



P69: The effect of social prescribing on improving cognitive performance among community-dwelling older adults: A pilot study

Authors: Huali Wang¹, Zhiqiang Fu², Wei Qi², Yongsheng Lin², Xin Yu¹

1. Peking University Sixth Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders, WHO/Beijing Collaborating Center for Mental Health Research and Training, Beijing 100191, China
2. Shangrao Third People's Hospital, Shangrao 334000, Jiangxi Province, China;

Objective: The study aimed to examine the effect of social prescribing on improving cognitive performance among community-dwelling older adults, and to explore the potential association between social support and the change of cognitive performance.

Method: One hundred and eighteen older adults from Changtang community participated in the study and were followed up for three months. Cognitive function was assessed with Hong Kong Brief Cognitive test (HKBC) at baseline and 3-month follow-up. Pre- and post-social prescribing difference in cognitive performance was examined with paired t-test. Multivariate regression analysis was used to explore the potential factors of social support associated with the change of cognitive function.

Results: Compared with baseline measurement (25.4±4.1), the total score of HKBC improved significantly after three-month social prescribing (26.5±3.6; $t=-3.300$, $P=0.001$). Multivariate regression analysis showed that baseline level of social support utilization was associated with the change of cognitive performance. The lower the utilization of social support at baseline, the more the change of cognitive performance due to social prescribing ($\beta=-0.25$, 95%CI = -0.88 ~ -0.05).

Conclusion: Social prescribing may have a beneficial effect on cognitive function for community older adults. The utilization of social support may be one of the factors associated with its effect on cognitive performance.

P78: Complicated grief in the shadow of the COVID-19 pandemic among adults and the elderly

Authors: Irit Bluvstein and Silvia Koton, Herczeg Institute on Aging, Tel Aviv University

Objective: The COVID-19 pandemic has been a mass bereavement event disrupting social functioning of individuals in the general population, restricted and changed end-of-life, mourning and grief processes. In these circumstances grief may become pathologic and complicated grief (CG) may rise. The vulnerability of elders to CG in times of loss and bereavement in the COVID-19 context has been hardly studied. The current study aimed to examine grief processes, complicated grief and their relationship with protective and vulnerability factors among adults and elders (45 years old and above) who experienced loss at the time of the COVID-19 pandemic. Methods: A total of 113 adults aged 45 and above were included in the analytic convenience sample. Quantitative data was collected by self-reported questionnaires of CG, resilience, well-being, state anxiety and negative engagement with hostile world scenarios (HWS). Additionally, qualitative data on grief experiences was collected in semi-structured interviews of 9 participants.

Results: Factor analysis results supported prior identified factors of CG among the aged. Multivariate analysis and stepwise regression identified factors associated with CG: female gender, state anxiety and negative engagement with HWS were identified as vulnerability factors whereas resilience emerged as a protective factor. Thematic analysis identified two main themes among participants: (1) COVID-19 as an emotional accelerator and (2) Support from social resources in COVID-19 times.

Conclusion: Findings add to our understanding of complicated grief among adults and elders in epidemic times. A unique and novel finding is the relationship found between negative engagement with HWS and complicated grief. This highlights the importance of examining how individuals facing adversities in general and loss in particular, perceive their ability to cope with life hardships. Furthermore, further research for establishing diagnostic criteria of CG for the aged is recommended.

P83: Perceptions of Elder Good Care in Nursing Homes: Nursing Aides Versus Other Nursing Home Professionals

Authors: López, J., Pérez-Rojo, G. & Noriega, C. Psychology Department, Health Faculty. Universidad San Pablo-CEU, CEU Universities

Background: There is great concern about the care of the older adults in institutions, especially in recent years. One of the reasons is the cases of elder abuse, not only highlighted by academic and scientific sources, but also by the media. Humanization, respect, empowerment and non-infantilization are key elements to promote good treatment in nursing homes (López et al., 2021).

Research Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the care in nursing homes using the Professional good care scale in nursing homes (Pérez-Rojo et al., 2021).

Method: The sample for this study consisted of 269 employees working in different older adults nursing homes in Spain (182 nursing aides and 87 other nursing home professionals: nurses, occupational therapists, psychologists, physiotherapists, social workers, sociocultural animators, speech therapists). The employees' evaluations of themselves (their own performance) and their own evaluation of their coworkers in the nursing home (the overall good care in the nursing home) in these two groups of professionals (nursing aides and nursing home staff that care residents) were assessed and compared. T-test were used for data analyses.

Preliminary results on the ongoing study: The results showed no significant differences between the two groups of professionals when they considered their own performance.