FELL BUNDLES AND IMPRIMITIVITY THEOREMS: MANSFIELD'S AND FELL'S THEOREMS

S. KALISZEWSKI, PAUL S. MUHLY, JOHN QUIGG™ and DANA P. WILLIAMS

(Received 16 September 2012; accepted 5 March 2013; first published online 7 June 2013)

Communicated by G. Willis

Abstract

In the third and latest paper in this series, we recover the imprimitivity theorems of Mansfield and Fell using our technique of Fell bundles over groupoids. Also, we apply the Rieffel surjection of the first paper in the series to relate our version of Mansfield's theorem to that of an Huef and Raeburn, and to give an automatic amenability result for certain transformation Fell bundles.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46L55; secondary 46M15, 18A25. *Keywords and phrases*: imprimitivity theorem, Fell bundle, groupoid.

1. Introduction

This paper follows on from our earlier work [9, 10], and completes our task of showing that all known imprimitivity theorems involving groups can be unified via the Yamagami–Muhly–Williams equivalence theorem (to which we will refer as the YMW theorem) [14, 17], which shows how an equivalence between Fell bundles gives rise to a Morita equivalence between their C^* -algebras. In [9] we showed how the YMW theorem can be used to derive Raeburn's symmetric imprimitivity theorem (which, as Raeburn points out, quickly implies both the Green–Takesaki imprimitivity theorem for induced representations of C^* -dynamical systems and Green's imprimitivity theorem for induced actions). To this end, we first proved what we called the symmetric action theorem for commuting free and proper actions by automorphisms of groups on Fell bundles over groupoids. In [9] we also proved what we called the one-sided action theorem, a special case of the symmetric action theorem with one group trivial. We also proved a structure theorem characterizing free and proper actions on Fell bundles: using a result perhaps due to Palais, such actions all arise from transformation Fell bundles, which were studied in [8].

In [10] we studied the one-sided action theorem further, deriving a curious connection with Rieffel's imprimitivity theorem for generalized fixed-point algebras:

^{© 2013} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 1446-7887/2013 \$16.00

the imprimitivity bimodule in Rieffel's theorem is a quotient of the imprimitivity bimodule in the one-sided action theorem. Consequently, it is reasonable to regard the C^* -algebra of an orbit Fell bundle by a free and proper group action as a 'universal', or 'full', version of a Rieffel-type generalized fixed-point algebra.

In the current paper we show how the YMW theorem can be used to prove both Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem, which is the dual to the Green–Takesaki theorem, and Fell's original imprimitivity theorem, which involves the restriction of a Fell bundle to a subgroup.

In addition, we apply the Rieffel surjection of [10] to relate our version of Mansfield's theorem to that of an Huef and Raeburn in [7], and we further give an automatic amenability result for transformation Fell bundles of the form $\mathcal{B} \times G/H$, where $\mathcal{B} \to G$ is a Fell bundle over a group and H is an amenable subgroup of G.

2. Preliminaries

We adopt the conventions of [8, 9]. All our Banach bundles will be upper semicontinuous and separable, all our spaces and groupoids will be locally compact Hausdorff and second countable, and our groupoids will all have left Haar systems. Convenient references for the various types of coactions (reduced, full, normal, maximal) we discuss are [6] and [8, Introduction].

In order to place our version of Mansfield's theorem in context, it is perhaps helpful to include a short history of this imprimitivity theorem. Mansfield's original imprimitivity theorem [13, Theorem 27] states that, if δ is a reduced coaction of a locally compact group G on a C^* -algebra A, and H is a closed amenable normal subgroup of G, then δ restricts to a reduced coaction δ | of the quotient group G/H on A, and there is a Morita equivalence

$$A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|,r} H \underset{M}{\sim} A \rtimes_{\delta|} G/H. \tag{2.1}$$

Switching from reduced to full coactions, the amenability hypothesis was removed in [11, Theorem 3.3], where (2.1) was proved under the assumption that the coaction δ is normal.

On the other hand, if δ is maximal (and H is any closed normal subgroup of G), [12, Theorem 5.3] gives a version of the Mansfield imprimitivity theorem for the full crossed product by the dual action:

$$A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|} H \underset{M}{\sim} A \rtimes_{\delta|} G/H. \tag{2.2}$$

Here the restricted coaction δ of G/H is also maximal, by [12, Corollary 7.2].

Theorem 3.1 of [4] says that if $p: \mathcal{B} \to G$ is a Fell bundle over a discrete group G, and if H is any subgroup of G, then

$$C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\partial}|} H \underset{M}{\sim} C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H),$$
 (2.3)

where δ is the canonical coaction of G on $C^*(\mathcal{B})$, determined by $\delta(b) = b \otimes p(b)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{B} \times G/H \to G \times G/H$ is the transformation Fell bundle (as in [8, Section 4]) associated to the action of G on itself by left translation. When the subgroup H is normal, (2.3) is a special case of (2.2), because by [2, Proposition 4.2] the coaction δ of G on $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ is maximal, and by [4, Corollary 2.12] we have $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H) \cong C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G/H$.

Back to reduced coactions, but removing the hypothesis of normality (as well as the amenability) of H, [3, Theorem 5.1] and [7, Theorem 3.1] give a version of Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem for homogeneous spaces:

$$A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|,r} H \underset{M}{\sim} A \rtimes_{\delta,r} G/H,$$
 (2.4)

where now $A \rtimes_{\delta,r} G/H$ is defined as the closed span of the products $j_A(a)\overline{j_G}(f)$ for $a \in A$ and $f \in C_0(G/H)$, and where the latter is identified with its canonical image in $C_b(G) = M(C_0(G))$.

It is natural to ask the following question.

Question 2.1. Is there a common generalization of (2.2) and (2.4)?

Such a generalization would be a version of (2.2) for arbitrary closed subgroups H, and also a version of (2.4) for full crossed products. More precisely, such a result would (presumably) say that if (A, δ) is a maximal coaction of a locally compact group G and H is a closed subgroup of G, then the full crossed product $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta} H$ is Morita equivalent to a 'restricted crossed product' $A \rtimes_{\delta} G/H$. However, it is not clear how to get an appropriate analogue of the restricted crossed product $A \rtimes_{\delta} G/H$. Theorem 3.1 below will give a version of such a result in the case where $A = C^*(\mathcal{B})$ for a Fell bundle $\mathcal{B} \to G$. This will not completely answer Question 2.1, because, while it is true that every maximal coaction is Morita equivalent to one of the form $(C^*(\mathcal{B}), \delta)$, the restricted crossed product is usually identified with a subalgebra of the multiplier algebra $M(A \rtimes_{\delta} G)$, and there is no mechanism for inducing arbitrary C^* -subalgebras across imprimitivity bimodules.

3. Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\mathcal{B} \to G$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G. Let δ be the canonical coaction of G on $C^*(\mathcal{B})$, and let $\hat{\delta}|$ be the restriction to H of the dual action of G on $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G$. Further, let $\mathcal{B} \times G/H \to G \times G/H$ denote the transformation Fell bundle associated to the action of G on G/H by left translation. Then there is a Morita equivalence

$$C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|} H \sim_{M} C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H).$$

PROOF. By translation in the second coordinate, H acts by automorphisms on the right of the transformation Fell bundle $p: \mathcal{B} \times G \to G \times G$. Applying the one-sided action

theorem [9, Corollary 2.3] gives the Fell-bundle equivalence

$$(\mathcal{B} \times G)/H \underset{M}{\sim} H \ltimes (\mathcal{B} \times G).$$
 (3.1)

Using the obvious isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{B} \times G)/H \cong \mathcal{B} \times G/H$$
,

apply the YMW theorem [14, Theorem 6.4] to (3.1) to get a Morita equivalence

$$C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H) \underset{M}{\sim} C^*(H \ltimes (\mathcal{B} \times G)).$$

Switching the sides and applying the isomorphism $C^*(H \ltimes (\mathcal{B} \times G)) \cong C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes H$ from [8, Theorem 7.1], where the action of H on $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G)$ is associated to right-translation in the second coordinate on the Fell bundle $\mathcal{B} \times G$, gives

$$C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes H \underset{M}{\sim} C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H).$$

Finally, [8, Theorem 5.1] gives an isomorphism $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \cong C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G$, which, by the proof of [8, Proposition 8.2], carries the action of H on $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G)$ to the (restriction to H of the) dual action on the crossed product $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G$, and the result follows. \square

In Theorem 3.1, the right-hand C^* -algebra in the Morita-equivalent pair is the Fellbundle algebra $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$. However, as we have seen in the introduction, in most versions of Mansfield imprimitivity this algebra is some sort of crossed product of $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ by a restriction, δ , of δ to G/H. In the case of Theorem 3.1, by analogy with the notation in (2.4), it seems reasonable to regard $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$ as a 'full crossed product' $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G/H$ by the (heretofore undefined) restricted coaction δ of the homogeneous space G/H. On the other hand, when H is normal it seems prudent to check whether $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G/H$ by the (well-defined) restricted coaction δ of the quotient group G/H on $C^*(\mathcal{B})$. Fortunately, this is indeed the case given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{B} \to G$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group, let H be a closed normal subgroup of G, and let δ be the canonical coaction of G on $C^*(\mathcal{B})$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\theta: C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G/H \to C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$$

such that

$$\theta(j_{C^*(\mathcal{B})}(f)j_G(g)) = (\Delta^{1/2}f) \boxtimes g \quad \text{for } f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{B}), g \in C_c(G),$$

where Δ is the modular function of G and $(\Delta^{1/2}f) \boxtimes g$ denotes the element of $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$ defined by

$$(f\boxtimes g)(s,tH)=(\Delta(s)^{1/2}f(s)g(tH),tH).$$

PROOF. [10, Proposition 2.1] gives us nondegenerate homomorphisms Φ and μ of $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ and $C_0(G/H)$, respectively, into $M(C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H))$; we need to know that the pair (Φ, μ) is covariant. It will then follow from [10, Proposition 2.1] that the integrated form $\theta := \Phi \times \mu$ is surjective, and it will remain to show that θ is injective. Luckily, the hard work has already been done: the covariance and the injectivity can be proven via routine adaptations of the proof of [8, Theorem 5.1] (with the proof of covariance using a suitable routine adaptation of [8, Proposition 3.4]).

4. Mansfield and the Rieffel surjection

Let $\mathcal{B} \to G$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G. Let X be the $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|} H - C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$ imprimitivity bimodule from Theorem 3.1. Then H acts freely and properly on (the right of) the Fell bundle $\mathcal{B} \times G \to G \times G$, and the orbit Fell bundle is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B} \times G/H \to G \times G/H$, so by [10, Theorem 3.1] we have a Rieffel surjection

$$(\Lambda, \Upsilon, \Phi) : (C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha} H, X, C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H))$$

$$\to (C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} H, X_R, C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G)^{\alpha})$$
(4.1)

of imprimitivity bimodules, where $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G)^{\alpha}$ denotes the generalized fixed-point algebra. We can replace the left-hand coefficient C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha} H$ of X by either of the isomorphic algebras

$$C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|} H$$
 or $C^*((\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes H)$.

Similarly, for X_R we can replace the left-hand coefficient C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} H$ by either of the isomorphic algebras $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta|,r} H$ or, by [16, Example 11], $C^*_r((\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes H)$, and the right-hand coefficient algebra $C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G)^{\alpha}$ by either of the isomorphic algebras $(C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G)^{\hat{\delta}|}$ or, by [10, Corollary 3.5], $C^*_r(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$.

In particular, we can write the Rieffel surjection (4.1) as

$$(\Lambda, \Upsilon, \Lambda) : (C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha} H, X, C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H))$$

$$\to (C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} H, X_R, C_r^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)). \tag{4.2}$$

When $H = \{e\}$, the following corollary generalizes [4, Remark 2.11] from the discrete case, and is unsurprising, since for group coactions the regular representation of the crossed product is faithful. We should also mention that the corollary below follows from [15, Theorem 1], which is proved by different means, since the transformation groupoid $G \times G/H$ is amenable in the sense of [1], being groupoid-equivalent to the amenable group H.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let $\mathcal{B} \to G$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G. If H is amenable, then the transformation bundle $\mathcal{B} \times G/H \to G \times G/H$ is metrically amenable in the sense of [15], that is, the regular representation

$$\Lambda: C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H) \to C_r^*(\mathcal{B} \times G/H)$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF. This follows from (4.2), because the first regular representation $\Lambda: C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha} H \to C^*(\mathcal{B} \times G) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} H$ is an isomorphism.

REMARK 4.2. In [7, Theorem 3.1], an Huef and Raeburn give a Morita equivalence

$$C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}|,r} H \underset{M}{\sim} C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta,r} G/H$$
 (4.3)

with an imprimitivity bimodule $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ that is a completion of Mansfield's algebra \mathcal{D} [13]. They define $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta,r} G/H$ as the C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G$ generated by $j_C^*(\mathcal{B})(C^*(\mathcal{B}))\overline{j_G}(C_0(G/H))$, and they show that this coincides with Rieffel's generalized fixed-point algebra $(C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\delta} G)^{\hat{\delta}|}$ associated to the action $\hat{\delta}|$ of H. If follows from [7, Lemma 3.2] (see also [7, Remark 3.4]) that the imprimitivity bimodules X_R and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ are isomorphic. Thus, the an Huef–Raeburn Morita equivalence (4.3) is a quotient of that in Theorem 3.1.

5. Fell's original imprimitivity theorem

Finally, we derive one more well-known imprimitivity theorem from the YMW theorem, namely Fell's original imprimitivity theorem for C^* -algebraic bundles (that is, Fell bundles) over groups. This one seems not to follow from the symmetric action theorem.

To apply the YMW theorem [14, Theorem 6.4], we first need a Fell-bundle equivalence as given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \to G$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G. Let $\mathcal{A} \times G/H \to G \times G/H$ be the transformation Fell bundle (where G acts on G/H by left translation). Let $\mathcal{A}|_H \to H$ be the restricted Fell bundle. Then \mathcal{A} gives an $(\mathcal{A} \times G/H) - \mathcal{A}|_H$ equivalence in the following way:

(i) $\mathcal{A} \times G/H$ acts on the left of \mathcal{A} by

$$(a, p(b)H)b = ab;$$

(ii) the left inner product is given by

$$_L\langle a,b\rangle=(ab^*,p(b)H);$$

- (iii) $\mathcal{A}|_H$ acts on the right of \mathcal{A} by right multiplication;
- (iv) the right inner product is given by

$$\langle a,b\rangle_R=a^*b.$$

PROOF. The computations required to verify the conditions of [14, Definition 6.1] are routine.

We recover Fell's imprimitivity theorem [5, Theorem XI.14.17], which can be rephrased as follows.

COROLLARY 5.2. With the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1, $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$ completes to a $C^*(\mathcal{A} \times G/H) - C^*(\mathcal{A}|_H)$ imprimitivity bimodule.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.1 and the YMW theorem.

REMARK 5.3. The above proof of Fell's theorem is quite a bit shorter, and we believe more natural, than Fell and Doran's. Fell and Doran had to work quite hard, developing a version of the transformation bundle over G that incorporates the left action of G on G/H. Our job is much easier because we allow ourselves to consider the transformation Fell bundle $\mathcal{A} \times G/H$ over the groupoid $G \times G/H$; Fell and Doran did not avail themselves of the technology of groupoids, so all their bundles had to be over groups.

References

- [1] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable Groupoids*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique, 36 (L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000). With a Foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain.
- [2] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski and J. Quigg, 'Maximal coactions', *Internat. J. Math.* 15 (2004), 47–61.
- [3] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski and I. Raeburn, 'Crossed products by dual coactions of groups and homogeneous spaces', *J. Operator Theory* **39** (1998), 151–176.
- [4] S. Echterhoff and J. Quigg, 'Full duality for coactions of discrete groups', Math. Scand. 90 (2002), 267–288.
- [5] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, *Representations of *-Algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach *-Algebraic Bundles. Vol.* 2, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 126 (Academic Press, Boston, 1988).
- [6] A. an Huef, J. Quigg, I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, 'Full and reduced coactions of locally compact groups on *C**-algebras', *Expo. Math.* **29** (2011), 3–23.
- [7] A. an Huef and I. Raeburn, 'Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem for arbitrary closed subgroups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1153–1162.
- [8] S. Kaliszewski, P. S. Muhly, J. Quigg and D. P. Williams, 'Coactions and Fell bundles', New York J. Math. 16 (2010), 315–359.
- [9] S. Kaliszewski, P. S. Muhly, J. Quigg and D. P. Williams, 'Fell bundles and imprimitivity theorems', *Münster J. Math.*, to appear, arXiv:math.OA.1201.5035.
- [10] S. Kaliszewski, P. S. Muhly, J. Quigg and D. P. Williams, 'Fell bundles and imprimitivity theorems: towards a universal generalized fixed point algebra', *Indiana J. Math.*, to appear, arXiv:math.OA.1206.6739.
- [11] S. Kaliszewski and J. Quigg, 'Imprimitivity for *C**-coactions of nonamenable groups', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **123** (1998), 101–118.
- [12] S. Kaliszewski and J. Quigg, 'Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem for full crossed products', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357**(5) (2005), 2021–2042.
- [13] K. Mansfield, 'Induced representations of crossed products by coactions', J. Funct. Anal. 97 (1991), 112–161.
- [14] P. S. Muhly and D. P. Williams, 'Equivalence and disintegration theorems for Fell bundles and their C*-algebras', *Dissertationes Mathematicae* **456** (2008), 1–57.
- [15] A. Sims and D. P. Williams, 'Amenability for Fell bundles over groupoids', *Illinois J. Math.*, to appear, arXiv:math.OA.1201.0792.
- [16] A. Sims and D. P. Williams, 'An equivalence theorem for reduced Fell bundle C*-algebras'. Preprint, arXiv:math.OA.1111.5753.
- [17] S. Yamagami, 'On the ideal structure of C*-algebras over locally compact groupoids', Preprint, 1987.

S. KALISZEWSKI, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

e-mail: kaliszewski@asu.edu

PAUL S. MUHLY, Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

e-mail: paul-muhly@uiowa.edu

JOHN QUIGG, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA e-mail: quigg@asu.edu

DANA P. WILLIAMS, Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA

e-mail: dana.williams@dartmouth.edu