



Symmetric Products of Equivariantly Formal Spaces

Matthias Franz

Abstract. Let X be a CW complex with a continuous action of a topological group G . We show that if X is equivariantly formal for singular cohomology with coefficients in some field \mathbb{k} , then so are all symmetric products of X and in fact all its Γ -products. In particular, symmetric products of quasi-projective M -varieties are again M -varieties. This generalizes a result by Biswas and D’Mello about symmetric products of M -curves. We also discuss several related questions.

1 Statement of the Results

Let X be a complex algebraic variety with an anti-holomorphic involution τ . Then the sum of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -Betti numbers of the fixed point set X^τ cannot exceed the corresponding sum for X . In case of equality, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \dim H^*(X^\tau; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

and one calls X *maximal* or an *M-variety*. Maximal varieties are an important object of study in real algebraic geometry.

Let $n \geq 0$. The n -th symmetric product $SP^n(X)$ of X is the quotient of the Cartesian product X^n by the canonical action of the symmetric group S_n ; $SP^0(X)$ is a point. If X is quasi-projective, then $SP^n(X)$ is again a complex algebraic variety equipped with an anti-holomorphic involution induced by τ .

Assume that X is a compact connected Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 0$. In this case, Biswas and D’Mello [4] have recently shown that if X is maximal, then so is $SP^n(X)$ for $n \leq 3$ and $n \geq 2g - 1$. The main purpose of this note is to point out that this conclusion holds in far greater generality.

A continuous involution τ on a topological space X is the same as a continuous action of the group $C = \{1, \tau\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. For many “nice” C -spaces, including the algebraic varieties considered above, equality (1.1) is equivalent to the surjectivity of the canonical restriction map $H_C^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ from equivariant to ordinary cohomology; see Proposition 2.1.

We recall the definition of (Borel) equivariant cohomology (cf. [5, Sec. III.1]). Let G be a topological group, and let $EG \rightarrow BG$ be the universal G -bundle; for $G = C$ this is the bundle $S^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty$. The equivariant cohomology of a G -space X with coefficients in the field \mathbb{k} is defined as $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{k}) = H^*(X_G; \mathbb{k})$, where $H^*(\cdot)$ denotes singular

Received by the editors February 10, 2017; revised May 4, 2017.

Published electronically June 21, 2017.

The author was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

AMS subject classification: 55N91, 55S15, 14P25.

Keywords: symmetric product, equivariant formality, maximal variety, Gamma product.

cohomology and the Borel construction $X_G = EG \times_G X$ is the quotient of $EG \times X$ by the diagonal G -action.

If the inclusion of the fibre $X \hookrightarrow X_G$ induces a surjection in cohomology, then X is called *equivariantly formal* over \mathbb{k} . This condition is equivalent to the freeness of $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{k})$ over $H^*(BG; \mathbb{k})$ if G is for instance a compact connected Lie group or a connected complex algebraic group; see Proposition 2.2. Many spaces are known to be equivariantly formal over \mathbb{R} , for example compact Hamiltonian G -manifolds for G a compact connected Lie group [9], [15, Prop. 5.8], or rationally smooth compact complex algebraic G -varieties for G a reductive connected algebraic group [11, Thm. 14.1], [21].

Let $\Gamma \subset S_n$ be a subgroup. The Γ -product X^Γ of a topological space X is the quotient of X^n by the canonical action of Γ (cf. [6, Def. 7.1]).¹ For $\Gamma = 1$ one obtains the Cartesian product X^n and for $\Gamma = S_n$ the n -th symmetric product of X considered above for quasi-projective varieties. Note that any continuous G -action on X induces one on X^Γ .

Our generalization of Biswas and D'Mello's result now reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let G be a topological group and X a CW complex with a continuous G -action. Let \mathbb{k} be a field and Γ a subgroup of S_n for some $n \geq 0$. If X is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{k} , then so is X^Γ .*

Corollary 1.2 *If X is a quasi-projective M -variety, then X^Γ is an M -variety. In particular, symmetric products of quasi-projective M -varieties are again M -varieties.*

We have the following partial converse to Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.3 *With the same notation as before, assume that $n \geq 1$ and that X has fixed points. If X^Γ is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{k} , then so is X .*

Example 1.4 Let $X = \mathbb{C}P^1$. Then $SP^n(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^n$, as can be seen by identifying \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with complex binary forms of degree n and invoking the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Let τ be complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. This is an anti-holomorphic involution with fixed point set $\mathbb{R}P^1$; $\mathbb{C}P^1$ therefore is maximal. The induced involution on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is again complex conjugation. Hence $SP^n(X)^\tau = \mathbb{R}P^n$, showing that $SP^n(X)$ is maximal, too.

Now consider the holomorphic involution on X given in homogeneous coordinates by $[x_0 : x_1] \mapsto [x_0 : -x_1]$. This action is also equivariantly formal over \mathbb{Z}_2 with the two fixed points $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$. The induced involution on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is

$$[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mapsto [x_0 : -x_1 : x_2 : \cdots : (-1)^n x_n].$$

For $n \geq 1$, its fixed point set is the disjoint union of $\mathbb{C}P^k$ and $\mathbb{C}P^l$, where k and l are obtained by rounding $(n-1)/2$ respectively up and down to the next integer. The Betti sum of the fixed point set is $(k+1) + (l+1) = n+1$. This is the same as for $\mathbb{C}P^n$, which again confirms Theorem 1.1.

¹Despite the similar notation, the Γ -product X^Γ should not be confused with the fixed point set X^G of the G -action on X .

Finally, let τ be the anti-holomorphic involution given by $[x_0 : x_1] \mapsto [\bar{x}_1 : -\bar{x}_0]$. It is fixed-point free and corresponds to the antipodal map on S^2 . The fixed point set of $SP^2(X) = \mathbb{C}P^2$ is homeomorphic to the orbit space $X/C \approx \mathbb{R}P^2$. Hence, $SP^2(X)$ is maximal, while X itself is not. This illustrates that Proposition 1.3 may fail for actions without fixed point.

A G -space X is equivariantly formal if and only if G acts trivially on $H^*(X; \mathbb{k})$ and the Serre spectral sequence for the bundle $X \rightarrow X_G \rightarrow BG$ with coefficients in \mathbb{k} degenerates at the second page [5, Prop. III.1.17], [16, §VI.5.5]. One can study these two conditions separately.

Proposition 1.5 *Assume the same notation as in Theorem 1.1. If G acts trivially on $H^*(X)$, then it also does on $H^*(X^\Gamma)$. The converse holds if $X^G \neq \emptyset$ and $n \geq 1$.*

The degeneration of the Serre spectral sequence is a more delicate matter. Consider again a compact connected Riemann surface X with an anti-holomorphic involution τ , and assume that it has fixed points. In this context, Baird [3, Prop. 3.9] has recently shown that the Serre spectral sequence with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 for the Borel construction of any symmetric product of X degenerates at the second page. It is not difficult to extend this to compact Riemann surfaces that are not connected or without fixed points. Recall that $C = \{1, \tau\}$.

Proposition 1.6 *Let X be a compact, not necessarily connected Riemann surface with an anti-holomorphic involution τ . If the Serre spectral sequence for X_C with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 degenerates at the second page, then so does the one for $SP^n(X)_C$.*

Question 1.7 (Baird) Let the notation be as in Theorem 1.1. If the Serre spectral sequence for X_G with coefficients in \mathbb{k} degenerates at the second page, does the same hold true for the Borel construction of X^Γ ?

In a different direction, the notion of equivariant formality has been extended to that of a syzygy in equivariant cohomology by Allday, Franz, and Puppe [2] (for G a torus) and Franz [10] (for G a compact connected Lie group). Let r be the rank of such a G so that $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ is a polynomial algebra in r variables of even degrees. For $1 \leq k \leq r$, the k -th syzygies over $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ interpolate between torsion-free modules ($k = 1$) and free ones ($k = r$). Since a G -space X is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{R} if and only if $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ is a free module over $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$, Theorem 1.1 can be restated as follows: if $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ is an r -th syzygy over $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$, then so is $H_G^*(X^\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$. The following example, whose details appear at the end of the paper, shows that this result does not extend to smaller syzygy orders.

Example 1.8 Let $G = (S^1)^r$ be a torus; $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ is a polynomial algebra in r indeterminates of degree 2 over \mathbb{R} . Let $X = \Sigma G$ be the suspension of the torus. Then $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ is given by pairs of polynomials with the same constant term. Hence, $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ is torsion-free, but not free for $r \geq 2$ (not even a second syzygy); see [1, Example 3.3].

There is a G -stable filtration of $SP^2(X)$ of length 2 whose associated spectral sequence converging to $H_G^*(SP^2(X); \mathbb{R})$ has the property that second column of the limit page is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{R} and non-zero for $r \geq 3$. Since this column is an $H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ -submodule of $H_G^*(SP^2(X); \mathbb{R})$, it follows that $H_G^*(SP^2(X); \mathbb{R})$ has torsion for $r \geq 3$.

2 Proofs

We are going to show that Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Dold's results [6] about the homology of symmetric products. For the sake of completeness, let us first justify the claims made previously regarding the Betti sum of the fixed point set and equivariant formality. In this section, all (co)homology is taken with coefficients in a field \mathbb{k} .

Proposition 2.1 *Let p be a prime and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^r$, and let X be a smooth G -manifold or real analytic G -variety with finite Betti sum. Then*

$$\dim H^*(X^G) \leq \dim H^*(X)$$

with equality if and only if X is equivariantly formal.

Proof This holds in fact for a much larger class of G -spaces X including finite-dimensional G -CW complexes with finite Betti sum (cf. [5, Prop. III.4.16]). It thus suffices to observe that smooth G -manifolds and real analytic (even subanalytic) G -varieties are G -CW complexes, see [13, Thm., p. 199] and [14, Cor. 11.6]. ■

Proposition 2.2 *Let G be a connected group with homology of finite type and let X be a G -space. Then X is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{k} if and only if $H_G^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(BG)$ -module.*

Proof Recall that BG is simply connected and with homology of finite type if (and only if) G is connected and with homology of finite type; cf. [18, Cor. 7.29] and the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Assume that X is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{k} , so that the restriction to the fibre $H_G^*(X) = H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective. The Leray–Hirsch theorem [16, VI.8.2], [5, Prop. III.1.18] then implies that $H^*(X_G)$ is a free module over $H^*(BG)$.

For the converse we use the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence [19, Thm. 3.6], [18, Cor. 7.16]

$$E_2^{p,*} = \text{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}^p(H^*(X_G), \mathbb{k}) \implies H^*(X).$$

Since $H^*(X_G)$ is free over $H^*(BG)$, the higher Tor-modules vanish, and the spectral sequence degenerates at the second page, whence

$$H^*(X) \cong H^*(X_G) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} \mathbb{k}.$$

It follows that the restriction to the fibre is surjective, because the canonical map

$$H^*(X_G) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow H^*(X)$$

induced by the restriction map corresponds to the edge homomorphism of the spectral sequence, see [19, Prop. 1.4']. ■

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1. As in [6], it will be convenient to work with simplicial sets [16, 17]. We write $\mathcal{S}(X)$ for the simplicial set of singular simplices in a topological space X , and $H(\mathcal{X})$ for the homology of a simplicial set \mathcal{X} with coefficients in \mathbb{k} as well as $H^*(\mathcal{X})$ for its cohomology.

Recall that the singular simplices in a topological group G form a simplicial group $\mathcal{S}(G)$, and those in a G -space X a simplicial $\mathcal{S}(G)$ -set $\mathcal{S}(X)$. For any simplicial group \mathcal{G} there is a canonical universal \mathcal{G} -bundle $E\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B\mathcal{G}$ (see [17, §21]), and for any simplicial \mathcal{G} -set \mathcal{X} one can define its equivariant cohomology $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{X}) = H^*(E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X})$.

The following observation is presumably not new, but we were unable to locate a suitable reference.

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a topological group and X a G -space. Then there is an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{k} -algebras*

$$H_{\mathcal{S}(G)}^*(\mathcal{S}(X)) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X)$$

compatible with the restriction maps to $H^(\mathcal{S}(X)) = H^*(X)$.*

Proof Let \mathcal{G} be a simplicial group, $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a principal \mathcal{G} -bundle, and \mathcal{X} a simplicial \mathcal{G} -set. By a theorem of Moore's [18, Thm. 7.28], there is a spectral sequence that is natural in $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X})$ and converging to $H(\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X})$ with second page

$$(2.1) \quad \mathrm{Tor}^{H(\mathcal{G})}(H(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{X})).$$

This can be seen as follows. Denote the normalized chain functor with coefficients in \mathbb{k} by $N(\cdot)$. It is a consequence of the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem that the complexes $N(\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X})$ and $N(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{N(\mathcal{G})} N(\mathcal{X})$ are homotopic [12, Prop. 4.6*]. The latter complex is homotopic to the bar construction $B(N(\mathcal{E}), N(\mathcal{G}), N(\mathcal{X}))$, because the differential $N(\mathcal{G})$ -modules $N(\mathcal{X})$ and $B(N(\mathcal{G}), N(\mathcal{G}), N(\mathcal{X}))$ are homotopic (cf. the proof of [18, Prop. 7.8]). The former bar construction can be filtered in such a way that the second page of the associated spectral sequence equals (2.1).

Now set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S}(X)$. We have $\mathcal{S}(EG \times_G X) = \mathcal{S}(EG) \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}$, and by [17, Lemma 21.9] there is a \mathcal{G} -map $\mathcal{S}(EG) \rightarrow E\mathcal{G}$. So we only have to show that the induced map

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(EG) \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}$$

induces an isomorphism in cohomology. But this follows from Moore's theorem, because the map between the second pages of the spectral sequences

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{H(\mathcal{G})}(H(EG), H(\mathcal{X})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}^{H(\mathcal{G})}(H(E\mathcal{G}), H(\mathcal{X}))$$

is an isomorphism as both EG and $E\mathcal{G}$ are contractible. Hence (2.2) induces an isomorphism both in homology and cohomology. ■

With a similar spectral sequence argument, one can show that if a map $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of simplicial \mathcal{G} -sets induces an isomorphism in homology, then it also does so in equivariant cohomology.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a map of simplicial sets. If $H(f)$ is injective, then so is $H(f^\Gamma): H(\mathcal{X}^\Gamma) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{Y}^\Gamma)$.*

Proof Recall that the Γ -product is a functor on the category of simplicial vector spaces [6, §6.2]. We write the simplicial \mathbb{k} -vector spaces of chains in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} as $C(\mathcal{X})$ and $C(\mathcal{Y})$, respectively.

If $H(f)$ is injective, it admits a retraction $R: H(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{X})$. By [6, Prop. 3.5], there is a morphism of simplicial vector spaces $r: C(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{X})$ such that $H(r) = R$. By functoriality, $H(r^\Gamma)$ is then a retraction of $H(f^\Gamma)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S}(G)$. We first consider a simplicial \mathcal{G} -space \mathcal{X} . Let $e_0 \in (E\mathcal{G})_0$ be a fixed base point. By abuse of notation, we use the same symbol for any degeneration of e_0 . Elements of $E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}$ are written in the form $[e, x]$ with $e \in E\mathcal{G}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Noting that \mathcal{X}^Γ is again a \mathcal{G} -space, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}^\Gamma \\ & \searrow \gamma & \swarrow \beta \\ & & (E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X})^\Gamma, \end{array}$$

where α is the inclusion of the fibre \mathcal{X}^Γ ,

$$\alpha: [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto [e_0, [x_1, \dots, x_n]],$$

β is the map

$$\beta: [e, [x_1, \dots, x_n]] \mapsto [[e, x_1], \dots, [e, x_n]],$$

and γ is the Γ -product of the inclusion of the fibre $\iota: \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow E\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{X}$,

$$\gamma: [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto [[e_0, x_1], \dots, [e_0, x_n]].$$

By assumption, $H^*(\iota)$ is surjective. Equivalently, $H(\iota)$ is injective. By Lemma 2.4, this implies that $H(\gamma) = H(\beta)H(\alpha)$ is injective and therefore also $H(\alpha)$. Hence, $H^*(\alpha)$ is surjective. This proves the simplicial analogue of our claim.

To deduce the topological result from this, consider the canonical maps

$$|\mathcal{S}(X)^\Gamma| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{S}(X)|^\Gamma \longrightarrow X^\Gamma,$$

where $|-|$ denotes topological realization. As explained in the proof of [6, Thm. 7.2], the first map is a homeomorphism between compact subsets, and the second one is a homotopy equivalence, because $|\mathcal{S}(X)| \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence for the CW complex X . As a consequence, the \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $\mathcal{S}(X)^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X^\Gamma)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence, the surjectivity of the top row in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{S}(X)^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & H^*(\mathcal{S}(X)^\Gamma) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{S}(X^\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & H^*(\mathcal{S}(X^\Gamma)) \end{array}$$

implies that of the bottom row. We conclude the proof with Lemma 2.3. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.2 Recall that algebraic varieties are finite-dimensional CW complexes (see the proof of Proposition 2.1) with finite Betti sum. Because X is quasi-projective, X^Γ is again an algebraic variety; cf. [8, Example 6.1]. By what we have said in the introduction, it is enough to verify that X^Γ is equivariantly formal with respect to complex conjugation. This follows from Theorem 1.1. ■

Lemma 2.5 *Let X be a CW complex, $y \in X$, and $\Gamma \subset S_n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then the map*

$$f: X \longrightarrow X^\Gamma, \quad x \longmapsto [x, y, \dots, y]$$

induces an injection in homology.

Proof Let V and W be \mathbb{k} -vector spaces. Following [6, §8], we write $(V, W)^\Gamma$ for the Γ -submodule

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^n W^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes V \otimes W^{\otimes(n-k)} \subset (V \oplus W)^{\otimes n},$$

which is in fact a direct summand. Moreover, $(V, W)^\Gamma/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of b copies of $V \otimes W^{n-1}$, where b is the number of Γ -orbits in $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

As in [6, §8], this construction carries over to simplicial vector spaces. The choice of a base point $y \in X$ determines a splitting $C(X) = \tilde{C}(X) \oplus \mathbb{k}$; cf. [6, §9]. Moreover, $H(X^\Gamma)$ contains b summands of the form $\tilde{H}(X) \otimes \mathbb{k}^{\otimes(n-1)}$, and $H(f)$ maps $\tilde{H}(X)$ isomorphically onto one of them. Hence, $H(X)$ injects into $H(X^\Gamma)$. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.3 By Lemma 2.5, any choice of base point $y \in X$ gives a map f inducing a surjection in cohomology. If y is a fixed point, then f is equivariant. The left and bottom arrow in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X) & \longleftarrow & H_G^*(X) \\ H^*(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow H_G^*(f) \\ H^*(X^\Gamma) & \longleftarrow & H_G^*(X^\Gamma) \end{array}$$

are surjective, hence so is the top one. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.5 As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can consider the Γ -product of the simplicial vector space $C(X)$ instead of X^Γ , since X is a CW complex.

Let $g \in G$; it induces a map $a_g: X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto gx$. By assumption, $H(a_g)$ is the identity. Hence, $N(a_g): N(X) \rightarrow N(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity map on the normalized chain complex of X ; cf. [7, Prop. II.4.3]. By [6, Cor. 2.7] this implies that the morphism of simplicial vector spaces $C(a_g): C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is also homotopy equivalent to the identity. Because Γ -products preserve homotopy [6, Thm. 5.6, §6.2], we conclude that $C(a_g)^\Gamma$ is again homotopy equivalent to the identity, so that g acts trivially in the (co)homology of X^Γ .

The converse follows from Lemma 2.5 as in the proof of Proposition 1.3. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.6 Let Y be a finite C-CW complex; then $SP^n(Y)$ is again a finite C-CW complex. Recall from [3, Prop. 3.7] that the Serre spectral sequence

for Y_C degenerates at the second page if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \dim H^*(Y^\tau) = \dim H^*(Y)_{\text{triv}}.$$

(In [3], Y is assumed to be a compact \mathbb{Z}_2 -manifold; the proof carries over to our setting.) We refer to [3, Sec. 3.2] for the definition of V_{triv} for a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space V with a linear involution; we only observe that for any two such vector spaces we have isomorphisms

$$(2.4) \quad (V \oplus W)_{\text{triv}} \cong V_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{triv}} \quad \text{and} \quad (V \otimes W)_{\text{triv}} \cong V_{\text{triv}} \otimes W_{\text{triv}}.$$

(By the first isomorphism, it is enough to verify the second for the trivial and the 2-dimensional indecomposable \mathbb{Z}_2 -module.) Moreover, if U is a finite-dimensional vector space and \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on $U \otimes U$ by swapping the factors, then

$$(2.5) \quad (U \otimes U)_{\text{triv}} \cong U.$$

To prove the proposition, assume first that $X \neq \emptyset$ is connected. Then $H^0(X)_{\text{triv}}$ does not vanish, which by (2.3) implies that X has fixed points. Our claim, therefore, reduces to Baird’s result [3, Prop. 3.9].

Next we recall that if $X = Y \sqcup Z$ is the disjoint union of two subspaces, then

$$(2.6) \quad SP^n(X) = \bigsqcup_{k+l=n} SP^k(Y) \times SP^l(Z),$$

see [6, eq. (8.8)].

Assume that τ transposes Y and $Z \approx Y$ (cf. [3, Prop. 3.2]) so that $H^*(X)_{\text{triv}} = 0$. The subspaces in (2.6) are then also permuted by τ . If n is odd, none of them is τ -stable. Hence there are no fixed points and $H^*(SP^n(X))_{\text{triv}} = 0$, proving our claim.

If $n = 2k$ is even, then $SP^n(X)^\tau = (SP^k(Y) \times SP^k(Z))^\tau \approx SP^k(Y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(SP^n(X))_{\text{triv}} &= H^*(SP^k(Y) \times SP^k(Z))_{\text{triv}} \\ &\cong (H^*(SP^k(Y)) \otimes H^*(SP^k(Z)))_{\text{triv}} \cong H^*(SP^k(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.5). Thus, the criterion (2.3) is again satisfied.

Finally, consider the case of general X . Its finitely many connected components are either stable under τ or come in pairs that are transposed by τ . If both Y and Z in (2.6) are τ -stable, then we also have

$$SP^n(X)^\tau = \bigsqcup_{k+l=n} SP^k(Y)^\tau \times SP^l(Z)^\tau.$$

Hence the claim follows from the two cases already discussed together with the Künneth formula and the identities (2.4). ■

Proof of Example 1.8 Recall that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{R}$ in this example and write $I = [0, 1]$. The projection $\pi: X = (G \times I)/\sim \rightarrow I$ induces a projection $SP^2(\pi)$ from $SP^2(X)$ onto $SP^2(I)$, which we identify with the triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(1, 1)$.

We consider the spectral sequence $E_k^{p,q}$ induced by the filtration of $SP^2(X)$ by the inverse images of the faces of this triangle and converging to $H_G^*(SP^2(X))$. The fibre over each vertex is a fixed point and contributes a summand $H^*(BG)$ to $E_1^{0,*}$, the zeroth column of the first page of the spectral sequence. The fibre over each leg is

G and contributes a copy of \mathbb{R} to $E_1^{1,*}$. The fibre over the hypotenuse is $SP^2(G)$, on which G acts locally freely. Hence,

$$H_G^*(SP^2(G)) = H^*(SP^2(G)/G) = H^{\text{even}}(G),$$

as can be seen by first dividing $G \times G$ by the diagonal G -action and then by S_2 . The fibre over the interior of the triangle is $G \times G$, hence contributes $H^*(G)$ to $E_1^{2,*}$.

For $q > 0$, the differential $d_1: E_1^{1,q} \rightarrow E_1^{2,q}$ is the inclusion $H^{\text{even}}(G) \hookrightarrow H^*(G)$, and the zeroth row $E_1^{*,0}$ computes the cohomology of the triangle. This implies $E_2^{1,*} = 0$ and $E_2^{2,*} = H^{\text{odd}}(G)$.

We claim that the differential

$$d_2^{0,2s}: E_2^{0,2s} \longrightarrow E_2^{2,2s-1}$$

vanishes for $s > 1$. Inspired by the proof of [20, Thm. 5], we consider the squaring map on G . It induces a map $X \rightarrow X$ preserving the fibres of π , hence also a map $SP^2(X) \rightarrow SP^2(X)$ preserving the fibres of $SP^2(\pi)$. We therefore get a map of spectral sequences which scales $E_2^{0,2s}$ by 2^s and $E_2^{2,2s-1}$ by 2^{2s-1} . Because this map commutes with the differentials in the spectral sequence and $2^s \neq 2^{2s-1}$ for $s > 1$, we conclude $d_2^{0,2s} = 0$ for $s > 1$. Hence $E_\infty^{2,*} = E_3^{2,*} = H^{\text{odd}}(G)$, except possibly in degree 1. Since $r \geq 3$, this shows that $E_\infty^{2,*}$ is non-zero and finite-dimensional over \mathbb{R} . As mentioned earlier, this implies that $H_G^*(SP^2(X))$ has torsion over $H^*(BG)$. ■

Acknowledgments I thank Tom Baird and Volker Puppe for stimulating discussions. I am particularly indebted to Volker Puppe for drawing my attention to Dold's work [6] and for the suggestion to look at the G -action in the cohomology of X .

References

- [1] C. Allday, *Cohomological aspects of torus actions*. In: Toric topology (Osaka, 2006), Contemp. Math., 460, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 29–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/460/09008>
- [2] C. Allday, M. Franz, and V. Puppe, *Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366(2014), no. 12, 6567–6589. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-06165-5>
- [3] T. J. Baird, *Symmetric products of a real curve and the moduli space of Higgs bundles*. arxiv:1611.09636v3
- [4] I. Biswas and S.D'Mello, *M-curves and symmetric products*. arxiv:1603.00234v1
- [5] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 8, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1987. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110858372>
- [6] A. Dold, *Homology of symmetric products and other functors of complexes*. Ann. of Math. (2) 68(1958), 54–80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1970043>
- [7] ———, *Lectures on algebraic topology*. Second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 200, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [8] I. Dolgachev, *Lectures on invariant theory*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 296, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615436>
- [9] T. Frankel, *Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds*. Ann. of Math.(2) 70(1959), 1–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1969889>
- [10] M. Franz, *Syzygies in equivariant cohomology for non-abelian Lie groups*. In: Configuration spaces (Cortona, 2014), Springer INdAM Ser., 14, Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 325–360. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31580-5_14
- [11] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*. Invent. Math. 131(1998), 25–83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002220050197>

- [12] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, *On the chain-complex of a fibration*. Illinois J. Math. 16(1972), 398–414. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256065766>
- [13] S. Illman, *Smooth equivariant triangulations of G -manifolds for G a finite group*. Math. Ann. 233(1978), 199–220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01405351>
- [14] ———, *Existence and uniqueness of equivariant triangulations of smooth proper G -manifolds with some applications to equivariant Whitehead torsion*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 524(2000), 129–183. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2000.054>
- [15] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*. Mathematical Notes, 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01145470>
- [16] K. Lamotke, *Semisimpliziale algebraische Topologie*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 147, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-12988-3>
- [17] J. P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, Chicago of University Press, Chicago, IL, 1992.
- [18] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*. Second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [19] L. Smith, *Homological algebra and the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129(1967), 58–93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1967-0216504-6>
- [20] B. Totaro, *Chow groups, Chow cohomology, and linear varieties*. Forum Math. Sigma 2(2014), e17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/fms.2014.15>
- [21] A. Weber, *Formality of equivariant intersection cohomology of algebraic varieties*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(2003), 2633–2638. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07138-7>

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5B7
e-mail: mfranz@uwo.ca