

## ON MINIMALLY THIN SETS IN A STOLZ DOMAIN

BY  
H. L. JACKSON

Let  $D$  denote the open right half plane and

$$K = \{z \in D : |\text{Arg } z| \leq \theta_0 < \pi/2\}$$

a Stolz domain in  $D$  with vertex at the origin. If  $h$  is a minimal harmonic function on  $D$  with pole at the origin then  $E \subset D$  is minimally thin at the origin iff  $R_h^E \asymp h$  where  $R_h^E$  is the reduced function of  $h$  on  $E$  in the sense of BreLOT. We now define

$$I_n = \{z \in D : s^{n+1} \leq |z| < s^n\}$$

where  $s$  shall be fixed to be  $1/e$ . For the set  $E \cap I_n$  we shall let  $c_n$  denote the outer ordinary capacity (see [1, pp. 320–321]),  $\lambda_n$  the outer logarithmic capacity, and  $\sigma_n$  the outer Green capacity with respect to  $D$ . If  $E \subset K$ , Mme. Lelong [3, p. 131] was able to prove that  $E$  is minimally thin at the origin iff  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < +\infty$ . Since one cannot easily relate the classical measure theoretic properties of a plane set with its Green capacity, it would appear desirable to find some other criteria for minimal thinness. If  $E \subset \{z : |z| < \frac{1}{2}\}$  it is known (see [1, p. 320]) that

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n)} \quad \text{if } \lambda_n > 0$$

and that

$$c_n = 0 \quad \text{iff } \lambda_n = 0.$$

Furthermore the logarithmic capacity can be directly related to some of the classical measure theoretic properties of the set in question (see [4, pp. 84–85]). In an earlier paper (see [2, Theorem 1]), the author was able to prove that  $E \subset K$  is minimally thin at 0 if  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nc_n) < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < +\infty$ . It turns out that these conditions, taken together, are sufficient for minimal thinness but not necessary. On the other hand the condition  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < +\infty$ , by itself is necessary for minimal thinness but not sufficient.

The main purpose of this paper is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for minimal thinness at 0 for a set  $E \subset K$  in terms of ordinary and logarithmic capacity. We shall verify that these new conditions provide an improvement of Theorem 1 in [2]. We shall now state and prove a version of our main theorem.

---

Received by the editors January 27, 1971.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $E \subset K$ , then  $E$  is minimally thin at 0 iff the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *There exists  $n_0$  such that  $nc_n < 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .*

(ii)  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1-nc_n} < +\infty$ .

**Proof.** We shall employ the following inequalities that were established in [2].

(I) (see inequality (vi) in the proof of Theorem 1 [2]):

$$\frac{\sigma_n}{1+(A+n)\sigma_n} \leq c_n$$

where  $A = 1 + \log(1/(2 \cos \theta_0))$ . We note that  $A > 0$  for every  $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$ .

(II) (see inequality (vi') in the proof of Theorem 5 [2]):

$$\frac{\sigma_n}{1+(n-\log 2)\sigma_n} \geq c_n.$$

In future we shall ignore all terms where  $c_n = 0$  or equivalently  $\sigma_n = 0$ . We first combine inequalities I and II to obtain

(i) 
$$\frac{\sigma_n}{1+(A+n)\sigma_n} \leq c_n \leq \frac{\sigma_n}{1+(n-\log 2)\sigma_n}$$

or

(ii) 
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_n} + A + n \geq \frac{1}{c_n} \geq \frac{1}{\sigma_n} + n - \log 2.$$

If we subtract  $n$  from both inequalities in (ii) we obtain

(iii) 
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_n} + A \geq \frac{1}{c_n} - n \geq \frac{1}{\sigma_n} - \log 2$$

or

(iv) 
$$\frac{1+A\sigma_n}{\sigma_n} \geq \frac{1-nc_n}{c_n} \geq \frac{1-\log 2\sigma_n}{\sigma_n}.$$

We now suppose that  $E$  is minimally thin at 0. Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < +\infty$  which implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0$  and which in turn implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/\sigma_n - \log 2) = +\infty$ . By the right side of (iii) it follows that  $(1/c_n) - n = (1 - nc_n)/c_n > 0$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large, and therefore  $1 - nc_n > 0$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large. We choose  $n_0$  such that  $1/\sigma_n - \log 2 > 0$  if  $n \geq n_0$ , and note that  $1 - nc_n > 0$  also when  $n \geq n_0$ . If  $n \geq n_0$  all terms in (iv) are greater than zero so that (iv) is equivalent to

(v) 
$$\frac{\sigma_n}{1+A\sigma_n} \leq \frac{c_n}{1-nc_n} \leq \frac{\sigma_n}{1-\log 2\sigma_n} \quad \text{when } n \geq n_0.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0$ , therefore  $(1 - \log 2\sigma_n) > \frac{1}{2}$  or  $1/(1 - \log 2\sigma_n) < 2$ , for all  $n$  sufficiently large, and it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < +\infty$  implies

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{1 - \log 2\sigma_n} < +\infty$$

which in turn implies

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 - nc_n} < +\infty.$$

The necessity part of our theorem follows. For the sufficiency we note from (v) that the given conditions of our theorem imply that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\sigma_n/(1 + A\sigma_n)] < +\infty$ . The function  $f(x) = x/(1 + Ax)$  is continuous and monotone strictly increasing on  $(-1/A, +\infty)$ , and possesses its only zero at  $x = 0$ . The convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\sigma_n/(1 + A\sigma_n)]$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma_n/(1 + A\sigma_n)) = 0$ , which in turn implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0$ . Hence  $1 + A\sigma_n < 2$  or  $1/(1 + A\sigma_n) > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large, and it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_n/(1 + A\sigma_n)) < +\infty$  implies  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < +\infty$ , which in turn implies minimal thinness of  $E$  at 0. This proves the sufficiency and the theorem.

REMARK 1. If  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n < 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 - nc_n} < +\infty \quad \text{iff} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < +\infty,$$

so that the sufficiency part of our new theorem is at least as strong as Theorem 1 in [2]. We shall demonstrate by example that it is stronger.

LEMMA. *It is possible for  $E \subset K$  to be minimally thin at 0 and satisfy the condition that  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let us define  $E \subset K$  to be a sequence of intervals so that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{1}{n^2} && \text{if } n \neq m^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} && \text{if } n = m^4 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where  $m$  runs through the natural numbers. It follows that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 1 - nc_n &= 1 - \frac{1}{n} && \text{if } n \neq m^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} && \text{if } n = m^4 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{c_n}{1-nc_n} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} && \text{if } n \neq m^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{n} && \text{if } n = m^4 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c_n}{1-nc_n} \right) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m^2} - \frac{1}{m^4} \right).$$

Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n/(1-nc_n))$  is a positive series and all series on the right side of the above inequality converge, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c_n}{1-nc_n} \right) < +\infty$$

and hence  $E$  is minimally thin at 0. Nevertheless

$$\left. \begin{aligned} nc_n &= 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} && \text{if } n = m^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} && \text{if } n \neq m^4 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and it is evident that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) = 1.$$

The lemma follows. We shall now rephrase Theorem 1 in terms of logarithmic capacity.

**THEOREM 1'.**  $E \subset K$  is minimally thin at 0 iff the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists  $n_0$  such that  $(\lambda_n e^n) < 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

(ii)  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n e^n} \right)} < +\infty$ .

**Proof.** As before we restrict ourselves to  $\{z: |z| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n)} && \text{where } 0 < \lambda_n < 1 \\ &= 0 && \text{if } \lambda_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $nc_n < 1$  iff  $\log 1/\lambda_n > n$ , or  $1/\lambda_n > e^n$ . The condition of Theorem 1 that

there exists  $n_0$  such that  $nc_n < 1$  if  $n \geq n_0$  is therefore equivalent to the condition that  $\lambda_n e^n < 1$  if  $n \geq n_0$ . If  $c_n \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{c_n}{1 - nc_n} = \frac{1}{(1/c_n) - n} = \frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n) - n} = \frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n e^n)}$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 - nc_n} < \infty \quad \text{iff} \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n e^n)} < +\infty.$$

It follows that Theorem 1' is a rephrasing of Theorem 1 in terms of logarithmic capacity.

REMARK 2. If  $E \subset K$  it would be of interest to develop an integral criterion for minimal thinness in terms of ordinary or logarithmic capacity comparable to the one developed by Brelot (see [1, pp. 334–336]) for ordinary thinness.

REMARK 3. In [2, Theorem 5] the author was able to prove that the condition of minimal thinness for  $E \subset K$  strictly implies that it is an  $r$ -set of finite logarithmic length. Let us examine a set  $E$  such that each  $E \cap I_n$  is a disk of radius  $r_n$ . Then  $\lambda_n = r_n$ , and  $E$  is an  $r$ -set of finite logarithmic length iff  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e^n < +\infty$ . In the particular case where  $(\lambda_n e^n)$  is a monotone decreasing sequence the minimal thinness of  $E \subset K$  implies that

$$\frac{1}{\log(1/\lambda_n e^n)} < \frac{1}{n \log n}$$

for all  $n$  sufficiently large, so that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n e^n} > n^n \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_n e^n < \frac{1}{n^n}$$

for all  $n$  sufficiently large. One can easily provide more stringent inequalities but the one above provides evidence that the condition of finite logarithmic length is not a good approximation for minimal thinness.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brelot, *Points irréguliers et transformations continues en théorie du potentiel*, J. Math. Pures App. **19** (1940), 319–337.
2. H. L. Jackson, *Some results on thin sets in a half-plane*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) (2) **20** (1970), 201–218.
3. J. Lelong, *Étude au voisinage de la frontière des fonctions surharmoniques positives dans un demi-espace*, Ann. de L'École Normale Sup. **66** (1949), 125–159.
4. M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.

McMASTER UNIVERSITY,  
HAMILTON, ONTARIO