



# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## On the symbol length of $p$ -algebras

Mathieu Florence

Compositio Math. **149** (2013), 1353–1363.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007070](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007070)



FOUNDATION  
COMPOSITIO  
MATHEMATICA



LONDON  
MATHEMATICAL  
SOCIETY



# On the symbol length of $p$ -algebras

Mathieu Florence

## ABSTRACT

The main result of this paper states that if  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $A/k$  is a central simple algebra of index  $d = p^n$  and exponent  $p^e$ , then  $A$  is split by a purely inseparable extension of  $k$  of the form  $k(\sqrt[e]{a_i}, i = 1, \dots, d - 1)$ . Combining this result with a theorem of Albert (for which we include a new proof), we get that any such algebra is Brauer equivalent to the tensor product of at most  $d - 1$  cyclic algebras of degree  $p^e$ . This gives a drastic improvement upon previously known upper bounds.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field. If  $k$  contains all roots of unity, it is known, by the theorem of Merkurjev and Suslin, that any central simple algebra over  $k$  of exponent  $e$  prime to the characteristic of  $k$  is Brauer equivalent to the tensor product of cyclic algebras of degree  $e$ . As to the question of ‘how many cyclic algebras are needed?’, very little is known. This question is called the symbol length problem, and has recently been discussed in the survey article [ABGV11, pp. 230–231]. Before stating our theorem, let us recall some known results. Rosset and Tate proved that a central simple algebra of prime degree  $p$ , where  $p$  is prime to the characteristic of  $k$ , is Brauer equivalent to the tensor product of at most  $(p - 1)!$  cyclic algebras of degree  $p$ . If  $p > 2$ , this upper bound can be improved to  $(p - 1)!/2$ ; we refer to [GS06, Proposition 7.4.13 and Exercise 7.10] for details. In this paper, we concentrate on the case ‘orthogonal’ to the previous one, namely that of  $p$ -algebras, i.e. when  $k$  has characteristic  $p > 0$  and the algebras under consideration have exponent being a power of  $p$ . In this case, the theory has been developed mainly by Albert and Teichmüller. By a theorem of Teichmüller (see, e.g., [GS06, Theorem 9.1.4]), we know that an algebra of exponent  $p^e$  is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of cyclic algebras of degree  $p^e$ . (Note that a result of Albert [GS06, Theorem 9.1.8] states that such an algebra is in fact Brauer equivalent to a cyclic one; more precisely, Albert showed that a tensor product of cyclic  $p$ -algebras remains cyclic.) Here, again, we might ask for a bound on the number of cyclic algebras needed. Let us briefly recall previously known results. In [Tei36], it was proven that an algebra of index  $p^r$  and exponent  $p^e$  is Brauer equivalent to the tensor product of  $p^r!(p^r! - 1)$  cyclic algebras of degree  $p^e$ . For algebras of degree  $p$ , Mammone improved this bound to  $(p - 1)!$  (see [Mam86, Proposition 5.2]). Note also that Mammone and Merkurjev proved in [MM91, Proposition 5] that a cyclic  $p$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$  and exponent  $p^e$  is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of  $p^{n-e}$  cyclic algebras of degree  $p^e$ .

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $A/k$  be a division algebra of index  $d = p^n$  and exponent  $p^e$ . Then there exist  $d - 1$  elements  $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}$  in  $k$  such that the field*

---

Received 16 April 2012, accepted in final form 15 October 2012, published online 22 May 2013.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification* 20G15 (primary).

*Keywords:* central simple algebras, Severi–Brauer varieties.

This journal is © [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](http://www.compositio-mathematica.org/) 2013.

extension

$$k(\sqrt[p]{a_i}, i = 1, \dots, d - 1)$$

splits  $A$ . In particular,  $A$  is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of  $d - 1$  cyclic algebras of degree  $p^e$ .

The paper is organized as follows. After introducing notation and recalling some basic facts in § 2, we give in § 3 the proof of two elementary auxiliary tools. The first is Proposition 3.3, which states that over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , base-changing by the Frobenius induces multiplication by  $p$  in the Brauer group; this result can be found in [Jac10, Theorem 4.1.2], or in [KOS75, Theorem 3.9], for any ring of characteristic  $p$ . We include here a slightly different proof. The second useful result is Proposition 3.4, which is well known and plays a key role in the proof of the main theorem. We prove the main theorem in § 4. The last section, § 5, is devoted to the proof of a structure theorem for certain commutative unipotent algebraic groups. Roughly speaking, it says the following. Let  $K/k$  be a finite purely inseparable field extension. Then the algebraic  $k$ -group  $U := R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$  is unipotent. To split it, i.e. to make it acquire a composition series with quotients isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ , it suffices to mod out the (finite constant) subgroup generated by the images in  $U(k)$  of a system of generators of  $K$  as a  $k$ -algebra. This yields Albert's theorem as an immediate corollary.

## 2. Notation and definitions

Let  $l$  be a field. We denote by  $\bar{l}$  (respectively,  $l_s$ ) an algebraic (respectively, separable) closure of  $l$ . We denote by  $\text{Br}(l)$  the Brauer group of  $l$ . If  $V$  is an  $l$ -vector space, we denote by  $\mathbb{A}_l(V)$  the affine space of  $V$ , with functor of points sending an  $l$ -algebra  $A$  to  $V \otimes_l A$ ; it is also canonically endowed with the structure of an algebraic  $l$ -group (vector group). We denote by  $\mathbb{P}_l(V)$  the projective space of lines in  $V$ . These two notions obviously extend to the case of a locally free module of finite rank over any commutative base ring.

### 2.1 Cohomology

Let  $G/l$  be an algebraic group. We shall write  $H^1(l, G)$  for the first cohomology set for the fppf topology with coefficients in  $G$ . It coincides with Galois cohomology if  $G/l$  is smooth. Accordingly, if  $G$  is commutative, we write  $H^i(l, G)$  for the higher fppf cohomology groups.

### 2.2 Severi–Brauer varieties

If  $A$  is a central simple algebra of degree (i.e. square root of the dimension)  $n$ , we denote by  $\text{SB}(A)$  the Severi–Brauer variety associated to  $A$ . As usual,  $\text{SB}(A)(\bar{l})$  will be the set of right ideals of  $A \otimes_l \bar{l}$ , of dimension  $n$  (as a  $\bar{l}$ -vector space). Recall that if  $A = \text{End}(V)$ , for  $V$  an  $l$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ , we have a canonical identification between  $\mathbb{P}_l(V)$  and  $\text{SB}(A)$ : to a line  $d \subset V$  we associate the right ideal of endomorphisms whose image is contained in  $d$ . A Severi–Brauer variety is thus none other than a twisted projective space.

### 2.3 Cyclic algebras

Let  $a \in l^*$  and let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Denote by  $\sigma$  the class of 1 in the group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $M/l$  be a Galois  $l$ -algebra, of group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the  $l$ -algebra  $A$  which is generated by  $M$  and an indeterminate  $y$ , subject to the relations

$$y^n = a$$

and

$$y^{-1}\lambda y = \sigma(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in M.$$

The algebra  $A$  is central simple; it is called the cyclic algebra associated to  $M$  and  $a$ , and is usually denoted by  $(M/l, a)$ . Its class in the Brauer group of  $l$  is the cup product of the class of  $a$  in  $H^1(l, \mu_n)$  and that of  $M/l$  in  $H^1(l, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  (cf. [GS06, §§ 2.5 and 4.7]).

### 2.4 Twisting varieties by torsors

Let  $G/l$  be an algebraic group (i.e.  $l$ -group scheme of finite type). To the data of a (left) action of  $G$  on a quasi-projective variety  $X$ , together with a (right)  $G$ -torsor  $T$  over  $l$ , one can associate the twist

$${}^T X := (T \times_l X)/G,$$

where  $G$  acts on  $T \times_l X$  by the formula  $(t, x) \cdot g = (tg, g^{-1}x)$ . For a proof that this twist indeed exists and for a description of some of its basic properties (including, in particular, functoriality for  $G$ -equivariant morphisms), we refer to [Flo08, Propositions 2.12 and 2.14]. Note that the change of structure group for torsors is a special case of twisting. More precisely, let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of algebraic  $l$ -groups and let  $T/l$  be a (right)  $G$ -torsor. Then  $G$  acts (on the left) on  $H$  via  $f$ . One can thus form the twist  ${}^T H$ , which is none other than the  $H$ -torsor  $f_*(T)$  obtained from  $T$  by a change of structure group using  $f$ .

### 2.5 Frobenius twist

Assume that  $l$  has characteristic  $p > 0$ .

Denote by  $\text{Frob} : l \rightarrow l$  the Frobenius  $x \mapsto x^p$ . If  $X$  is an  $l$ -scheme, we put

$$X^{(p)} := X \times_{\text{Spec}(\text{Frob})} \text{Spec}(l),$$

the Frobenius twist of  $X$ . Recall that there exists a canonical  $l$ -morphism

$$F_X : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}.$$

When  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  is affine, it is the same as the  $\text{Spec}$  of the  $l$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes_{\text{Frob}} l &\rightarrow A, \\ x \otimes \lambda &\mapsto \lambda x^p. \end{aligned}$$

### 2.6 Weil scalar restriction (for $\mathbb{G}_m$ )

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finite locally free morphism of commutative rings. Then there is a Weil scalar restriction functor  $R_{B/A}$ , at least for affine  $B$ -schemes. We shall only need to apply this functor to the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , in which case  $R_{B/A}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  is the open  $A$ -subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}_A(B) = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_A(B^*))$  whose points are invertible elements of  $B$ . It has  $\mathbb{G}_m$  as a subgroup scheme, and the quotient  $R_{B/A}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$  is easily seen to be representable by the open  $A$ -subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_A(B)$  whose points are line subbundles of  $B$ , locally directed by an invertible element of  $B$ .

**2.7 Kähler differentials and the logarithmic differential**

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of commutative rings. We denote by  $\Omega_{B/A}$  the  $B$ -module of Kähler differentials. Recall that there is a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dlog} : B^*/A^* &\rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}, \\ x &\mapsto \frac{dx}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

If, moreover,  $A \rightarrow B$  is finite locally free and  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is a finite locally free  $A$ -module, we can consider  $\text{dlog}$  as a morphism of  $A$ -group schemes

$$R_{B/A}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_A(\Omega_{B/A}).$$

In the following,  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

**3. Auxiliary results**

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $G/k$  be an algebraic group, and let  $T/k$  be a  $G$ -torsor. Denote by  $F_G : G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$  the Frobenius morphism. Then  $(F_G)_*(T)$  and  $T^{(p)}$  are canonically isomorphic as  $G^{(p)}$ -torsors.*

*Proof.* There is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : T \times_l G^{(p)} &\rightarrow T^{(p)}, \\ (t, h) &\mapsto F_T(t)h. \end{aligned}$$

It is  $G^{(p)}$ -equivariant, where  $G^{(p)}$  acts on the left-hand side by the formula  $(t, h) \cdot h' = (t, hh')$ . Now, let  $G$  act on  $T \times_l G^{(p)}$  by the formula

$$g \cdot (t, h) = (tg^{-1}, F_G(g)h)$$

and act trivially on  $T^{(p)}$ . I claim that  $\Psi$  is then  $G$ -equivariant as well. This amounts to saying that, on the level of functors of points, we have the formula

$$F_T(tg^{-1})F_G(g)h = F_T(t)h,$$

where  $t$  (respectively,  $g$  or  $h$ ) is a point of  $T$  (respectively, of  $G$  or  $G^{(p)}$ ). In other words, we have to check that

$$F_T(tg) = F_T(t)F_G(g).$$

Consider the action map

$$a : T \times_k G \rightarrow T.$$

We know that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times_k G & \xrightarrow{a} & T \\ \downarrow F_{T \times_k G} & & \downarrow F_T \\ T^{(p)} \times_k G^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{a^{(p)}} & T^{(p)} \end{array}$$

commutes. This yields the equality we had to check. Thus,  $\Psi$  induces a morphism of  $G^{(p)}$ -torsors

$$(F_G)_*(T) = (T \times_l G^{(p)})/G \rightarrow T^{(p)},$$

which is an isomorphism (as is any morphism between torsors). □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$ . Then*

$$A^{(p)} := A \otimes_{\text{Frob}} k$$

*is Brauer equivalent to  $A^{\otimes p}$ .*

*Proof.* We have the following commutative diagram of morphisms of algebraic  $k$ -groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_n & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}_n \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_n^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}_n^{(p)} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the Frobenius morphisms. Since all groups appearing here are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have canonical isomorphisms  $\mathbb{G}_m^{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$ ,  $\text{GL}_n^{(p)} \simeq \text{GL}_n$  and  $\text{PGL}_n^{(p)} \simeq \text{PGL}_n$ . The vertical map on the left is then none other than  $x \mapsto x^p$ . Denote by  $\delta : H^1(k, \text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k)$  the boundary map. For any  $\text{PGL}_n$ -torsor  $T/k$ , the above diagram (or, more accurately, the exact sequence it induces in fppf cohomology) implies that

$$p\delta([T]) = \delta([(F_{\text{PGL}_n})_*(T)]).$$

But  $[(F_{\text{PGL}_n})_*(T)] = [T^{(p)}] \in H^1(k, \text{PGL}_n)$  by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, if  $T$  corresponds to the central simple algebra  $A$  (of degree  $n$ ), then  $T^{(p)}$  corresponds to  $A^{(p)}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* From the canonical isomorphism  $\text{SB}(A^{(p)}) \simeq \text{SB}(A)^{(p)}$  (the formation of Severi–Brauer varieties commutes with base change), we get a statement equivalent to that of the previous proposition: let  $V = \text{SB}(A)$  be a Severi–Brauer variety over  $k$ ; then  $V^{(p)}$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to the Severi–Brauer variety associated to a central simple algebra which is of the same degree as  $A$  and is Brauer equivalent to  $A^{\otimes p}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let  $K/k$  be a finite purely inseparable extension. Denote by  $r(K/k)$  the minimal cardinality of a subset of  $K$  which generates  $K$  as a  $k$ -algebra. Then  $r(K/k) = \dim_K(\Omega_{K/k})$ . In particular, it is invariant under separable field extensions. More precisely, if  $l/k$  is a separable field extension, we have*

$$r(K/k) = r(K \otimes_k l/l).$$

*Proof.* Put  $r = r(K/k)$  and  $d = \dim_K(\Omega_{K/k})$ . There exist elements  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  in  $K$  such that  $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ , hence the inequality  $r \geq d$ . Now, choose  $y_1, \dots, y_d$  in  $K$  such that the  $dy_i$  form a  $K$ -basis of  $\Omega_{K/k}$ . Put  $K' = k[y_1, \dots, y_d]$ . We have the first fundamental exact sequence of  $K$ -vector spaces

$$\Omega_{K'/k} \otimes_{K'} K \longrightarrow \Omega_{K/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{K/K'} \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we instantly infer that  $\Omega_{K/K'} = 0$ , hence that  $K'/K$  is separable, and hence that  $K' = K$ . This shows that  $r \leq d$ . The assertion about invariance under separable extensions is then trivial.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The goal of this section is to use the results discussed previously to prove Theorem 1.1.

We can assume that  $k$  is infinite.

Let  $V := \text{SB}(A)$ . By Remark 3.3, we know that  $V^{(p^e)}$  ( $V$  twisted by the  $e$ th power of the Frobenius) is  $k$ -isomorphic to a projective space. Consider the canonical morphism

$$F : V \longrightarrow V^{(p^e)}$$

given by composing the  $F_{V^{(p^i)}} : V^{(p^i)} \longrightarrow V^{(p^{i+1})}$ . Extend scalars to  $k_s$ ; we obtain a morphism  $F_s$  where both the source and the target of  $F_s$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_{k_s}^{d-1}$ . More precisely,  $F_s$  is the same as the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{k_s}^{d-1} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k_s}^{d-1}, \\ [x_1 : \dots : x_d] &\mapsto [x_1^{p^e} : \dots : x_d^{p^e}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the finite, purely inseparable field extension  $k_s(V)/k_s(V^{(p^e)})$  induced by  $F_s$  is of degree  $p^{(d-1)e}$  and exponent  $e$  and is obtained by extracting  $p^e$ th roots of  $d - 1$  elements of  $k_s(V^{(p^e)})$ , namely the elements  $x_1/x_d, x_2/x_d, \dots, x_{d-1}/x_d$ . By Proposition 3.4, we get that the field extension  $k(V)/k(V^{(p^e)})$  (of the same degree  $p^{(d-1)e}$  and exponent  $e$ ) is generated by  $d - 1$  elements,  $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} \in k(V)$ . Note that we do not know much about an explicit possible choice of these elements  $y_i$ . Put  $a_i = y_i^{p^e} \in k(V^{(p^e)})$ . We have a surjection

$$\begin{aligned} k(V^{(p^e)})[X_1, \dots, X_{d-1}] / \langle X_i^{p^e} - a_i \rangle &\longrightarrow k(V), \\ X_i &\mapsto y_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is an isomorphism since both sides are  $k(V^{(p^e)})$ -vector spaces of the same dimension  $p^{(d-1)e}$ . This isomorphism gives the field extension  $k(V)/k(V^{(p^e)})$  the structure of a  $\mu_{p^e}^{d-1}$ -torsor. Hence there is a rational action of  $\mu_{p^e}^{d-1}$  on  $V$  which generically gives  $F : V \longrightarrow V^{(p^e)}$  the structure of a  $\mu_{p^e}^{d-1}$ -torsor. More accurately, there exists a nonempty Zariski-open  $U \subset V^{(p^e)}$  such that  $\tilde{F} := F|_{F^{-1}(U)} : F^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  can be given the structure of a  $\mu_{p^e}^{d-1}$ -torsor. But since  $U$  is a nonempty open subset of a projective space, its set of  $k$ -rational points is nonempty. The fiber of  $\tilde{F}$  over such a point is a  $\mu_{p^e}^{d-1}$ -torsor  $T$  which splits  $A$  (recall that, in general, a finite commutative  $k$ -algebra  $B$  splits  $A$  if and only if  $V(B)$  is nonempty; here  $T$  is canonically embedded in  $V$ ). But the  $k$ -algebra of functions on  $T$  is local, with residue field being a field of the type

$$k(\sqrt[e]{a_i}, i = 1, \dots, d - 1),$$

which then splits  $A$  as well. This proves the first statement of the theorem. Combining it with Albert’s theorem (Theorem 5.7) gives the second statement.

### 5. Structure of some unipotent groups and a new proof of Albert’s theorem

In this section, we give a structure theorem for the unipotent group  $R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$ , when  $K/k$  is a purely inseparable field extension (Theorem 5.6), and from this we derive a new proof of Albert’s theorem.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring of characteristic  $p$ . Put  $B := A[Y]/\langle Y^p \rangle$ . Denote by  $y$  the class of  $Y$  in  $B$ . For  $\lambda = a_0 + a_1y + \dots + a_{p-1}y^{p-1} \in B$ , there exists  $b \in B^*$  such that*

$$\lambda dy = db/b$$

*if and only if  $a_{p-1} = a_0^p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_{p-1} = a_0^p$ . Since  $\text{dlog}$  is a group homomorphism, it suffices to deal with the cases where  $\lambda = ay^k$  (for  $k = 1, \dots, p - 2$ ) and  $\lambda = a + a^p y^{p-1}$ . Pick an integer  $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$  and

pick  $a \in A$ . Put

$$b = 1 + ay^k + a^2y^{2k}/2! + \dots + a^{p-1}y^{(p-1)k}/(p-1)!$$

(truncated exponential series). An easy computation shows that

$$db = k ay^{k-1} b \, dy$$

if  $k > 1$  and that

$$db = a(b - a^{p-1}y^{p-1}/(p-1)!) \, dy = b(a + a^p y^{p-1}/b) \, dy = b(a + a^p y^{p-1}) \, dy$$

if  $k = 1$ . In the last equalities, we have used the facts that  $(p-1)! = -1 \pmod p$  and that  $1/b = 1 \pmod yB$ . The claim follows.

Assume now that  $\lambda = db/b$  for  $b \in B^*$ . We have to show that  $a_{p-1} = a_0^p$ . Assume that  $b$  factors as

$$b = c(1 - x_0y) \cdots (1 - x_{p-1}y),$$

with  $c \in A^*$  and  $x_i \in A$ . Since  $d\log$  is a group homomorphism, it suffices to deal with the case where  $b = 1 - xy$ . We then compute

$$db/b = d(1 - xy)/(1 - xy) = (-x - x^2y - \dots - x^p y^{p-1}) \, dy,$$

and the fact to check becomes trivial. To conclude, it suffices to observe that  $b$  factors in the above way after a faithfully flat ring extension of  $A$  (for instance, the well-known ‘universal splitting algebra’ for  $b$ ; cf. [Gab81, Lemma S]), and the equality  $a_{p-1} = a_0^p$  can be checked after such a base change.  $\square$

*Remark 5.2.* In [Oes84, Proposition VI.5.3], Oesterlé studies the unipotent group  $R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$ , where  $K = k(t^{1/p})$  is a purely inseparable extension of  $k$ . He shows that this group is isomorphic to the subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a^p$  given by the equation

$$x_0^p + x_1^p t + \dots + x_{p-1}^p t^{p-1} = x_{p-1}. \tag{E}$$

His proof uses the logarithmic differential as well, and is not unrelated to our approach. In short, what has to be shown is the following. Put  $t' = t^{1/p}$ . Given  $y = y_0 + y_1 t' + \dots + y_{p-1} t'^{p-1} \in K$ , we have

$$dy/y = (x_0 + x_1 t' + \dots + x_{p-1} t'^{p-1}) \, dt',$$

with the  $x_i$  satisfying equation (E) above. As an exercise, the reader may construct a short proof of Oesterlé’s result using Lemma 5.1, which corresponds to the ‘trivial’ case  $t = 0$ . We thank one of the referees for the suggestion to include this remark.

**LEMMA 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring of characteristic  $p$ , with  $\text{Spec}(A)$  connected. Pick  $t \in A^*$  and put  $B := A[X]/\langle X^p - t \rangle$ . Denote by  $x$  the class of  $X$  in  $B$ . For  $b \in B^*$ , there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that*

$$db/b = \alpha \, dx/x \in \Omega_{B/A}$$

*if and only if  $b$  is of the form  $ax^n$  for some integer  $n$  and some  $a \in A^*$ .*

*Proof.* The  $B$ -module  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is free of rank one with generator  $dx$ . Write  $b = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i x^i$ , with  $a_i \in A$ . The equality

$$db/b = \alpha \, dx/x$$



reads as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} ia_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha a_i x^i.$$

It follows that  $\alpha^p - \alpha = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (\alpha - i)$  annihilates all the  $a_i$  and hence  $b$ ; thus it is zero since  $b$  is invertible. Since  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is connected, we deduce that  $\alpha$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $n$  be an integer whose class is  $\alpha$ . The equality

$$db/b = \alpha dx/x$$

can now be rewritten as  $d(bx^{-n}) = 0$ , which obviously implies the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $t \in A^*$ . Put  $B := A[X]/\langle X^p - t \rangle$ . Denote by  $x$  the class of  $X$  in  $B$ . Put*

$$\Omega'_{B/A} := \Omega_{B/A} / \left\langle A \frac{dx}{x} \right\rangle;$$

this is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $p - 1$ . We have an exact sequence of  $A$ -group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n \mapsto x^n} R_{B/A}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_A(\Omega'_{B/A}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the morphism on the right is the composition of

$$\text{dlog} : R_{B/A}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_A(\Omega_{B/A})$$

with the quotient map

$$\mathbb{A}_A(\Omega_{B/A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_A(\Omega'_{B/A}).$$

*Proof.* Injectivity and exactness in the middle follow from Lemma 5.3, where we can replace  $A$  by an arbitrary commutative  $A$ -algebra and base-change  $B$  accordingly. We now check surjectivity. We will show the following. For any element  $b dx \in \Omega_{B/A}$ , there exists a faithfully flat ring extension  $A'/A$ , together with an invertible  $b' \in B \otimes_A A'$ , such that

$$\frac{db'}{b'} = b dx$$

modulo  $A'(dx/x)$ . Base-changing  $A$  to an arbitrary  $A$ -algebra then yields surjectivity. Upon base-changing  $A$  to a faithfully flat  $A$ -algebra in which  $t$  is a  $p$ th power ( $B$  itself will do), we can assume that  $t = u^p$  is a  $p$ th power in  $A$ . Put  $y := x - u \in B$ ; then  $B$  becomes isomorphic to  $A[Y]/\langle Y^p \rangle$ . Take  $b = a_0 + a_1 y + \dots + a_{p-1} y^{p-1} \in B$ . In  $\Omega_{B/A}$ , we have

$$\frac{dx}{x} = \frac{dy}{y + u} = (u^{-1} - u^{-2}y + u^{-3}y^2 + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}u^{-p}y^{p-1}) dy.$$

After a finite étale extension of  $A$ , we can assume that the equation

$$(a_0 + \alpha u^{-1})^p = a_{p-1} + (-1)^{p-1} \alpha u^{-p}$$

has a solution  $\alpha \in A$ . Upon replacing  $b$  by  $b + \alpha(dx/x)$ , we can assume that  $a_0^p = a_{p-1}$ . Apply Lemma 5.1 to conclude the proof.  $\square$

Remark 5.5. The preceding proposition can be generalized slightly as follows. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra which is finite and locally free. Let  $t$ ,  $B$ ,  $x$  and  $\Omega'_{B/A}$  be as in the proposition. Then there is an exact sequence

of  $R$ -group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n_i \rightarrow x^n} R_{B/R}(\mathbb{G}_m)/R_{A/R}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_R(\Omega'_{B/A}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The proof is exactly the same and will be omitted.

We now concentrate on the case of our field  $k$ .

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  be elements of  $k^*$ , and let  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers. Put*

$$K = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r k[X_i]/\langle X_i^{p^{n_i}} - t_i \rangle.$$

Put

$$U_{K/k} := R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m;$$

this is a smooth, connected, commutative (unipotent)  $k$ -group scheme. For each  $i$ , denote by  $G_i$  the subgroup of  $U_{K/k}$  generated by the class  $x_i$  of  $X_i$  in  $K^*$ ; it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $V_{K/k}$  the cokernel of the inclusion

$$\prod_{i=1}^r G_i \longrightarrow U_{K/k}.$$

Then  $V_{K/k}$  has a composition series with quotients isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . In particular, it has trivial  $H^i$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the sum of the  $n_i$ . Put

$$K' = k[x_1^p, x_2, \dots, x_r].$$

Then each  $G_i$ , for  $i \geq 2$ , is a subgroup of  $U_{K'/k}$  as well. Denote by  $G'_1$  the subgroup of  $U_{K'/k}$  generated by  $x_1^p$ ; it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{(n_1-1)}\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $V_{K'/k}$  the quotient  $U_{K'/k}/(G'_1 \times \prod_{i=2}^r G_i)$ ; it is a subgroup of  $V_{K/k}$ . It is enough to show that the quotient  $V_{K/k}/V_{K'/k}$  is isomorphic to a product of copies of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ ; then induction applies.

By Remark 5.5 applied to  $R = k$ ,  $A = K'$  and  $t = X_1^p$  (the  $K$ -algebra  $B$  then being canonically isomorphic to  $K$ ), we obtain an exact sequence of  $k$ -group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n_1 \rightarrow x_1^n} R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/R_{K'/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k(\Omega'_{K'/K}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

yielding an isomorphism from  $V_{K/k}/V_{K'/k}$  to  $\mathbb{A}_k(\Omega'_{K'/K})$ , which is of course, as a  $k$ -group scheme, isomorphic to a product of copies of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . □

THEOREM 5.7 (Albert). *Let  $K = k[\sqrt[p^{n_i}]{a_i}, i = 1, \dots, r]$  be a purely inseparable field extension. Let  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(k)$  be in the kernel of the restriction map  $\text{Br}(k) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(K)$ . Then there exists  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}$ -Galois  $k$ -algebras  $M_i$  such that*

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r [(M_i, a_i)]$$

in  $\text{Br}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Put

$$K' = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r k[X_i]/\langle X_i^{p^{n_i}} - a_i \rangle.$$

The  $k$ -algebra  $K'$  is finite-dimensional and local, with residue field  $K$ . Recall that there is (as for any scheme) a Brauer group  $\text{Br}(K')$ , defined as  $H^2(\text{Spec}(K'), \mathbb{G}_m)$  (for the étale or fppf topology, which are the same here since  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is smooth). It corresponds to the group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over  $K'$ , and the natural map  $\text{Br}(K') \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)$  is an isomorphism. Put

$$U_{K'/k} := R_{K'/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m.$$

As usual, from the long exact sequence in (Galois) cohomology associated to the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow R_{K'/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow U_{K'/k} \rightarrow 1,$$

we deduce that

$$H^1(k, U_{K'/k}) = \text{Ker}(\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K')) = \text{Ker}(\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)).$$

We can then view  $\alpha$  as a class in  $H^1(k, U_{K'/k})$ .

By Proposition 5.6, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow U_{K'/k} \rightarrow V_{K'/k} \rightarrow 1,$$

with  $V_{K'/k}$  having trivial  $H^1$ . We thus have a surjection

$$s : \prod_{i=1}^r H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(k, U_{K'/k}).$$

Let  $i$  be an integer between 1 and  $r$ , and let  $M_i$  be a Galois  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra over  $k$ . By (a variant of) [GS06, Construction 2.5.1], we see that

$$s([M_i/k]) = [M_i/k, a_i]$$

in  $\text{Br}(k)$ , whence the result. □

*Remark 5.8.* We present here Albert's theorem as a corollary of Proposition 5.6. The usual proofs of this theorem are completely different. To the author's knowledge, the shortest proof is that of [GS06, Theorem 9.1.1], where the theorem is attributed to Hochschild. Meanwhile, we are grateful to David Saltman for pointing out that this theorem is actually due to Albert; cf. [Alb39, Theorem 28, p. 108]. It is likely that the proof of Albert's theorem presented in [GS06] is due to Hochschild. Roughly speaking, it goes as follows. As in the proof of Proposition 5.6, the crucial case is that of  $K = k[\sqrt[p]{a}]$ . It is first shown that  $\alpha$  is represented by a central simple algebra  $A/k$ , of degree  $p$ , containing  $K$ ; this appears to be a classical fact. Put  $x = \sqrt[p]{a} \in K$ . Using a simple but clever construction, one then exhibits a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -Galois algebra  $M \subset A$  such that, for each  $m \in M$ , one has  $mxm^{-1} = \sigma(m)$ , where  $\sigma$  is the class of 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . This shows that  $A = (M/k, a)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank O. Gabber, P. Mammone, D. Saltman and J.-P. Tignol for helpful suggestions. He also thanks the referees for their remarks, which have helped to improve the clarity of the exposition.

REFERENCES

Alb39 A. A. Albert, *Structure of algebras*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. XXIV (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1939).

- ABGV11 A. Auel, E. Brussel, S. Garibaldi and U. Vishne, *Open problems on central simple algebras*, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), 219–264.
- Flo08 M. Florence, *On the essential dimension of cyclic  $p$ -groups*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 175–189.
- Gab81 O. Gabber, *Some theorems on Azumaya algebras*, in *Groupe de Brauer*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 844 (Springer, Berlin, 1981), 129–209.
- GS06 P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- Jac10 N. Jacobson, *Finite-dimensional division algebras over fields* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
- KOS75 M.-A. Knus, M. Ojanguren and D. Saltman, *Brauer groups in characteristic  $p$* , in *Brauer groups (Evanston, October 11–15, 1975)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 549 (Springer, Berlin, 1976).
- Mam86 P. Mammone, *Sur la corestriction des  $p$ -symboles*, Comm. Algebra **14** (1986), 517–529.
- MM91 P. Mammone and A. Merkurjev, *On the corestriction of  $p^n$ -symbol*, Israel J. Math. **76** (1991), 73–79.
- Oes84 J. Oesterlé, *Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotents en caractéristique  $p$* , Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 13–88.
- Tei36 O. Teichmüller,  *$p$ -Algebren*, Deutsche Mathematik **1** (1936), 362–388.

Mathieu Florence [mathieu.florence@gmail.com](mailto:mathieu.florence@gmail.com)

Equipe de Topologie et Géométrie Algébriques, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu,  
4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France