

# Averaging Operators and Martingale Inequalities in Rearrangement Invariant Function Spaces

Masato Kikuchi

*Abstract.* We shall study some connection between averaging operators and martingale inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces. In Section 2 the equivalence between Shimogaki's theorem and some martingale inequalities will be established, and in Section 3 the equivalence between Boyd's theorem and martingale inequalities with change of probability measure will be established.

## 1 Introduction and Notation

Recently several authors studied, independently in [1], [11], [15], some martingale inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces over the unit interval  $I$ . Using the Boyd indices they characterized rearrangement invariant function spaces in which the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality is valid. In Section 2, we shall prove the equivalence between their result and Shimogaki's theorem on the boundedness of averaging operator. In Section 3 we shall consider some change of probability measure and extend the weighted norm inequalities established by Izumisawa and Kazamaki [10]. We shall investigate also some relations between Boyd's theorem and martingale inequalities under a change of probability measure.

In this note we shall deal with (local) martingales on complete probability spaces, say  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , endowed with a filtration satisfying the *usual conditions* (see [7, p. 183]). We always assume that  $\Omega$  is not completely atomic, that is,  $P(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) > 0$ , where  $\Omega_0$  is the union of all atoms in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, in Section 3 we assume that  $\Omega$  contains no atom. Every process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is assumed to be adapted to a given filtration, right continuous, and have left-hand limits. We set  $X_{0-} = 0$  and denote by  $(\mathcal{M}X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  the maximal process of  $X$ ;  $\mathcal{M}X_t = \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s|$ . We use this notation instead of  $X^*$  in order to reserve “\*” for the decreasing rearrangement of random variables. If  $X$  is a (local) martingale,  $([X, X]_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denotes the quadratic variation process of  $X$ . For details of the martingale theory we refer to Dellacherie and Meyer [8].

Now let  $f$  be a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The *decreasing rearrangement* of  $f$ , denoted by  $f^*$ , is a right continuous decreasing function on the interval  $I = [0, 1]$  such that

$$P\{|f| > \lambda\} = m\{s \in I : f^*(s) > \lambda\}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where  $m$  stands for the Lebesgue measure on  $I$ . An explicit expression of  $f^*$  is given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : P\{|f| > \lambda\} \leq t\}, \quad t \in I.$$

---

Received by the editors October 8, 1997; revised July 2, 1998.

AMS subject classification: 60G44, 60G46, 46E30.

Keywords: Martingale inequalities, rearrangement invariant function spaces.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1999.

For example, if  $X$  is a process and  $T$  is a stopping time,  $X_T^*$  denotes the decreasing rearrangement of the random variable  $X_T$ , but not  $\mathcal{M}X_T = \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t|$ . For a function  $x$  on  $I$ ,  $x^*$  denotes the decreasing rearrangement with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

For two random variables  $f$  and  $g$ , we write  $f \prec g$  if

$$\int_0^t f^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in I.$$

A Banach space  $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  consisting of (equivalence classes) of random variables is called a *rearrangement invariant (r.i.) function space* if it has the following properties:

- (i)  $L^\infty \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow L^1$ ;
- (ii)  $|f| \leq |g|, g \in B$  implies  $f \in B$  and  $\|f\|_B \leq \|g\|_B$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f, \sup_n \|f_n\|_B < \infty$  implies  $f \in B$  and  $\|f\|_B = \sup_n \|f_n\|_B$ ;
- (iv)  $f^* = g^*, g \in B$  implies  $f \in B$  and  $\|f\|_B = \|g\|_B$ .

If  $B$  has properties (i)–(iii) and

$$(iv') \quad f \prec g, g \in B \text{ implies } f \in B \text{ and } \|f\|_B \leq \|g\|_B,$$

then it is called a *universally rearrangement invariant (u.r.i.) function space*. Obviously every u.r.i. function space is r.i., and the converse is true if  $\Omega$  contains no atom. An important characterization of r.i. function space is the Luxemburg representation theorem:  $B$  is u.r.i. if and only if there exists a r.i. function space  $\hat{B}$  over  $I$  with the Lebesgue measure  $m$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_B = \|f^*\|_{\hat{B}} \quad \text{for all } f \in B$$

(cf. [13, p. 121], [2, p. 90]). Our assumption  $t_0 := P(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) > 0$  implies that if both  $\hat{B}_1$  and  $\hat{B}_2$  satisfy (1), then the norms of these spaces are equivalent. Indeed, if  $x \in \hat{B}_2$ , then there exists  $f \in B$  such that  $f^*(t) = x^*(t \wedge t_0) =: x_{t_0}^*(t), t \in I$  and hence we have  $\|x\|_{\hat{B}_1} \leq \|x_{t_0}^*\|_{\hat{B}_1} = \|x_{t_0}^*\|_{\hat{B}_2} < \infty$ . Thus  $\hat{B}_2 \subset \hat{B}_1$ , and in the same way  $\hat{B}_1 \subset \hat{B}_2$ . The equivalence of norms of  $\hat{B}_i$  follows from the closed graph theorem.

Now let  $B$  be a r.i. (or u.r.i.) function space. The *associate space*  $B'$  of  $B$  is the r.i. (u.r.t.) function space defined by

$$\|f\|_{B'} := \sup\{E[fg] : g \in B, \|g\|_B \leq 1\};$$

$$B' := \{f : \|f\|_{B'} < \infty\}.$$

The associate space of  $B'$  is equal to  $B$  (cf. [2, p. 10]).

To describe our results, we use the Boyd indices of  $B$  introduced by Boyd [5]: let  $D_s$  be the operator defined on  $L^1(I)$  by

$$D_s x(t) = \begin{cases} x(st) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \wedge s^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \wedge s^{-1} < t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\alpha}_B &= \underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} = \inf_{t>1} \frac{\log \|D_{1/t}\|_{\hat{B}}}{\log t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|D_{1/t}\|_{\hat{B}}}{\log t}; \\ \bar{\alpha}_B &= \bar{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} = \sup_{0<t<1} \frac{\log \|D_{1/t}\|_{\hat{B}}}{\log t} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\log \|D_{1/t}\|_{\hat{B}}}{\log t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|D_s\|_{\hat{B}}$  denotes the norm of  $D_s$  as an operator from  $\hat{B}$  into itself. We call  $\underline{\alpha}_B$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_B$  the upper and lower Boyd index of  $B$ , respectively. Remark that in [1] and [11] the Boyd indices are taken to be reciprocals of ones we use here.

## 2 Averaging Operator and Martingale Inequalities

Throughout this section let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a fixed probability space and  $I$  be the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the Lebesgue measure  $m$ . For a function  $x$  on  $I$ , Hardy’s averaging operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}x(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x(s) ds,$$

and its adjoint  $\mathcal{P}'$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P}'x(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{x(s)}{s} ds,$$

whenever the defining integrals exist a.e. Shimogaki [17] studied the boundedness of these operators in r.i. function spaces over  $I$ . His result, in terms of Boyd indices, is as follows:

**Theorem A (Shimogaki [17]; Boyd [5])** *Let  $\hat{B}$  be a r.i. function space over  $I$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\hat{B}$  into itself if and only if  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\hat{B}$  into itself if and only if  $\bar{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} > 0$ .

In this section we shall prove that Shimogaki’s theorem is equivalent to the following theorem on martingale inequalities.

**Theorem B** *Let  $B$  be a u.r.i. function space over  $\Omega$ . Then:*

- (i) *The inequality*

$$(2) \quad \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_B \leq C_B \|X_\infty\|_B$$

*is valid for every uniformly integrable martingale  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with respect to an arbitrary filtration if and only if  $\underline{\alpha}_B < 1$ .*

- (ii) *The inequalities*

$$(3) \quad c_B \|[X, X]_\infty^{1/2}\|_B \leq \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_B \leq C_B \|[X, X]_\infty^{1/2}\|_B$$

*are valid for every martingale  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with respect to an arbitrary filtration if and only if  $\bar{\alpha}_B > 0$ .*

This theorem is proved independently by Antipa [1], Johnson and Schechtman [11] and Novikov [15], in the case where  $\Omega = I$ .

The following theorem shows that each of Theorems A and B is deduced from the other.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $B$  be a u.r.i. function space over  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{B}$  be the r.i. function space over  $I$  satisfying (1). Then:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\hat{B}$  into itself if and only if (2) holds for every uniformly integrable martingale  $X = (X_t)$  with respect to an arbitrary filtration.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\hat{B}$  into itself if and only if (3) holds for every martingale  $X = (X_t)$  with respect to an arbitrary filtration.

To prove this theorem, we need some preliminaries. For each  $x \in L^1(I)$ , we put  $x^\# = \mathcal{P}x - x$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}'x = \mathcal{P}x + \mathcal{P}'x$  for every  $x \in L^1(I)$ , we have

$$(4) \quad (\mathcal{P}'x)^\# = \mathcal{P}x, \quad x \in L^1(I).$$

Furthermore if  $x^\# \in L^1(I)$  and  $y^\# \in L^\infty(I)$ , then

$$(5) \quad \int_0^1 x(s)y(s) ds = \int_0^1 x^\#(s)y^\#(s) ds + \left( \int_0^1 x(s) ds \right) \left( \int_0^1 y(s) ds \right).$$

In fact, this follows from the identity

$$\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{P}x + \int_0^1 x(s) ds = \mathcal{P}x + \mathcal{P}'x,$$

which is valid at least for  $x \in L^1$  such that  $\mathcal{P}x \in L^1$ .

Note that, if  $x^\# \leq y^\#$  on  $I$ , then  $x^\#1_{[0,t]^\#} \leq y^\#1_{[0,t]^\#}$ , and hence from (5) we obtain:

**Lemma 2** *Let  $x, y \in L^1(I)$  be positive decreasing functions. If  $x^\# \leq y^\#$  on  $I$  and  $\int_1 x ds \leq \int_1 y ds$ , then  $x \prec y$ .*

**Lemma 3** *Let  $B$  and  $\hat{B}$  be as in Theorem 1 and suppose that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a bounded operator on  $\hat{B}$  into itself. If  $Y \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $A = (A_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an adapted increasing process satisfying*

$$(6) \quad E[A_\infty - A_{T-} | \mathcal{F}_T] \leq E[Y | \mathcal{F}_T]$$

for every stopping time  $T$ , then  $\|A_\infty\|_B \leq \|\mathcal{P}'\|_{\hat{B}} \|Y\|_B$ , where  $\|\mathcal{P}'\|_{\hat{B}}$  denotes the norm of  $\mathcal{P}'$ .

Recall that a process is called *increasing* if almost every path is positive and increasing. If  $A$  is predictable and  $A_0 = 0$ , then (6) can be replaced by

$$E[A_\infty - A_T | \mathcal{F}_T] \leq E[Y | \mathcal{F}_T].$$

**Proof** Setting  $T = \inf\{t \geq 0 : A_t > \lambda\}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , we have by (6),

$$E[(A_\infty - \lambda)1_{\{A_\infty > \lambda\}}] \leq E[Y1_{\{A_\infty > \lambda\}}], \quad \lambda > 0,$$

Substituting  $A_\infty^*(t)$  for  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\int_0^t (A_\infty^*(s) - A_\infty^*(t)) ds \leq \sup\{E[Y \mathbf{1}_F] : P(F) \leq t\} \leq \int_0^t Y^*(s) ds.$$

This, together with (4), implies that  $(A_\infty^*)^*(t) \leq \mathcal{P}Y^*(t) = (\mathcal{P}'Y^*)^*(t)$  for all  $t \in I$ . Since (6) yields that

$$\int_0^1 A_\infty^*(s) ds = E[A_\infty] \leq E[Y] = \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}'Y^*(s) ds,$$

Lemma 2 gives that  $A_\infty^* \prec \mathcal{P}'Y^*$ . It then follows that

$$\|A_\infty\|_B = \|A_\infty^*\|_{\hat{B}} \leq \|\mathcal{P}'Y^*\|_{\hat{B}} \leq \|\mathcal{P}'\|_{\hat{B}} \|Y^*\|_{\hat{B}} = \|\mathcal{P}'\|_{\hat{B}} \|Y\|_B,$$

which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3 will be used for the proof of “only if” part of (ii) in Theorem 1. The “if” part will be proved using the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $\hat{B}$  be a r.i. function space over  $I$  and  $0 < t_0 \leq 1$ . Then:*

(i) *If the inequality*

$$(7) \quad \|(\mathcal{P}y)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} \leq c \|y\|_{\hat{B}}$$

*holds for every positive  $y \in L^1(I)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded, where  $c$  is a positive constant.*

(ii) *If the inequality*

$$(8) \quad \|(\mathcal{P}y)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} \leq c (\|y^*\|_{\hat{B}} + \|y\|_1)$$

*holds for every  $y \in L^1(I)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}': \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded.*

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|1\|_{\hat{B}} = 1$ . Let  $x \in L^1(I)$ . Since  $|\mathcal{P}x(t)| \leq t_0^{-1} \|x\|_1$  for every  $t \in [t_0, 1]$ , we have by (7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}x\|_{\hat{B}} &\leq \|(\mathcal{P}x)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} + \|(\mathcal{P}x)\mathbf{1}_{[t_0,1]}\|_{\hat{B}} \\ &\leq c \|x\|_{\hat{B}} + t_0^{-1} \|x\|_1 \leq C \|x\|_{\hat{B}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $\hat{B} \hookrightarrow L^1(I)$ . Thus the operator  $\mathcal{P}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded.

We now pass to the proof of the second statement. It suffices to show that  $\|\mathcal{P}'x\|_{\hat{B}} \leq C \|x\|_{\hat{B}}$  for every positive  $x \in L^1(I)$ . Put  $y = \mathcal{P}'x - x$ . Clearly we have  $y \in L^1(I)$  and

$\|y\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{P}'x\|_1 + \|x\|_1 \leq 2\|x\|_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}'$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}y = \mathcal{P}'x$  and  $y^\# = x$ . As  $|\mathcal{P}'x(t)| \leq t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1$  for  $t \in [t_0, 1]$ , (8) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}'x\|_{\hat{B}} &\leq \|(\mathcal{P}'x)1_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} + \|(\mathcal{P}'x)1_{[t_0,1]}\|_{\hat{B}} \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{P}y)1_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} + t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1 \\ &\leq c(\|y^\#\|_{\hat{B}} + \|y\|_1) + t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1 \\ &\leq c\|x\|_{\hat{B}} + (2c + t_0^{-1})\|x\|_1 \leq C\|x\|_{\hat{B}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

The following lemma is essential for the proof of “if” part of (i), and (ii) in Theorem 1.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $t_0 = P(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) > 0$ . Then, for each  $x \in L^1(I)$ , there exists a uniformly integrable martingale  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $|X_0| \leq t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1$ ,
- (ii)  $X_\infty^*(t) = (x1_{[0,t_0[})^*(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ ,
- (iii)  $\{(\mathcal{P}x)1_{[0,t_0[}\}^*(t) \leq (\mathcal{M}X_\infty)^*(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ ,
- (iv)  $\{([X, X]_\infty - X_0^2)^{1/2}\}^*(t) = (x^\#1_{[0,t_0[})^*(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ .

**Proof** Since  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$  contains no atom, there exists a family of measurable sets  $\{A(t) : 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $A(t) \subset A(s) \subset \Omega_1$  if  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq t_0$ ;
- b)  $P(A(t)) = t_0 - t$  for every  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ .

For the proof, see [6, p. 44]. For each  $t \leq t_0$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by all measurable subsets of  $\Omega \setminus A(t)$  and  $P$ -negligible sets, and for each  $t \geq t_0$ , set  $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$ . Clearly  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the usual conditions, and for  $t \leq t_0$ ,  $A(t)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -atom.

Now for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , put

$$T(\omega) = \begin{cases} \sup\{s \in [0, t_0] : \omega \in A(s)\} & \text{if } \omega \in A(0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\{T > t\} = A(t)$  a.s. for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Hence  $T$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -stopping time, and  $T^*(s) = (t_0 - s)^+ = (t_0 - s) \vee 0, s \in I$ . Let  $x \in L^1(I)$ . Since  $x(t_0 - T)1_{\{T>0\}}$  and  $x1_{[0,t_0[}$  have the same distribution,  $x(t_0 - T)1_{\{T>0\}}$  is integrable over  $\Omega$ . Let  $X = (X_t)$  be the martingale induced by  $x(t_0 - T)1_{\{T>0\}}$ , that is,

$$(9) \quad X_t = E[x(t_0 - T) | \mathcal{F}_t]1_{\{T>0\}} = x(t_0 - T)1_{\{0 < T \leq t\}} + \mathcal{P}x(t_0 - t)1_{\{t < T\}}.$$

Note that the processes on both sides of (9) are indistinguishable, that is, (9) holds for every  $t \geq 0$  on a set  $\Omega'$  of probability one.

We show that  $X$  satisfies the required conditions. In fact, (i) and (ii) are straightforward consequences of the definition: we have  $|X_0| \leq |\mathcal{P}x(t_0)| \leq t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1$  and  $X_\infty^* = \{x(t_0 - T)1_{\{T>0\}}\}^* = (x1_{[0,t_0[})^*$ , since  $T^*(s) = (t_0 - s)^+$ .

It is easy to see from (9) that  $|\mathcal{P}x(t_0 - T)|1_{\{T>0\}} = |X_{T-}| \leq \mathcal{M}X_\infty$ , which implies (ii).

Now it remains to prove (iv). Again from (9) we see that the path  $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, \infty[$ , continuous on  $[0, T(\omega)[$  and constant on  $[T(\omega), \infty[$ , provided that  $\omega \in \Omega'$ . Therefore we have  $\Delta X_T 1_{\{T>0\}} = -x^\#(t_0 - T)1_{\{T>0\}}$  and the continuous martingale part  $X^c$  of  $X$  is equal to zero (cf. [14, p. 267]). This implies that

$$([X, X]_\infty - X_0^2)^{1/2} = |x^\#(t_0 - T)|1_{\{T>0\}}.$$

Thus (iv) is obtained and the lemma is established. ■

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1** (i) Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded. By Doob's inequality we have

$$(10) \quad \lambda \leq P(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda)^{-1} \int_{\{\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda\}} X_\infty dP \leq \mathcal{P}X_\infty^*(P(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda))$$

for every  $\lambda > 0$  and every uniformly integrable martingale  $X = (X_t)$ , where we have used Hardy's inequality

$$\int_A f dP \leq \int_0^{P(A)} f^*(s) ds, \quad f \in L^1(P), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Setting  $\lambda = (\mathcal{M}X_\infty)^*(t)$  in (10), we get

$$(\mathcal{M}X_\infty^*)(t) \leq \mathcal{P}X_\infty^*(t), \quad t \in I,$$

since  $P(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq (\mathcal{M}X_\infty)^*(t)) \geq t$ . Hence we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_B = \|(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)^*\|_B \leq \|\mathcal{P}X_\infty^*\|_B \leq \|\mathcal{P}\|_B \|X_\infty^*\|_B \leq \|\mathcal{P}\|_B \|X_\infty\|_B.$$

Conversely assume that (2) holds for every uniformly integrable martingale. Let  $x \in L^1(I)$  be a positive function and, using Lemma 5, choose a uniformly integrable martingale  $X = (X_t)$  so as to satisfy (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 5. Then we have

$$\|(\mathcal{P}x)1_{[0,t_0]}\|_B \leq \|(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)^*\|_B = \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_B \leq C_B \|X_\infty\|_B \leq C_B \|x\|_B.$$

Lemma 4 (i) shows that  $\mathcal{P}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded. Thus (i) of Theorem 1 is established.

(ii) Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}': \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded. By Davis's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathcal{M}X_\infty - \mathcal{M}X_{T-} | \mathcal{F}_T] &\leq cE[[X, X]_\infty^{1/2} | \mathcal{F}_T]; \\ E[[X, X]_\infty^{1/2} - [X, X]_{T-}^{1/2} | \mathcal{F}_T] &\leq cE[\mathcal{M}X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] \end{aligned}$$

for every stopping time  $T$ . For the proof e.g. see [14, p. 349]. Therefore (3) follows from Lemma 3.

Next suppose that (3) holds for every martingale. For each  $x \in L^1$  there exists a martingale  $X = (X_t)$  which satisfies (i), (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 5. Assuming  $\|1\|_B = 1$  for simplicity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{P}x)1_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} &\leq \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_B \leq C_B\|[X, X]_\infty^{1/2}\|_B \\ &\leq C_B\|([X, X]_\infty - X_0^2)^{1/2}\|_B + C_B\|X_0\|_B \\ &\leq C_B\|x^\#1_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} + C_B t_0^{-1}\|x\|_1 \\ &\leq C_B t_0^{-1}(\|x^\#1_{[0,t_0[}\|_{\hat{B}} + \|x\|_1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 (ii) implies the boundedness of  $\mathcal{P}' : \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$ . The theorem is established. ■

### 3 Change of Probability Measure

In this section we shall prove that there exists a close relation between Boyd’s theorem on the boundedness of the averaging operators, and some martingale inequalities relative to some equivalent probability measures. We first recall Boyd’s theorem: for  $p \geq 1$  define the operators  $\mathcal{P}_p$  and  $\mathcal{P}'_p$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_p x(t) &= t^{-1/p} \int_0^t x(s) s^{-1/p'} ds, \\ \mathcal{P}'_p x(t) &= t^{-1/p} \int_t^1 x(s) s^{-1/p'} ds, \end{aligned}$$

whenever the integrals exist, where  $p'$  stands for the exponent conjugate to  $p$ .

**Theorem C (Boyd [5])** *Let  $\hat{B}$  be a r.i. function space over  $I$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_p$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}'_p$ ) is a bounded linear operator from  $\hat{B}$  into itself if and only if  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1/p$  (resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} > 1/p$ ).*

We consider equivalent probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  (or  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q)$ ) is nonatomic throughout this section.

Let  $W_\infty$  denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dQ/dP$ , and  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  the martingale  $W_t = E_P[W_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where and in what follows  $E_P$  and  $E_Q$  denote the (conditional) expectations relative to  $P$  and  $Q$  respectively. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  the family of all uniformly integrable martingales on the system  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ .

Now let  $1 < p < \infty$ . We say that  $W_\infty = dQ/dP$  satisfies  $(A_p)$  with respect to  $P$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  if

$$(A_p) \quad \sup_T \|E_P[(W_T/W_\infty)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} | \mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -stopping times  $T$ . We write  $W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  when  $W_\infty$  satisfies  $(A_p)$  with respect to  $P$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ . Condition  $(A_p)$  is introduced by Izumisawa and Kazamaki [10]. It was proved by Tsuchikura [18] and Uchiyama [19] (also see

Kazamaki [12, p. 74]) that  $W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  if and only if

$$(W_p) \quad \lambda^p Q(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda) \leq \int_{\{\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda\}} |X_\infty|^p dQ, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

holds for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (F_t))$ .

Let  $\hat{B}$  be a r.i. function space over  $I$ . We define the space  $B(Q)$  by

$$B(Q) := \{f : \|f\|_{B(Q)} < \infty\};$$

$$\|f\|_{B(Q)} := \|f_Q^*\|_{\hat{B}},$$

where  $f_Q^*$  denotes the decreasing rearrangement of  $f$  relative to  $Q$ . Our main results in this section are the following.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $P, Q, W, \hat{B}$  and  $B(Q)$  be as above and  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

(i) *If  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  and  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1/p$ , then the inequality*

$$(11) \quad \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} \leq c \|X_\infty\|_{B(Q)}$$

*holds for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ .*

(ii) *If (11) holds for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  whenever  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ , then  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} \leq 1/p$ .*

If  $\hat{B} = L^q(I)$ ,  $q > p$ , then (i) of the above theorem yields the weighted norm inequalities established by Izumisawa and Kazamaki. Theorem 6 will be proved using Theorem C. On the other hand, we have the following.

**Theorem 7** *Theorem C is deduced from the assertion of Theorem 6.*

Combing this with a result of Doléans-Dade and Meyer, we have the following.

**Corollary 8** *If  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} \leq 1/p$ ,  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  and*

$$(S^-) \quad W_{T-} \leq KW_T$$

*holds for every  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -stopping time  $T$ , where  $W = (W_t)$  denotes the martingale  $W_t = E_P[W_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , then (11) holds for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ .*

We begin with some lemmas.

**Lemma 9** *If  $x$  is a positive decreasing function on  $I$ , then*

$$(12) \quad \left\{ \int_0^t x(s)^p ds \right\}^{1/p} \leq p^{-1} \int_0^t x(s)s^{-1/p'} ds \quad \text{for every } t \in I.$$

**Proof** Suppose first that  $x$  is of the form

$$(13) \quad x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k 1_{[0, t_k]}(t), \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq 1.$$

Then by Minkowski's inequality we have

$$\left\{ \int_0^t x(s)^p ds \right\}^{1/p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(t \wedge t_k)^{1/p} = p^{-1} \int_0^t x(s)s^{-1/p'} ds.$$

For an arbitrary decreasing function  $x$ , we can find a sequence of the functions  $(x_n)$  of the form (13) such that  $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x$  a.e. Hence by the monotone convergence theorem, we have (12). ■

**Lemma 10** *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^* \leq p^{-1}\mathcal{P}_p(X_\infty)_Q^*$  on  $I$  for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ .*

**Proof** Since  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ , we have by  $(W_p)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^p &\leq Q(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda)^{-1} \int_{\{\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda\}} |X_\infty|^p dQ \\ &\leq Q(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda)^{-1} \int_0^{Q(\mathcal{M}X_\infty \geq \lambda)} (X_\infty)_Q^*(s)^p ds, \quad \lambda > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ . We set  $\lambda = (\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^*(t)$ . In view of Lemma 9, we obtain that

$$(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^*(t) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (X_\infty)_Q^*(s)^p ds \right\}^{1/p} \leq p^{-1}\mathcal{P}_p(X_\infty)_Q^*(t), \quad t \in I. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following lemma is a key result to the proof of Theorem 6 (ii) and Theorem 7.

**Lemma 11** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  be fixed. If  $q > p$  and  $x \in L^1(I)$  is positive, then we can construct equivalent probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$ , a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and a martingale  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  so that*

- (i)  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ ,
- (ii)  $(X_\infty)_Q^* = x^*$  on  $I$ ,
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^* = p^{-1}\mathcal{P}_p x^*$  on  $I$ .

**Proof** Let  $Q$  be a probability measure such that  $\Omega$  contains no  $Q$ -atom. There exists a random variable  $V$  such that  $V_Q^*(s) = p^{-1}s^{-1/p'}$  [6, p. 44]. Let  $P$  be the probability measure  $dP := V dQ$ . Clearly  $P$  and  $Q$  are equivalent and  $\Omega$  contains no  $P$ -atom. For each  $t \in I$ , put

$$A(t) := \{\omega \in \Omega : V(\omega) > p^{-1}(1-t)^{-1/p'}\}.$$

Then  $A(t)$  decreases with  $t$  and

$$(14) \quad Q(A(t)) = 1 - t, \quad t \in I,$$

$$(15) \quad P(A(t)) = (1 - t)^{1/p}, \quad t \in I.$$

For each  $t \in I$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the measurable subsets of  $\Omega \setminus A(t)$  and the negligible sets. For  $t > 1$  we set  $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_1$ . Then  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  satisfies the usual conditions (relative to both  $P$  and  $Q$ ).

We now prove that  $W_\infty := 1/V = dQ/dP \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  for each  $q > p$ . It suffices to show that

$$E_P[(W_t/W_\infty)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \leq C \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

for every  $t \in I$ , since every stopping time is the decreasing limit of a sequence of stopping times with values in the set of rationals. By (14) and (15) we have

$$W_t = W_\infty 1_{\Omega \setminus A(t)} + P(A(t))^{-1} Q(A(t)) 1_{A(t)} = W_\infty 1_{\Omega \setminus A(t)} + (1-t)^{1/p'} 1_{A(t)}$$

for each  $t \in I$ , and on  $A(t)$  we have

$$E_P[W_\infty^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = (1-t)^{-1/p} \int_0^{1-t} V_Q^*(s)^{q'} ds = p^{-q'} \cdot \frac{p'}{p' - q'} (1-t)^r,$$

where  $r = 1 - p^{-1} - p'^{-1}q' = -\{p'(q-1)\}^{-1}$ . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_P[(W_t/W_\infty)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] &= 1_{\Omega \setminus A(t)} + p^{-q'} \cdot \frac{p'}{p' - q'} (1-t)^{r+\{p'(q-1)\}^{-1}} 1_{A(t)} \\ &\leq p^{-q'} \cdot \frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ .

Now let  $\tau$  be the random variable defined by

$$\tau(\omega) = \sup\{t \in I : \omega \in A(t)\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\{\tau > t\} = A(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ ,  $P$ -a.s. and  $Q$ -a.s., and therefore  $\tau$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -stopping time. Moreover by (14) and (15), we have  $\tau_p^*(t) = 1 - t^p$  and  $\tau_Q^*(t) = 1 - t$  for all  $t \in I$ . It follows that if  $y \in L^1(I)$ , then

$$(16) \quad \int_{A(t)} y(1-\tau) dP = \int_0^{(1-t)^{1/p}} y(s^p) ds = p^{-1} \int_0^{1-t} y(s) s^{-1/p'} ds.$$

Assume that  $x \in L^1(I)$  is positive and let  $X_t = E_P[x^*(1-\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $X = (X_t)$  satisfies (ii) and (iii) of the statement. Indeed, (ii) follows immediately from the equality  $\tau_Q^*(t) = 1 - t$ . Hence it remains only to prove (iii). Observe that

$$X_t = x^*(1-\tau) 1_{\{t \geq \tau\}} + p^{-1} \mathcal{P}_p x^*(1-t) 1_{\{t < \tau\}},$$

which follows from (16). This expression shows that each path is increasing on  $[0, \tau(\omega)[$ , constant on  $[\tau(\omega), \infty[$ , and has a jump at  $\tau(\omega)$ . Therefore, from the fact that  $X_{\tau-} = p^{-1} \mathcal{P}_p x^*(1-\tau) \geq x^*(1-\tau) = X_\tau$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}X_\infty = p^{-1} \mathcal{P}_p x^*(1-\tau)$$

As  $\tau_Q^*(t) = 1 - t$ , this implies (iii) and the lemma is established. ■

The last lemma, due to Boyd [4], is for the proof of Theorem 7. The assertion follows directly from Theorem C. For the proof of Theorem 7, however, we cannot use Theorem C and must prove the following lemma without using Theorem C.

**Lemma 12** *Let  $\hat{B}$  be a r.i. function space over  $I$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{P}_p$  is a bounded linear operator from  $\hat{B}$  into itself, then for  $q > p$  sufficiently close to  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_q$  is a bounded linear operator from  $\hat{B}$  into itself.*

**Proof** According to Lemma 2 of [4], we have

$$\mathcal{P}_p^n x(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{s}\right)^{n-1} x(st) s^{-1/p'} ds, \quad t \in I,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_p^n$  stands for the  $n$ -th iterate of  $\mathcal{P}_p$ . From this it follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_q x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{p'}\right)^n \mathcal{P}_p^{n+1} x(t)$$

for  $q > p$  and for positive  $x$ . Taking  $q > p$  so close to  $p$  that  $(1/q' - 1/p') \|\mathcal{P}_p\|_{\hat{B}} < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_q x\|_{\hat{B}} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N (1/q' - 1/p')^n \mathcal{P}_p^{n+1} x \right\|_{\hat{B}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/q' - 1/p')^n \|\mathcal{P}_p\|_{\hat{B}}^{n+1} \|x\|_{\hat{B}} = C \|x\|_{\hat{B}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\mathcal{P}_p\|_{\hat{B}}$  denotes the norm of  $\mathcal{P}_p: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$ . This completes the proof. ■

Now we give the proof of Theorems 6 and 7, and Corollary 8.

**Proof of Theorem 6** (i) Suppose that  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  and  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1/p$ . According to Lemma 10 and Theorem C, we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} = \|(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^*\|_{\hat{B}} \leq p^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_p(X_\infty)_Q^*\|_{\hat{B}} \leq p^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_p\|_{\hat{B}} \|X_\infty\|_{B(Q)}$$

for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ .

(ii) Now assume that (11) holds for every  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  whenever  $dQ/dP \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ . Let  $1 < q < p$ . By Lemma 11, for each positive  $x \in L^1(I)$  we can find equivalent measures  $P, Q$  and a martingale  $X = (X_t) \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  such that  $dQ/dP \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ ,  $(X_\infty)_Q^* = x^*$  and  $(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^* = q^{-1} \mathcal{P}_q x^*$ . Then by hypothesis, we get

$$q^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_q x\|_{\hat{B}} \leq q^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_q x^*\|_{\hat{B}} = \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} \leq c \|X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} = c \|x\|_{\hat{B}}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{P}_q: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is a bounded linear operator. It follows from Theorem C that  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1/q$ . Letting  $q \uparrow p$ , we obtain  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} \leq 1/p$ . Theorem 6 is proved. ■

**Proof of Theorem 7** We use Theorem 6. We shall prove the assertion of Theorem C for  $\mathcal{P}_p$  only. Suppose that  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} < 1/q < 1/p$ . Choose  $P, Q$  and  $X = (X_t)$  so as to satisfy (i)–(iii) of Lemma 11 for a given  $x \geq 0$  in  $L^1(I)$ . Since we have assumed that Theorem 6 is true, we may use (11) to get

$$p^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_p x\|_{\hat{B}} \leq p^{-1} \|\mathcal{P}_p x^*\|_{\hat{B}} = p^{-1} \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} \leq c \|X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} = c \|x\|_{\hat{B}}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{P}_p: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded.

Now assume that  $\mathcal{P}_p: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is bounded. Then by Lemma 12, there exists  $q > p$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_q$  is a bounded operator from  $\hat{B}$  into itself. Suppose that  $dQ/dP \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ . Then Lemma 10 gives that  $(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)_Q^* \leq q^{-1} \mathcal{P}_q (X_\infty)_Q^*$ ; hence (11) is valid for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$ . Thus we have proved that  $dQ/dP \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  implies (11). From Theorem 6 (ii), we obtain  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} \leq 1/q < 1/p$ , which completes the proof. ■

**Proof of Corollary 8** In [9] Doléans-Dade and Meyer proved that if  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  and  $W = (W_t)$  satisfies  $(S^-)$ , then  $dQ/dP \in A_q(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  for some  $q < p$ . Hence Theorem 6 gives that (11) is valid for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  if  $\underline{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} \leq 1/p$ . ■

Finally we mention the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy type inequality without proof. Sekiguchi [16] (and independently Bonami and Lépingle [3]) proved that if  $dQ/dP = W_\infty \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  for some  $p > 1$  and  $W = (W_t)$  satisfies

$$(S) \quad 0 < k \leq W_{T-}/W_T \leq K$$

with some constants  $k$  and  $K$ , then

$$cE_Q[\Phi(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)] \leq E_Q[\Phi([X, X]_\infty^{1/2})] \leq CE_Q[\Phi(\mathcal{M}X_\infty)]$$

hold for all local martingales  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with respect to  $P$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a Young function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Using this inequality with  $\Phi(t) = t$  and Lemma 3, we can prove that if  $\bar{\alpha}_{\hat{B}} > 0$ , then the inequalities

$$c \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)} \leq \|[X, X]_\infty^{1/2}\|_{B(Q)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}X_\infty\|_{B(Q)}$$

holds for all local martingales  $X = (X_t)$  with respect to  $P$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , provided  $dQ/dP \in A_p(P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$  and  $W = (W_t)$  satisfies (S).

## References

- [1] A. Antipa, *Doob's inequality for rearrangement-invariant function spaces*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **35**(1990), 101–108.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*. Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [3] A. Bonami and D. Lépingle, *Fonction maximale et variation quadratique des martingales en présence d'un poids*. In: Séminaire de Probabilités, Lecture Notes in Math. **721**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, 294–306.
- [4] D. W. Boyd, *The spectral radius of averaging operators*. Pacific J. Math. **24**(1968), 19–28.
- [5] ———, *Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation*. Canad. J. Math. **21**(1969), 1245–1254.

- [6] K. M. Chong and N. M. Rice, *Equimeasurable rearrangements of functions*. Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. **28**(1971).
- [7] C. Dellacherie and P. A. Meyer, *Probabilités et potentiel, Chapitres I à IV*. Hermann, Paris, 1976.
- [8] ———, *Probabilités et potentiel, Chapitres V à VIII*. Hermann, Paris, 1980.
- [9] C. Doléans-Dade and P. A. Meyer, *Inégalités de normes avec poids*. In: Séminaire de Probabilités, Lecture Notes in Math. **721**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, 313–331.
- [10] M. Izumisawa and N. Kazamaki, *Weighted norm inequalities for martingales*. Tôhoku Math. J. **29**(1977), 115–124.
- [11] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, *Martingale inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces*. Israel J. Math. **64**(1988), 267–275.
- [12] N. Kazamaki, *Continuous exponential martingales and BMO*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1579**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [13] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces*. In: Proceedings of the symposium in analysis, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. **10**(1967), 83–144.
- [14] P. A. Meyer, *Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques*. In: Séminaire de Probabilités, Lecture Notes in Math. **511**(1976), Springer-Verlag, New York, 245–400.
- [15] I. Ya. Novikov, *Martingale inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces*. In: Function spaces, Teubner-Texte Math. **120**, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1991, 120–127.
- [16] T. Sekiguchi, *BMO-martingales and inequalities*. Tôhoku Math. J. **31**(1979), 355–358.
- [17] T. Shimogaki, *Hardy-Littlewood majorants in function spaces*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **17**(1965), 365–373.
- [18] T. Tsuchikura, *A remark to weighted means of martingales*. Seminar on real analysis 1976, Hachiooji (in Japanese).
- [19] A. Uchiyama, *Weight functions on probability spaces*. Tôhoku Math. J. **30**(1978), 463–470.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Toyama University*  
*3190 Gofuku*  
*Toyama 930-8555*  
*Japan*  
*email: kikuchi@sci.toyama-u.ac.jp*