The Editor,
T.F.A.

3 Charlotte Square, Edinburari, 2.
14th January 1964. Dear Sir,

In a recent Actuarial Note (T.F.A. vol. 28, p. 99) Mr. D. W. A. Donald has drawn attention to the fact that a change in the valuation rate of interest can sometimes induce a greater proportionate change in the value of a redeemable stock than in that of a perpetuity and gives the necessary conditions for this to happen. Earlier (T.F.A. vol. 25, p. 388) Messrs. Lundie \& Hancock derived in a different context the necessary and sufficient condition, which can be expressed as $n>\frac{1+i}{i-g}$ or $i>\frac{1+n g}{n-1}$, depending upon which variables are regarded as fixed.
These inequalities can be obtained by differentiating $\log \mathrm{A}$ with respect to $i$ giving $-\frac{1}{i}\left\{1+\frac{v^{n+1}}{\mathrm{~A}}[n(i-g)-(1+i)]\right\}$ and since $-\frac{1}{i}$ is the proportionate rate of change of a perpetuity the inequality follows.

Critical values of $i$, above which the event in question occurs, for various combinations of $n$ and $g$ can be tabulated as follows :-

| $n$ | $g$ | .025 | .03 | .035 | .04 | .045 | .05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | .139 | .144 | .150 | .156 | .161 | .167 | .172 |
| 20 | .079 | .084 | .089 | .095 | .100 | .105 | .111 |
| 30 | .060 | .066 | .071 | .076 | .081 | .086 | .091 |
| 40 | .051 | .056 | .062 | .067 | .072 | .077 | .082 |
| 50 | .046 | .051 | .056 | .061 | .066 | .071 | .077 |
| 60 | .042 | .047 | .053 | .058 | .063 | .068 | .073 |

It is interesting to pursue further the shape of the curve $\mathrm{R}=1-v^{n}+\frac{i}{g} v^{n}$ i.e. the ratio of a dated stock to a perpetuity of the same coupon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{R}}{\partial i}=\frac{v^{n+1}}{g}\{(1+i)-n(i-g)\} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{R}}{\partial i^{2}}=-\frac{n v^{n+2}}{g}\{2(1+i)-(n+1)(i-g)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

confirming that the critical value gives a maximum and showing that there is a point of inflexion at $i=(n g+g+2) /(n-1)$. Also $\mathrm{R}=1$ is an asymptote and when $i=g \mathrm{R}=1$, when $i=0 \mathrm{R}=0$. The shape of the general curve is now clear. A better picture of the dimensions involved can be seen by considering the example of $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ Funding 1999/2004 which is finally redeemable in just under 41 years. Working in half years,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\cdot 0175 \\
n & =82 \\
\text { critical } i & =\frac{1+1 \cdot 435}{81}=\cdot 03 \\
\text { point of inflexion at } i & =\frac{1 \cdot 452+2}{81}=\cdot 0426
\end{aligned}
$$

With the aid of the following values, the graph can be accurately drawn.

| $i$ | R |
| :--- | :---: |
| .005 | .525 |
| .0075 | .690 |
| .01 | .810 |
| .0125 | .897 |
| .0150 | .958 |
| .0175 | 1.000 |
| .03 | 1.064 |
| .0425 | 1.047 |
| .05 | 1.034 |
| .06 | 1.020 |
| .07 | 1.012 |
| .08 | 1.006 |

From these figures it can be seen that if the yields are always the same, the likely loss in preferring $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ Funding 1999/2004 to a perpetuity will exceed the likely gain at all except very low rates of interest. When $i=03$ per half year, the loss is certain. This may not be quite what is meant by the expression " protection of a date ".

At this date, the price of $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ Funding 1999/2004 is 66.75 . The price of $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ Treasury, the nearest available approximation to a riskfree perpetuity, is $42 \cdot 38$, which, on adjustment to a $3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ basis, becomes $59 \cdot 33$. The present ratio therefore exceeds $1 \cdot 12$, which suggests that the market takes some other factors into consideration in valuing these securities.

Yours faithfully,
J. B. MARSHALL.

