depend only on $\alpha$. Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r \leq \frac{B+C}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|D(f ; t, s)|}{s^{2-\alpha}} d s
$$

Since $K_{\alpha}(f)<\infty$ we conclude that $\sup _{t} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\alpha-1} d r<\infty$. Hence Theorem 2 implies $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. The argument also yields (10).

Next we prove Theorem 3 in the case $\alpha=1$. Suppose that $f \in H^{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(f) \equiv \sup _{t} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|D(f ; t, s)|}{s} d s<\infty \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{\bar{f}}: H^{\infty} \rightarrow H^{\infty}$ denote the Toeplitz operator. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{H^{\infty}}=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)} h(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,|z|<1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)} h(\zeta)}{\zeta-r \sigma} d \zeta\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)} h(\zeta)}{1-r \sigma \bar{\zeta}} \frac{1}{\zeta} d \zeta\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\sigma \zeta)} h(\sigma \zeta)}{1-r \bar{\zeta}} \frac{1}{\zeta} d \zeta\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By writing $\overline{f(\sigma \zeta)}=[\overline{f(\sigma \zeta)}-2 \overline{f(\sigma)}+\overline{f(\sigma \bar{\zeta})}]+2 \overline{f(\sigma)}-\overline{f(\sigma \bar{\zeta})}$ we see that $\left\|T_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq I+J+K$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\sigma \zeta)}-2 \overline{f(\sigma)}+\overline{f(\sigma \bar{\zeta})}}{1-r \bar{\zeta}} \frac{h(\sigma \zeta)}{\zeta} d \zeta\right|\right\} \\
J=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \left\lvert\, \int_{\Gamma} \frac{2 \overline{f(\sigma)}}{1-r \bar{\zeta}} \frac{h(\sigma \zeta)}{\zeta} d \zeta\right.\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
K=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \left\lvert\, \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\sigma \bar{\zeta})}}{1-r \bar{\zeta}} \frac{h(\sigma \zeta)}{\zeta} d \zeta\right.\right\}
$$

again where $\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1$ and $|\sigma|=1$.
Let $\zeta=e^{i s}$ and $\sigma=e^{i t}$. We use Lemma 1 as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \leq \sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i(t+s)}\right)-2 f\left(e^{i t}\right)+f\left(e^{i(t-s)}\right)\right|}{\left|1-r e^{-i s}\right|} d s: 0 \leq r<1,|t| \leq \pi\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|D(f ; t, s)|}{\mid 1-r e^{-i s \mid}} d s: 0 \leq r<1,|t| \leq \pi\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|D(f ; t, s)|}{s} d s:|t| \leq \pi\right\} \\
& =K_{1}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & \leq 2\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} \sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(\sigma \zeta)}{(1-r \bar{\zeta}) \zeta} d \zeta\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\} \\
& =2\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} \sup \left\{\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(w)}{w-r \sigma} d w\right|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence Cauchy's formula implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & \leq 2\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} \sup \left\{|h(r \sigma)|:\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1,0 \leq r<1,|\sigma|=1\right\} \\
& =2\|f\|_{H^{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also use Cauchy's formula to estimate $K$ as follows. Note that the function $g$ defined by $g(w)=\overline{f(\bar{w})}$ for $|w|<1$ belongs to $H^{\infty}$. Hence the change of variables $w=\bar{\sigma} \zeta$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\overline{f(\sigma \bar{\zeta})} h(\sigma \zeta)}{(1-r \bar{\zeta}) \zeta} d \zeta=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g(w) h\left(\sigma^{2} w\right)}{w-r \bar{\sigma}} d w \\
& =g(r \bar{\sigma}) h(r \sigma)=\overline{f(r \sigma) h(r \sigma) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $K \leq\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}$.
Combining the inequalities for $I, J$ and $K$ derived above we obtain $\left\|T_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq K_{1}(f)+$ $3\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}$. Hence $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} \leq K_{1}(f)+3\|f\|_{H^{\infty}}<\infty$, and therefore $f \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
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